



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS RESEARCH: D
ACCOUNTING AND AUDITING
Volume 20 Issue 2 Version 1.0 Year 2020
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals
Online ISSN: 2249-4588 & Print ISSN: 0975-5853

Analyzing the Impact of Advertising and Sales Promotion Costs on Sales Revenues and Profits of DSE Listed Ceramic Companies in Bangladesh

By Avik Ranjan Bhowmik, Md. Kamal Hossain, Vongdeuan Vangpadith
& Nadia Chowdury

Islamic University

Abstract- The paper aims to analyze the effect of advertising and sales promotion costs on the sales revenues and profits of the ceramic companies listed with DSE in Bangladesh. To attain the objectives of the study, we collected the data from the annual reports of the companies. We organized a panel data of eleven (11) years for the sample companies. This study analyzes the data using the fixed-effect and random effect model of regression analysis. We find that the sales promotion cost is influential in improving the sales revenues, net profit, and the return on investment. Whereas, the advertising cost has a significant negative influence on the net profit, return on investment, and return on equity of the companies. Thus, we suggest reducing the advertising cost to minimize the expenses and improve the earnings of the firms, side by side. We also recommend paying more attention to sales promotion costs to increase the profitability of the companies.

Keywords: advertising cost, sales promotion cost, net profit, return on investment, and return on equity.

GJMBR-D Classification: JEL Code: M49



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



RESEARCH | DIVERSITY | ETHICS

© 2020. Avik Ranjan Bhowmik, Md. Kamal Hossain, Vongdeuan Vangpadith & Nadia Chowdury. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>, permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Analyzing the Impact of Advertising and Sales Promotion Costs on Sales Revenues and Profits of DSE Listed Ceramic Companies in Bangladesh

Avik Ranjan Bhowmik ^α, Md. Kamal Hossain ^σ, Vongdeuan Vangpadith ^ρ & Nadia Chowdury ^ω

Abstract- The paper aims to analyze the effect of advertising and sales promotion costs on the sales revenues and profits of the ceramic companies listed with DSE in Bangladesh. To attain the objectives of the study, we collected the data from the annual reports of the companies. We organized a panel data of eleven (11) years for the sample companies. This study analyzes the data using the fixed-effect and random-effect model of regression analysis. We find that the sales promotion cost is influential in improving the sales revenues, net profit, and the return on investment. Whereas, the advertising cost has a significant negative influence on the net profit, return on investment, and return on equity of the companies. Thus, we suggest reducing the advertising cost to minimize the expenses and improve the earnings of the firms, side by side. We also recommend paying more attention to sales promotion costs to increase the profitability of the companies.

Keywords: advertising cost, sales promotion cost, net profit, return on investment, and return on equity.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Ceramic-sector industry is one of the growing sectors over the past decades in Bangladesh. With the increased demand for ceramic items both in local and foreign markets, the ceramic companies are putting the highest concentration to produce the quality products, as well as the services for the customers in Bangladesh. After the inception of the journey of this sector in 1958, it experienced snail progress in the initial stage. But nowadays, the ceramic industry is considered a promising industry to earn domestic income as well as foreign income through the export of quality ceramic products. At the beginning stage, the Tajma Ceramic Industry started as the first ceramic firm in Bangladesh in 1958 with a limited amount of capital investment, with the time some other private sector companies came forward and began to invest in this

sector (BCMEA 2018). In the present day, many public and private limited companies are engaging in this sector. As with the advancement of this sector, the competition is becoming faster, and companies are paying attention to increase the advertising cost and the sales promotional expenses to generate more revenues and profits and targeting to expanding the business.

Currently, about sixty ceramic companies are running their operations in Bangladesh and making a substantial amount of revenues. The Bangladeshi ceramic companies perform about eighty-five (85%) of the domestic demands; moreover, the ceramic products are exporting to the international markets. In promoting more local as well as foreign currencies from this sector needs to put more concentration on the sales promotional activities and in advertising. The advertising cost is insignificant in increasing the sales revenue of Food and Beverage Companies in Nigeria, but it has a significant favorable influence on the profits of the companies (Abdullahi, D. 2015). The study also reveals that advertising is one of the tools of communication that affects the performance of companies in multiple ways. The researcher recommended the advertising alone should not pay enough attention when creating strategies related to sales recommendations and profitability promotion policies. The sales promotion, personal sales, sales, etc. are needed to pay attention to increasing the sales revenue and a return to profitability such as. Advertising and publicity have a significant impact on the corporate reputation of individual companies. Other variables, for example, the dividend yield to investors, market value, diversification, and profitability of the firms' dividend to investors, were significantly related to the valuation of the corporate reputation of specific companies. Still, the aspect of the relationship changed from company to company. A firm's value in the current market also affects the valuation of the firm's reputation. More diverse firms gain a less corporate reputation for specific firms. Regarding the relationship between marketing communication and sales earnings, some advertising and promotional companies have a significant impact on sales revenue. The R & D cost of the firm, the vital point of the firm, and

Author α: MBA, Major in Business Administration, Beijing Technology and Business University, China. e-mail: avikbtbu@gmail.com

Author σ: Assistant Professor, Department of Accounting and Information Systems, Islamic University, Kushtia, Bangladesh. e-mail: kamalhossain315@gmail.com

Author ρ: BBA, Major in Finance and Banking, National University of Laos, Laos. e-mail: vangpadithva@gmail.com

Author ω: PGD in Human Resource Management, Bangladesh Institute of Management (BIM), Dhaka, Bangladesh. e-mail: chowdhuryriadia22@gmail.com

the size of the firm show a significant positive relevance to the sales revenue of individual firms (Kim, K. 2007).

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

We present an overview of the literature that relates to the work performed here. We have introduced the literature review in three different sections. Firstly, the advertising, secondly, the promotional costs, and lastly, the profitability of the companies.

a) Advertising

Advertising is provided for communication to know or to change to more than one person's behavior". (Broadbent, S. 2001). It is a form of communication mediation from an identifiable source designed to persuade the recipient to take some action at present or in the future (Richards JI, and Curran CM 2002). Advertising defined as "the goal of persuasion people buy" (Frank J. 2005). Advertising includes all activities related to the presentation of a group, including a personal, verbal or visual, publicly sponsored message, and identifying sponsor performs, that is related to the promotion through one or more media (Etzel MJ. Et al. 2007). Advertising is a non-personal introduction and idea of promotion, products, or services paid for by any sponsored sponsor. Various studies have highlighted the importance and benefits of advertising to organizations to sell their products or services (Kotler P. and Armstrong G. 2010). The effect of a negative interaction between pre-launch advertising and promotion which means that advertising is less effective when promoting high-level advertising for the same product. The companies can gain the most sales by performing pre-promotions, and there is little benefit from increasing promotions and advertisements at the same time, which has a consistent effect with negative (pre-launch) and zero (post-launch) interactions (Burmester, A. B., et al. 2015).

Advertising creates a place for the products in the consumer mind,(Morden AR. 19910); it increases buyer feedback, (Kotler P. 1988); it persuades the customer to buy (Frank J 2005); it persuade the customers to buy over the competitors products (Young CE. 2005; Richards JI, and Curran CM. 2002); helps raise sales and profits (Galbraith JK. 1976); generate positive brand associations (Cobb-Walgren CA. et al. 1995; Moorthy S, Hawkins SA. 2005; Keller KL. 2008; De Chernatony L. 2010); produce selective demand (Arens WF. 2002) and the dominance of brand beliefs and preferences. (Shimp TA. 2007). Few studies have indicated that investing more in advertising can increase awareness (Yoo B et al. 2000; Villarejo AF, Sanchez MJ. 2005; Bravo R, Fraj E, Martinez E. (2007). Well-known advertised respected brands create higher quality perceptions. (Yoo B. et al. 2000; Bravo R). There is considerable managed relevance to the stability of this relationship across the country, especially as it is at the

center of the debate about centralized versus decentralized control of international advertising. The main distinction is the advertising-and-promotion-to-sales ratio of the consumer product. The search seems to contradict general knowledge about higher levels of advertising and promotion costs in the United States of America (Zif, J., Young, R. F., & Fenwick, I. 1984).

b) Sales Promotion

Sales promotion is one of the strategies to convey information about the product (Blanchard K. et al. 1999). Short-term promotion activities designed to encourage customers to buy or cooperate from distributors and other business members. (Griffin RW and Pustay MW. 2007). Sales promotion is an arrangement of short-term strategies that marketers perform to encourage immediate purchase," (Blanchard K. et al. 1999); sales-based sales promotion is an activity that acts as a direct stimulus, as long as add value or incentives to resellers, salesman or customers for the product. Several research institutes have demonstrated the importance of sales promotion (William, Ferrel, Pride M, 1994). Sales promotion influences the customer decision to buy and brands likes (Nijs V. R. et al. 2001); maximize sales value, affect sales, profitability and brand equity, (Srinivasan SS, Anderson RE.1998; Palazon-Vidal M, Delgado-Ballester E. 2005; Montaner T, Pina JM. 2008); information helps to communicate, (Pride K. 1987; Ricky W, et al. 2005); increases desire. (Griffin RW and Pustay MW. 2007). Thus the above literature is published as a necessary component of the communication mix for companies from sales promotion. There is a controversial concept of sales promotion, as some studies believe it has a significant impact on a company's performance. (Boddewyn JJ and Leardi M.1989;Odunlami IB, Ogunsiji A. 2011); Others think it has no significant effect (Dekimpe MG, et al.1999; Srini S, et al. 2000; Pauwels K, 2002).

c) Profitability

The impact of sales and profits on advertising spending was significant, supporting their dynamic relationship in the context of restaurants. Restaurant managers plan their advertising budget by considering their various performance goals and changing the effectiveness of advertising (Kim, J., Jun, J., & Tang, L. (Rebecca). 2019). There is a significant relationship between marketing costs and the firm's profitability and also an essential link between the firm's turnover and marketing costs. The organization should maintain a cost-effective system of advertising so that high-quality employees are a vital component (Agbeja, O. et al. 2015). If managers want to measure the success of their business, they need to measure profitability. Because recent researches depict that profit is something that can help managers to understand where their company's progress stays. It ensures the fact that

managers need to take measured to ensure profitability in terms of returns. Scholars termed this theory as the theory of profit, monopoly theory of profit, etc. When there is a change in the economy, which causes cost reduction resulting in the difference between price and cost, alternately named residue defines the Dynamic theory of profit. Two types of profits, called accounting profits and economic profit, explains profitability where net income refers to amounting profit, and net worth relates to economic-profit. Therefore, this is something that designs the future of the organization or the project. However, some scholars define this in terms of income and expense. If a person produces and sells something that generates income, which is not similar to borrowing some money from other sources. The income statement shows the income of the entity, and it ascertains the actual profitability of the business. The profitability outlines the success and the sustainability of the business concern. Therefore, the managers or the businessmen should focus on ensuring the profitability of the firm.

III. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

As the manufacturing organization is concerned about earning revenues and profit to recover the cost and expenses to be survived in the competitive business environment. Most of the manufacturing and merchandising organizations are needed to forecast their budget for production, costs, and sales. The manufacturing concerns are engaged in purchasing the materials for production, which provides them to prepare budgeted sales and expenses. Thus the sales decision is a complex one for the manufacturing concern as it does not remain the same with different periods because the demand for the products is not the same throughout the different periods. Since the sales revenue depends upon the consumers' demand, so, it is a challenging task to forecast and estimate it by the management of the company. The advertising and the promotional efforts of the companies influence the demands of consumers for the products. The recent financial growth in this sector is the result of advertising and sales promotion efforts. Since it conveys the messages about the products of the companies and creates awareness about the product among the customers. And add value for the users. Therefore, advertising and sales promotion expenses are considered to be the essential factors to increase sales revenues like other mixes of marketing, namely product, price, place, and promotion. Since no related study has been conducted in the field of ceramic companies in Bangladesh, so we in this study attempt to assess the impact of advertising and sales promotional expenses on sales revenues and profitability of the ceramic companies.

IV. RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

The aims of the present study were to test the following null hypotheses:

- i) There exist no significant impact of advertising and sales promotion cost on the sales revenue of the companies under the study.
- ii) There exist no significant impact of advertising and sales promotion cost on the net profit of the companies under the study.
- iii) There exist no significant impact of advertising and sales promotion cost on the return on investment (ROI) of the companies under the study.
- iv) There exist no significant impact of advertising and sales promotion cost on the return on equity (ROE) of the companies under the study.

V. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

In order to address the hypotheses outlined above, we aim to analyze the impact of advertising on the sales revenues of the ceramic sector companies listed in Dhaka Stock Exchange. The current study also intends to find the effect of the same variables on the profitability of the sector under the study. Therefore, the researchers will attempt to give suggestions on which factor is more influential and should pay more attention to generate more revenues and profits by the companies of the designated sector.

VI. THE METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY

In the current study, we used secondary data. We collected the data from the annual report of the companies under the study. We received the data from all of the five companies listed with Dhaka Stock Exchange (DSE). During the collection of data, we gathered panel data of eleven (11) years of the sample companies. The population consists the five (05) listed ceramic sector companies of DSE. We selected the return on investment (ROI) and the return on equity (ROE) as the profitability measures in this study. We converted the quantitative figures into the natural log to measure the different variables in a common parameter. In this study we have used the quantitative data and approached two types regression analysis namely, the fixed-effect regression, and the random-effect GLS regression model where it suits; for this purpose, we test first the Hausman-effect model and observe that for the regression of advertising expense and sales promotion expense on the sales revenue, net profit and return on investment (ROI) the random-effect GLS regression model is fit, whereas, for the impact of advertising expense and sales promotion expense on the return on equity (ROE) the fixed-effect regression model is fit. Based on this justification, we analyzed the data by the respective models as it fits. With the help of software

STATA 15 we performed the statistical tools and obtained the results.

prepared to present the results discovered from the analysis.

VII. FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

This study has analyzed the data rigorously, and after this procedure, the following tables are

Table # 1: Regression Analysis of Advertising Expense and Sales-Promotion on Sales Revenue

Random-effects GLS regression						
Group variable:	Companynum			Number of obs	55	
R-sq:				Number of groups	5	
within =	0.2102			Obs per group:		
between =	0.5978			min =	11	
overall =	0.5653			avg =	11.0	
				max =	11	
corr(u _i , X) =	0 (assumed)			Wald chi2(2)=	15.84	
				Prob > chi2=	0.0004	
Sales Revenue Ln	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
Advertexpln	-.0135606	.0260884	-0.52	0.603	-.064693	.0375718
Salespromoln	.2413625	.060728	3.97	0.000	.1223378	.3603872
_cons	17.34054	1.026009	16.90	0.000	15.3296	19.35148
sigma_u	1.0256188					
sigma_e	.26864087					
Rho	.9357972 (fraction of variance due to u _i)					

Source: Authors' Computation

As shown in the above Table # 1, the random-effect GLS model results that the sales promotion costs have a P-value of 0.000, which indicates for the hypothesis 1, the sales revenues are positively influenced by the increase of sales promotion expense, i.e. if 1% increase in the sales promotion cost will lead to a 24.13% increase in the sales revenues of the companies, but the advertising cost has no significant influence on the sales revenues of the ceramic companies in Bangladesh under the study; since, the p-

value is more than 0.05 in this case. For the hypothesis 1, the R-square value is 0.5653, which indicates that 56.53% of the variation in sales revenues is explained by the advertising costs and sales promotion costs, the remainder is not explained by these variables, and thus, the sales revenue may be changed by some other factors beyond these two variables. The random-effect model here implies that the output-variable, sales will be changed in different periods due to the changes in sales promotion cost specifically.

Table # 2: Regression Analysis of Advertising Expense and Sales-Promotion on Net Profit

Random-effects GLS regression						
Group variable:	Companynum			Number of obs	55	
R-sq:				Number of groups	5	
within =	0.2768			Obs per group:		
between =	0.5886			min =	11	
overall =	0.4366			avg =	11.0	
				max =	11	
corr(u _i , X) =	0 (assumed)			Wald chi2(2)=	22.66	
				Prob > chi2=	0.0000	
Netprofitln	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
Advertexpln	-.5955139	.1521932	-3.91	0.000	-.8938071	-.2972207
salespromoln	.9516652	.2631064	3.62	0.000	.4359861	1.467344
_cons	11.3295	3.899859	2.91	0.004	3.68592	18.97309
sigma_u	1.571504					
sigma_e	1.6310388					
Rho	.48141657 (fraction of variance due to u _i)					

Source: Authors' Computation

The Table # 2 shows the random-effect GLS model that both the advertising cost and the sales promotion costs have a P-value of 0.000; thus the results of the p-value are significant, and therefore, hypothesis 2 is rejected, the coefficient of the regression indicates that the net profits are negatively influenced by the increase of advertising cost, but net profits are positively impacted by sales promotion expense, i.e., if 1% increase of the advertising cost will lead to a 59.55% decrease in the net profit of the companies, whereas 1% increase of the sales promotion cost will lead to a 95.16% increase in the net profit of the companies in

Bangladesh under the study. Besides this, the regression model shows that the R-square value is 0.4366 which indicates that 43.66% of the variation in net profits are explained by the advertising costs and sales promotion costs, the remainder is not explained by these variables, and thus, the net profits may be changed by some other factors beyond these two variables. The random-effect model here implies that the output-variable, sales will be changed in different periods due to the changes in both advertising cost and sales promotion costs.

Table # 3: Regression Analysis of Advertising Expense and Sales-Promotion on Return on Investment (ROI)

Random-effects GLS regression						
Group variable:	companynum			Number of obs	55	
R-sq:				Number of groups	5	
within =	0.2928			Obs per group:		
between =	0.0370			min =	11	
overall =	0.1442			avg =	11.0	
				max =	11	
corr(u _i , X) =	0 (assumed)			Wald chi2(2)=	17.72	
				Prob > chi2=	0.0001	
Roiln	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
Advertexpln	-.5605998	.1482083	-3.78	0.000	-.8510827	-.2701169
Salespromoln	.6876987	.234957	2.93	0.003	.2271915	1.148206
cons	-6.778988	3.413298	-1.99	0.047	-13.46893	-.0890482
sigma u	1.2136483					
sigma e	1.572872					
Rho	.37319263 (fraction of variance due to u _i)					

Source: Authors' Computation

As shown in the above Table # 3, the random-effect GLS model results that both the advertising costs and the sales promotion costs have a P-value of less than 0.05, which indicates the hypothesis 3 can be rejected, hence the results of regression portraits that the returns on investment are influenced by the increase of both advertising costs and sales promotion expense, i.e., if 1% increase in the sales promotion cost will lead to a 68.77% increase in the return on investment of the companies, however, the increase of advertising costs will lead an adverse return on investment, i.e. i.e., if 1% increase in the advertising cost will lead to a 56.06%

decrease in the return on investment of the companies. For the hypothesis 3, the R-square value is 0.1442 which indicates that only 14.42% of the variation in return on investments are explained by the advertising costs and sales promotion costs, the remainder is not explained by these variables, and thus, the sales revenue may be changed by some other factors beyond these two variables. The random-effect model here implies that the output-variable, return on investment will be changed in different periods due to the changes in sales promotion cost specifically.

Table # 4: Regression Analysis of Advertising Expense and Sales-Promotion on Return on Equity (ROE)

Fixed-effects (within) regression					
Group variable:	companynum			Number of obs	55
R-sq:				Number of groups	5
within =	0.2851			Obs per group:	
between =	0.0200			min =	11
overall =	0.1309			avg =	11.0
				max =	11
				F(2,48)=	9.57
corr(u _i , Xb) =	-0.4052			Prob > chi2=	0.0003

roeln	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
advertextpln	-.6130724	.1516663	-4.04	0.000	-.918018	-.3081269
salespromoln	.6961638	.3662804	1.90	0.063	-.0402923	1.43262
_cons	-5.788814	5.57034	-1.04	0.304	-16.98873	5.411105
sigma_u	1.563046					
sigma_e	1.5358726					
rho	.50876798	(fraction of variance due to u_i)				
F test that all u_i=0: F(4, 48) = 7.93				Prob > F = 0.0001		

Source: Authors' Computation

The Table # 4, generates results using the fixed-effect model and reveals the sales promotion costs has a P-value of less than 0.05, thus the results of the p-value are significant, and therefore, the coefficient of the regression indicates that the return on equity is negatively influenced by the increase of advertising cost, i.e., if 1% increase of the advertising cost will lead to a 61.31% decrease in the return on equity of the companies, but the sales promotion cost is insignificant to impact return on equity, as the p-value for this case is more than 0.05, so the null hypothesis, in this case, cannot be rejected here. The regression model shows that the R-square value is 0.1309 which indicates that 13.09% of the variation in return on equity is explained by the advertising costs and sales promotion costs, the remainder is not explained by these variables, and thus, the net profits may be changed by some other factors beyond these two variables.

VIII. CONCLUSION

The main conclusions of this work tell us that in most circumstances, it is found that the sales promotion cost has a significant impact on the sales revenues, net profits, and return on investments, only in the case of return on equity the sales promotion costs are insignificant to influence. Whereas the advertising cost has no significant influence on sales revenues, but it has a significant adverse effect on the net profits, return on investment, and return on equity of the listed ceramic companies in Bangladesh. We suggest from the findings of this study that the companies should reduce their advertising costs to increase the net profits, ROI and ROE of the companies. On the other hand the companies' should put more promotional investments to increase the earnings and profitability of the companies drawn together and presented in this section

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Abdullahi, D. (2015). Effect of Advertising on the Sales Revenue and the Profitability of Selected Food and Beverages Firms in Nigeria. *International Journal of Economics, Business and Finance*, 3(3), 1–16. Retrieved from <http://ijebf.com/>
2. Agbeja, O., Adelakun, O. J., & Daramola, A. (2015). Analysis of the Effect of Advertising on Sales and Profitability of Company. *International Journal of Novel Research in Marketing Management and Economics*, 2(3), 81–90.
3. Alonge, (2001). Essentials of Commerce for Secondary School, Advertising, Tonad publishing Limited, Ikeja Lagos.
4. Arens WF. (2002). Contemporary advertising (Vol. 8). New York: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
5. Arens, W. F. (1996). Contemporary Advertising. USA: Richard D, Irwin A. Times Mirror Higher Education Group Inc. Company.
6. BCMEA (2018) Ceramic Industry in Bangladesh. Retrieve on 09.07.2020 from http://www.ccifb.Com.bd/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/CCIFB_Ceramics-Industry-of-Bangladesh.pdf#:~:text=Ceramic%20Industry%20in%20Bangladesh%20Ceramics%20industry%20has%20turned,to%20international%20markets%20the%20industry%20has%20grown%20im mensely.
7. Blanchard K, Schewe C, Nelson R. and Hiam A. (1999). Exploring the World of Business. USA: Worth Publishers, Inc.
8. Boddewyn JJ and Leardi M. (1989). Sales Promotions: Practice, Regulation and Self-Regulation around the World. *International Journal of Advertising*. 8(4):363.
9. Bravo R, Fraj E, Martinez E. (2007) Family as a source of consumer-based brand equity. *The Journal of Product and Brand Management*. 16(3):188–99.
10. Broadbent, S. (2001) Advertising. In M. J. Baker (ed.). The IEBM encyclopaedia of marketing. London: International Thomson Business Press.
11. Burmester, A. B., Becker, J. U., van Heerde, H. J., & Clement, M. (2015). The impact of pre- and post-launch publicity and advertising on new product sales. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 32(4), 408–417. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2015.05.005>
12. Cobb-Walgren C, Ruble CA, Donthu N. (1995). Brand equity, brand preference and purchase intent. *Journal of Advertising*. 1995; 24(3):25–40.
13. De Chernatony L. (2010). From brand vision to brand evaluation. The strategic process of growing and strengthening brands. 3rd Ed. Butterworth Heinemann.

14. Dekimpe MG. et al. (1999). Long run effects of price promotion in scanner markets. *Journal of econometrics*. 89:269–291.
15. Etzel MJ, Walker BJ, and Stanton WJ. (2007) Marketing. Boston: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
16. Frank J. 2005) Advertising. *Pearson Education Limited, UK*.
17. Galbraith JK. (1976). The Affluent Society, New York: *New American Library*.
18. Griffin RW and Pustay MW. (2007). International Business by Griffin and Pustay (5th), *Fifth Edition*.
19. Hindsdale, Illinois, U. S. A.: Dryden Press. *Marketing*. USA: Irwin/Mc-Graw Hill.
20. Keller KL. (2008). Strategic brand management: Building, measuring, and managing brand equity. New Delhi: *Dorling Kindersley*.
21. Kim, J., Jun, J., & Tang, L. (Rebecca). (2019). How well does advertising work on restaurant performance? A dynamic and quadratic approach. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 81, 11–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2019.02.010>
22. Kim, K. (2007). The effects of advertising and publicity on corporate reputation and sales revenue: 1985–2005. *Pro Quest Dissertations and Theses*, 218-n/a. Retrieved from <http://search.Proquest.com/docview/304829661?accountid=14549>
23. Kotler P. and Armstrong G. (2010). Principles of Marketing (12th edition). *Prentice Hall of India*.
24. Kotler P. (1988). Marketing Management: Analysis Management and Accounting. *Ile-Ife: Obafermi*.
25. Marketing Association Chicago U.S.A. 46: 94–101. marketing success. A case study of Nigerian Bottling Marketing.
26. Montaner T, Pina JM. (2008). The effect of promotion type and benefit congruency on brand image. *Journal of Applied Business Research*. 24(3):15–28
27. Moorthy S, Hawkins S A. (2005) Advertising repetition and quality perception. *Journal of Business Research*. 58(3):354–60.
28. Morden AR. (1991). *Elements of Marketing*. London: D.P. Nijs VR, Dekimpe MG, Steenkamps JBE. and Hanssens DM. (2001). The category demand effects of price promotions. *Marketing Sciences*. 20 (1):1–22.
29. Odunlami IB, Ogunsiji A. (2011). Effect of sales promotion as a tool on organizational performance. *Journal of Emergency. Trends in Economics and management Sciences. (JETEMS)*. 2(1): 9–13.)
30. Palazon-Vidal M, Delgado-Ballester E. (2005) Sales promotions effects on consumer-based brand equity. *International Journal of Market Research*. 47(2):179–204.
31. Pauwels K, Hanssens DM, and Siddarth. S. (2002) the Long Term Effects of Price Promotions on Category Incidence, Brand Choice and Purchase Quantity. *Journal of Marketing*. p. 27–29.
32. Pride K. (1987). Sales and Relationships Manager at Rainbow Tourism Group Senior sales manager Rainbow Tourism Group-Zimbabwe, Zambia, Mozambique.
33. Richards JI, and Curran CM. (2002) Oracles on advertising searching for a definition. *Journal of Advertising*. 31(2). p. 63–77.
34. Ricky W, Ebert J. and Starke and A. (2005). Business: 5th Canadian ed. Canada: *Pearson Education Canada Inc.*, Toronto, Ontario.
35. Shimp TA. (2007). Integrated marketing communications in advertising and promotion (Vol. 7). *Mason: OH, Thomson Higher Education*.
36. Srinii S, Srinivasan SS and Anderson RE. (2000). Concepts and strategy guidelines for designing value enhancing sales promotions. *Journal of Product and Brand Management*. 5:410–420.
37. Srinivasan SS, Anderson RE. (1998) Concepts and strategy guidelines for designing value-enhancing sales promotions. *The Journal of Product and Brand Management*. 7(5):410–20.
38. Villarejo AF, Sanchez MJ. (2005). The impact of marketing communication and price promotion on brand equity. *Journal of Brand Management* 12(6):431–45.
39. William, Ferrel, Pride M, (1994) *Marketing Research* (New York, *McCarthy and Engle et al 1991*).
40. Yoo B, Donthu N, Lee S. (2000). An examination of selected marketing mix elements and brand equity. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 28(2):195–211.
41. Young CE. (2005). *The Advertising Handbook, Ideas In flight, Scattle, W.A., ISBN 0- 9765574-0-1g7*
42. Zif, J., Young, R. F., & Fenwick, I. (1984). A transnational study of advertising-to-sales ratios. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 24(3), 58–63.

