

1 Empirical Analysis of the Causal Relationship between Nominal
2 Exchange Rate and Foreign Direct Investment in India using
3 VAR (Vector Autoregression Model)

4 J. V. Ramana Raju¹ and Dr. Mayuresh S. Gokhale²

5 ¹ School of Graduate Studies, SBMJC, Bangalore.

6 *Received: 4 February 2012 Accepted: 1 March 2012 Published: 15 March 2012*

7

8 **Abstract**

9 The present study tries to establish a causal relationship between the nominal exchange rate
10 and foreign direct investment in India using a time series data between 1992 and 2010. It tries
11 to understand whether the fluctuation in the exchange rate in turn causes the change in the
12 quantum of foreign direct investments inflows and vice-versa which is of enormous importance
13 in the wake of unprecedented depreciation of Indian Rupee against US dollar. Our analysis
14 uses unit root test and Johansen cointegration test to show whether the variables under
15 consideration exhibit stationarity and a long run association respectively. The test indicates
16 absence of any long term association between the two variables under consideration. In the
17 present context it appears that the data is not stationary at level and is stationary at first
18 difference. The Vector Auto regression (VAR) model depicts that the coefficients do not have
19 any long run association.

20

21 **Index terms**— unit root, co integration, ADF (augmented dickey fuller), depreciation, foreign direct
22 investment.

23 **1 Introduction**

24 The role of FDI to any nation is highly documented. It is known to be a source of much needed capital, technology
25 and managerial skills. The developing nations are attracting the much needed source of foreign capital to boost
26 their economies thus making their growth rates more sustainable. India is also not an exception to this trend
27 and has taken steps to attract the much needed foreign capital to bolster its economy. However the torpid pace
28 of economic reforms has created a sluggish environment as far as the movement of foreign capital in India is
29 concerned. Also the second noticeable trend that has grappled Indian economy is the volatility of the rupee
30 vis a vis major currencies especially the US Dollar and British Pound. The past year has witnessed a sharp
31 depreciation of Indian Rupee against dollar which stands at over 19% in a single year. There are observations
32 that indicate a strong correlation between the foreign capital inflows and valuation of a rupee 1 . Any aggressive
33 depreciation in the exchange rate creates turmoil in the economy. It increases the firm's debt component on the
34 loan borrowed from the foreign soil. The imports get dearer thereby having a cascading effect on the production
35 costs and the product, thereby triggering inflation. The present study tries to understand the correlation between
36 the exchange rate (USDollar verses INRupee) and foreign direct investment in the Indian economy between 1992
37 and 2010. The question we are investigating here is: Does the fluctuation of the currency have a bearing in
38 Inward foreign direct investment flow? The answer to this kind of question has different answers in different
39 economies. The investigation for the Indian context reveals that the volatility of Indian rupee value does not
40 affect in any way the quantum of inward flow of FDI. Thus our research confirms the theoretical observations of
41 McCulloch (1989).

42 2 II.

43 3 Literature Review

44 The literature pertaining to the correlation between FDI and exchange rate in general is highly contradictory in
45 nature and ambiguous, with some studies exhibiting a positive correlation, while others show negative correlation
46 between the chosen variables. Cushman (1985) and Froot and Stein (1991) explore the factors that might
47 contribute to correlation between external value of dollar and level of FDI in US. They have found that modelling
48 a link between FDI and Exchange rate would require some beliefs in long run and short run deviation from PPP
49 (Purchasing power parity) on cross border investment process.. Caves (1989), Froot and Stein(1991), Harris and
50 Ravenscroft (1991) and Swenson (1993) has concluded that depreciating dollar is associated with higher flows
51 of FDI in US and a higher foreign takeover premia. Dewenter (1995) examined this issue but no statistically
52 significant relationship between the level of exchange rate and FDI. It was found that inflows of FDI will have no
53 significant effects on nominal exchange rates in Sri Lanka. On the other hand Pakistan should take into account
54 the effect of FDI inflows on the nominal exchange rates in short run although inconsequential in long run. 2
55 McCulloch(1989) summarises that the exchange rate movements should not affect FDI inflows because if an asset
56 in particular country is viewed as a claim to future stream of profits denominated in that country's currency,
57 and if profits will be converted back to domestic currency of the investor at the same exchange rate, the level of
58 exchange rate does not affect the present discounted value of the investment. A random walk characterization for
59 exchange rate evolution process implies that the expected future exchange rate levels should be same as current
60 rate. This implies perfect elasticity of exchange rate expectation to present exchange rate, a notion strongly
61 contradicted by survey evidence like Franke and Froot (1987). Froot and Stein (1991) claimed that the level of
62 exchange rate may influence the inward flow of FDI. The depreciation of the host currency makes the asset price
63 cheaper thereby increases the ability of the firms to invest. Thus the depreciation of the host currency should
64 increase the FDI and conversely the appreciation of the host country currency should decrease the FDI. Campa
65 (1993) says the firms decision whether or not to invest abroad depends on the expectations of future profitability.
66 An appreciation of host currency will increase FDI in to the host country, ceteris paribus, which is contrary to
67 the prediction of Froot and Stein (1991). Thus the literature shows several contradictory facts and thus the issue
68 warrants careful observation in a country specific manner.

69 4 III.

70 5 Objectives

71 We would like to empirically study the long and short run causal relationship between the nominal exchange rate
72 and foreign direct investment in India during 1992 -2010 using a time series data. A vector autoregression model
73 establishes the existence of such correlation.

74 IV.

75 6 Methodology

76 The method involves time series analysis of the IFDI (Inward foreign direct investment) and average nominal
77 exchange rate data (between Indian rupee and USdollar) between 1992 and 2010 using . We use a unit root test
78 to check stationarity of the time series data, and the Cointegration test for analyzing the long run association of
79 the variables namely the foreign direct investment inflow and the average exchange rate between US Dollar and
80 Indian Rupee. Since the time series of Exchange rates as well as the corresponding series for FDI do not exhibit
81 stationarity, we go for an optimal lag selection through Akaike Information criterion. Also we use the Vector
82 Auto regression (VAR) model to assess the long and short run correlation between the FDI and the exchange
83 rate.

84 V.

85 7 Mathematical Aspects of our Methodology

86 In the present study we are trying to estimate the equations that define for the long run, the dependence of
87 FDI with several macroeconomic variables. The usual procedure adopted for such estimation is Multivariate
88 regression which leads to an equation of the form (1) $1 2 3 3 \dots t t t n n t x g x g x g x e \dots$? ? ? ? ? .

89 . (1) The variables that we have considered are current FDI, current exchange rate, the lag values of FDI
90 and the lag values of Exchange rate exhibit autocorrelations meaning that they exhibit dependencies on their
91 lags. Hence autoregressive modeling is being taken up. A typical autoregressive model (AR(p)) of order p is used
92 when the variables concerned are depending on 'p' lags. In (2) below we write the equation that models such
93 an autoregressive process. We note that t e and t are stochastic terms incorporating the fluctuations or noises
94 attributed to certain unexpected events happening. We also note that in our specific case the value of n is 4 and
95 the value of p is 2. The equation (??) is a typical autoregressive model for a single variable. Let 1t y represent
96 the variable in the AR model corresponding to 1t

97 x , 2t y represent the variable in the AR model corresponding to 2t

98 x and so on. Thus we have the vector Now if the white noise elements are not serially correlated than OLS
99 schemes work out and hence a moving average representation leads to the final relationship. However if the white
100 noise elements exhibit a serial correlation indicating that there exist linear dependencies among the n variables
101 we have chosen, then the Relationship established by OLS scheme (Ordinary least squares) is not reliable and
102 hence inaccurate. This leads to the concept of cointegration.

103 Cointegration : The Matrix representation given above leads to a characteristic equation as a polynomial in lag
104 operators. If the process is stationary then as indicated in the previous section a moving average representation
105 is feasible. This needs some tests to be done to check for existence of unit roots. Essentially it means one
106 checks for the eigen values of the matrix obtained in the VAR model. If the eigen values are strictly bounded
107 by 1, i.e $1 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1$ then stationarity is guaranteed, else there is no stability in the VAR model even after taking p-lags.
108 Here λ_i are the n eigen-values corresponding to the characteristic equation. This justifies the introduction
109 of cointegrated variables, since here we assume that two or more variables in the n-variable time series move
110 along in an integrated fashion (together). The technique of cointegration introduced by Granger develops a more
111 reliable method to look for causality and hence may lead to better forecasting tools. Using the software E-views
112 we estimate the cointegration coefficients so as to check the significance of short term and long term causality of
113 exchange rate to influence FDI decisions.

114 In a typical VAR model involving two variables like Foreign direct Investment (Y_t FDI) and Exchange rate
115 (X_t EXR), Y_t is influenced by current X_t and past values of X_t and similarly X_t is influenced by current Y_t
116 and past values of Y_t . More generally if one wishes to consider more variables, such variables are decided by
117 economic principles and proper literature survey, while the number of lags is chosen by AIS test.

118 8 VI.

119 9 Findings

120 Our research had as its null hypothesis that Foreign direct Investment decisions are not influenced by the host
121 country's nominal exchange rate. Johansen Cointegration test shows that the none of the variables under
122 consideration are cointegrated, the trace statistics shows that the p value is $> 5\%$ indicating that we cannot
123 reject the Null Hypothesis. The Unit root test is a test to show whether the two variables under consideration
124 i.e FDI (Foreign direct investment) and EXR (Exchange rate) are stationary or not.

125 The Y_t is significant with p value of 0.0000 and the FDI(-2) with coefficient C(2) is significant with p value
126 of 0.0050. All the other coefficients are not significant indicating no long run correlation. Similarly Wald test
127 was conducted to show the influence of two or more variables together on Independent variables i.e. C(3) and
128 C(4) together, C(8) and C (9) together. Here the results we obtained show Chi square value with probability
129 of 0.5246 and 0.4622 respectively indicating that the variables jointly cannot influence the dependent variable.
130 Hence we see that there is no statistical evidence for the quantum of FDI investments into India to be dictated
131 by the trends in nominal Exchange rate.

132 10 VII.

133 11 Conclusions

134 The exchange rate fluctuation essentially does not impair the quantum of foreign direct investment. It can be
135 assumed that inward flow of direct investment is independent of exchange rate volatility. But the first lag and
136 second lag of the foreign direct investment exhibits a significant relationship between the foreign direct investment
137 indicating that the lagged FDI could be responsible for attracting FDI in the subsequent year. ^{1 2 3 4}

¹T © 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)

²©2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)

³© 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)

⁴©2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)References Références Referencias



Figure 1:

Figure 2:

System: UNTITLED

Estimation Method: Least Squares

Date: 09/05/12 Time: 20:46

Sample: 1994 2010

Included observations: 17

Total system (balanced) observations 34

	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C(1)	1.697534	0.251837	6.740620	0.0000
C(2)	-0.905518	0.292621	-3.094508	0.0050
C(3)	-149.2891	471.0752	-0.316911	0.7541
C(4)	281.6353	410.0798	0.686782	0.4988
C(5)	-3133.282	7066.626	-0.443391	0.6615
C(6)	-0.000174	0.000166	-1.048213	0.3050
C(7)	0.000232	0.000193	1.197992	0.2426
C(8)	0.525049	0.311384	1.686180	0.1047
C(9)	0.257073	0.271066	0.948378	0.3524
C(10)	10.12907	4.671090	2.168460	0.0403
Determinant residual covariance		39499313		

Figure 3:

138 .1 Appendix

139 Statistical Data Processing Output

140 [Dewenter ()] 'Do Exchange Rates Changes drive Foreign Direct Investment?'. K Dewenter . *Journ of Business*
141 1995. 68 p. .

142 [Campa ()] 'Entry by Foreign Firms in the United States under Exchange rate Uncertainty'. Campa . *Review of*
143 *Econ and Stat* 1993. 75 (4) p. .

144 [Caves ()] 'Exchange Rate Movements and Foreign Direct Investment in the United states'. R E Caves . *The*
145 *Internationalization of US Markets*, D Audstretch, M Snd Claudon (ed.) 1989. p. .

146 [Froot and Stein ()] 'Exchange Rates and Direct Foreign Investment: An imperfect capital markets approach'.
147 K Froot , J Stein . *Quarterly Journ Economics* 1991. 106 p. .

148 [Swenson (ed.) ()] *Foreign Mergers and Acquisitions in the United States* in "Foreign Direct, D Swenson .
149 K.A.Froot (ed.) 1993. p. . National Bureau of Econ Research Inc.USA

150 [McCulloch ()] 'Japanese Investment in the United States'. McCulloch . *The Internationalization of US Markets*,
151 D Audstretch, ClaudonM (ed.) 1989. p. .

152 [Cushmann ()] 'Real Exchange Rate Risk: Expectations and the level of direct Investment'. D Cushmann .
153 *Review of Economics and Statistics* 1985. 67 p. .

154 [Harris and Ravenscroft ()] 'The role of acquisition in Foreign Direct Investment: Evidence from US Stock
155 Market'. R S Harris , D Ravenscroft . *Journal of Finance* 1991. 46 p. .

156 [Chandrasekhar and Ghosh (2012)] 'The volatile Rupee' Article in "Business Line, C Chandrasekhar , Jayati
157 Ghosh . May 1 2012.

158 [Jeffrey and Froot ()] 'Using Survey data to test standard propositions regarding Exchange rate expectations'.
159 Frenkel Jeffrey , Kenneth Froot . *American Econ Review* 1987. 77 (1) p. .