An Empirical Test of the Relationship between Exchange Rate, Interest Rate and Inflation in Five African Countries from 1980 to 2012 Dr. Amachree, Queen Ori-Ibim¹

¹ University of Port Harcourt

Received: 15 December 2019 Accepted: 5 January 2020 Published: 15 January 2020

8 Abstract

5

6

⁹ The study examines the empirical relationship between exchange rate, interest rate and

¹⁰ inflation in the context of Sub - Sahara African countries using panel data over 1980-2012

¹¹ periods. The estimated values of the pooled, fixed and random effects models reveal identical

¹² results that interest rate and inflation maintain a monotonous relationship with the exchange

¹³ rate, though the relationship is insignificant for interest rote only in the random effect model

14 based on 1

15

Index terms— fixed effect; random effect; pooled data; panel cointegration; african countries 16 Introduction he volatility of nature for prices is a major source of concern in all countries since the 1970s. 17 The issue of a more serious nature in sub-Saharan African countries where inflation in foreign countries known 18 as "imported inflation" is seen to be driving "domestic inflation", making policies to control inflation ineffective. 19 Continuous devaluation of currency and inflation in the 1980s seems to suggest a correlation between the two 20 variables. Kenya experienced a persistent increase in inflation from 1980 -2012, the exchange rate depreciated in 21 2012 compared to ??011 The connection between exchange rate, interest rate and inflation has long been a key 22 focus of international economies-most standard theoretical models of exchange rates predict that exchange rate 23 is determined by economic fundamentals, one of which is the interest rate differentia! between home and abroad. 24 The modern exchange rate theories view exchange rate as a purely financial phenomenon, Friedman hypothesis 25 26 stipulates that inflation is always and everywhere a monetary phenomenon and can be produced only by a more 27 rapid increase in the quantity of money than output. The Neo-classical and their followers at the University of Chicago, inflation is fundamentally a monetary phenomenon. A high rate of inflation causes severe fluctuations 28 in exchange rates. The Keynesian liquidity preference theory emphasis that rate of interest is purely a monetary 29 phenomenon. When prices rise, the same unit of a currency can buy less. Central Banks use the interest rate to 30 control the money supply and consequently, the inflation rate. 31 The relationship between exchange rate, Interest rate, and inflation has been ranging or perhaps inclusive 32 issues for professionals /researchers since the advent of macroeconomic theory. A good number of authors have 33 empirically and some cases theoretically examined these interrelationships over time and across Nations, but no 34 conclusion has been reached on the effects of inflation on the exchange rate and interest rate. Therefore, diversity 35 of the nature and duration of effects on this subject matter has attracted a lot of interest in the literature. 36

37 Evidence has shown in the study of Simon and Rajak (1999), even Lahari and He Hatrovashaska (2008) made 38 a striking contribution to the relationship between the exchange rate and interest rate. According to them, a 39 positive relationship exists between the exchange rate and interest rate. But Lahari and Hanatrovaska further affirmed that there was a non-monotonic relationship between changes in the level of the inflation rate and changes 40 in the exchange rate. Also, Furma and Stiglitz (1998) examined the effect' of an increase in interest rate, inflation 41 and some non-monetary factors on an exchange rate for developing countries and found that a high-interest rate 42 induced appreciation of nominal exchange rate but this effect was more pronounced in low inflation countries 43 than high inflation countries. But the study of Goldfajin and Baig (1998) reported the absence of strong co 44 integration regarding the relationship between the interest rate and exchange rate. 45

In recent times. Gel and Ekinci (2006), Herwatz and Reimers (2005), Westerlund (2005), Ling, and Wafa
(2010), Sathya, Sharma and Liu's study suggested a positive relationship between the interest rate and inflation.
However, Summers (1983) had earlier rejected the Fisherian hypothesis that supports the long-run relationship
between the interest rate and inflation. In the equal vein, the study of Hong and Phillips (2005) gave mixed
results on the presence of co integration.

The absence of clear-cut empirical relationships between exchange rate and other macroeconomic variables are even more pronounced in Nigeria for example, Aigbonkhan (1991) and Omotor (2008) emphasized that inflation, exchange rate, money supply, government expenditure and real GDP are significantly related while Enoma (2011)

54 concluded that exchange rate depreciation, money supply and real GDP are the prime determinant of inflation in 55 Nigeria. Therefore, the discrepancies in these studies need to be further examined in the context of sub-Sahara

56 African countries of which this study sets out to accomplish.

57 **1 II.**

58 2 Review of Related Literatur

Literature related to this study will be reviewed under the following subheadings, theoretical underpinning and the empirical basis of the study.

⁶¹ 3 a) Theoretical Underpinning

Purchasing power parity theory (PPP) propounded by David Ricardo in 1821, elaborated and brought back into use by the Swedish economist, Gustav Cassel. The PPP theory provides, the long-run framework for the monetary and asset market or portfolio balance approaches to exchange rate determination. The purchasing power parity theory has an absolute and relative version. The theory says that the equilibrium exchange rate

⁶⁶ between two currencies is equal to the ratio of the price levels she in two nations.

⁶⁷ 4 b) Absolute purchasing power parity theory

Postulate that the equilibrium exchange rate between two currencies is equal to the ratio of the price levels in

⁶⁹ the two nations. It is misleading because it completely disregards the capital account, the existence of many ⁷⁰ non-traded goods, and it fans to take transportation cost or other obstruction to the free flow of international

71 trade.

⁷² 5 c) Relative purchasing power parity theory

73 It postulates that the change in the exchange rate over some time should be proportional to the relative change74 in the price levels in the two nations over the same time.

 75 The modern exchange rate theories are based on the monetary approach and the asset market or portfolio

⁷⁶ balance of payments that have been developed since the late 1960s. These theories view the exchange rate as a ⁷⁷ purely financial phenomenon, and they also seek to explain the great short-run volatility of exchange rate and

their tendency to overshoot their long-run equilibrium level. These theories are different from the traditional exchange rate theories which are based on trade flows and help explain exchange rate movements only in the

80 long-run.

81 6 d) Inflation

It is a highly controversial term which has undergone modification since it was first defined by the neoclassical 82 economists. The neo-classical economists defined inflation as a galloping rise in prices as a result of the excessive 83 increase in the quantity of money. They regard inflation as destroying disease born out of lack of monetary control 84 whose results undermined the rules of business, creating havoc in markets and financial ruin of even the prudent. 85 It fundamentally a monetary phenomenon. But Keynes did not believe like the neoclassical, according to him, 86 there being underemployment in the economy, an increase in money supply leads to an increase in aggregate 87 demand, output and employment. Both Keynesians and monetarist believe that inflation is caused by increased 88 in the aggregate demand (increase in the money supply). 89

⁹⁰ 7 e) Theories of interest Rate

91 We have:

92 i.

93 The classical, ii.

⁹⁴ The loanable funds, iii. The Keynesian and iv. The modern theory of interest rate.

The Keynesian liquidity preference theory determines interest rate by the demand for and supply of money which is a stock theory. Its emphasis that the rate of interest is a purely monetary phenomenon. On the other hand, the loanable fund's theory is a flow theory that determines interest rate by the demand for and supply of loanable funds. Prof. Robertson criticized the loanable fund theory as a "common sense explanation" of the determination of the rate of interest. But this theory is also not free from certain defects. According to the classical theory, the rate of interest is determined by the supply of and demand of capital the supply of capital is governed by time

¹⁰² 8 f) Empirical Literature

In Africa, both monetary and structural factors were considered as the root cause of inflation and exchange rate 103 as carried by Chhibber et al. (1989) macroeconomic effects of devaluation in Zimbabwe a CGE analysis. Madesha 104 Chidoko and Zivanomoyo (2012) looked into the empirical relationship between exchange rate and inflation in 105 Zimbabwe during the period 1980 to 2007. Using the Granger causality test, estimated results reveal that both 106 exchange rate and inflation have a long-run relationship. On the other hand, inflation and exchange rate are 107 found to granger-cause each, other during the period under consideration. Hegerty (2012) carried out a study 108 titled: Does high inflation lead to increased inflation uncertainty? Evidence from nine African countries, using 109 monthly data beginning in January 1976 and end in early 2012. 110

The study proxies uncertainty for sub-Saharan Africa with exponential GRACH Models, before testing for 111 relationships using Granger causality tests and impulse-response functions, inflation increases are shown to fuel 112 uncertainty in all cases, while the reverse relationship holds for only half of the countries. Imimole and Enema 113 (2011) examined the impact of exchange rate depreciation on inflation in Nigeria for the period 1986 -2008, using 114 an Auto-Regressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) cointegration procedure. The research found that exchange rate 115 depreciation, money supply and real gross domestic product are the main determinants of inflation in Nigeria 116 and that Naira depreciation is positive, and has a significant long-run effect on inflation in Nigeria. This implies 117 that the exchange rate depreciation can bring about an increase in the inflation rate in Nigeria. However, Sowa 118 and Kwakye (1993) claim that Chibber and Shafik (1992), emphasize monetary factors at the expense of supply 119 factors in Gnana and conclude that the supply constraint (output) was the main force behind inflation. Goswami 120 (2008) conducted a study on the relationship between exchange rate and Interest rate, the result reveals that 121 there is a strong positive relationship between exchange rate and interest rate, confirming the findings of the study 122 carried out by Simon and Razak (1999). Keminsky and Schumulkler (1998) studied the relationship between the 123 interest rate and exchange rate in six Asian Countries, the result concludes that interest rate is not exogenously 124 determined by the exchange rate. Adetiloye, Kehinde Adekunle (2010) study adopted techniques of correlation 125 and find the significance of the relationship between the consumer price index and the exchange rate in Nigeria, 126 using 1986 to 2007 data. They found out that there is a higher positive relationship between the ratio of Imports 127 128 and the index than exist between the parallel and official rates. Lahlri and Hanatrovaska (2008) investigated the relationship between interest rate end exchange rate. Their findings revealed a strong positive relationship 129 between the exchange rate and interest rate. Kanas (2000) study on Colombia extended the works of Montiel 130 (1989) and Dornbush, Fischer (1990) observed that exchange rates did not play an important role in explaining 131 the variation in inflation in Colombia and that Inflation appeared to be primarily inertial concerning the exchange 132 rate but largely determined by demand shocks. 133

Ndungu, (1993) estimated a six-variable VAR on money supply, domestic price level, exchange rate index, 134 foreign price index, real output and the rate of interest. In an attempt to explain the inflation movement in 135 Kenya, he observed that the rate of inflation and exchange rate explained each other. Canetti and Greene 136 (1991), using vector auto regression analysis to separate the influence of money supply growth from exchange 137 rate changes on prevailing and predicted rates of inflation in Africa, find that both exchange rate movements 138 and monetary expansion affect consumer price changes in several sub-Sahara African Countries. In particular, 139 the authors find a significant causal impact of exchange rates on prices in Sierra Leone, Tanzania and the 140 Congo. Greene and Canetti (1991) evaluated the relative strength of exchange rate and monetary expansion in 141 propagating inflation in ten African Countries, the results prove that the exchange rate explains the inflationary 142 trend in these countries. 143

London (1989), examined on money supply and exchange rate, in the inflationary process of twenty-three African Countries. The application of cure monetarist model on supply, expected inflation and real income were significant determinants of inflation for the period between 1974 and 1985. The exchange rate was later Included as one of the explanatory variables in pure monetarist modal and the result shows that exchange rate movement had a remarkable influence on the inflationary process in the 1980s.

¹⁴⁹ 9 III.

¹⁵⁰ 10 Method of Study and Data a) Model Specification

The relationships between exchange rate and inflation using pane! data can be modelled based deed and random effect frameworks. The formal presupposes that the constant term varies cross-sectionally but is fixed overtime; the slope estimates are ail fixed both cross-sectionally and over time, interestingly, the later also ascertains the same reports, but the overt difference between the two models are in the context of the random-effect model, the constant terms in respect of each cross-sectional unit rise from a global intercept term and a random variable which in turn measures the random deviation of each cross-sectional unit constant term from the global intercept term. However, before specifying these models, let us look at the mathematical A visual view reveals that equation (3) is a time series specification, but our interest is on panel data expressions. Hence, equation (3) is transformed into panel specification as follows:xcht = ?0 + ?1Infit + ?2Intit + ?it ???????????? .(4)

Equation (4) is referred to as pooled data regression model. To derive the Fixed Effect Model (FEM), we can decompose the random term ?it into individual-specific effect and the remainder disturbance term. That is:?it = it + vit ????????????? .(5)

Where: ?it is the remainder disturbance term. By substituting ?it and vit in place of ?it in equation (4), the following specification can be derived:xchit = P0 + P1Infit + P2Intit + ?it + vit ?????????? . (6)

166 ?t encapsulates all the variables that affect the exchange rate (xch) but remains constant over time.

The Fixed Effect Model (FEM) can be estimated using dummy variables or what is ordinarily called Least Squares Dummy Variables (LSDV) approach. Thus: xchit = P1Infit + P2Intit + ?1Dum1 + ?2Dum2 + ?3 Dum3 + ?4 Dum4 ?5Dum5 + vit ???? . (7) Where:

Dum1 is a dummy variable for Kenya and it takes the value of 1 for all the observations of Kenya in the sample and zero value of otherwise.

- 11 Dum2 is a dummy variable for Nigeria and it takes the value
 of 1 for all the observations of Nigeria in the sample and zero
 value of otherwise.
- 12 Dum3 is a dummy variable for Botswana and it takes the
 value of 1 for all the observations of Botswana in the sample
 and zeroes otherwise. Dum4 is a dummy variable for Egypt
 and it takes the value of 1 for all the observations of Egypt
 in the sample and zeroes otherwise.
- 13 Dum5 is a dummy variable for Malawi and it takes the value
 of 1 for all the observations of Malawi and zeroes otherwise.

185 Where: eit is the new cross-sectional error term. Vit is the individual observation error term.

The assumption here is e-t satisfy the ID conditions. Also, dummy variables are not required to capture the heterogeneity in the cross-sectional dimension.

188 14 b) Data

Inflation data in respect of the five countries is sourced from the IMF country report 2012. Exchange rates are
obtained from the individual countries' Central Banks Statistical Bulletins; while interest rates (lending rate) are
collected from World Bank report 2012 for each of the five sub-Sahara African countries.

192 15 IV.

¹⁹³ 16 Data Analysis a) Results

The presentation and discussion of our findings followed sequentially, first, we present the results of the selected 194 descriptive statistics for each economy and the aggregated economies as follows in Table 4.1. This means that 195 the exchange rates of these countries have, an increasing tendency. The standard deviation or volatility of the 196 exchange rate appears to be less volatile in all the countries except Malawi where the series deviate drastically 197 from its mean value. Also, the spread of the series is widest in Malawi. It is equally shown that exchange rate 198 is only normally distributed in Egypt and Malawi (see the JB Statistics and their correspondent pvs). Panel B 199 200 shows the descriptive values of the interest rate for the specified countries. The results are analogous to those 201 of panel A except that the series is only normally distributed in Kenya. Panel C centres on inflation and it is 202 discovered that the series is only normally distributed in Nigeria and Egypt but more volatile and unstable in 203 Malawi. Thus, Malawi is prone to inflationary spirals.

The results of the five economies are amazingly surprising as reported in panel C. The mean values of the three variables have an increasing tendency since they are positive. The degree of volatility is less in case of interest rate but more in inflation almost approaching 100%. This reveals to us that the region under investigation is on the aggregate riddle with persistent upward changes in prices of goods and services; however, the specified variables are normally distributed over the studied period (1980-2012).

b) Test for Maximum Lag Selection 17209

It is quite arbitrary to use any lag value in empirical work. Given this, we select our optimum lag length using 210 the VAR lag order selection criteria and the results are presented in Table 4 The results above give two conflicting 211 positions. The AIC, LR and FPE select lag 3 while SC and HQ prefer lag 2. However, our study employs SC 212 and HQ selection. 213

c) Test for Stationarity Table 4.3: Panel Data Unit Root 18 214 Test based on ADF-Fisher and ADF-Chio z-Statistics 215

Note: The figures in parenthesis are p -values & * implies 19216 significant 217

Source: Extracted from E-view program window (7). 218

The probability values for both ADF-Fisher and ADF-Choi statistics h respect of the specified series are ail 219 less than 5% as revealed in Table 4.3. Therefore, the null hypothesis of a unit root in the series of exchange, 220 interest rate and inflation is rejected at first deference. This means that the series is 1(1) complaint anticipating 221 a cointegration test. Here, Johansen Fisher Panel cointegration test under the assumption of intercept no trend 222 is adopted. The test results are reported in Table 4 In the first row, the hypothesis of no cointegration is rejected 223 since the probability values of both Fisher trace and Fisher max Eigen Statistics are less than 1%. The same 224 thing is observed in the hypothesis that the system does not have at most one cointegration vector is rejected. 225 However, In the third row, the hypothesis that there are no at most two cointegration vectors is net rejected 226 227 at 1% level of significance. Thus, there are at least two cointegrating equations in the system implying that 228 exchange rate, interest rate and inflation are cointegrated in the specified countries.

It is now empirically imperative to evaluate the nature and significance of the relationships between the 229 variables by estimating the pooled, fixed and random effect models which are stated in section three. (7) Table 230 4.5 shows the results of the pooled, fixed and random regression models. The results seem to be identical across 231 the three models. The signs of the constant term are negative and significant; the sign of the inflation is positive 232 and significant while the interest rate is positive but insignificant only for the random model which effects passes 233 the Hausman test that is uncorrelated with the independent variables. (7) The probability value in Table 4.6 is 234 less than 1% which implies that the random effect model is not appropriate and that the fixed model is preferred. 235 Therefore, there are fixed effects in the relationship between exchange rate, interest rate and inflation in the 236 sample of the specified countries. Indeed, it is plausible to examine the direction of flow of effects between 237 the variables under investigation. To do this, we employ the usual Granger Causality test whose results are 238 presented in Table 4 Therefore, there is zero causality between exchange rate and interest rate. The same results 239 are obtained in the third row in which interest rate and inflation do not Granger cause each other. But the 240 result is quite different in the second row; when the null hypothesis that exchange rate does not Granger cause 241 each other. But the result is quite different in the second row; when the null hypothesis that exchange rate does 242 not Granger cause inflation is rejected at 5% level of significance. Thus, we established that there is long-run 243 undirecting causality between exchange rate and inflation with the direction of flow trickling down from the 244 245 exchange rate.

Our final empirical analysis in this study is to ferret out whether interest rate and inflation are endoge-246 nously/exogenously determined in the selected sub-Sahara African countries. This, however, involves testing for 247 weak and block homogeneity for the series. By the rule of thumb, the results on the test of weak exogenetic show 248 249 that the two variables are not statistically significant. Thus, we can Infer that Interest rate and Inflation are exogenously determined; but in the case of the block exogenetic, inflation is significant. Therefore, it turns out to 250 be endogenous while the interest rate remains exogenous throughout the sampling period 1980-2012 coinciding 251 with the regimes of freely and managed floating exchange rate is specified countries. 252 ν.

253

20Conclusion 254

255 The study investigates the relationship between the exchange rate, inflation rate and interest rate using pane! 256 da-a for selected sub-Sahara African countries over the period of 1980-2012. It particularly adopts the Johansen 257 Fisher panel cointegration Approach for its long-run analysis and finds that exchange rate, interest rate and 258 inflation are cointegrating together in the longrun horizon. This is in tandem with the findings of Goswami 259 ??2005).

Furthermore, the study concludes that there is a strong positive relationship between exchange rate and interest 260 rate thereby confirming the empirical stance of Simon & Razak (1999), and also ??ahiri & Hanatrovaska (2008). 261

In line with the study of ??Binder, 2000), we conclude that exchange rate maintains a monotonic relationship 262 with prices. 263

$\mathbf{41}$

Source: Extracted from E-View Program Window (7) @ 2020 Global Journals

Figure 1: Table $4 \cdot 1$:

$\mathbf{242}$

*indicates log order selected by the criterion
LR: sequential modified LR test statistic (each test at 5% level)
PPE: Final prediction error
AIC: Akaike information criterion
SC: Schwartz information criterion
HQ: Hannan-Quinn information criterion

Figure 2: . 2 Table $4 \cdot 2$:

$\mathbf{4}$

| Year 2020 | _ | | | | |
|------------|----------------------|-----------------|---|----------------|---------|
| 52 | Lag | LogL | LR | PPE | AI |
| Volume | $0\ 1\ 2\ 3\ 4$ | -216.92740 | NA | 1333.488 | 15. |
| XX Issue | 5 | -114.40990 | 175.7443 | 1.684641 | 9.0 |
| V Version | | -108.92890 | 6.221574 | 2.224383 | 9.2 |
| Ι | | -94.05795 | 19.11976^* | 1.562734^{*} | 8.8 |
| | | -85.75400 | 8.897083 | 1.874272 | 8.9 |
| | | -76.87057 | 7.614376 | 2.398889 | 8.9 |
| () C | | | | | |
| Global | Series | ADF-Fisher (? 2 | 2) 32.38 (0.00) * 24.02 (0.01) * 28.81 (0.00) * | ADF-Choi (z- | stat) · |
| Journal of | Xch (-1) | | | | |
| Manage- | Int (-1) | | | | |
| ment and | Inf (-1) | | | | |
| Business | | | | | |
| Research | | | | | |
| riescaren | © 2020 | | | | |
| | Global | | | | |
| | Jour- | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | nals | | | | |

Figure 3: . 4

44

| Hypothesized No of $[(CE(s)]$ | Fisher Stat | Fisher Stat |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| | (based on trace test) | (based on Max-Eigen test) |
| No Cointegration Vector | 83.17(0.00) | $65.45 \ (0.00)$ |

Figure 4: Table 4 . 4 :

$\mathbf{45}$

Source: Extracted from E-View program window

Figure 5: Table 4 . 5 :

46

[Note: Source: Extracted from E-view program Window]

Figure 6: Table 4 . 6 :

$\mathbf{47}$

Year 2020 Volume XX Issue V Version I () C Global Journal of Management and Business Research Source: Extracted from E-view program Window

Figure 7: Table 4 . 7 :

$\mathbf{48}$

[Note: Note: The values in parenthesis are the t-values * implies significant @ 5% level.Source: Extracted from E-view program Windom(7)]

Figure 8: Table 4 . 8:

Year 2020

| | Variable | Weak | Block |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | | Exogeneity | Exogenety |
| Volume XX Issue V Version I | Interest Rate (-1) In- | 0.14 (1.68) | 1.77 (1.39) |
| | flation (-1) | $0.01 \ (0.98)$ | 0.84(3.63) |
| () C | | | |
| Global Journal of Management | | | |
| and Business Research | | | |
| | © 2020 Global Jour- | | |
| | nals | | |
| | | | |

Figure 9:

Finally, we find that in the selected sub-Sahara African countries interest rate is weakly exogenously determined contradicting the findings of Keminsky and Schumulkler, (1998)

 $^{^1 @}$ 2020 Global Journals

- [Central Bank of Nigeria Statistical Bulletin for several issues] , http://www.cenbank.org/ Central Bank
 of Nigeria Statistical Bulletin for several issues
- 268 [Engle and Granger ()] , R F C W J Engle , Granger . Representation and Testing. Econometrics 1917. 55 p. .
- [IMF, World Economic Outline Database ()], www.imf.org IMF, World Economic Outline Database 2012.
- 270 [Hegerty ()] , S W Hegerty . 2012.
- 271 [Statistical Bulletin. Central Bank of Nigeria ()], Statistical Bulletin. Central Bank of Nigeria 2012. 2012. 2012.
- Central Bank of Nigeria, Research Department, Lagos, Unpublished Discussion Paper. Bank of Botswana
 (Statistical Bulletin. Central Bank of Egypt. statistical bulletin)
- [Ackley ()] 'A third Approach to the Analysis and control of inflation'. G Ackley . the relationship of prices to economic stability and growth, 1958. (joint economic committee)
- [Machlup (1960)] 'Another view of cost-push and demand-pull inflation'. F Machlup . R.E.S 1960. May 1960. 42.
- [Engle ()] 'Autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity with estimates of the variance of the United Kingdom
 Inflation'. R F Engle . *Econometrica* 1982. 50 p. .
- 279 [Akinnifesi ()] Credit Ceilings, Absorptive Capacity and Inflation in Nigeria, E O Akinnifesi . 1977. 1962-1980.
- [Does high inflation lead to increased inflation uncertainty? Evidence from Nine African Countries African Economic and Business
 'Does high inflation lead to increased inflation uncertainty? Evidence from Nine African Countries'. African
 Economic and Business Review 10 (2).
- [Adetiloye et al. ()] 'Empirical relationship between the consumer price index and exchange rate in Nigeria'. F
 Adetiloye , W Kehinde , Adekunle . Journal of finance vol 2010. 24 p. .
- [Wellington et al. (2012)] 'Empirical test of the relationship between exchange rate and inflation in Zimbabwe'.
- M Wellington, C Claines, Z James. Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development 2012. March 30, 30. 2012. 9 (1). The African Development Bank Group
- [Rahman and Hussein ()] Exchange rate and investment in the Manufacturing sector of Bangladesh. The
 Bangladesh Development Studies, M H Rahman , M I Hussein . 2003. 1 & 2. 29 p. .
- [Omotor ()] 'Exchange Rate Reform and its Inflationary Consequences: The Case of Nigeria'. G D Omotor .
 Economic Pregled 2008. 59 (11) p. .
- [Dornbush and Fischer ()] 'Exchange rates and the current account the'. R Dornbush , S Fischer . American
 Economic Review 1990. 70 (5) p. .
- [IMF Country report (2012)] IMF Country report, 2012. December. 12.
- 295 [Holzman ()] Income Determination in open inflation, F O Holzman . 1958.
- [Sowa and Kwakye ()] 'inflationary trends and control in Ghana'. N Sowa , J Kwakye . Paper presented at the
 African Economic Research Consortium meeting, (Nairobi) 1991.
- [Hergerty ()] 'Interest-rate volatility and volatility Spillovers in emerging Europe'. S W Hergerty . International
 Review of Applied Economics 2011. 25 (5) p. .
- [Friedman ()] 'Nobel lecture: Inflation and unemployment'. M Friedman . Journal of Political Economy 1977. 85
 p. .
- 302 [Razak and Simon ()] 'Nominal Exchange Rates and Nominal Interest Rate Differentials' Working Paper'. A W
- Razak , D N Simon . WP/99/141. 29(1 &2. Statistical Bulletin. Reserved Bank of Malawi 1999. 2012. 2012.
 p. . (Statistical Bulletin)
- 305 [Ricardo ()] Principle of Political Economy and Taxation, D Ricardo . 1821. London: G. Bell and Sons.
- [Schultz ()] 'Recent inflation in the United States'. C Schultz . Employment, Growth and Price level, (U.S.
 Congress) 1959. 1959. (study paper 1, joint economic committee)
- 308 [Gosnami ()] Stock market and economic forces: an empirical analysis, G Gosnami . 2012. (IMF working paper)

309 [Lahiri et al. ()] 'The effect of Money supply on the exchange rate in the inflationary process of twenty-three

- African Countries'. A Lahiri , V Hantrovaska , A C Vegh . International Research Journal of Finance London.
 S W. (ed.) 2008. 1989. 15 p. . (Interest Rates and Exchange Rate: A, Non-Monotonic Tale. JEL Classification:
- 312 F3, 19)
- 313 [Duesenberrry (ed.) (1950)] The mechanics of inflation, Duesenberrry. R.E.S (ed.) May 1950.
- [Ndungu ()] 'The pricing of Exchange rate risk in the stock market'. P Ndungu . Journal of Finance 1993. 134
 p. .
- 316 [Keminsky and Schumulkler ()] The relationship between interest rates and exchange rates in six Asian countries,
- G Keminsky , S Schumulkler . 1998. Washington D.C. (World Bank Development Economics and Office of
 the Chief Economist)
- 319 [Friedman ()] 'The role of monetary policy'. M Friedman . American Economic Review 1968. 58 p. .
- 320 [Kanas ()] 'Volatility Spillovers between stock returns and exchange rate changes: International evidence'. A
- Kanas . Journal of Business Finance and Accounting 2000. (3) p. 27.