Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Economic Impact of Public Expenditure in The Gambia Thomas Roberts¹, François J. Cabral² and Samuel Coly³ ¹ Cheikh Anta Diop University of Dakar. *Received: 10 November 2020 Accepted: 30 November 2020 Published: 15 December 2020*

6 Abstract

⁷ The impact of public expenditure on the productive sectors (agriculture, industry, and service)

- ⁸ in The Gambia, is analyzed within the framework of a Dynamic Computable General
- ⁹ Equilibrium (DCGE) model. The model is applied and calibrated to assess the impact of a 10

10

11 Index terms— CGE model, government expenditure, poverty, economic growth, inequality

12 **1** Introduction

ue to the poverty and inequality-related challenges in The Gambia and many African countries, the Gambian 13 Government and the international community have intensified their efforts to increase and redirect public 14 resources to ensure that countries benefit from an economic development that is socially inclusive and 15 environmentally sustainable. For instance, in Maputo, Mozambique, in 2003, the Gambian Head of State 16 and other African Heads of State approved the establishment of CAADP. In 2014, at Malabo, Equatorial 17 Guinea, African Heads of State reaffirmed their commitments to end hunger and halve poverty by 2025, through 18 inclusive agricultural growth and productivity. They also reiterated their commitment to enhancing resilience in 19 livelihoods and production systems to climate change-related shocks; a commitment to 10% of public investment 20 to agriculture; among others (AUC, 2014). 21

22 **2 D**

23 Moreover, it is worthy to note that Governments in developing countries struggle to fund productive sectors like agriculture. For instance, most developing countries have a large informal sector and inefficiencies in tax 24 25 administration, which implies lower than average tax-to-GDP rations. Also, increasing Government tax revenues 26 may significantly undermine private sector savings and investments in the economy (Sennoga and Matovu, 2010). African leaders have signed and committed to various other Charters that demand public funds of considerable 27 size. For instance, the 2001 Abuja Declaration called for Governments to spend 15% of their national budget in 28 the health sector. Also the '2007 Year of Science and Technology' demanded that Governments spend 1% of GDP 29 on science and technology. These commitments (among others) may, therefore, stretch the capacity of African 30 Governments to consistently dedicate at least 10% of their expenditure to agriculture (Benin, 2015). Brüntrup 31

(2011) and Mahalambe (2009) have, therefore, vigorously asserted that the 10% public agriculture expenditure
 commitment is highly arbitrary and indifferent to country-specific contexts.

Notwithstanding, one cannot neglect the fact that agriculture has a crucial role in contributing to Africa's inclusive and sustainable growth, given that almost two-thirds of the continent's population rely on agricultural income for a living-and the consumption expenditure of approximately three-quarters of the poorest African households is spent on food (Goyal and Nash, 2017). Moreover, targeting Government expenditure to reduce poverty is not enough. Public expenditure should equally stimulate economic growth.

Studies have shown that Government spending on agricultural R&D, irrigation and infrastructure (including roads and electricity) targeted to the rural poor have contributed to a reduction of rural poverty and growth in agricultural productivity (but in different variations) (Fan et al., 2000). Benin and Yu (2013) also emphasized that Government spending on growthinducing agricultural R&D takes time to show results.

The financing of public capital spending through external or internal indebtedness, among others, would significantly discourage (or crowd-out) private investment from profitable sectors. This ineffective financing

3 GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS RESEARCH

45 method is mostly the case in many developing countries where there is a weak structural Year 2020 () B private 46 financial system (Niels andCensink, 2001 andRamirez, 1996). The concept of crowding-out the private sector 47 has a long history of debate in macroeconomic theory. For instance, it was known to Keynes as 'diversion' 48 (Buiter, 1977). Barro (1989) later asserted that public investment tends to be positively correlated to private 49 investment. The relationship between public investment and private expenditure is either one of 'crowding-in' 50 or 'crowding-out'. In order words, when public expenditure crowds-in (or attracts private investment), it is seen

as productive-and when the latter occurs, it undermines growth. Generally, private capital, when stimulated by public capital can have the required economic growth and poverty reduction impacts through these pathways:

52 passe capital can have the required coolonne growth and poverty reduction impacts through these pathways.
 53 technology advancing, human capital enhancing, transaction costreducing and crowding-in private capital (Benin,
 54 2015).

Public expenditure also has an impact on Total Factor Productivity (TFP). The literature on the effect of public expenditure on TFP vary. For instance, the heterogeneity of TFP between regions was discussed in Destefanis and Sena (2005), as well as Ascariand Di Cosmo ??2005). In these studies, public capital had a positive impact on TFP. On the other hand, Hansson and Henrekson (1994) did not notice a positive impact of general public spending on TFP in selected Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries. However, the evidence from Bronzini and Piselli (2009) illustrates that public spending on infrastructure in one country can have a spillover effect on the TFP of a neighboring country.

62 Although the intended outcomes of public expenditure is not always realized, the rationale for public 63 expenditure should not be ignored. The justification for public expenditure (underpinned by neoclassical economic theory) is categorized into twofold. Firstly, market failures and economic inefficiencies in an economy can be 64 corrected through public sector involvement, especially via public investment in agricultural R&D, subsidization, 65 or regulations. Secondly, there is a view that the challenge of inequality and the undesirably low material welfare 66 among the poorest in society, can be addressed through public policy or public investment. This supposition is 67 based on the fact that social inequalities are promoted because of the biased distribution of goods and services 68 against the majority of people that reside in rural areas (Mogues et al., 2012 and Benin, 2015). 69

Moreover, studies on economic growth and income inequality started several decades ago, to address the 70 issues of market inefficiencies, income inequality, poverty, among others. For instance, the Kuznets' hypothesis 71 (Kuznets, 1955 and Kuznets, 1963) was one of the first studies to dominate the discussions on economic growth 72 and income inequality. Kuznets founded an inverted U-shaped relation between income inequality and Gross 73 74 National Product (GNP) per capita, using a time series and cross-country data. This simply implied that in the 75 process of industrialization, there would be an initial increase in income inequality due to rural-urban migration. However, inequality will subsequently decrease after industries would have attracted a huge fraction of the rural 76 labour force. Adelman and Morris (1973) 1 1 The book review of this study was conducted by Due (1975). 77 refuted Kuznets' hypothesis of a trickling down of benefits of economic growth to the poorest segment of people 78

in low-income countries. In contrary, their study supported the Marxian view that economic structure (not
 income levels or economic growth) determined the patterns of income distribution.

Kuznets' hypothesis relatively explained the dynamics of growth in the USA and other developed countries, up to the 1970s, where inequality facilitated growth, and growth in-turn reduced inequality. However, this was not the case in the 20th Century. For instance, in the 1980s, the ratio of the 90th to the 10th percentile of the male wage distribution increased by 27% in the UK and 18% in the USA. (Aghion, 1999; ??ourguignon and ??orisson, 1992 and ??ECD, 1993). The evidence in this inequality in wages was, therefore, completely different

⁸⁶ from Kuznets' assertion.

87

88

89

90

91

92

Given that the Kuznets' theory may not be fully applicable in developing countries in the 21st Century, there is, therefore, the need to explore new economic theories that explain core factors that may affect income inequality and income redistribution in the developing world. In recent years, some significant findings supported by various authors suggest that economic growth in rural areas has a substantial effect on reducing poverty, than economic growth in urban areas. Also, it is indicated that the poverty-reducing impact of economic growth is more impactful if initial inequality is lower, and if the status of rural development and human resource development is more favorable (Ravallion and Datt, 1996;Ravallion, 1997;Lofgren and Robinson, 2008;and Timmer, 1997).

93 In the same way, ??ollar and Kraay (2000) also explained the relationship between economic growth and 94 poverty. In their study where they analyzed crosscountry data set of 80 countries (over forty years) using 95 regression, they discovered that on average, the income of poor people rises one-to-one with overall economic 96 growth. Given that there is a one-to-one relationship between economic growth and the income of the poor, it is 97 therefore crucial to understand the impact of certain public expenditure policies on the rural poor and economic 98 growth in The Gambia-also considering that Krugman (1994) argued that economic growth ought to be driven 99 by the gains in productivity (rather than capital accumulation and the quality of labour), as seen in the East 100 Asian miracle. 101

¹⁰² 3 Global Journal of Management and Business Research

103 $\,$ Volume XX Issue VI Version I Year 2020 ()

104 **4 B**

According to Cabral (2017), when inducing policy shocks for economy-wide impacts, Dynamic Computable General Equilibrium (DCGE) models 2 are the best models to be utilized. These models take into account the effect of shocks on sectoral supply and demand; factor returns and income; household consumption; among others. It is also temporal in scope, and it takes into account the heterogeneity of households.

In light of the significance of public expenditure in economic development (even though a consistent 10% 109 commitment by African Governments may be challenging), it will be essential to assess the economic and welfare 110 impact of a 10% budget allocation in the three major sectors of the Gambian economy over a medium-term 111 period. This type of study has not been conducted in The Gambia-and as a result, the question regarding 112 which economic sector has the utmost possibility of enhancing economic growth and welfare (with a 10% public 113 expenditure commitment) still lingers. The CAADP agenda is focused on agriculture, and it has not explored 114 other sectors that could equally have a positive impact on the Gambian population. Therefore, this study 115 will contribute to the literature by particularly assessing the impact of a 10% commitment (over five years in 116 agriculture, service and industry sectors) on GDP growth; value-added growth; rural and urban labour demand; 117 rural and urban income; rural and urban consumer prices; and rural and urban welfare. The study will serve as 118 a pointer for Gambian policy makers to consider the sector that most effectively maximizes the gains of public 119 expenditure, in order to attain an equitable growth and ensure the country graduates into a middle-income 120 121 country.

The first section of the paper will serve as an introduction. The second section will provide a brief overview of The Gambia's poverty profile. The third section will discuss the methodology. Finally, the fourth and fifth sections will discuss the results and conclusion, respectively.

125 **5 II.**

¹²⁶ 6 Brief Overview of the Gambia's Poverty Profile

According to the 2010 Integrated Household Survey, using the upper national poverty line, the total headcount 'absolute' poverty rate was at 48.4% (see Figure 1). This meant that about 795,885 people in The Gambia were considered poor and unable to meet their basic food and non-food needs. The extreme poverty rate, which is measured using the lower national poverty line, meant that 36.7% of Gambians (that is, about 603,492 people) were not able to meet their basic food supply.

Source: Integrated Household Survey, 2010 It is also worthy to note that the incidence of rural poverty more 132 than doubled urban poverty, for both absolute and food poverty. Approximately 1,215,205 people in rural areas 133 are suffering from absolute poverty, in comparison to 537,716 people in the urban areas. Likewise, 1,021,126 134 people in rural areas are food poor, while 345,322 people in urban areas are food poor. The distribution of the 135 poor in The Gambia (as seen in Figure 2) indicates that 68% of the food poor reside in rural areas, and 62.2% of 136 the absolute poor reside in urban areas. This LGA characterization of poverty will also assist in explaining the 137 regional incidence of the poor. The Gambia has 8 LGAs, of which Banjul and Kanifing are considered the urban 138 LGAs. Figure 3 shows that Kuntaur, Janjanbury, Basse and Kerewan have the highest poverty headcount for 139 both absolute and food poverty. Due to the high amount of food insecurity in these four areas, UN-OCHA (2014) 140 indicated that they have the highest prevalence of malnutrition in the country. Kuntaur, Janjanbureh and Basse 141 specifically have the higher global acute malnutrition rates above the 10% WHO 'serious' threshold-and Kuntaur 142 has the highest proportion of severely stunted children, at 8.2%. Also, the highest number of underweight women 143 are found in Janjanbureh (at 20.9%) and Kuntaur (at 20.3%), while Banjul is the least affected area, at 11.5%. 144 This large disparity between poverty in urban and rural areas is mainly as a result of the sub-standard agricultural 145 systems in The Gambia. As previously noted by Goyal and Nash (2017), most of the consumption expenditure 146 of the poorest household in Africa is utilized for food. As a result, developing the agricultural system in rural 147 areas may have a positive impact on the income of poor rural households, which will enable them to meet their 148 basic food and non-food needs. 149

Historically, in The Gambia, the highest level of decrease in income inequality was between 1993 and 1998 (see 150 Figure 4). In those five years, the Gini coefficient decreased by about 20%, from 60.9 in 1993 to 48.5 in 1998. 151 From 1998 to 2015, income inequality has generally reduced in the country, but at a slower rate. As previously 152 alluded to by various post-Kuznets scholars, rural economic growth has a greater effect on reducing rural poverty. 153 In order words, one can assume that development of the rural economy in The Gambia (which is mainly driven 154 by agriculture) could have a positive impact on poverty reduction among the poorest people. The formation 155 of the CAADP is equally grounded on this premise. However, Figure 5 shows that the Gambian Government's 156 disbursement of agriculture expenditure between 2006 and 2015 was below the CAADP target of 10%. 157

158 Source: Re SAKSS and WDI (World Bank)

¹⁵⁹ 7 a) Model specification

160 The structure of the production

The structure of the production is common in CGE models. Equations 1 and 2 represent the top level of the structure. The total aggregate output of industry j (??????????????), is a combination of value-added of industry i j (???? ?? ,??) and total intermediate consumption of industry j (???? ?? ,??) in fixed shares, which is strictly complementary and follows a Leontief production function for valueadded (?? ??) and intermediate consumption (???? ??). The time script or period is represented by t: t j j t j XST v VA , =(1

Equation 3 represents the second level, where an industry's value-added consist of composite capital for industry j (KDC j,t) and composite labour for industry j (LDC j,t). The value-added is a constant elasticity of substitution (CES) function: (B j VA)-scale parameter;

On the intermediate consumption side of the same level, equation 4 illustrates that aggregate intermediate consumption of commodity i is a combination of various goods and services (????????????). Also, it is assumed that intermediate inputs follow a Leontief production function and are perfectly complementary. The input-output coefficient is: (?????????):t j j i t j i CI aij DI , , , =(4)

At the bottom level, equation 5, equation 6, equation 7, as well as equation 8 are represented-and their parameters follow a CES function. In equation 5, the various categories of labour are combined following a CES technology, and there is imperfect substitutability among the different types of labour. Equation ??shows that labour demand of each type (????? ???? ,??) derives from a first-order condition of cost minimization by enterprises.

It is also important to note that some assumptions of an exogenous growth rate are set for variables such as Government expenditure, labour supply and transfers. One of the advantages of this dynamic model specification is the ability to be able to assess structural changes in the economy, as well as evaluate the impact of shocks in the medium and long term. The model is applied to a country like The Gambia, where the prices of factors, goods and services are given (that is, a price-taking behaviour). Also, cost minimization by enterprises ensures that they employ labour and capital where the value of marginal product of each product is equal to its price.

The model includes four sectors, namely: agriculture, industries, private service and non-tradable Service. It is important to note that the 10% shock was not applied to the non-tradable sector, because the scope of this study is to understand the impact of the productive sectors on economic development in The Gambia. However, the simulation results will show the impact our shocks will have on public service. The specificity of the model is discussed in greater detail below.

¹⁹⁸ 8 Total factor productivity

In order to be able to effectively access the impact of the 10% shock on the Gambian economy, the model was modified to include a total factor productivity function, which comprised of human capital, physical investment, and research and demand.

205 9 Dynamic model

The end-of-period for private sector capital stock () () t j k t k t j k t j k IND KD KD , , , , , 1 , , 1 + ? = + ? (10)

Public investment demand is the product of the average price of public capital and the sum of investment demand from the public sector (and the same applies to the private investment demand):? = pub k t pub k PUB t PUB t IND PK IT , , ,(11)? = bus k t bus k PRI t PRI t IND PK IT , , ,(12)

- The sector accumulation rate of private capital? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? t bus k t bus k KD IND , , , , of period t is an increasing function of the cost-benefit ratio of capital ? ? ? ? ? U R in the
- same period, but the rate of increase of the rate of accumulation, under the effect of this ratio decreases:INV bus k t bus k U R KD IND, , , , , , , , , ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? (15)
- The cost of usage of capital in a sector is equal to the average price of capital () PK? + + + + = h t i t i 220 t i t i t i t i t i t MRGN DIT VSTK INV CG C Q , , , , , , , , , (18)
- Labour supply equals labour demand: t l j t j l LS LD , , , = ? (19)
- Supply of capital and demand for capital are equal:? = j t k t j k KS KD, , ,(20)

- The sum of total investment and inventories in value is equal to the sum of savings of households (SH), firms (SF), Government (SG), and the rest of the world (SROW) (valued in local currency):? ? + + = h f t t t f th t SROW SG SF SH IT, ,(21)? ? = i t i t i PUB t t PRI t VSTK PC IT IT IT, ,(22)
- Supply of local products and demand for local products in the domestic market are equal:? = j t i t i j DD DS , , ,(23)
- Supply of export products and the demand for export products are equal:? = t i t i j EXD EX , , ,(24)

²²⁹ 10 b) SAM of The Gambia

Due to the changes in the socio-economic characteristics since the development of the 1990 SAM, Fofana et al. (2014) updated the 1990 SAM to a 2009 SAM based on the 'top-down' approach, using data from The Gambia Bureau of Statistics (GBOS), and data from regional and international institutions. The SAM featured the private institutions (households and corporations) account, Government account, rest of the world account, and a capital account split into GFCF and change in inventories.

Moreover, in order to be more useful for research, the 2009 SAM was updated based on the method in ibid, using data from Food and Agriculture Organization, African Statistical Yearbook, The Gambia Integrated Household Survey, and The Gambia Bureau of Statistics. The new 2015 SAM (see Annex 1) has been disaggregated into four activities and commodities accounts (agriculture, industries, private service and non-tradable Service); five factors of production accounts (rural labour, urban labour, public capital, private capital and land); and five accounts of institutions, namely: urban households, rural households, firms, government and the rest of the world.

²⁴¹ **11 IV.**

²⁴² 12 Simulations and Results

²⁴³ 13 a) Simulations

²⁴⁴ In order to assess the impact of the 10% increase of public expenditure on economic growth and

The first SAM developed in The Gambia was developed by Jabara et al. (1992) in 1990. The SAM was built from the bottom-up using the 1989/1990 household income and expenditure survey of The Gambia, conducted

247 by the Cornell Food and Nutrition Policy Programme (CFNPP) Africa Economic Project funded by the United

248 States Agency for International Development (USAID). This approach was mainly due to the fact that there was

 249 no input-output table available for The Gambia. Moreover, there is a vast amount of literature that articulates

the processes involved in developing SAMs- Pradhan et al. (2006), Van Leeuwen and Nijkamp (2009), Pyattand

Round (1977), Kjosev and Novkovska (2017), Keuning and De Ruuter (1988), Pyatt (1991), Round (2003), and Thiola and Biarala (2002)

252 Thiele and Piazolo (2002).

²⁵³ 14 Global Journal of Management and Business Research

Volume XX Issue VI Version I Year 2020 () B welfare in The Gambia over five years-three different scenarios were simulated-one for agriculture, one for service, and the final for industry. This helped to understand the impact of public investment increases in all these three areas, as it relates to GDP, sectoral valueadded, nominal income (in urban and rural households), consumer prices (in urban and rural households), welfare (in urban and rural households) and labour demand (urban and rural areas).

In the dynamic model, the economy grows, even without the existence of a shock. This will provide the baseline or business as usual (BAU) scenario, which will be used in calculating the simulation results. In the first simulation, a 10% increase in the service industry was introduced. Thereafter, the BAU results were subtracted from the simulation results, in order to capture the variation caused by the 10% shock in the service sector. The same process was repeated for the other two productive sectors of the Gambian economy.

²⁶⁴ 15 b) Results

The dynamic effects of the implementation of a 10% increase in Government budget allocation across agriculture, industry and service sector was simulated. This model assessed the impact of the shock on economic growth,

267 welfare, and other development indicators in the urban and rural areas.

$_{268}$ 16 Impact on GDP

Due to the expansion of service production as a result of the increase in TFP, there was an increase in GDP by 4.9% (see Figure 6), after the 10% shock was introduced in the service sector. Baumol (1967) indicated that an increase in the proportion of the service sector leads to productivity change in the service sector alone, which will negatively affect total productivity or economic growth. However, this has been the contrary in The Gambia (also see the impact of the service sector shock on value-added).

The 10% expenditure in the industry and agriculture sector does not contribute much to GDP, because both sectors are input-intensive. Agriculture is the most labour-intensive sector in the rural area (at 89%), and industry

is the most labour-intensive sector in the urban areas (64%). Their contribution to GDP is also minimal 3.

277 17 Source: Authors' simulation results

²⁷⁸ 18 Impact on value-added

The 10% increase in the service expenditure leads to a value-added growth across all sectors. By the fifth year, 279 value-added for service increased by 5.5%; industry by 3.8%; agriculture by 1.4% and non-tradable service by 280 5.7%. This shows the there is a strong linkage between service sector and the other sectors, and it, therefore, has 281 the potential to become the main driver of sustainable growth in the Gambian economy. Evidence from Beck et 282 al. (2000) also notes that financial development improves economic growth. This could be an opportunity for The 283 284 Gambia, given that financial service and communications sub-sector is about 10% of the overall Gambian GDP (GBOS, 2015). In the case of agriculture, a 10% increase in agricultural expenditure benefits the agriculture 285 sector more. This shows that the agriculture value chain in the country is not fully developed. There is a slower 286 value-added growth across the other sectors (see Table 1). For the industry sector, the 10% shock did not have 287 any significant impact on the agriculture sector. This shows a weak link between two major labour-intensive 288 sectors in the country. 289

²⁹⁰ 19 Impact on labour demand

At present, the labour-intensity of the service sector in rural areas is 10%. However, the increase in the service 291 expenditure will increase the opportunity for more employment in rural areas by an average of 0.4% in five years. 292 This will be helpful to the rural population, given that the wages in the service sector may be higher than the 293 wages from agriculture, which is seasonal, due to its dependence on rainfall. The low wages; lack of security 294 in agricultural jobs; and job availability in the service sector will cause rural households to seek employment 295 in service-related jobs. The introduction of these types of jobs in rural areas will also be helpful, because it 296 will expose rural households to new forms of employment, and therefore develop their skills in order to be more 297 employable. Additionally, it is important to note that the migration of jobs to rural areas will decrease the 298 demand for service-related jobs in urban areas by 1.1% (see Figure 7). On the other hand, a 10% increase in the 299 industry expenditure will increase rural labour demand by 0.01% (on average), in comparison to a 10% increase 300 in agricultural expenditure which will decrease rural labour demand by 0.16% on average. 301

302 20 Source: Authors' simulation results

303 21 Impact on nominal income

The pattern of nominal income closely follows the labour demand pattern. A decrease in urban labour demand leads to a reduction in urban income, while an increase in rural labour demand leads to an rise in rural income. Generally, an average decrease of 1.1% urban labour leads to an average of 1.1% decrease in urban income, and the same trend is applicable to the industry and agriculture sectors (see Figures 7 and 8).

308 22 Impact on consumer prices

An expansion of the service sector through the 10% increase in expenditure will lead to a higher decrease in the prices of services which both urban and rural households depend on. However, given that the consumption basket of rural households has less service-related items than urban areas, there is a higher decrease in average urban consumer prices at 3.4%, compared to 1.1% in rural areas. With regards to the 10% shock in agriculture, consumer prices reduced more in rural areas (see Figure 9). This is mainly due to the fact that rural household's consumption basket mostly contains food products. After the 10% shock in the industry sector, consumer prices increased in both urban and rural areas.

316 23 Impact on welfare

The results of the various simulations for service, industry and agriculture has varying impacts on household 317 welfare. For the service sector 4, it could be seen that the welfare of both urban and rural households increased 318 in the second year. An important point to note is that the growth in the welfare of the urban and rural areas 319 is more equitable. The shock in the industry sector shows that more people in urban areas will experience an 320 increase in welfare, from the 10% increase in Government expenditure. After the 5th year, it is clear that there 321 will be a higher level of inequality between the urban and rural populations. The simulation for agriculture shows 322 that there will be a welfare increase of about 1% for the rural population, while the increase in welfare of the 323 urban population will be about 50% less than the rural area. 324

325 24 Conclusion

The importance of public intervention in ensuring economic equality in a country cannot be overemphasized. Public expenditure has a great potential of facilitating growth, and the decision by African countries to agree to commit 10% percent of public expenditure on agriculture to facilitate inclusive economic growth is a laudable project. However, before this study, the impact of that 10% public expenditure increase on various sectors of the Gambian economy, was yet to be understood. The results show that the sector that can most promote economic growth, as well as increase welfare in both urban and rural areas, is the service sector. With regards to GDP and value-added, the study shows that an increase of 10% in public expenditure on the service sector has a greater impact $^{1\ 2\ 3}$

Figure 1: Table 1 :

1

Source: Authors' simulation results

333

 $^{^1 @}$ 2020 Global Journals

 $^{^2\}mathrm{This}$ labour-intensity calculation is based on calculations from the 2015 SAM of The Gambia.

 $^{^{3}}$ Note that the high indices of the service sector's simulation are as a result of the high share of value-added of service in comparison to the other sectors of the Gambian economy.

24 CONCLUSION

³³⁴ .1 Global Journal of Management and Business Research

Volume XX Issue VI Version I Year 2020 () B on GDP than any other sector. As a result, if the Government of 335 The Gambia wants to increase its GDP, it should increase its spending in the service sector. Compared to the 336 other sectors, the service sector also has the potential to pull along the agriculture sector and industry sector. 337 In order words, when the service sector expands, industry and agriculture will also expand, due to its value 338 chain linkages. The same does not apply to the industry and agriculture sectors. is technology-driven-a 10% 339 increase in service expenditure will increase the rural labour demand, but decrease urban labour demand. Rural 340 households will benefit from the increase in new types of jobs, and a positive impact on rural income and welfare 341 will be experienced where the poorest people in The Gambia reside. Given that there will be a negative impact 342 on urban areas, the Gambian Government should create policies to ensure that some of the jobs in the service 343 sector remain in the urban area. 344

The consumer prices also decreased (in both urban and rural areas), as a result of the 10% shock in the service sector, as compared with a similar shock in any other industry. This shows that the service sector has a huge potential to reduce prices in the consumption basket of the country.

The fact that general public agriculture investments did not out-perform the service sector in our analysis sheds light on the point that even if The Gambia had met its 10% CAADP commitment between 2006 and 2015, the gains on economic growth and welfare would have been more positive through investments in the service sector.

The results of this study also reaffirm the assertion of Fan et al. (2000), that targeted agricultural spending in specific sub-sectors has a greater povertyreduction impact on rural households. In The Gambia, general public expenditure on agriculture may not get the desired result for poverty reduction, specifically in rural areas. In order to get the desired result, public agricultural spending should be targeted across various agriculture sub-sectors

356 like irrigation, among others.

³⁵⁷.2 Global Journal of Management and Business Research

- [Cabral ()], F J Cabral. Rainfall Fluctuations and Economic Growth: Evidence from Senegal. Review of
 Agricultural and Applied Economics (RAAE) 2017. 1340-2018-5163. 20 p. 12.
- [Barro ()] 'A Cross-Country Study of Growth, Savings, and Government'. Robert J Barro . National Bureau of
 Economic Research 1989. 2855. p. 55.
- [Hansson and Henrekson ()] 'A New Framework for Testing the Effect of Government Spending on Growth and
 Productivity'. P Hansson , M Henrekson . *Public Choice* 1994. 81 p. .

364 [Benin ()] An International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) Complying with the Maputo Declaration

- Target: Trends in Public Agricultural Expenditures and Implications for Pursuit of Optimal Allocation of
 Public Agricultural Spending, S Benin , Yu , B . 2013. (ReSAKSS Annual Trends and Outlook Report)
- ³⁶⁷ [Ravallion ()] 'Can High-Inequality Developing Countries Escape Absolute Poverty'. M Ravallion . *Economics* ³⁶⁸ Letters 1997. 56 p. .
- [Thiele and Piazolo ()] Constructing a Social Accounting Matrix with a Distributional Focus-the Case of Bolivia,
 R Thiele , D Piazolo . 2002.
- [Round ()] 'Constructing SAMS for Development Policy Analysis: Lessons Learned and Challenges Ahead'. J
 Round . *Economic Systems Research* 2003. 15 (2) p. .
- [Buiter ()] 'Crowding Out' and the Effectiveness of Fiscal Policy'. W H Buiter . Journal of Public Economics
 1977. 7 (3) .
- Bronzini and Piselli ()] 'Determinants of Long-Run Regional Productivity with Geographical Spillovers: The
 Role of R&D, Human Capital and Public Infrastructure'. R Bronzini, P Piselli. *Regional Science and Urban*
- Economics 2009. 39 p. . 378 [Ascari and Di Cosmo ()] Determinants of Total Factor Productivity in the Italian Regions, G Ascari , V Di
- 379 Cosmo . 2005. Scienze Regionali. p. .
- [Kjosev and Novkovska ()] 'Developing Social Accounting Matrix for Macedonia: A Challenge Ahead'. S Kjosev
 B Novkovska . Croatian Review of Economic, Business and Social Statistics 2017. 3 (2) p. .
- [Kuznets ()] 'Economic Growth and Income Inequality'. S Kuznets . The American Economic Review 1955. 45
 (1) p. .
- [Adelman and Morris ()] Economic Growth and Social Equity in Developing Economies, I Adelman, C T Morris
 . 1973. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- [Due ()] 'Economic Growth and Social Equity in Developing Economies by Irma Adelman and Cynthia Taft
 Morris'. J M Due . ASA Review of Books 1975. 1 p. .
- Beck et al. (2000)] 'Finance and the Sources of Growth'. R Beck , R Levine , N Loayza . Journal of Financial
 Economics 2000. January. 58 p. .
- 390 [Pyatt ()] 'Fundamentals of Social Accounting'. G Pyatt . Economic Systems Research 1991. 3 (3) p. .

- [Cabral et al. ()] 'Global Biofuel Production and Poverty in Senegal'. F J Cabral , F Cissé , A Diagne , S Msangi
 Economics Bulletin 2017. 37 (3) .
- [Fan et al. ()] 'Government Spending, Growth and Poverty in Rural India'. S Fan, P Hazell, S Thorat. American
 Journal of Agricultural Economics 2000. 82 (4) p. .
- [Dollar and Kraay ()] 'Growth is Good for the Poor'. D Dollar , A Kraay . Journal of Economic Growth 2002. 7
 (3) p. .
- [Keuning and De Ruuter ()] 'Guidelines to the Construction of a Social Accounting Matrix'. S J Keuning , W A
 De Ruuter . Review of Income and Wealth 1988. 34 (1) p. .
- [Lofgren et al. ()] Household Approach. The Impact of Economic Policies on Poverty and Income Distribution,
 H Lofgren, S Robinson, M El-Said. 2003.
- [Ravallion and Datt ()] 'How Important to India's Poor is the Sectoral Composition of Economic Growth?'. M
 Ravallion , G Datt . The World Bank Economic Review 1996. 10 (1) p. .
- [Timmer ()] 'How well do the Poor Connect to the Growth Process? CAER Discussion Paper No'. P Timmer .
 Harvard Institute for International Development (HIID), (Cambridge, MA) 1997.
- [Benin ()] IFPRI Discussion Paper 01491 Returns to Agricultural Public Spending in Africa South of the Sahara,
 S Benin . 2015.
- 407 [In addition, considering that the service sector] In addition, considering that the service sector,
- [Aghion et al. (1999)] 'Inequality and Economic Growth: The Perspective of the New Growth Theories'. P
 Aghion , E Caroli , C García-Peñalosa . Journal of Economic Literature 1999. December. 37 (4) p. .
- [Baumol ()] 'Macroeconomics of Unbalanced Growth: The Anatomy of Urban Crisis'. W J Baumol . American
 Economic Review 1967. 57 p. .
- [Mahalambe ()] N Mahalambe . Five Out of Ten? Assessing Progress towards the AU's 10% Budget Target for
 Agriculture. Actionaid, 2009.
- 414 [Malabo Declaration on Accelerated Agricultural Growth and Transformation for Shared Prosperity and Improved Livelihoods ()]
- Malabo Declaration on Accelerated Agricultural Growth and Transformation for Shared Prosperity and
 Improved Livelihoods, 2014. (African Union Commission (AUC))
- [Niels and Censink (2001)] H Niels , R Censink . Fiscal Policy and Private Investment in Less Developed
 Countries. UNWIDER, 2001. February.
- [Ramirez ()] 'Public and Private Investment in Mexico and Chile: An Empirical Test of the Complementarity
 Hypothesis'. M D Ramirez . Atlantic Economic Journal 1996. 24 (4) p. .
- [Destefanis and Sena ()] 'Public Capital and Total Factor Productivity: New Evidence from the Italian Regions,
 1970-98'. S Destefanis , V Sena . *Regional Studies* 2005. 39 p. .
- ⁴²³ [Mogues et al. ()] Public Expenditures in Agriculture in Mozambique, T Mogues , S Benin , S Woldeyohannes .
 ⁴²⁴ 2012. International Food Policy Research Institute. (IFPRI)
- [Sennoga and Matovu ()] Public Spending Composition and Public Sector Efficiency: Implications for Growth
 and Poverty Reduction in Uganda, E B Sennoga, J M Matovu . 2010. p. .
- 427 [Lofgren and Robinson ()] Public Spending, Growth, and Poverty Alleviation in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Dynamic
- General Equilibrium Analysis. Paper Prepared for Presentation at the Seventh Annual Conference on Global
 Economic Analysis, Trade, Poverty, and the Environment, H Lofgren, S Robinson. 2008. Washington D.C:
 World Bank.
- [Kuznets ()] 'Quantitative Aspects of the Economic Growth of Nations: VIII. Distribution of Income by Size'. S
 Kuznets . Economic Development and Cultural Change 1963. 11 (2) p. . (Part 2))
- [Goyal and Nash ()] Reaping Richer Returns: Public Spending Priorities for African Agricultural Productivity
 Growth, A Goyal, J Nash. 2017.
- [Pyatt and Round ()] 'Social Accounting Matrices for Development Planning'. G Pyatt , J I Round . Review of
 Income and Wealth 1977. 23 (4) p. .
- ⁴³⁷ [Fofana et al. ()] Social Accounting Matrices for The Gambia, I Fofana, L Balma, F Traore, D Kane, Sierra
 ⁴³⁸ Leone. . 03. 2014. Liberia, Mauritania; Washington, DC: International Food Policy Research Institute.
 ⁴³⁹ (AGRODEP Data Report) (IFPRI)
- [Mainar-Causapé et al. ()] Social Accounting Matrices: BasicAspects and Main Steps for Estimation, Eur 29297
 En, A J Mainar-Causapé , E Ferrari , S Mcdonald . 2018. Luxembourg: JRC Technical Reports. Publications
 Office of the European Union.
- [Van Leeuwen and Nijkamp ()] Social Accounting Matrices: The Development and Application of SAMS at the
- 444 Local Level, E S Van Leeuwen , P Nijkamp . 2009.

- [Pradhan et al. ()] Social Accounting Matrix for India: Concepts, Construction and Applications, B K Pradhan
 , M R Saluja , S K Singh , S K Singh . 2006. Sage.
- [Jabara et al. ()] Social Accounting Matrix for The Gambia. Working Paper No, C Jabara , M Lundberg , A
 Jallow . 1992. Ithaca, N.Y.. 20. Cornell University, Cornell Food and Nutrition Policy Program
- 449 [Un-Ocha ()] Strategic Response Plan of The Gambia, Un-Ocha . 2014. 2014-2016.
- [Diao et al. ()] Strategies and Priorities for African Agriculture: Economywide Perspectives from Country
 Studies, X Diao, J; X Thurlow, J Thurlow, S Benin, Fan. 2012. Washington, D.C. p. . (A Recursive
 Dynamic Computable General Equilibrium Model in Diao)
- 453 [Brüntrup ()] 'The Comprehensive Africa Agriculture Development Programme (CAADP)-An Assessment of a
- Pan-African Attempt to Revitalize Agriculture'. M Brüntrup. Quarterly Journal of International Agriculture
 2011. 50 (1) p. .
- [The Gambia Bureau of Statistics (GBOS) ()] The Gambia Bureau of Statistics (GBOS), 2015. (Integrated
 Household Survey)
- [Krugman (1994)] 'The Myth of Asia's Miracle'. P Krugman . Foreign Affairs 1994. November/December). 73
 p. .