Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

1	Smart Agri-Preneurship Dimensions and Food Accessibility in
2	South-West, Nigeria
3	Ajike E. O. ¹ , Egwakhe, A. J. ² and modanisi E. O ³
4	¹ Babcock University
5	Received: 14 September 2021 Accepted: 2 October 2021 Published: 15 October 2021

7 Abstract

Climate change, lack of resources and little market accessibility are current threats to food 8 production, food accessibility, and food security. Climate-smart Agriculture is the way to turn 9 around the situation to more resilience and higher Agricultural productivity leading to 10 improved food accessibility and security status. This paper utilized a cross-sectional survey 11 research design and primary data to examine the effect of smart Agri-preneurship dimensions 12 on food accessibility in South-West, Nigeria. The study adopted Cochran, Hatzes, Butler and 13 Marcy formula (1997) to ascertain the sample size. A reliable and valid questionnaire was 14 administered to 558 Agri-preneurs. The regressed constructs revealed a positive and significant 15 effect of smart Agri-preneurship on food affordability (Adj. R2=0.642, F (6551) = 167.442 and 16 p=0.000). The study concluded that smart Agri-preneurship dimensions affected food 17 accessibility in South-West, Nigeria. The research recommends smart Agri-preneurship 18 adaption to address food insecurity and most especially food accessibility, preferably within 19 the South-South part of Nigeria where farmlands are affected by the oil population. 20

21

Index terms— food accessibility, greenhouse farming, nutrient cycling, and smart agri-preneurship, soil analysis.

24 1 Introduction

ood accessibility challenge has been attributed to be tied to the economic and physical access of the people to 25 staple meals (Metu, Okeyika, & Maduka, 2016). Blekking, Waldman, Tuholske, & Evans, (2020) opined that a 26 decrease in income, unemployment, and underemployment causes downturn inaccessibility to food. Though the 27 price of food varies in developed countries of the world, it is at least accessible to most people. Bondemark, 28 (2020).Nigeria has been affirmed by the world poverty clock report, as the country with the largest extreme 29 poverty population as of June 2018, with an estimate of 86.9million out of a 170million people (Kazeem, 2018). 30 Also based on the assessment of 109 countries by Global Food Security Index (GFSI) ??2015), with an index 31 score of 37.1, Nigeria was 91st position based on indices of food availability, affordability, quality, and safety. 32 This further explains that the average Nigerian may be too poor to economically access foods grown within 33 the country's low purchasing power. This is further aggravated by the infrastructural conditions needed for 34 35 the production and distribution of food, such as transportation (road and rail), environmental degradation and 36 non-sustainable Agricultural production arising from flooding (Metu, Okeyika, & Maduka, 2016). 37 Achieving food security around the world has remained major and continuous constraint encounter by different

economies of the world due to continuous increase in population, high volatility of food price, low farm yield and poor Agricultural innovation investment. Food insecurity is a continuous persistent challenge to human growth and development, most of the scientists, experts and analysts allocate the majority of human development hindrance to food insecurity. Eliminating hunger and malnutrition and achieving global food security more widely, is among the most intractable problems farmer faces. However, according to the Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) (2018), the level of food security achievement at the global realm is unimpressive and 44 academic questions are being asked regarding why the dwindling farmer's returns. This perspective is actual and 45 topical throughout all last century and the beginning of the 21st century.

There is evidence of food insufficiency in developed countries and severe food insufficiency in less developed 46 47 countries (Nyambayo, 2015). Both situations, equally detrimental to the nutritional status of the populations and have led to malnutrition overnutrition and undernutrition, respectively (Nyambayo, 2015). Food insecurity 48 pre-existed in developed countries such as the United State of America and Canada (Walker, Block & Kawachi, 49 2012) for decades earlier than 2008. In the United States of America, FAO (2018) reported that there is a low 50 dietary nutrient intake of families with food insufficiency when they compared the serum nutrient levels of food 51 sufficiency and food insufficiency families in the American population. In Canada, there is a nutrient inadequacy 52 in Canadian adults and adolescents with food insecurity and food insufficiency due to high price volatility and 53 poor smart Agricultural investment (Lambie-Mumford, Crossley, Jensen, Verbeke & Dowler, 2014). 54

The Agriculture and food sector is facing multiple challenges. With the global population projected to 55 grow from 7.6 billion in 2018 to over 9.6 billion in 2050, there will be a significant increase in the demand 56 for food (DESA, 2019). At the same time, the availability of natural resources such as freshwater and productive 57 arable land is becoming increasingly F constrained. The performance of Nigeria's Agribusiness is tied to macro-58 development issues, for example; the average maize productivity in Nigeria is 2 tons per Hectare across the 59 60 country which is well below the average observed in other countries with similar climate patterns, the yield 61 deficit is calculated to be as low as -80% of the potential yield (Global Yield Gap Atlas [GYGA], 2018). Another 62 macro-economic performance challenge is the structure and behaviour both regionally and nationally, of land 63 fragmentation by members of large families which increases transaction costs and limits mechanization. The weak Agricultural support services for farmland aggregation limits large plantations that should have cost benefits of 64 economies of scale ?? Popp, Olah, Kiss & Lakner, 2019). Also, limited infrastructure, low access to credit, poor 65 access to fertilizers and very low knowledge on how to fight food insecurity has affected affordable nutritious food 66 supply to the population (FAO, 2018). 67

The diagnosis from research points to smart Agri-preneurship as the potential to become an engine of 68 inclusive growth through private and public investments at different scales that increase food security output 69 and creates a network of poverty reduction across the population (Thornton, Aggarwal & Parsons, 2018). 70 Despite a large number of studies (Khatri-Chhetri, Aggarwal, Joshi & Vyas, 2017; Cochrane, Cundill, Ludi, 71 New, Nicholls, Wester, Cantin, Murali, Leone, Kituyi & Landry, 2017; Eme, Onyishi, Uche, & Uche, 2014) on 72 73 smart Agri-preneurship and food accessibility, there remain a lacuna yet to be filled. The studies of Sakyi (2012) 74 and Wekesa, Ayuya and Lagat (2018) recommended that further studies investigate the relationship between smart Agripreneurship (greenhouse farming, hydroponics, geomapping, drone Agriculture, soil analysis, nutrient 75 cycling) and food accessibility among Agribusinesses in developing countries (AGRA, 2018) like Nigeria. The 76 food accessibility challenge in the country has been attributed to the economic and physical limited access of a 77 vast majority of the population to nutritious food (Metu, Okeyika, & Maduka, 2016). 78

Although Nigeria prides itself as the largest economy in Africa, it has been affirmed by the world poverty clock 79 report, as the country with the largest extreme poverty population as at June 2018, with an estimate of 86.9 million 80 out of a 170million people (Kazeem, 2018) which is more than 50% of the population. Also, further outcries from 81 Gates (2019) have advocated that the Federal Government swings to action based on the Goalkeepers Report, 82 as the country is predicted to have over 152m people in extreme poverty out of a projected population of 429m 83 people by 2050. Also based on the assessment of 109 countries by Global Food security output Index [GFSI] 84 (2015), with an index score of 37.1, Nigeria was 91st position based on indices of food availability, affordability, 85 accessibility, and safety. This further explains that the average Nigerian may be too poor to economically access 86 foods grown within the country due to low purchasing power. This limited food accessibility is further aggravated 87 by poor infrastructural conditions for the distribution of food, such as transportation (air, road, and rail) and 88 environmental degradation arising from flooding (Metu, Okevika, & Maduka, 2016) which have affected food 89 security output. Therefore, this study aims to examine the effect of smart Agri-preneurship dimensions on 90 food accessibility anchored on the Lewis theory. The Lewis theory focused on how the traditional farmer can 91 employ innovation and become modern farmer which enhance farmer creativity, creation of wealth and increase 92 in productivityII. 93

Literature Review -Smart Agri-Preneurship FAO (2018) defined Smart Agri-preneurship as an Agricultural 94 activity that: Sustainably and efficiently increases productivity and income (adaptation), reduces or removes 95 Greenhouse Gases (mitigation) and enhances the achievement of national food security output and development 96 goals. This concept was generally meant to strike a balance between food production and environmental stability 97 without compromising any of the two. Smart Agri-preneurship entails biotechnology and applies its technique 98 in nutrient cycling, greenhouse farming, geo-mapping, soil analysis, and hydroponics by using living organisms 99 or substances from these organisms to make or modify a product for a practical purpose (Abah, Ishaq & Wada, 100 2010; Fasiha, Kaleem, Aleem & Shujjah, 2017). These improved plants or animals or develop microorganisms 101 for specific uses, become an edge or unique selling point to prolong farm produce shelf life and improved yield, 102 besides the traditional genetic breeding techniques (Fasiha, Kaleem, Aleem & Shujjah, 2017). 103

Agribusiness and biotechnology cut across several fields, and smart Agri-preneurship seems to be in deer need in proffering a wide range of innovations in solving many problems that have tackled Agriculture before the advent of the modern-day Agri-preneur. More so, it is even more crucial in African countries characterized

by poor research and poor farmers, whose sole livelihood depends on Agriculture (Fasiha et al., 2017). Smart 107 Agri-preneurship is more like a blue ocean strategy which is the simultaneous pursuit of differentiation and low 108 cost to open up a new market space and create new demand. It is about creating and capturing uncontested 109 market space, thereby making the competition irrelevant. It, therefore, is seen as one of the unique ways of 110 creating an atmosphere for The discipline of smart Agri-preneurship combines elements from many disciplines, 111 such as genetics, microbiology, accounting, business administration, marketing, engineering, Agriculture and 112 environmental science (David, 2016). Modern Agribusiness includes a range of tools that Agripreneurs employ to 113 understand and manipulate the healthy high farm yields for use in the production or processing of agricultural 114 products in the value chain. Smart Agri-preneurship is being used to address problems in all areas of Agricultural 115 production and processing (Fasiha et al., 2017). This includes plant breeding to raise and stabilize yields, improve 116 resistance to pests, diseases and abiotic stresses such as drought and cold and to enhance the nutritional content 117 of foods. Smart Agri-preneurs now use technology to speed up breeding programs for plants, livestock, and fish. 118 Due to wash away of nutrients by erosions, most lands of the earth are becoming unbearable but some crops 119 have been hereditarily altered by smart entrepreneurs to make them more liberal of conditions like salinity, cold 120 and drought (Gaffney, Challender, Califf & Harden, 2019). Some progress toward increased food security output 121 has been made, as insect-resistant, drought resistant and herbicide-tolerant varieties are reducing the risk of crop 122 123 losses. One of the developments in the identification of a plant gene from Arabidopsis thaliana (tiny weed) shows 124 tolerance to salt, drought and the heat and cold in plants. When this gene was inserted into tomato cells, these 125 cells withstood these conditions far better than ordinary cells (Kropff, Pilgrim & Neate, 2019).

¹²⁶ 2 a) Food Accessibility

Food accessibility is defined as when individuals have adequate income or other resources to purchase or barter to 127 128 obtain levels of appropriate foods needed to maintain the consumption of adequate diet or nutrition. The World 129 Food Summit defines Access as having physical, economic and social contact. Accessibility is still not commonly accepted as an essential part of food security output. The ability to access food rests on two pillars, economic 130 and physical access (FAO, 2012). Food accessibility and availability are strongly linked; food availability is 131 fundamentally dependent on food production, but this can be local or distant. If distant, local food availability 132 also depends on trade systems, packaging, transport and storage (Ingram, 2011). A key factor determining access 133 to food is its affordability (Ingram, 2011). Food affordability and accessibility are dependent not only on food 134 costs but also on the disposable income that can be spent (FAO, IFAD & WFP, 2013; Ingram, 2011). Access to 135 food is primarily determined by the incomes, food prices and the ability of households and individuals to obtain 136 access to social support. Individuals' access to food is also heavily influenced by social variables, including gender 137 positioning and power hierarchies within households . 138

The establishment of human communities always depended on access to food. Food accessibility refers to 139 people's ability to obtain the food they desire. Food accessibility can be described by three elements: affordability, 140 allocation, and preference. The three elements of food utilization are nutritional value, social value, and food 141 safety (Baffes, Kshirsagar & Mitchell, 2019). The ability to access food rests on economic and physical access 142 (Timmer, 2012). Economic and physical access to food is an important component of food and nutrition security. 143 Food accessibility and food availability are strongly linked. Food availability is fundamentally dependent on food 144 production, but this can be local or distant. If distant, local food availability also depends on trade systems, 145 packaging, transport, and storage. This adds to the cost for the consumer unless the cost of production at the 146 distance is so much less than locally to off-set these additional costs (FAO, 2012). 147

According to Edrish and Neema (2019), poor access to reasonably priced, nutritious and good quality food 148 may lead to poor diet with low consumption of fruits and vegetables and high consumption of energydense, 149 nutritionally inferior food. Clark, Rouse, Sehgal, Bailey, Bell, Pike, Sharpe and Freedman (2019) stated that 150 Low-income communities often have less physical access to food they desire due to the high cost of transportation 151 and bad road infrastructure. Low accessibility of healthy food in some geographic location and demographic 152 groups, increases the risk of health problems such as obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases and this has 153 increasingly become a severe public health concern (Wiki, Kingham & Campbell, 2019). People with better 154 access to providers of healthy (high-quality, fresh, low-fat and nutritious) foods; however, persons who can access 155 affordable food tend to have healthier diets and lower levels of obesity with less growing health concern due to 156 smart Agri-preneurs meeting their demands. Lack of food access or adequate nutrients weakens the immune 157 system which reduces the life span in developing countries (Wright, Gupta & Yoshihara, 2018). 158

¹⁵⁹ **3** b) Smart Agri-Preneurship and Food Accessibility

The eradication of hunger is one of the topmost priorities in the Sustainable Development Goals especially in developing economies. Branca, McCarthy, Lipper, and Jolejole (2011) and Suberi, Tiwari, Gurung, Bajracharya, and Sitaula (2018) found that smart Agripreneurship positively attempts to use scientific research and technology to improve the Agribusiness space and farmland management, thus increasing food (2012) showed that soil analysis and climate change management through modern Agriculture technology to manage soil erosions and deforestation have significantly improved Agribusiness farmland management and food accessibility. Wekesa, Ayuya, and Lagat (2018) found that drone Agriculture, nutrient cycling, GeoMapping, and soil analysis have 167 significantly increased food accessibility. Kropff, Pilgrim, and Neate (2019) opined that greenhouse farming with 168 variable shading for the optimization of Agricultural and energy production are introducing new thinking towards 169 addressing food insecurity and food accessibility.

Ponisio and Ehrlich (2018) showed that smart Agri-preneurship measures significantly increase food accessi-170 bility. Similarly, Obiero (2013), Ponisio and Ehrlich (2018) and Rogers, Lassiter, and Easton (2014) revealed 171 that there is a positive and significant relationship between green-house farming, Hydroponics, geo-mapping 172 and food accessibility as farms need not be too far anymore. This shows that sufficient investments in the 173 Agribusiness sector would give better yields and enhanced productivity. Pandey, Tripathi, and Shankar (2018) 174 and Oyakhilomen and Zibah (2014) showed that there are positive and significant effects and the relationship 175 between smart Agri-preneurship measures food accessibility. On the contrary, However, Cai and Leung (2006) 176 and Dauphin, Lubroth, and Jobre (2016) showed that geo-mapping and drone Agriculture analysis does not 177 significantly increase food accessibility. Also, Kira and Sumari (2019) revealed that a geospatial approach 178 insignificantly affects food accessibility. 179

180 **4 III.**

$_{181}$ 5 Methodology

This study adopted a cross-sectional survey research design. This research design is appropriate because it enables the researcher to collect data that will represent the perception and view of people across a large geographical area, which in this case are the selected registered Agribusinesses across South-west, Nigeria. The adoption of this design is consistent with the studies of (). The unit of analysis of the sample for the study was the Agri-preneurs who own or manage the Agricultural firms. The justification for this unit of analysis is based on the fact that; (1) the smart Agri-preneur is at the top of the leadership team responsible for vision, innovation and effective communication of the ideas.

A total population of six hundred and thirty-two (632) Agri-preneurs within the South-Western states in 189 Nigeria was further filtered to reflect only duly registered with the Ministry of Agriculture of the respective 190 states that have kept proper records of their farm production output. Based on these event exclusion criteria, the 191 192 population was further filtered to arrive at a finite population of the size of five hundred and fifty-eight (558) and 193 also adopted as the sample size of the study using the Cochran (1997) Gordon, 2004 and Pettersen, 2014) along 194 the constructs with sections capturing demographic information, Smart Agripreneurship dimensions (greenhouse farming, hydroponics, geo-mapping, drone Agriculture, nutrient cycling, and soil analysis) and farm productivity 195 which was measured as a whole using a Likert scale ranging from very high (6) to very low (1). 196

Pilot testing was carried out to test the content of the research instrument and validation and reliability were 197 confirmed through Kaiser-Meyer Olkin (KMO) > 0.6, Bartlett's test < 0.05, Composite reliability > 0.7 and 198 Average Variance Extracted > 0.5 and scores from Cronbach's Alpha Coefficients > 0.7 respectively. The pilot 199 study was undertaken in selected farms within the North central area of Nigeria, covering Kwara State and 200 Benue State, largely because these Agriculture firms were outside the study area. Afterwards, primary data for 201 the study which was retrieved by well-trained research assistants from the field was treated to conform to the 202 assumptions of regression as well as minimize errors in the data collected and provide for better results. The 203 researchers developed a structured model for the study using the main constructs, and the data were analyzed 204 205 using multiple regression analysis. Where: ?0 = the constant term which defines the food security output without inclusion of independent variables. ?1 - ?7 = the coefficients for the individual influence of the respective smart 206 Agri-preneurship variables on the food security output dimensions. ?i = Error term 207

²⁰⁸ 6 a) Results and Discussion of Findings

To test a hypothesis (There is no significant effect of smart Agri-preneurship dimensions on food accessibility in South-West, Nigeria), multiple linear regression analysis was used. The independent variable of the study was smart Agri-preneurship dimensions while the dependent variable was food accessibility. Data from five hundred and fifty-eight (558) respondents were gathered and analyzed using SPSS version 23 software. The results of the multiple linear regression analysis are shown in Table1.

Table ?? shows the result of the analysis on smart Agri-preneurship dimensions (green house farming, hydro phonics, geo-mapping, drone Agriculture, nutrient cycling and soil analysis) on food accessibility. From table 1, the result of the analysis revealed that green-house farming (? = 0.197, t = 4.386, p<0.05), hydro phonics (? = 0.134, t = 3.019, p<0.05), geo-mapping (? = 0.106, t = 2.965, p<0.05), drone Agriculture (? = 0.050, t = 2.922, p<0.05), nutrient cycling (? = 0.198, t = 5.372, p<0.05) and soil analysis (? = 0.256, t = 6.846, p<0.05) have positive and significant effect on food accessibility in South-West, Nigeria. This finding indicated all dimensions of smart Agri-preneurship are significant in improving food accessibility in South-West, Nigeria.

Furthermore, the result of the multiple regression analysis showed the model summary (R2 and adjusted R2) of the effect of smart Agri-preneurship on food accessibility in South-West, Nigeria. The coefficient of determination (R2) value in the analysis is 0.646 which indicates that smart Agri-preneurship dimensions have a moderate positive and significant effect on food accessibility in South-West, Nigeria. The coefficient of multiple determination, adjusted R2 is 0.642 (F(6, 551) = 167.442, p=0.000) revealed that smart Agri-preneurship explained 64.2% of the changes in food accessibility in South-West, Nigeria while the remaining 35.8% could

be attributed to other factors not included in this model. Also, the F-statistics (df = 5, 551) = 167.442227 at p = 0.000 (p<0.05) indicates that the overall model is significant in predicting the effect of smart Agri-228 preneurship dimensions on food accessibility. This means that smart Agri-preneurship has a significant effect on 229 food accessibility in South-West, Nigeria. The multiple regression model is expressed as thus: FAC = 0.238 + 0.238230 0.197GHF + 0.134HP + 0.106GM + 0.050DA + 0.198NC + 0.256SA ?? eq. iv Where: FAC = Food Accessibility; 231 GHF = Green House Farming; HP = Hydroponics; GM = Geo-Mapping; NC = Nutrient Cycling; SA = Soil 232 Analysis The regression model presented above revealed that when smart Agri-preneurship dimensions are at 233 constant zero, food accessibility would be 0.238. This informs that without smart Agri-preneurship dimensions, 234 food accessibility would be at a positive value of 0.238. Furthermore, the regression model explains further that 235 when green-house farming, hydrophonics, geo-mapping, drone Agriculture, nutrient cycling, and soil analysis 236 are improved by one unit, food accessibility would also increase by 0.121, 0.190, 0.161, 0.200 and 0.248 units 237 respectively. This implies that an increase in smart Agri-preneurship dimensions (greenhouse farming, hydro-238 phonics, geo-mapping, nutrient cycling, and soil analysis) would lead to a subsequent increase in food accessibility 239 in South-West, Nigeria. The result of the multiple regression analysis revealed that smart Agri-preneurship is 240 very important in the realization of food accessibility in South-West, Nigeria. In light of the foregoing, the null 241 hypothesis (H01) which states that there is no significant effect of smart Agri-preneurship dimensions on food 242 243 accessibility in South-West, Nigeria was therefore rejected.

244 The findings of this study with the findings of Shoji, KerobimLakra, Kushwaha, Meena, and Pravin (2014) 245 and Rogers, Lassiter, and Easton (2014) revealed that there is a positive effect between greenhouse farming and gas emission that helps the climatic environment and Agribusiness space and thus increase holistically farm 246 productivity and food accessibility. Sharon, Choudhary, and Kumar (2010) empirically emphasized that the 247 application of smart Agri-preneurship significantly improves overall farm productivity and soil fertility which in 248 turn increases farm product accessibility. ??liopoulos empirically showed evidence that Agribusinesses who failed 249 to adopt smart Agri-preneurship practices would be more severely negatively affected by weather changes than 250 those adapting smart Agri-preneurship. 251

Yi-Hsuan, Ssu-Pei, and Ting-I (2019) examined the application of organic hydroponics on homegrown urban 252 Agriculture in Taiwan. The study showed that for the inorganic nutrient solution, the farm yields of treatment 253 with aeration are higher than those without aeration. On the contrary, for the organic nutrient solution, the farm 254 yields from the treatment without aeration were higher than those with aeration. This confirms that nitrification 255 is necessary for an organic hydroponic system which in turn significantly increases its farm product accessibility 256 257 and output. Zaccardelli, Pane, Villecco, Palese, and Celano (2018) examined the environmental impacts of urban hydroponics in Europe. The results of the study show that the hydroponic farm performs better than cultivations 258 in heated greenhouses, and similarly to conventional open-field farms. Nyambayo (2015) and Sharma, Acharya, 259 Kumar, Singh, and Chaurasia (2018) studied how hydroponics as an advanced smart Agri-preneurship technique 260 for vegetable production profiting Agribusiness. The study revealed that for the successful implementation of a 261 commercial hydroponic technology, it is important to develop low-cost techniques that are easy to operate and 262 maintain; require less labour, lower overall setup and operational cost and significantly increase food availability 263 and accessibility. 264

265 IV.

266 7 Conclusion

In this study, the researcher presented the concept of smart Agri-preneurship and food accessibility. The outcomes revealed that smart Agripreneurship dimensions provided constructive and significant effects on food accessibility. Conversely, an examination of the smart Agri-preneurship dimensions revealed that most dimensions were critical due to the use of advanced smart technologies. The outcome of the study established the apriori expectation of the study. The study hence concludes that undeniably smart Agri-preneurship dimensions are imperious for the exponential development in food accessibility, which in turn improves pricing as well as the fresh delivery condition of food to the average person in South-West, Nigeria.

Physical and economic access to Agricultural produce is positively influenced by smart Agripreneurship 274 constituents as deduced from this research but critical attention to the Icarus paradox should be observed. When 275 an Agribusiness opportunity is huge and Agri-preneurs invest in expensive sophisticated specialized equipment in 276 Nigeria for increased food quality, a period of apparent success may be enjoyed as upper strata of the population is 277 serviced but by the very elements that led to their initial success may fail due to political instability, inconsistent 278 policy, galloping inflation, change of taste or lack of economic access. The research recommends smart Agri-279 preneurship adaption to address food insecurity and most especially food accessibility. Also, other smart Agri-280 preneurial pointers not considered in this study could be examined to confirm their influence on food accessibility, 281 preferably within the South-South part of Nigeria, where oil pollution has affected farmland.¹ 282

 $^{^{1}}$ © 2021 Global Journals

7 CONCLUSION

- 283 [Izurieta et al. ()], A Izurieta, P Kohler, J Pizarro. 2018.
- [Todaro ()] A model of labor migration and urban unemployment in less developed countries. The American
 economic review, M P Todaro . 1969. 59 p. .
- [Cochrane et al. ()] 'A reflection on collaborative adaptation research in Africa and Asia'. L Cochrane, G Cundill
 , E Ludi , M New , R J Nicholls , P Wester , B Cantin , K S Murali , M Leone , E Kituyi , M E Landry . *Reg Environ Chang* 2017. 17 (5) p. .
- [Admane ()] 'A review on the plant without soil-hydroponics'. S V Admane . International Journal of Research
 in Engineering and Technology 2013. 2 (03) p. .
- [Wiki et al. ()] 'Accessibility to food retailers and socio-economic deprivation in urban New Zealand'. J Wiki , S
 Kingham , M Campbell . New Zealand Geographer 2019. 75 (1) p. .
- 293 [Shoji et al. ()] 'Agri-preneurship development as a tool to upliftment of Agriculture'. L B Shoji , S Kerobimlakra
- , Kushwaha , L K Meena , K Pravin . International Journal of Science and Research Publications 2014. 4 (3) p. .
- [Oyakhilomen and Zibah ()] 'Agricultural production and economic growth in Nigeria: Implication for rural
 poverty alleviation'. O Oyakhilomen , R G Zibah . *Quarterly Journal of International Agriculture* 2014. 53 p.
 .
- [Amone ()] Agricultural productivity and economic development in Uganda: An inclusive growth analysis, W
 Amone . 2017. Mbarara University of Science and Technology (Unpublished Doctoral thesis)
- [Steven and Anne ()] An exploration of Agri-preneurship scopes, actors and prospects, C Steven, R Anne. 2016.
 p. .
- [Kira and Sumari ()] Analysis of geospatial data of Morogoro urban: Lessons for educationists and researchers,
 E S Kira, N S Sumari. http://www.suaire.suanet.ac.tz:8080/xmlui/handle/123456789/2810
 2019.
- [Saidu et al. ()] 'Application of ICT in Agriculture: Opportunities and challenges in developing countries'. A
 Saidu , A M Clarkson , S H Adamu , M Mohammed , I Jibo . International Journal of Computer Science
- and Mathematical Theory ISSN 2017. p. .
- ³⁰⁹ [Fasiha et al. ()] 'Applications of biotechnology in Agriculturereview article'. F K Fasiha , A Kaleem , A Aleem
 ³¹⁰ , H Shujjah . World Journal of Biology and Biotechnology 2017. 1 p. .
- [Peuralahti ()] 'Binding rules or voluntary actions? A conceptual framework for CSR in shipping'. J Peuralahti
 . WMU Journal of Maritime Affairs 2014. 13 (2) p. .
- [Gaffney et al. ()] 'Building bridges between Agribusiness innovation and smallholder farmers: A review'. J
 Gaffney , M Challender , K Califf , K Harden . *Global Food Security* 2019. 20 p. 6065.
- [Ogundari ()] 'Categorizing households into different food security states in Nigeria: The socioeconomic and
 demographic determinants'. K Ogundari . Agric Food Econ 2017. 5.
- [Eme et al. ()] 'Challenges of food security in Nigeria: Options before government'. O I Eme , T Onyishi , O A
 Uche , I B Uche . Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review 2014. 2361. Oman Chapter. 34 p. .
- ³¹⁹ [Harrell ()] 'Characterizing the rural opioid use environment in Kentucky using google earth: Virtual audit'. C ³²⁰ Harrell . Journal of Medical Internet Research 2014. 21 (10) p. .
- 321 [Fao ()] Climate-smart Agriculture, Fao . 2013. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Branca et al. ()] Climate-smart Agriculture: a synthesis of empirical evidence of food security and mitigation
 benefits from improved cropland management. Mitigation of Climate Change in Agriculture Series, G Branca
 N Mc Carthy, L Lipper, M C Jolejole. 2011. 3 p. .
- [Sakyi ()] Determinants of food accessibility of rural households in the Limpopo province: South Africa.
 Unpublished MSc dissertation, P Sakyi . 2012. Gent. Gent University
- Ponisio and Ehrlich ()] 'Diversification, yield and a new Agricultural revolution: Problems and prospects'. L C
 Ponisio , P R Ehrlich . Sustainability 2018. 8 (11) p. 1118.
- Walker et al. ()] 'Do residents of food deserts express different food buying preferences compared to residents
 of food oases? A mixed-methods analysis'. R E Walker , J Block , I Kawachi . International Journal of
 Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity 2012. 9 (1) p. 41.
- [Thornton et al. ()] 'Editorial: Prioritizing climate smart Agricultural interventions at different scales'. P
 Thornton , P Aggarwal , D Parsons . Agricultural Systems 2018. 151 p. .
- Wekesa et al. ()] 'Effect of climate-smart Agricultural practices on household food security in smallholder
 production systems: Micro-level evidence from Kenya'. B M Wekesa, O I Ayuya, J K Lagat. Agriculture
 and Food Security 2018. 7 (1) p. 86.
- 337 [Romeo et al. ()] 'Environmental impacts of urban hydroponics in Europe: a case study in Lyon'. D Romeo, E
- $_{\tt 338}$ B Vea , M Thomsen . Proceedia CIRP 2018. 69 p. .

7 CONCLUSION

[Al-Houti ()] Evaluation of the effectiveness of Supplemental lights vs No supplemental lights on hydroponically
 grown lettuce (Doctoral dissertation), F Al-Houti . 2017. Colorado State University Libraries

341 [Gates ()] Examining inequality. Goalkeepers Report from Bills and Melinda Gates foundation survey, 342 B Gates . https://www.gatesfoundation.org/goalkeepers/report/2019-report?download=

- [Mezzera ()] Excess labor supply and the urban informal sector: An analytical framework, J Mezzera . 1989.
 Connecticut Kumarian Press.
- [Kibiti and Gitonga ()] 'Factors influencing adoption of urban hydroponic farming: A case of Meru town'. J G
 Kibiti , A K Gitonga . International Academic Journal of Information Sciences and Project Management
 2017. 2 (1) p. .
- [Khatri-Chhetri et al. ()] 'Farmers' prioritization of climatesmart Agriculture (CSA) technologies'. A Khatri Chhetri , P K Aggarwal , P K Joshi , S Vyas . Agricultural systems 2017. 151 p. .
- [Fao ()] 'Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations'. Fao . Food waste harms climate, water, land,
 and biodiversity -New FAO Report, . Fao, Ifad & Wfp (ed.) 2018. 2013. (Climate-smart Agriculture)
- ³⁵³ [Clark et al. ()] 'Food hub to address healthy food access gaps: Residents' preferences'. J K Clark, C Rouse,
 ³⁵⁴ A R Sehgal, M Bailey, B A Bell, S N Pike, P A Sharpe, D A Freedman. Journal of Agriculture, Food
 ³⁵⁵ Systems, and Community Development 2019. 9 (1) p. .
- [Popp et al. ()] 'Food security perspectives in sub-Saharan Africa'. J Popp , J Oláh , A Kiss , Z Lakner . The
 Amfiteatru Economic Journal 2019. 21 (51) p. .
- [Blekking et al. ()] 'Formal/informal employment and urban food security in Sub-Saharan Africa'. J Blekking ,
 K Waldman , C Tuholske , T Evans . Applied Geography 2020. 114 p. 102131.
- Ingram ()] From food production to food security: Developing interdisciplinary, regional-level research, J S
 Ingram . 2011. Wageningen University. (PhD Thesis)
- ³⁶² [Fao ()] *Greening the economy with climatesmart Agriculture*, Fao . 2012. Food and Agriculture Organization of ³⁶³ the United Nations.
- [Cai and Leung ()] 'Growth and stability of Agricultural production in Hawaii: A portfolio analysis'. J Cai , P
 S Leung . *Economics Issues* 2006. p. 11. EI-9 series of the University of Hawaii
- [Lambie-Mumford et al. ()] Household food security in the UK: A review of food aid. Department for the
 Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra), H Lambie-Mumford, D Crossley, E Jensen, M Verbeke
 , E Dowler. 2014.
- [Kuforiji et al. ()] 'Human factor dimensions and workplace climate of food and beverage firms in Lagos State,
 Nigeria: An empirical paper'. A A Kuforiji , A J Egwakhe , O A Binuyo . International Journal of Business
 and Social Science 2019. 10 (4) p. .
- Sharma et al. ()] 'Hydroponics as an advanced technique for vegetable production: An overview'. N Sharma, S
 Acharya, K Kumar, N Singh, O P Chaurasia. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation 2018. 17 (4) p.
- [Tammo et al. ()] 'Integrated crop livestock simulation models for scenario analysis and impact assessment'. P
 Tammo , F S Ellen , A Gersom , M Eunice . Agricultural Systems 2017. 70 p. .
- [Mcpherson et al. ()] 'Isolation and analysis of microbial communities in soil, rhizospere, and roots in perennial
 grass experiment'. M R Mcpherson, P Wang, E L Marsh, R B Mitchell, D P Schachtman. Journal of
 Visualized Experiment 2018. 137 p. .
- [Metu et al. ()] A G Metu , K O Okeyika , O D Maduka . Achieving sustainable food security in Nigeria:
 Challenges and way forward Conference paper presented at the 3 rd International Conference on African
 Development Issues, 2016. 2016.
- Rogers et al. ()] Mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions in Agriculture, M Rogers, E Lassiter, Z M Easton. https://www.usda.gov/energy/maps/resources/bro-chure/file/renewable energy
- brochure.pdf 2014.
- [Sharon et al. ()] 'Nanotechnology in Agricultural diseases and food safety'. M Sharon , A K Choudhary , R
 Kumar . Journal of Phytology 2010. 2 (4) p. .
- [Kazeem (2018)] Nigeria has become the poverty capital of the world. Quartz Africa, Y Kazeem . fromhttps:
 //www.qz.com 2018. June 25.
- [El Ghoumari et al. ()] 'Non-linear constrained MPC: Real-time implementation of greenhouse air temperature control'. M Y El Ghoumari , H J Tantau , J Serrano . Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 2005. 49 (3)
 p. .
- ³⁹² [Pandey et al. ()] 'Nutrient cycling in an excessively rainfed subtropical grassland at Cherrapunji'. H N Pandey
- , R S Tripathi , U Shankar . Journal of biosciences 1993. 18 (3) p. .

³⁴³ false 2019.
344 [Mozzoro ()] Excess labor symply and the urban informal sector: An analytical framework, I Mozzoro ()

- [Kropff et al. ()] Overcoming challenges to digital Agribusiness startups in ACP countries, W Kropff, V Pilgrim
 , P Neate . 2019. Wageningen: CTA Publishers.
- ³⁹⁶ [Suberi et al. ()] 'People's perception of climate change impacts and their adaptation practices in Khotokha
- valley'. B Suberi, K Tiwari, D Gurung, R Bajracharya, B Sitaula . Wangdue Bhutan. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge 2018. 17 (1) p. .
- [Gordon ()] 'Phytoextraction of cadmium and zinc from a contaminated soil'. R Gordon . Journal of Environ mental Quality 2004. 26 (5) p. .
- [Hafsal ()] Precision Agriculture with unmanned aerial vehicles for SMC estimations: Towards a more sustainable
 Agriculture, L P Hafsal . 2016. (Master's thesis)
- [Dauphin et al. ()] 'Predominance and geo-mapping of avian influenza H5N1 in poultry sectors in Egypt'. G
 Dauphin , J Lubroth , Y M Jobre . *Geospatial Health* 2016. 11 p. .
- 405 [Timmer ()] 'Reflections on food crises past'. P C Timmer . Food Policy 2012. 35 p. .
- [Singh et al. ()] 'Role of ICT in Agriculture: Policy implications'. S Singh , S Ahlawat , S Sanwal . Oriental
 Journal of Computer Science and Technology 2017. 10 (3) p. .
- 408 [Obiero ()] 'Social economic factors affecting farm yield in Siaya District'. E O Obiero . Siaya County 2013.
 409 (Doctoral dissertation)
- [Pettersen ()] Soil phosphorus pools and their relation to land-use and soil physiochemical properties-A case study
 of an Agricultural watershed in north-eastern China, E Pettersen . 2014. (Master's thesis)
- [Suryabhagavan et al. ()] 'Soil salinity modelling and mapping using remote sensing and GIS: The case of Wonji
 sugar cane irrigation farm'. K V Suryabhagavan , E Asfaw , M Argaw . *Ethiopia. Journal of the Saudi of*
- 414 Agricultural Society 2016. 17 p. .

415 [Edrish and Neema ()] Super shop food accessibility analysis in Dhaka city through the travel characteristics using

- *network analyst tool in Arc GIS in and practice (iCERP2019) 4th*, M B Edrish , M N Neema . 2019. GCSTMR
 World Congress.
- [Abiodun et al. ()] 'The alarming rate of child poverty in Northern Nigeria: Implications for national security'.
 T F Abiodun , O Onafowora , I Ayo-Adeyekun . American Research Journal of Humanities Social Science
- 419 (ARJHSS) 2019. 2 (1) p. .
- ⁴²¹ [Cochran et al. ()] 'The discovery of a planetary companion to 16 Cygni B'. W D Cochran , A P Hatzes , R P
 ⁴²² Butler , G W Marcy . *The Astrophysical Journal* 1997. 483 (1) p. 457.
- [Van Der Linden ()] 'The International Labor Organization'. M Van Der Linden . An Appraisal. Labor 2019. 16
 (2) p. .
- [Lowder et al. ()] 'The number, size, and distribution of farms, smallholder farms, and family farms worldwide'.
 S K Lowder , J Skoet , T Raney . World Development 2016. 87 p. .
- ⁴²⁷ [Bondemark ()] 'The relationship between accessibility and price-The case of Swedish food stores'. A Bondemark
 428 . Journal of Transport Geography 2020. 82 p. 102615.
- 429 [Abah et al. ()] 'The role of biotechnology in ensuring food security and sustainable Agriculture'. J Abah , M N
- 430 Ishaq, A C Wada. African Journal of Biotechnology 2010. 9 (52) p. .
- [The World Bank, Global Poverty Working Group ()] The World Bank, Global Poverty Working Group, http:
 //povertydata.worldbank.org/poverty/home/ 2017. (Poverty and equity database)
- 433 [Financialization] Through the looking glass or through the realities of income distribution and government
 434 policy?, Trade Financialization. Tufts University Medford MA, USA (Unpublished thesis. Retrieved
 435 fromhttp://ase.tufts.edu/gdae)
- ⁴³⁶ [Desa ()] 'United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs'. U Desa . Population Division. World
 ⁴³⁷ Population Prospects 2019. 2019. Highlights.
- [Al-Arab et al. (2013)] 'Use of high-resolution multispectral imagery from an unmanned aerial vehicle in precision
 Agriculture'. M Al-Arab , A Torres-Rua , A Ticlavilca , A Jensen , M Mckee . 2013 IEEE International
 Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium-IGARSS, 2013. July. IEEE. p. .
- [Eliopoulos and Potamitis ()] 'Use of unmanned aerial vehicles for Agricultural applications with emphasis on
 crop protection: Three novel case studies'. P Eliopoulos , I Potamitis . Int. J. Agric. Sci. Technol 2017. 5 p. .
- [Water for food Global Yield Gap Atlas (GYGA) ()] 'Water for food'. Global Yield Gap Atlas (GYGA), 2018.
 Wageningen University and University of Nebraska (Retrieved from)
- [Nyambayo ()] 'Wetting and drying: Reducing greenhouse gas emissions and saving water from rice production'.
 F Nyambayo . Working Paper World Resource Institute 2015. p. .
- ⁴⁴⁷ [Baffes et al. ()] 'What drives local food prices? Evidence from the Tanzanian maize market'. J Baffes , V
 ⁴⁴⁸ Kshirsagar , D Mitchell . *The World Bank Economic Review* 2019. 2 (6) p. .
- [David ()] 'What is Agribusiness, a visual description'. V N David . Amity Journal of Agribusiness 2016. 1 (1)
 p. .
- 451 [Wright et al. ()] L Wright, P Gupta, K Yoshihara. Accessibility and Affordability of Healthy Foods in, 2018.