



The Scope, Structure and Fiscal Policy Implication of West Africa Trade Zone

By Past. Prof. Abomaye-Nimenibo, Williams Aminadokiari Samuel
& George, Sotonye M. D.

Obong University

Abstract- Regional economic integration has been an increasing priority among many African nations. Adequate information fosters better trade relations among nations. This paper investigated the scope, structure and fiscal policy implication of West Africa trade zone. Relevant data and information and materials for the study were obtained from Central Bank of Nigeria's Statistical bulletin, 2018, textbooks and published journal articles. Econometric analysis of Ordinary least square was used in the analysis. The result showed that the absence of formal contact and adequate market information was smooth trade relations amongst the West African countries. It was found that policies on trade partners in West Africa's Trade Zone have significant effects on the external performance of the Nigerian economy either positively or negatively. It was further revealed that tax a contractionary fiscal instrument can be used to regulate the external sector of the Nigerian economy in West Africa's Trade Zone.

Keywords: regional economic integration, trade relations, market information, trade partners, trade zone, free flow of trade, fiscal policy, fiscal instrument, economy.

GJMBR-B Classification: JEL Code: M20



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



The Scope, Structure and Fiscal Policy Implication of West Africa Trade Zone

Past. Prof. Abomaye-Nimenibo, Williams Aminadokiari Samuel ^α & George, Sotonye M. D. ^σ

Abstract- Regional economic integration has been an increasing priority among many African nations. Adequate information fosters better trade relations among nations. This paper investigated the scope, structure and fiscal policy implication of West Africa trade zone. Relevant data and information and materials for the study were obtained from Central Bank of Nigeria's Statistical bulletin, 2018, textbooks and published journal articles. Econometric analysis of Ordinary least square was used in the analysis. The result showed that the absence of formal contact and adequate market information was smooth trade relations amongst the West African countries. It was found that policies on trade partners in West Africa's Trade Zone have significant effects on the external performance of the Nigerian economy either positively or negatively. It was further revealed that tax a contractionary fiscal instrument can be used to regulate the external sector of the Nigerian economy in West Africa's Trade Zone. The study suggests for removal of barriers against the free flow of trade among West African countries.

Keywords: regional economic integration, trade relations, market information, trade partners, trade zone, free flow of trade, fiscal policy, fiscal instrument, economy.

I. INTRODUCTION

Countries in West Africa appear as natural partners in the hob of trade in agriculture, food and general merchandise, as different sub-regions have different comparative advantages, with diverse ecosystems yielding a wide range of produce. The natural complementary among countries due to the agro-climatic conditions, promote sizeable agricultural trade flows between coastal countries and the Sahel-Sudan and Sahel countries. The latter are typically exporters of coarse grains (millet, sorghum), cowpeas and livestock while the coastal countries and the lower Sahelo-Sudanian Zones export maize, rice, roots, tubers and tropical fruits to land-locked countries (FAO, 2015). Both regional economic communities, ECOWAS and Members of the West African Economic and Monetary Union (also known by its French acronym, UEMOA) which include Benin, Burkina Faso, Côte D'Ivoire,

Author α: Phd, M.Sc., B.Sc. Economics, Mba Management and Ud, Personnel Management and Industrial Relations, Director of Postgraduate Studies, School of Postgraduate Studies, Obong University, Obong Ntak, Etim Ekpo Lga, Akwa Ibom State. Nigeria. e-mail: wasanim2006@yahoo.com

Author σ: B.Sc. Accountancy, and PGD Business Management (RSU); M.Sc. Cooperative Economics and Management, and PhD, Co-Operative Economics and Management in View (UNIZIK). Department of Cooperative Economics and Management, Faculty of Management Sciences, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Enugu State.

Guinea-Bissau, Mali, Niger, Senegal, and Togo, have developed trade policy frameworks to increase trade integration between their member states. This process was further advanced in UEMOA, as the customs union, and the abolition of tariffs or quotas on intraregional trade in domestic products approved by ECOWAS. However, ECOWAS has been catching up through its Trade Liberalization Scheme (ETLS) and the Common External Tariff (CET).

Regional economic integration has been an increasing priority among many African nations in recent years. For instance, the Continental Free Trade Area Negotiating Forum (CFTA), which was convened for the first time in Addis Ababa aimed at incorporating all 53 African Countries. Besides the scope, the structure and financial implication of the West African Trade Zone, borders on the single currency and trading infrastructure. To this end, many developing countries, mainly the African countries are today faced with public expenditure funding issue essential to meeting the growing needs of their populations. The difficulties associated with this plan are further compounded by the sluggish international economic environment, which increases their vulnerability to the official development assistance (ODA) and foreign debt which they are essentially dependent. Given the volatility of external financing and the urgency to reduce external dependence, these African countries need to change development funding strategy, mobilizing domestic resources, which appear to consider the best way to finance public spending. In this context, it is appropriate to use the internal tax resources, whose mobilization for development purposes can be performed without causing a debt process, allowing to prioritize the use of such resources to preserve the sustainability of public finances.

Besides, there is a need to mobilizing domestic resources to give more relevance to fiscal policy. The mobilization through the development of the private sector in the countries of the WAEMU zone is characterized by an insufficient number of companies able to sustainably contribute to the creation of wealth. Moreover, the existence of a limited number of companies is capable of making tax a fiscal tool to negative performance and unsustainable in the long term, to financially support the zone, due to an unfavourable economic environment. Various reforms have been carried out since 1990 which targeted a

reduction in the weight of tax structures which burdened economic growth. Taxes, therefore, sought to create a tax environment that encourages savings, investment, entrepreneurship and work.

- i) *Taxes according to Bénassy-Quéré et al, (2009). affects:* individual decisions concerning savings, work and improvement in the level of education;
- ii) business decisions on production, job creation, investment and innovation
- iii) the choice of savings instruments and assets by investors.

OECD, (2009), stated that all these decisions are affected not only by the level of taxes but also by the way tax instruments are designed and combined to generate public revenues.

It is important to note that formal and informal cross-border trade can also be explained by longstanding relationships and indigenous patterns, which often pre-date colonial and post-colonial state boundaries. Cross-border trade is often conducted among people of the same clan or ethnicity group. The West African communities spread along the territorial boundaries, and they have a lot in common both culturally and socially. They speak the same or similar languages, they inter-marry and own land on either side of the borders. This alone provides an incentive to these communities to engage in trade to explore available opportunities on either side of the border. In the absence of formal contracts, adequate market information, and other important obstacles to formal trade, trust-based networks can play an important role in establishing trade relations, although informal (OECD, 2009). ODI, (2012) quoting Aker *et al.* (2010), stated that ethnic differences can act as a significant intra-national border between markets and suggest that ethnic similarities diminishing international border effects could enhance international market integration.

a) *Statement of the problem*

There are numerous unresolved issues bedevilling trade relations among the West African States, which points to the widespread nature of bribery in the region, especially, the prevalence of corrupt customs procedures and road harassments. Border bribes and roadblocks lead to long and costly delays in trade (World Bank, 2015). There are cases of import restrictions, export restrictions, and tariffs. Free and intra-regional trade is further hampered by complex, non-transparent or lengthy customs procedures, high costs of moving goods by road or rail within West Africa sub-region, as a result of poor infrastructure and governance of the transport sector, which affects prices of goods produced in rural areas. Transport prices per kilometre from farm gate to primary collection markets tend to be three to five times higher than those from secondary (often rural wholesale) markets to wholesale

markets located in the country's capitals (FAO, 2015). The high cost of transportation negatively affects access to markets, because of the geographical distance between producers and consumers. The availability and quality of connecting infrastructure also hamper free trade in the West African suburb. Considering these numerous hindrances, it becomes pertinent to provide answers to questions such as: what is the cost implication of trading among West Africa countries and how free is the zone. To this end, we are poised at addressing the scope, structure and fiscal policy implication of West Africa Trade zone.

b) *Study Hypothesis*

The study is guided by the following formulated hypotheses:

H_{01} : There is no significant effect of ExR on FDI in West Africa Trade zone.

H_{02} : TOP does not significantly affect FDI in West Africa Trade zone.

H_{03} : There is no significant effect of NT on FDI in West Africa Trade zone.

H_{04} : NIP does not significantly affect FDI in West Africa Trade zone.

H_{05} : There is no significant effect of NExP on FDI in West Africa Trade zone.

The meaning of the above-used abbreviations are as follows: FDI is the total foreign direct investment, ExR is the exchange rate, TOP represents the trade openness, NT is net tax, NIP is the net import while NExP is the net export.

c) *Significance of the study*

The importance of this study will go a long way in educating researchers and the public in light of the following benefits:

- i. Researchers will find this work rewarding at all times as a reference when seeking literature on West African Trade-related issues.
- ii. The study will help researchers to realize the actual state of bilateral trade among Members of Economic Communities of West Africa (ECOWAS).
- iii. The study will help the West African economies by revealing the stand of the economy in the face of challenges facing West Africa trade relations.
- iv. The study stands to enlighten Policy Maker on the ways of finding the best policy to use when it comes to the issue of West African trade zone.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

a) *Conceptual Issues*

i. *Trade Scope*

In every trade relation, there is always a defined scope that guides its operations, and applications. The simplification, harmonization, standardization and modernization of trade procedures. It seeks to reduce

trade transaction costs at the interface between business and government and is an agenda item within many custom related activities (Baxa, (2010).

ii. Trade Structure

According to Martinez-Lopez (2005), trade structure is independent of the level of the trade itself, which has an important effect on the rate of economic growth. It is the trade constituents, what it is made up of.

iii. Fiscal Policy

Fiscal Policy is how a government adjusts its spending levels and tax rates to monitor and influence a nation's economy usually through the controlled spending, taxation and transfer payment to influence aggregate demand and therefore real income (Blankenau & Simpson (2004).

Fiscal policy, therefore, is undoubtedly one of the most important tools used by the government to achieve macroeconomic stability of the economy of most developing countries (Siyan and Adebayo, 2005).

Fiscal policy according to Abomaye-Nimenibo (2017) is the use of government spending and taxation policies to influence the level of economic activity, inflation and economic growth. Fiscal means having to do with taxation, public revenue or public debt. He went to say that, to stabilize prices of goods and services in the country, the government may use a fiscal tool of contractionary fiscal policy to combat price induced inflation.

b) Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework is based on several empirical studies which have produced mixed results on the effect of fiscal policy on economic growth. To understand the main channels through which fiscal variables affect the rate of economic growth, the neoclassical model of Solow (1956), which identifies five channels was considered:

- i) High taxes may discourage investment by decreasing net capital;
- ii) Taxation can weaken labour supply, by distorting the choice between work and leisure, between training and the low-skilled;
- iii) Taxation can slow production growth by discouraging investment in research and development, or in high technology;
- iv) Taxation can have an impact on the marginal productivity of capital, especially if it promotes a shift in investment towards sectors where taxes are lowest and where productivity is lower (Skinner, 1987);
- v) High taxes on labour supply can discourage the efficient use of human capital, to discourage work in high productivity and high tax areas.

Economists of supply conclude from their analysis that reducing the tax burden should lead initially

to accelerating economic growth and secondly to enable the State to increase the amount of revenue.

The pioneering work on endogenous growth (Romer, 1986; Lucas, 1988) helped to capture the effects of taxation on growth. The work allows verifying that when taxes are used to finance public investment in infrastructure, education and health, they may be favourable to growth (Lucas, 1988; Barro, 1990).

Considering a growth model with productive public spending, Barro (1990) emphasizes the existence of a Laffer curve between tax rates and economic growth rates. This curve shows that up to a certain tax threshold, tax policy encourages growth, but beyond that threshold, it generates negative externalities that retard growth.

Kocherlakota and Yi (1997) find that the effects of taxes on economic growth are permanent as provided by the endogenous growth model. However, when taxes exceed a certain level, they generate negative externalities on the economy. From a general equilibrium model calibrated on the multiregional WAEMU countries,

Cadot *et al.* (2013) show that following the enlargement of the tax base of value-added tax (VAT) associated with a significant decrease in rates for the same level of VAT revenue, GDP increases by 1 to 2 percent according to the country.

Easterly and Rebelo (1993), in a study to show the relationship between the various fiscal policy measures, the level of development and the rate of economic growth, among others conclude that the impact of taxes on growth depends on its structure, and only the marginal tax rate on income significantly explains the growing disparities.

c) Empirical Review

The pioneering work on endogenous growth (Romer, 1986; Lucas, 1988) helped to capture the effects of taxation on growth. The work allows verifying that when taxes are used to finance public investment in infrastructure, education and health, they may be favourable to growth (Lucas, 1988; Barro, 1990). Considering a growth model with productive public spending, Barro (1990) emphasizes the existence of a Laffer curve between tax rates and economic growth rates. This curve shows that up to a certain tax threshold, tax policy encourages growth, but beyond that threshold, it generates negative externalities that retard growth.

Kocherlakota and Yi (1997) find that the effects of taxes on economic growth are permanent as provided by the endogenous growth model. However, when taxes exceed a certain level, they generate negative externalities on the economy.

From a general equilibrium model calibrated on the multiregional WAEMU countries, Cadot *et al.* (2013) show that following the enlargement of the tax base of

value-added tax (VAT) associated with a significant decrease in rates for the same level of VAT revenue, GDP increases by 1 to 2 percent according to the country.

Easterly and Rebelo (1993), in a study to show the relationship between the various fiscal policy measures, the level of development and the rate of economic growth, among others conclude that the impact of taxes on growth depends on its structure, and only the marginal tax rate on income significantly explains the growing disparities.

Eaton (1981) showed that taxes may reduce growth in the endogenous growth model. Work by Chambas (1994) concluded the adverse effects of tax rates on productive activity in African countries. For him, the tax rate generally applied in Africa is the source of incentives to reduce consumption.

Lee and Gordon (2005) and Martinez Lopez (2005), using the endogenous growth model, lead to the conclusion that the increase in the tax rate on income leads to lower growth rates. Milesi-Ferretti and Roubini (1995) also showed that direct taxes hurt growth. Using the framework of the neoclassical growth model, Milesi-Ferretti and Roubini (1998) show that changes in tax rates can not affect the long-term growth rate. Some authors believe that the impact of fiscal policy on growth is negligible (Harberger, 1964), Mendoza, Milesi-Ferretti and Asea, 1995), and conclude

that growth requires substantial changes in the tax system (Mendoza, Milesi-Ferretti & Asea, 1995).

Rivas (2003) shows that if the government uses taxes to finance certain public services such as infrastructure, education, health, the legal system, respect for property rights, the relationship between taxation and growth becomes ambiguous. By using the endogenous growth model, Tomljanovich (2004) showed that the relationship between fiscal policy and growth becomes more uncertain.

III. METHOD OF STUDY

The econometric analysis was implored in the data analysis in which step by step analysis followed the adoption of Augmented Dickey-Fuller, bound co-integration test, vector error correction model and Engle-Granger Causality test.

In analysing the scope, structure and fiscal policy implication of West Africa trade zone, an econometric model was built on the functional form:

$$FDI = f(ExR, TOP, NT, IMP, ExP) \dots \dots \dots 1$$

Where FDI is the total foreign direct investment, ExR is the exchange rate, TOP represents the trade openness, NT is net tax, NIP is the net import while NExP is the net export.

The ordinary least squares linear regression equation based on the above functional relation is;

$$FDI = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ExR + \beta_2 TOP + \beta_3 NT + \beta_4 IMP + \beta_5 ExP \dots \dots \dots 2$$

Transforming the equation into linear form;

$$\ln FDI = \ln \beta_0 + \beta_1 ExR_t + \ln \beta_2 TOP_t + \ln \beta_3 NT_t + \ln \beta_4 IMP_t + \ln \beta_5 ExP_t + e_t \dots \dots \dots 4$$

Where:

FDI	= dependent variable
ExR, TOP, NT, IMP, ExP	= independent or explanatory variables
β_0	= regression constant
$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$	= regression coefficients of the explanatory variables
u_t	= Error term

a) A priori theoretical expectation

A Priori Theoretical Expectations, the coefficients of the parameter estimates are:

$$(\beta_1 > 0, \beta_2 > 0, \beta_3 > 0, \beta_4 > 0, \beta_5 > 0).$$

IV. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Table 1: Data for Analysis

a) Data Presentation

Year	Foreign Direct Investment, FDI (N' Billion)	Exchange Rate, ExR (NPer USD)	Trade Openness (TOP)	Net Tax, NT (N' Billion)	Net Import, NIP (N' Billion)	Net Export, NExP (N' Billion)
1981	4475.062	0.6	0.00156	1.85696	12.8396	11.0233
1982	1100.485	0.7	0.001268	1.65584	10.7705	8.2064

1983	704.1846	0.7	0.001184	1.63183	8.9037	7.5025
1984	574.1308	0.8	0.001183	1.72563	7.1783	9.088
1985	1058.977	0.9	0.001257	1.72771	7.0626	11.7208
1986	1608.312	1.8	0.000978	1.78241	5.9836	8.9206
1987	4964.713	4	0.003164	1.91142	17.8617	30.3606
1988	5711.91	4.5	0.003244	1.94799	21.4457	31.1928
1989	3534.017	7.4	0.00514	2.17471	30.8602	57.9712
1990	3252.553	8	0.00806	2.18282	45.7179	109.8861
1991	3893.156	9.9	0.01099	2.28451	89.4882	121.5354
1992	2721.841	17.3	0.017778	2.24168	143.1512	205.6117
1993	4678.242	22.1	0.019289	2.2062	165.6294	218.7701
1994	7486.386	22	0.018464	2.21073	162.7888	206.0592
1995	2641.539	21.9	0.08381	2.30827	755.1277	950.6614
1996	2145.507	21.9	0.088399	2.3822	562.6266	1309.543
1997	5806.854	21.9	0.0958	2.45388	845.7166	1241.663
1998	7367.287	21.9	0.071164	2.48184	837.4187	751.8567
1999	5054.477	92.3	0.091383	2.60885	862.5157	1188.97
2000	7160.708	101.7	0.123719	2.76694	985.0224	1945.723
2001	9640.833	111.2	0.127682	3.1908	1358.18	1867.954
2002	8085.083	120.6	0.112471	3.41828	1512.695	1744.178
2003	7208.051	129.2	0.162983	3.73636	2080.235	3087.886
2004	11289	132.9	0.18817	3.98218	1987.045	4602.782
2005	22604.18	131.3	0.26811	4.22998	2800.856	7246.535
2006	34729.16	128.7	0.260859	4.51515	3108.519	7324.681
2007	42056.82	125.8	0.284742	4.82745	3911.953	8309.758
2008	54129.43	118.5	0.347316	5.21903	5593.18	10387.69
2009	41418.25	148.9	0.282553	4.6979	5480.656	8606.32
2010	34636.8	150.3	0.369432	4.81488	8163.975	12011.48
2011	30228.8	153.9	0.456132	139.0097	10995.86	15236.67
2012	35316.76	157.5	0.415584	7.24124	9766.557	15139.33
2013	42259.11	157.3	0.390729	8.24673	9439.425	15262.01
2014	34512.15	158.6	0.349938	7.56763	10538.78	12960.49
2015	27667.75	192.4	0.288614	7.21194	11076.07	8845.159
2016	24186.54	253.5	0.269626	7.14711	9480.367	8835.612
2017	29900.5	305.8	0.361988	1.98971	10804.85	13988.14
2018	41251.83	306.12	0.468774	1.85696	13445.11	19280.04

Sources: CBN Statistical Bulletin 2018, Online Portal and WDI

b) *Unit Root Test Using Augmented-Dickey Fuller (ADF) Methods*

Decision: If the ADF value is greater than the critical value at 5%, there is no unit root. This implies that the series is time-invariant.

Table 2: Summary of Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test Result

Variables	ADF State	5% Critical Value	Order of Integration	Assessment
NT	-6.467138	-2.948404	1(0)	Stationary
TOP	-4.710965	-2.945842	1(0)	Stationary
FDI	-3.825469	-2.945842	1(0)	Stationary
ExR	-4.210022	-2.945842	1(0)	Stationary

Source: Authors' Computation

From the results above, the variables are stationary at 1 (0) level. Therefore, the test for co-integration is not necessary, meaning that, at short-run

analysis, the system will quickly adjust to the long-run equilibrium. Therefore, we proceed to the ordinary least squares (OLS) estimation.

c) *Regression Results*

Table 3: Dependent Variable: NT

Method: Least Squares				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
TOP	-53806285	20313669	2.648772	0.0122
FDI	-159.6749	14.91015	18.101955	0.0282
EXR	-100099.4	22751.33	4.399716	0.0001
C	13739398	1349025.	10.18469	0.0000
R-squared	0.924337	Mean dependent var		33725375
Adjusted R-squared	0.917661	S.D. dependent var		19578386
S.E. of regression	5617969.	Akaike info criterion		34.02014
Sum squared resid	1.07E+15	Schwarz criterion		34.19252
Log-likelihood	-642.3826	Hannan-Quinn criteria.		34.08147
F-statistic	138.4542	Durbin-Watson stat		2.393544
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

Source: Eviews 9 Output

d) *Interpretation of Results (Using 5% Level of Significance)*

Trade Openness (TOP): The result shows that TOP has a negative relationship with net tax (NT). This indicates that a unit change in TOP will cause a change in BA by 53806285 in the opposite direction. Also, given the probability value of the estimate, the result shows that TOP is a significant determinant of NT statistically given the probability value as less than 0.05 and t-value greater than 2.

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI): The FDI has a negative relationship with NT. This means that a unit increase in FDI will cause NT to fall by 159.6749. Given the t-value greater than 2, the estimate of FDI is significant. This also holds for the probability value, less than 0.05.

Exchange Rate (ExR): This has a negative relationship with NT. This shows that a unit increase in ExR will cause NT to fall by 100099.4. Given the probability value less than 0.05, it shows that ExR is a significant determinant of NT likewise t-value greater than 2.

$R^2 = 0.924337(92\%)$: This shows that 92% variation in the variable NT is explained by variables in the model, while the remaining 8% is explained by other variables not included in the model.

F-Statistic: This shows that the overall model is statistically significant given the probability f-statistic at 5% level of significantly less than 0.05.

D-W-Statistics: Giving the value of D-W stat as 2.393544 greater than the R^2 , there is the absence of autocorrelation. This implies the model can be used for forecasting.

e) *Granger Causality Test*

The existence of a relationship between the variables does not prove causality or the direction of influence. As a result, the Granger causality test is to test for the causality between NT, TOP, FDI and ExR. Since we are interested in the causality between NT and other explanatory variables in the model, other results of the causality test will not be interpreted. Therefore, the changes in any variable in the pairs can be used to predict the changes in the other.

f) *Decision Rule*

Reject H_0 if the probability of Granger causality < 5% level of significance, accept if otherwise. The results of the Granger causality test are presented below:

Table 4: Pair wise Granger Causality Tests

Null Hypothesis:	Obs	F-Statistic	Prob.
TOP does not Granger Cause NT	37	28.8324	6.E-06
NT does not Granger Cause TOP		2.27476	0.1407
FDI does not Granger Cause NT	37	18.8955	0.0001
NT does not Granger Cause FDI		2.01564	0.1648
EXR does not Granger Cause NT	37	2.65433	0.1125
NT does not Granger Cause EXR		2.71193	0.1088

Source: Eviews 9 Output

From the results above, the following conclusions are drawn. TOP Granger-causes NT but NT does not Granger-cause TOP. FDI does not Granger-cause NT and NT Granger-causes FDI. ExR Granger-causes NT and NT Granger-causes ExR.

g) *Discussion of Findings*

The study investigated the scope, structure and fiscal policy implications of Nigeria in the West African Trade Zone. The study models net tax as a measure of restrictive and protectionist policy instrument, as a dependent variable on foreign direct investment (FDI), the exchange rate (ExR) and trade openness (TOP). The variables are stationary at a level indicating a long-run relationship among the variables as shown by the Augment Dickey-Fuller test. The regression results show a positive relationship between NT and the variables in the model are significant at 5%. The Pair wise Granger Causality Tests reveals a two-directional causal relationship between ExR and NT, while FDI and NT, and TOP and NT have a unidirectional relationship. The overall model equally shows that the estimates are statistically significant and have a negative relationship with NT. This implies that protectionist policies on trade partners in West Africa's Trade Zone have significant effects on the external performance of the Nigerian economy either positively or negatively.

V. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

a) *Summary of Results*

- 1) TOP has a negative and significant effect and relationship with NT.
- 2) FDI has a negative and significant long-run effect and relationship with NT.
- 3) ExR has a negative and significant effect and relationship with NT in the long run.
- 4) There is no short-run interaction between variables in the model. This implies that tax being a

contractionary fiscal instrument can be used to regulate the external sector of the Nigerian economy in the West African Trade Zone.

b) *Conclusion and Recommendations*

The study concludes that trade relationship among West African Countries fosters unity among member states. We also find that policies on trade partners in West Africa's Trade Zone have significant effects on the external performance of the Nigerian economy positively and/or negatively. It was further revealed that tax a contractionary fiscal instrument can be used to regulate the external sector of the Nigerian economy in West Africa's Trade Zone.

Accordingly, we recommend that:

- i. Tax as a contractionary fiscal instrument is used to regulate the external sector of the Nigerian economy as it relates to West Africa's Trade Zone.
- ii. We further suggest that all barriers against the free flow of trade among West African countries be removed forthwith.
- iii. There should be bilateral trade between members of ECOWAS with no trade tariffs, customs duties, etc.
- iv. Labour mobility be encouraged among the Member States of ECOWAS, etc.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Abomaye-Nimenibo, W. A. S (2017 a) The Empirical Determinants of Aggregate Demand And Its Effect On The Nigerian Populace, Global Journal of Management and Business Research, E. Marketing, Volume 17, Issue 3, Version 1.0; December 23, 2017.
2. Abomaye Nimenibo, W. A. S. (2017), the Concept & Practice of Taxation in Nigeria, Port Harcourt, Nimehas Publishers.
3. Blankenau, W. F. & N. B. Simpson (2004). "Public education, expenditures and growth". *Journal of Development Economics*, 73, 583-605.

4. Baxa, J. (2010): "What the Data Say about the Effects of Fiscal Policy in the Czech Republic?" In: Houda, M., Friebeleva, J. (eds.): *Mathematical Methods in Economics 2010*. Ceske Budejovice: University of South Bohemia, 24-29.
5. Benassi, S., J. Jarreau & C. Mitaritonna. 2016. Informal trade in Benin, Togo and Nigeria: determinants and impacts on price transmission. AGRODEP project 2015X115.JAR
6. Byiers, B., J. Vanheukelom and C.K.M. Kingombe. 2015. A five lenses framework for analyzing the political economy in regional integration. (Discussion Paper 178). Maastricht: ECDPM.
7. Byiers, B., Vanheukelom, J. 2015. ATWA Phase 1 Political Economy Considerations. CILSS. 2014. Cross Border Trade Flow of Agricultural Products in West Africa.
8. Chabossou, A. F. C., (2017): "Fiscal Policy and Economic Growth in the West African Economic Monetary Union Countries" *Journal of Economics and Development Studies*. 5(3), 96-104. DOI: 10.15640/jeds.v5n3a11
9. De Roos, E., Oberst, U. & Dietz, T., (2016): "Annotated Bibliography for the Scoping study 'Improving the perspective for regional trade and investment in West-Africa: the key to food security, economic development and stability in the region'. Leiden: African Studies Centre.
10. Engel, J. & Jouanjean, M. A. (2013): "Barriers to trade in food staples in West Africa: an analytical review. London: ODI. Engel, J. and M. A. Jouanjean. 2015. Political and Economic Constraints to the ECOWAS Regional Economic Integration Process and Opportunities for Donor Engagement. EPA PEAKS. London: ODI.
11. FAO & African Development Bank. 2015. Agricultural Growth in West Africa: Market and policy drivers. Rome: FAO/AfDB Golub, S. 2015. Informal cross-border trade and smuggling in Africa. In: Handbook on trade in development, O. Morrissey, R. A. Lopez and K. Sharma. Cheltenham.
12. Haggblade, S. *et al.* (2012): "Staple Food Market Sheds in West Africa. MSU International Development Working Paper 121 February 2012. JICA. 2012. Data Collection Survey on
13. Traffic for International Port and International Corridor in Western Africa. Josser and, H. 2013. Assessment of volumes and value of regionally traded staple commodities. Presentation at Food Across Borders Conference, Accra, Ghana, January 2013.
14. Lange, P., T. D. & Rau, M. I., (2016): "Improving the perspective for regional trade and investment in West Africa: the key to food security, economic development and stability in the region. (Scoping report). The Hague: Ministry of Foreign Affairs
15. Martinez-Lopez, D. (2005), "Fiscal Policy and Growth: The case of Spanish Regions", *Economic Issues*, 10(1), 9-24.
16. Siyan P. and Adebayo F. O., (2005), an empirical investigation of stability and Money demand in Nigeria (1970-1999), *Nigerian Journal of Economics Development Matters (NJEDM)*, 4(1) 87-102.