# Global Journals $ensuremath{\mathbb{A}}\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}\xspace{\mathbb{F}}\ensuremath{\mathbb{K}}\xspace{\mathbb{F}}\$

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

### Dr. Udousung

Received: 14 December 2011 Accepted: 4 January 2012 Published: 15 January 2012

### 4 Abstract

1

2

- <sup>5</sup> Nigeria possesses most of the prerequisites for building a strong and vital economy. However,
- <sup>6</sup> all this potentials cannot automatically transform the country into prosperous nation.
- 7 Conscious efforts and policies are required to encourage and attract domestic and foreign
- <sup>8</sup> investors to improve the competitiveness of a nation. Conscious efforts and policies are

<sup>9</sup> required to encourage and attract domestic and foreign investors to improve the

<sup>10</sup> competitiveness of a nation's economic base. The study was set to analyze the Exchange Rate

determinates in Nigeria (1971Nigeria (-2000)). Six (6) variables were used in the exchange

<sup>12</sup> rate model namely: balance of payment, fiscal deficit, import tax, openness of the economy,

<sup>13</sup> trends and exports tax. Data on these variables were obtained largely from Central of

<sup>14</sup> Nigeria's publication and empirically analyzed using econometric technique.

15

16 Index terms— Real Exchange Rate Determinants.

# 17 **1** Introduction

rotectionist trade policies in Nigeria emerged in the early 1960s, following the explicit adoption of an import substitution industrialization strategy as well as the deterioration in the country's terms of trade. There was also the associated balance of payments disequilibrium in the wake of the expiration of the commodity export boom of the post World War II era. Thus, an import -prohibiting tariff structure (comprising mostly duties above 50 per cent) was put in place. The Civil War of the late 1960s appeared to have given further impetus to the protectionist tendencies, as an appreciation of the nominal exchange rate was deliberately permitted.

Apart from its well known spending effect, the oil boom of 1973 - 1980 appears to have influenced changes in 24 the country's external sector policy on three fronts. First, a substantial reduction in tariff rates (with import 25 duties mostly below 50 per cent) was made. Second, a liberalized (and indeed generous) foreign exchanged 26 and import payment policy was implemented. Third, there was a relatively greater use of Author : E-mail : 27 28 idongesitudousung@yahoo.com quantitative restrictions (as opposed to import tariffs) in the period, especially 29 following the first oil glut of 1976 -1978 and the resulting balance of payments disequilibrium. However, in 1973 -1978, a deliberate policy of naira appreciation was pursed and given the tremendous fiscal response of 30 31 the government to the oil windfalls, a real appreciation of the exchange rate resulted. In the context of the 32 Dutch disease phenomenon, such a real appreciation of the exchange rate, in the period of an export boom, was theoretically efficient. The long-run implication of such a development, however, especially in terms of 33 sustainability, appears to have been missed or disregarded in policy circles. The end of the oil boom around 1980 34 brought about a phenomenal rise in the level of Nigeria's external debt, which grew at an unprecedented annual 35 rate of 76 per cent between 1982 and 1985 ?? Ogun, 1995 b). Further, tariff rates on a variety of imports were 36 hiked substantially as tariff rates in excess of 150 per cent became a common feature of Nigerian trade policy. 37 In addition, the extent and use of quantitative restrictions in the period were unprecedented in the economic 38 39 history of the country. In essence, over the period 1960 -1985, the real exchange rate of the country appears to 40 have been appreciated by restrictions, oil windfalls and external debt.

In the spirit of the structural adjustment programme introduced in 1986, most of the aforementioned policy measures, which were assumed to be quantitative restrictions, became considerably narrowed. An interim tariff structure implemented in 1988 reduced tariff rates on most imports to below 50 per cent and a further review planned for 1994 was to produce a uniform tariff structure for the country. The auction market for exchange rate determination, which was introduced in 1986, appeared to have effectively checked the over-valuation of the nominal exchange rate. However, over 1985 -1990, the country's external debt stock grew at an approximate average rate of 70 per cent to about US \$33 billion. Essentially, therefore, the external debt burden of the country and the burgling balance of payments deficits appear to have constituted the prime factors accounting for changes
in the real exchange rate in the post 1985 period.

The real exchange rate, which is commonly defined as the relative price of tradable to non-tradable, is an 50 51 implicit rather than an explicit price. As a result, its definition and measurement procedure can sometimes 52 be a subject of controversy. However, the real exchange rate index is often considered to be representative of international competitiveness and is used as a guide to monetary and exchange rate policies. The real exchange 53 rate has come to be regarded as a very important issue in the current discussions on structural adjustment 54 programme in Sub-Sahara Africa since it sent signals for inter and intra-sectoral resource allocation and long 55 run growth of the economy, (Elbadawi, 1989). It is defined as the relative price of tradables to non-tradables 56 in an economy and it measures the cost of domestically producing tradable goods (Edwards, 1988). Thus, it 57 determines the degree of competitiveness of the economy and its external performance. 58

Overtime, three different concepts and measurement frameworks have been used for the equilibrium real 59 exchange rate. The first approach is the purchasing power parity doctrine, which associates the equilibrium 60 exchange rate with the value of the nominal exchange rate in a period of external balance (known as the base 61 year), adjusted for inter-country differences in inflation rates between the current year and the base year. Three 62 defects are usually associated with the purchasing power parity example, in Nigeria, external equilibrium could 63 64 be associated with some years of oil boom. However, using any of these years as a representative equilibrium 65 base year could be misleading since the value of the nominal exchange rate in the period was sustained by a 66 transitory phenomenon. Second, under the purchasing power parity approach, equilibrium real exchange rate is deemed as a constant that does not change. However, in a world in which domestic and foreign goods are 67 imperfect substitutes and there are real stocks to the system, it is desirable to have deviations from purchasing 68 power parity. The reason is that the real exchange rate must adjust to the stock and this will require movements 69 in the nominal exchange rate and domestic and foreign price levels (see Ballassa, 1964; Flood, 1981, Mussa, 70 1982;McGuirk, 1983;Baldwin and Krugman, 1987). Third, purchasing power parity does not seem to hold very 71 well in the short run and probably not in the long run either ??Dornbusel, 1980a;Frenkel, 1989). 72

# <sup>73</sup> 2 II.

# 74 3 Methodology a) Analytical Technique

The model of our study is a single-equation model made up of a dependent variable and six (??) independent 75 76 (explanatory) variables. The method of multiple regression analysis will, therefore, be used to evaluate the relationship between the dependent variable and the explanatory variables. Two methods of Single-equation 77 78 techniques are applied to one equation at a time were as the simultaneous-equation techniques are applied to all 79 equations of a system at the same time. This implies that single-equation techniques like Classical Least Square 80 (CLS) or Ordinary Least Squares (OLS), Indirect Leas Square (ILS), Two-stage Least Squares and other mixed estimation methods are used for single-equation models. Simultaneous-equation techniques such as three-stage 81 82 least squares and full information maximum likelihood techniques (FIML) are used for system equations.

Even though only one econometric method may be theoretically most appropriate for the problem studied, it may not be application due to non-availability or defects of relevant statistical data and other information. Thus, given the limitation, a less suitable technique may have to be chosen. In which case, results of the estimation should be interpreted with caution taking into account the effects and possible errors introduced in the estimates by the use of the less appropriate technique.

We have used the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) estimation technique in this study because ours is a singleequation model since we are studying a simple phenomenon, which can be satisfactorily approximated with a single-equation model ??Koutsoyiannis, 1985:21). Secondly, the purpose of the study is mainly for analysis and policy-making which makes the degree of bias of the estimates very crucial and the ordinary least square technique satisfies the Guass-Makov least squares theorem of providing the best linear unbiased estimate (BLUE) ??Wanacott and Wanacott, 1972).

Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) also has the advantages of simplicity of computational procedure, data requirements are not excessive and hence, it is less expensive and less time consuming. The mechanics of ordinary least square are quite simple to understand and it is a component of most other econometric techniques. In fact, with the exception of full information maximum likelihood technique, all others involve the application of Ordinary Least Square. This technique has produced fairly satisfactory results when used in a wide range of economic relationships and it is the most commonly used in estimating relationships in econometric models ??Koutsoyiannis, 1985:20).

In evaluating the theoretical meaning fullness and statistical reliability of our parameter estimates, we used various criteria such as: the economic apriori criteria, statistical criteria and the econometric criteria. The aprori criteria are based on economic theoretical expectations or postulations about the signs of the Singly-equation techniques, and imultaneousequation techniques magnitude or size, yet our evaluation is based on both. We will, therefore, reject a parameter(s) whose sign and size is "wrong" but if we accept it (them), the reasons for such acceptance are clearly stated. Orthodox econometricians see models constructed on aprori assumptions as the only true models irrespective of the results obtained. If these results are "unfavourable" (i.e. if the signs and

sizes of the parameters do not confirm to apriori knowledge), the model should not be rejected, rather researcher 108 should explain the results, which in many cases is attributable to data deficiency, ??Koutsoyiannis, 1985:21). 109

The statistical criteria or first-order test is secondary only to the apriori theoretical criteria. It is determined 110 by statistical theory and it aims at evaluating the statistical reliability or significance of the parameter estimates 111 of the model. These include the coefficient of multiple determination (R 2), the standard errors (SE) or 112 standard deviation (SD) of the estimates, the students 't' test and the F-statistic. Our analysis in this study 113 has concentrated on R 2, 't'-test, and the F-statistic. We saw the S.E. test as being unnecessary since it is 114 formally equivalent to the students 't' test ??Koutsoyiannis, 1985:89). Finally, our results were validated using 115 the econometric criteria (or second-order test). Econometric criteria determine the reliability of the first-order 116 test and the S.E. of the estimates. This enables us to understand whether our estimates have the desirable 117 properties of BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimates). 118

#### III. 4 119

#### $\mathbf{5}$ Model Specification 120

The estimated equation is give as  $\log RER t = a 0 + a 1 \log ToT t + a 2 \log GEN t + a 2 \log CF t + 4 \log CP$ 121 122 Where ToT = terms of trade Amin et al (1997) IV. 123

#### Measurement of the Variables 6 124

The real exchange rate is an indispensable index indicating the degree of competitiveness of a country's economy. 125 As such the statistical measurement ought to include the information which national economic agents would use 126 when they make choices among different types of goods either as producers or consumers (Olopoenia, 1992). This 127 depends on the choices of proxies for tradable and non-tradable (Edwards, 1989). 128

Given the difficulties of classifying commodities into tradable, and non-tradable, it becomes difficult to identify 129 real world counterparts of prices of tradable and non-tradable. This is because there is a high level of aggregation 130 in national account data and there is no sector in the economy that does not produce both tradable and 131 non-tradable. The inability to classifying exclusively tradable and non-tradable categories make the practical 132 application in finding real world counterparts to price of tradable and price of nontradable. Furthermore, 133 international comparison based on world price index may be distorted by the use of different weight across 134 countries. 135

The gross domestic product deflator has been used in some cases to overcome the problems of the world 136 price. Since the gross domestic product deflator is a genuine price index of aggregate production, this indices 137 is not subject to the distortions that come from price controls, a real exchange rate index computed using 138 gross domestic product deflator at home and abroad provides a good indicator of changes in competitiveness in 139 production (Amin, 1993). However, this measure suffers the drawback of being available only for a short period, 140 and as in the case of consumer price index it has a good component of non-tradable goods (Edwards, 1989). In 141 other cases the real exchange rate is computed as the ratio of unit labour cost. This is because this index is a 142 direct measurement of relative competitiveness across countries. It is also argued that the relative labour cost 143 is more stable than Based on reasons stated above, a new model was adapted which draws variable from other 144 models and the analytical framework. the relative goods prices. Unfortunately, this measure has the drawback 145 that quality and availability of wage i) It was difficult to measure some of the variables found in this model; ii) It 146 was modified to accommodate other variables found in other works example (Tsassa, et al., 2001) in which our 147 analytical technique was based on. GEN = public sector expenditure non tradable CF = restriction on capital148 flows CP = commercial policies TP = technical progress CAM = capital accumulation Gdpr = gross domestic149 product I = Interest rate rate data in many countries are poor that there makes the use of this index virtually 150 impossible for many practical applications. Also this measure takes into account only one factor of production. 151 This index will be biased to the extent that the capital-labour ratio differs across countries. Finally, it is an 152 indicator based sensitive to cyclical productivity changes. 153

For the purpose of this study, a bilateral foreign exchange rate between Nigeria and United States of America, 154 that is her highest trading partner, has been used as proxy for the real exchange rate. The openness of the 155 economy was proxied as the sum of export and import divided by the gross domestic product for the period 156 under review. While data on import tax, export tax and fiscal deficit were obtained from Central Bank of 157 Nigeria statistical bulletin (Various issues) and Federal Office of Statistics. 158 V.

159

#### 7 Discussion of Findings 160

The results of the multiple regression analysis on the determinants of real exchange rate in Nigeria are presented in 161 this section. Annual data points for thirty years were collected for each of the six variables used in the regression 162 analysis which was done using the Ordinary Least Square (OLS) method of estimation. These variables were 163 balance of payment, openness of the economy, fiscal deficit, import tax, export tax and the trend, which is 164 the period that the annual data points are taken for analysis. Data for balance of payment and fiscal deficit 165

### 10 POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

were gotten from the Central Bank of Nigeria Statistical Bulletin while openness of the economy was proxied.
 Imported tax and export taxes were derived from information bulletin of the Federal Ministry of Statistics.

## <sup>168</sup> 8 Discussion of Findings

The result which was estimated through Ordinary Least Square method showed that the coefficient of multiple 169 determination, R 2 , shows a reasonably high explanation of the variations in the real exchange rate by the 170 explanatory variables, (i.e. R 2 = 0.96). This implies that 96 per cent of the total variations in the real exchange 171 rate is accounted for by the explanatory variables. When R 2 is adjusted for the increase in explanatory variables 172 in the model, the R 2 becomes 95 per cent. This indicated that the regression line is a good fit. In investigating 173 the presence of serial correlation, the value of the Durbin-Watson statistics is taken into consideration. We 174 know that Durbin-Watson statistics (d) determined by the disturbance terms depends not only on the number of 175 observations (n) and the number of explanatory variables (k), but also on the time pattern of these explanatory 176 variables. When there is no serial correlation in the residuals, then the autoregressive coefficient  $\tilde{A}$ ?" = O and 177 the Durbin-Watson (d) = 2 and as  $\tilde{A}$ ?" ranges from its maximum value of +1 (high positive serial correlation) 178 to its minimum value of -1 (negative serial correlation) so the value of d ranges from 0 to 4. In the result of our 179 analysis, the Durbin-Watson statistics (d) = 2.00 indicating a case of no serial correlation at 1% significance level. 180 This is in line with the assertion of Koutsoyiannis (1985) that whenever there is no serial correlation, the value 181 of Durbin-Watson will be 0. In the multiple regression analysis, time trend has been used as one of the variables. 182 There are instances in which economist think there are potentially important but immeasurable or (unmeasured) 183 factors whose effect on the dependent variable is changing fairly steadily overtime. Examples might include tastes 184 (in a demand equation) and the state of technology (in the association between the deviations of real exchange 185 rate which is the dependent variable on balance of payment, openness of economy, fiscal deficit, import tax, and 186 export tax from their time trends and not at all from association between time trends. In the multiple regression 187 for real exchange rate, the coefficients of X 2, X 4 and X 5 are effectively zero (which means that the partial 188 correlation between X 2, X 4 and X 5 and real exchange rate given X 1, X 3 and X 6 are nearly zero). The 189 coefficient of fiscal deficit b 4 shows a negative partial correlation with the real exchange rate. This implies 190 that an increase in this variable would bring about a drop in real exchange rate i.e., its real appreciation and 191 consequently a competitive loss. The coefficient of balance of payment is negatively signed indicating an inverse 192 relationship with the real exchange rate. It has met its economic prior sign. This indicates that an increase 193 in this variable will bring about a loss in the real exchange rate, i.e. its real appreciation and consequently a 194 competitive loss. The coefficient of import tax, export taxes openness and trend all shows a positive relationship 195 with the real exchange rate. This implies that an increase in these variables would raise the real exchange rate 196 and thus trigger its real depreciation and improve its competitiveness. The regression result of our equation 197 showed a negative intercept and result is statistically significant at 1 per cent probability level. 198

## <sup>199</sup> 9 VII.

### <sup>200</sup> 10 Policy Recommendations

This study attempted to isolate the real exchange rate in Nigerian economy. Six variables were used in the analysis 201 and the behaviour of these variables have been noted, based on the results of the analysis. However, the following 202 recommendations are made for further studies: 1. Further studies on the real exchange rate determinants in the 203 long run should be carried out to ascertain the long-term effects of these variables on the economy. 2. There 204 should be standard measurements of most of the economic variables in order to ensure uniformity across countries 205 206 of the world. In cases where proxies are to be used, there should equally be uniformity. 3. Research on this 207 subject area should be constantly carried out to ensure the formulation of policy over time that will improve the competitiveness of the economy, based on the variables that will be used.  $^{1\ 2}$ 208

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Real Exchange Rate Determinants in Nigeria

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ ©2011 Global Journals Inc. (US)



Figure 1:

1

	Dependent Variable Sample (Adjusted)		-	Real Exchange Rate 1971- 2000		
	Included tions	Observa-	:	30		
Variable	Coefficient		Std. Error		T-stat.	Prob.
С	-1.162801		1.027222		-1.131986	0.2693
BOP	-1.39E-05			7.45E- 06	-1.869981	0.0743
TREND	0.193499		0.088751	00	2.180259	0.0397
OPEN	2.658435		0.431103		6.166595	0.0000
DEF	-0.000147		2.03E-05		-7.214830	0.0000
IMPTAX	0.000408			5.56E- 05	7.352171	0.0000
EXPTAX	2.62E-06			2.16E- 05	0.121059	0.9047
R-Squared	0.963336		S.D dependent var.		9.308388	
Adjusted R- squared	0.953771		Akaike info. criterio	on	4.426522	
S. E of regres- sion	2.001388		Schwartz criterion		4.753468	
Sum of squared resid	92.12773		F-statistic		100.7189	
Log likelihood	-59.39784		Prob (F-Statistics)		0.000000	
Durbin-Watson stat VI.	2.000600		Mean dependent va	r.	7.951533	

Figure 2: Table 1 :

- [Krumm ()] 'A Medium-Term Framework for Analyzing the Real Exchange Rate, with applications to Philippines
   and Tanzania'. K L Krumm . *The World Bank Economic Review* 1993. 7 (2) p. .
- 211 [Mussa ()] 'A model of exchange rate determination'. M Mussa . Journal of Political Economy 1982. 90 p. .
- [Olopoenia (1992)] 'Determinant of Real Exchange Rate in Nigeria'. R Olopoenia . African Economic Research
   Consortium Interim Report 1992. December 5 -13.
- [Amin and Awung ()] 'Determinants of Real Exchange Rate in Cameroon, Congo and Gabon'. A A Amin , W J
   Awung . African Journal of Economic Policy 1997. 4 (1) .
- 216 [Devarajjan et al. ()] Estimates of Real Exchange Rate misalignment with a simple General Equilibrium Model,
- S Devarajjan , Lawrence E Hinkle , J Peter , Montiel . 1999. Oxford University Press. p. . (Exchange Rate
   misalignment, concepts and measurement for developing countries)
- [Ahlers et al. ()] Estimating the Equilibrium Real Exchange Empirically: operational approaches, T O Ahlers , L
   E Hinkle , Lawrence E Hinkle , J Peter . 1999.
- [Edwards ()] Exchange Rate misalignment in developing countries, S Edwards . 1988. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins
   University Press.
- [Montiel] Exchange Rate misalignment, Concepts and Measurement for Developing Countries, Montiel. Oxford
   University Press. p. .
- [Baffes et al. (ed.) ()] Exchange Rate misalignment, concepts and measurement for developing countries, J Baffes
   , I A Elbadawi , A O'connel . Hinkle, L. E., Peter J. Montiel (ed.) 1999. Oxford University Press. p. . (Step
   One: Modeling the Equilibrium Real Exchange Rate)
- [Flood ()] 'Explanation of Exchange Rate volatility and other empirical regularities in some popular models of
   the foreign exchange market'. R P Flood . Carnesie -Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy 1981. 15
   p. .
- [Ogun ()] 'Export boom regimes and external debt accumulation: Lessons from Nigeria's development experi ence'. O Ogun . Journal of Economic Management 1995b. 2 (1) p. .
- [Hinkle and Nsengiyumua (ed.) ()] External Real Exchange Rates: Purchasing power parity, the Nundel-Fleming,
   and competitiveness of Traded Goods, L E Hinkle, F Nsengiyumua. Hinkle, Lawrence E., Peter J. Montiel
   (ed.) 1999a. Oxford University Press. p. . (Exchange Rate misalignment, concepts and measurement for
   developing countries)
- [Devarajjan et al. ()] 'External shocks, purchasing power parity, and the equilibrium Real Exchange Rate'. S Devarajjan, D Jeffrey, Sherman Lewis, Robinson. The World Bank Economic Review 1993. 7 (1) p. .
- [Asiodu ()] Industrial competitiveness of Nigeria, P C Asiodu . 2001. London. (A paper presented at 4 th Annual
   Conference at the Oxford University)
- [Wannacott and Wannacott ()] Introductory Statistics for Business and Economies, R S Wannacott , T H
   Wannacott . 1972. New York. 85.
- [Mcguirk (1983)] 'Oil price changes and real exchange rate movements among industrial countries'. A Mcguirk .
   *IMF Staff Papers* 1983. December. p. .
- [Deverajjan (1997)] 'Real Exchange Rate misalignment in the CFA zone'. S Deverajjan . Journal of African
   *Economics* 1997. March. 6 (1. Oxford University Press. p. .
- [Elbadawi and Soto (1995)] 'Real Exchange Rate Regimes and Macroeconomic Adjustment in Sub-Saharan
   Africa and other developing countries'. I Elbadawi, R Soto. Journal of African Economics Supplement
   to 1997. December 1995 and May 1996. 6 (3) p. . (African Economic Research Consortium plenary sessions)
- 250 [Edwards ()] Real Exchange Rates, Devaluation and Adjustment, the, S Edwards . 1989. Cambridge MA: MIT 251 Press.
- 252 [Dornbusel ()] 'Tariffs and Non-traded Good'. R Dornbusel . Journal of International Economics 1974. 4 p. .
- 253 [Elbadawi ()] Terms of trade, commercial policy, and black market for foreign exchange: An empirical model
- of Real Exchange Rate Determination, I Elbadawi . 1989. Yale University, Economic Growth Centre, New
   Hauen (Discussion paper 570. con processed)
- [Frenkel ()] 'The collapse of purchasing power parities during the 1970's'. J Frenkel . European Economic Review
   1989. 16 p. .
- 258 [Tsassa et al. ()] The determinants of Real Exchange Rates and misalignment in the France Zone countries:
- A comparative analysis, C Tsassa , Benjamin Yamb , Barthelemy Kouezo . 2001. WIP) African Economic
   Research Consortium Nairobi
- [Amin ()] The effects of exchange rate policy on Cameroon's agricultural competitiveness, A A Amin . 1993. 52.
   (African economic research consortium AERC/CKEA Nairobi. Research paper 42)

### 10 POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

- 263 [Montiel (ed.) ()] The Long-Run Equilibrium Real Exchange Rate: Conceptual issues and Empirical Research, P
- J Montiel . Hinkle, Lawrence E., Peter J. Montiel (ed.) 1999a. Oxford University Press. p. . (Exchange Rate
   Misalignment, Concepts and Measurement for Developing Countries)
- [Baldwin and Krugman ()] 'The persistence of the United States Trade deficit'. R Baldwin , P Krugman .
   Brookings papers on Economic Activity 1987. 2 p. .
- [Ballassa ()] 'The Purchasing Power Doctrine: A Re-appraisal'. B Ballassa . Annual Report and Statement of
   Accounts, 1964. 1999. 72 p. . Central Bank of Nigeria
- 270 [Hinkle and Nsengiyumua ()] The Two-Goods Internal Real Exchange Rate for Tradables and Non tradables, L
- E Hinkle, F Nsengiyumua. 1996. Oxford University Press. p. . (Misalignment, Concepts and measurement for developing countries)
- 273 [Koutsoyiannis ()] Theory of Econometrics, A Koutsoyiannis . 1985. Oxford University Press. p. .