

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

1	Analysis of the Impact of Education on Poverty in Cameroon:
2	An Application of the Nested Logit Model
3	Ngah Otabela Nadege ¹ and Patrick Marie NGA NDJOBO ²
4	¹ Université de Maroua-Cameroun
5	Received: 8 November 2020 Accepted: 30 November 2020 Published: 15 December 2020

7 Abstract

15

This paper re-examine the relationship between human capital and poverty. Using data from the Third National Household Survey in Cameroon (ECAM 3), and a nested logit model, this study shows that education alleviates poverty of people living close to the poverty line in Cameroon. Conversely, when the poor fall far below the poverty line the cost associated with education acquisition process tends to have a negative impact on their abilities to meet their basic needs for households in Cameroon. These results suggest that financially support the education of the poorest will lift them out of poverty.

16 Index terms— education, well-being, poverty, nested logit model

41 1 Introduction

factors associated with others for instance access to loan, social security, etc., have an impact on people's well-being.

However, a deep analysis of the existing relationship between human capital and poverty has been made using other theoretical approaches that are either extension, or questioning of the neoclassical traditional theoretical approach. For example, the Keynesian/neo-liberal schools according to which poverty is considered largely involuntary and caused mainly by unemployment.

These theoretical foundations do not always agree on the meaning that should be given to the relationship between human capital and poverty. Factually, albeit not a general rule, human capital acquisition improves households' economic well-being. To drive home this point, education is often sacrificed on the altar of child labour especially in poor households whereas children from better-off households do not work. As a result, Baland and Robinson (2000) brings out the contrast between poverty reduction goals and children education at least for poor households. By contrast, other kinds of evidence support inference that human capital greatly fosters economic well-being and reduces poverty.

According to Becker (1975) expenses on inter alia education, training, medical care are investments in human capital. They are referred to as human capital because no one can ever be unyoked from their knowledge, skills, health, or values as it may be the case with their financial assets and property. Education is one of the most important investment in human capital. Poverty has customarily been related to income. People are therefore said to be living in poverty when they have no income and other resources necessary for better living conditions (an adequate diet, property, facilities, goods and services) that enable them to play their parts, perform their duties and get involve in their society (Townsend, 2006).

As a matter of fact, poverty leads to dearth and exclusions. Many countries around the world particularly Sub-Saharan African countries (SSA) are faced with the huge challenge of maintaining or improving people's well-being and therefore promote comprehensive public policies especially those pertaining to the generation of human capital, and thus education.

he aim of this paper is to contribute to the literature given the role played by human capital in the wellbeing of
 households. The point is about demonstrating that education has a meaningful impact on poverty alleviation.

3 METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY A) DATA AND VARIABLES

Findings thus show that education determines the poverty level of people in Cameroon and that it has far more impact alleviating poverty on those close to the poverty line.

According to Davis and Sanchez-Martinez (2015), the definitions of poverty adopted over time have reflected 46 47 a shift in thinking, from a focus on monetary aspects to wider issues such as political participation and social exclusion. Especially, the analysis of the determinants of poverty has been intensively studied after the seminal 48 researches that have been done within the classical and neoclassical economics perspectives by Smith (1776), 49 Ravallion and Chen 2008), and Becker (1995). The latter suggests that there is a very close relationship between 50 investment in human capital and poverty reduction. Subsequently, the primary determinant of a country's 51 standard of living is how well it succeeds in developing and utilizing the skills, knowledge, health, and habits of 52 its population. As a matter of fact, well-being/ poverty tends to be positively impacted by many determining 53 factors prominent among which are the main sources of human capital namely education and health or, these 54 determining In fact, according to Nga Ndjobo and Abessolo (2017), human capital investors motivations are 55 essentially of three kinds: first and foremost, when the State earmarks budget to upgrade education in a bid to 56 enhance development; secondly, when employers take on responsibilities for the training of their employees and 57 expect growth in productivity; and lastly, when people are willing to devote time and money to education and 58 59 training to increase their wage on the job market. 60 However, since most developing countries are often have fatal flaws in their labour market (expressed by 61 inadequate wages, high unemployment rates as well as the downgrading of graduates), it is sometimes noticed 62 that education acquisition does not systematically lead to poverty alleviation.

However, it can be assumed that if education acquisition means poverty reduction for some, it is not always the case for others. In fact, it has been shown that the standard of living of households has a positive and meaningful impact on the acquisition and the returns of the education (Psacharopoulos and Patrinos, 2018). Thus, the less someone is poor, the more they acquire education in quality and quantity; better still, they are able to enter the labour market. Meanwhile, the poor are not expected to value that much the quality of education but to the quantity. So, hypothetically, it can be assumed that this type of education does not always allow the poor to get out of their state of poverty.

The contribution of education for the betterment of households' well-being and reduction of poverty seems to be mitigated, not to say differentiated. In such a context it is possible that people who spent the same number of years receiving education end up having different results in terms of getting out of the trap of poverty. Hence the question on whether the acquisition of education leads to poverty reduction in a uniform manner regardless of the person's level of poverty.

Given all the aforementioned, this paper aims to review the relationship between human capital and economic well-being (as well as poverty) in a bid to highlight the place of education in the continuous efforts made to stamp out poverty. We tapped in ECAM III (CNIS, 2007) database to reach two main objectives. Firstly, we assessed the role played by education in poverty in Cameroon based on whether the person is close or far below the poverty line and secondly, we assessed the share of education in poverty reduction based on how close or how far below people are from the poverty line.

Our analysis provides new avenues for understanding the phenomenon of poverty, and therefore contribute to the literature on economic wellbeing. Our results show that education plays multiple roles in poverty. It determines people's poverty level, it also contributes in reducing the poverty level of those close to the poverty line and when it comes to people far below the poverty line, education tends to have a significantly negative effect on poverty reduction in Cameroon.

These results show how indispensable it is for the government to provide financial assistance in the field of 86 education targeting those who fall far below the poverty line and by so doing, their education expenses could be 87 spared and earmarked for meeting other basic needs. What's more, the said financial assistance should enable 88 them to receive high standard education in order to give them the opportunity to enter the labour market and 89 get out of poverty. Other factors like income play a key role in poverty alleviation. Our findings end up showing 90 that, it is detrimental when a given level of education is not reached, for, the less people spend years receiving 91 education the more negative impacts it has on poverty reduction. Conversely, the more they spend years receiving 92 education, the greater the positive, significant and meaningful impact it has on poverty reduction. 93

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents and describes data used and shows the empirical model and the estimation method, in section 3 results are discussed. Finally section 4 concludes.

96 **2** II.

⁹⁷ 3 Methodology of The Study a) Data and Variables

Data used in this study are primary data from the ECAM III database (Third Cameroon Household Survey) 1. This survey was carried out over the period May -July 2007. The ECAM III which covered the national territory of Cameroon is a survey carried out by the Government, through the National Institute of Statistics (CNIS). The main objective of ECAM III is to update the poverty profile and the different indicators of households' living conditions established in 2001 and to evaluate the impact of the main programs and policies implemented within the framework of the fight against poverty (CNIS, 2008). That said, the statistical unit of ECAM III is the

private household 2 and its observation units are both household 3 and individuals 4 ii. Definition of variables . 104 Finally, ECAM III targeted a sample of 12,000 households, of which 11,391 were actually visited (CINS, 2008). 105

For the purpose of this study, poverty refers to people living below the poverty line. The model thus developed 106 here required the use of dependent variables and two types of explanatory variables: 107

? A "type" variable, dependent on the equation of the first level of choice or top level. It identifies the 108 alternatives for this level of choice, that is the possibility somebody has to choose between poverty and non-109 poverty? A dependent variable "poverty line", of the equation of the second level of choice or bottom-level. A 110 "state of poverty" variable that identifies the various alternatives people have, once they are identified as poor. 111

a. Variables pertaining to people's position regarding poverty 4 112

The explanatory variables of the alternatives to poverty or non-poverty (first level of choice or top level). These 113 variables are basically related to demography and people's social and family context. These are variables specific 114 to people as individuals which are individual-specific variables. 115

116

Within the framework of this study, individualspecific variables include: The age that corresponds to the number of completed years of the person ranging from 15 to 64, the square age (divided by 100) 5 117

b. Variables pertaining to the various states of poverty 5 118

, the gender, the marital status, the area of residence and the size of the household in which they dwell. 119

Explanatory variables of the different states of poverty (second level of choice or bottom-level). These variables 120 mainly deal with characteristics (taken separately) of the state of poverty to which the person belongs. They 121 occur after people have been identified as poor or non-poor. They will maximize their utility. These variables 122 are specific to different states of poverty. They refer to the number of years somebody spent receiving education 123 (they represent successful years of schooling), the person's number of years of education squared (divided by 100) 124 6, the average number of hours of work for the people of each state of poverty and per region and lastly to the 125 imputed income. The latter refers to the income that people may expect from their participation in different 126 segments of the labour market. Here, it corresponds to the average income level 7 b) Empirical Specification and 127 128 Estimation Approach as applied in the different segments of the labour market and by region.

The use of the econometric approach chosen in this study is in agreement with the classical economic traditions 129 according to which individuals are largely responsible for their own destiny, choosing in effect to become poor 130 (Davis and Sanchez-Martinez, 2015). Indeed, this econometric approach implies that somebody irrespective of 131 their age is faced with a problem of "choice" regarding the twolevel poverty line. They can be either above the 132 poverty line (non-poor) or below (poor). In the latter case, two main alternatives can also be identified, either 133 the person is poor but close to the poverty line, or is poor and far below the poverty line. This hierarchical 134 structure of the model 8 i. Identification Strategy and Model Selection can be better understood in the form 135 of a decision tree (see Figure 1 in Appendix). In this latter structure, poverty and non-poverty are dealt with 136 differently for people's reactions to poverty are not the same. 137

The nested logit model is a combination of standard logit models that differs from the latter by the fact that 138 the components of the alternative choice error do not necessarily need the same distribution. Moreover, the 139 nested logit model admits more general substitution frameworks. The idea of this model lies with a grouping 140 of similar alternatives within subsets or subgroups, in order to create a hierarchical structure of alternatives 141 (Ben-Akiva and Lerman, 1985; Train, 2003). Alternative errors terms are correlated to each other within (the 142 same) subset, while those of alternatives in different subsets are not correlated. Thus, the IIA assumption is 143 maintained within each subset, but the variance may differ between the different subsets. The nested logit model 144 process thus accommodates a partial violation or release of the IIA property (Kamgnia, 2007; Silberhorn et al., 145 2006). 146

Besides, the rational for its use is based on the likelihood-ratio test 9 ii. Position to the poverty line model 147 and the Hausman-McFadden (1984) test that we do. Parameter IV (Inclusive Value) can be used to test the IIA 148 hypothesis. Indeed, a test of the null hypothesis IV = 1 is an effective test of the relevance of the latter in the 149 multinomial logit model. 150

People's position to the poverty line is represented by a 6 151 Random Utility Model (RUM) estimated by the conditional 152 logit technique initiated by 153

154 McFadden (1973). The Random Utility Theory (RUT) is consistent with this model. In fact, the RUM approach 155 assumes that somebody "selects" one option from several alternatives. We assume that the person "chooses" the alternative that gives him the highest utility Thus, one of the subgroups in the nested logit model is the model for 156 deciding or identifying a case of poverty or non-poverty. In this case, we assume that the utility levels associated 157 with the choice or identification of poverty or non-poverty are respectively: ?? ?? (??????????) = ?? ?? 158 159 identification of poverty, the vector X contains the characteristics of the person. The probability of identification 160 of the person i with respect to the poverty line is thus: 161

10 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

162 The same therefore goes for non-poverty. It is a standard multinomial logit equation.

¹⁶³ 7 iii. Various states of poverty Model

172 More so, it is assumed that individual-specific error termsP iK p i P i ? ? ? , 2 1

are random and have, in the stochastic utility function, independent GEV (Generalized Extreme-Value) 10 distributions. McFadden (1973) shows that under these conditions, the probability that the person i chooses the state of poverty or be identified in the state of poverty j is given by:

The estimate of equation (3) produces a single vector of parameters P?, which shows that the effect of the characteristics of the state of poverty Z on the probability that the person who has already been identified as poor, lies in the state of poverty j. It should be noted that there is a similar equation for a state of non-poverty. In addition, the variable "education" is included in the "identified as poor" sub-group because it varies from one state of poverty to another, and also from one person to another.

¹⁸³ 9 iv. Combining decision or identification of position to the ¹⁸⁴ poverty line and the various states of poverty

189 Or by using equations

190 $(1 + \exp ? + + \exp(+))(1)$ (2) (3) (4)

191 ()??????????=?=J j ij P P i Z I 1 exp log?

Inclusive value represents the utility associated with choosing states of poverty. If the coefficient of the inclusive value, P? is zero, equation (4) then turns to be the probability of choosing the state of poverty j multiplied by the probability of being identified as poor. In other words, if P? is equal to zero, there is no classification of alternatives by subgroups. In this case, the identification as poor or non-poor is independent of the value of the utility of the options in the subgroup of poverty alternatives, and there is no need estimating decisions jointly.

Thus, the coefficient P ? provides a relevant statistical test for the opportunity of classifying decisions by subgroups 11 The parameters . Having specified the probabilities of choices or identifications observed in equation (??

200 10 Results and Discussions

201

The results of our different estimates are shown in Table ?? in the Appendix. The following are crucial information unveiled by the results:

1. Education determines the level of poor people; 2. Among the poor, education contributes to further reduce poverty of those of them who are close to the poverty line; 3. When poor people are far below the poverty line, education tends to have a negative and weighty impact on poverty reduction in Cameroon.

As a result, poverty is widely spread. Our results come from the estimate of the nested logit model for people of working age, through which equations of poverty and choice or identification of states of poverty are estimated simultaneously. The likelihood ratio test for IIA hypothesis (LR test for IIA) clearly rejects the null hypothesis of parameter IV (inclusive value) equal to the unit. Similarly, the dissimilarity parameter of "poverty" is included in the unit interval. This corresponds to a correlation of the error terms of about 0.1918, implying that the unobserved factors that lead people to poverty also affect the choice or identification of their state of poverty.

These main results found ultimately show that when the number of years spent receiving education is below a certain threshold of quantity and quality, education has a negative impact on poverty reduction. In this case, the acquisition of education is simply the result of the absorption of the scarce resources available to the poorest. The latter can only receive little education given the limited resources available to them. On the other hand, the 217 more the number of years spent receiving education, the greater the role of education in reducing poverty. In 218 this case, education plays a significant, positive and meaningful role.

In fact, the non-poor or the not-so-poor are able to disburse considerable amounts of money for the purpose of education, without however sacrificing their well-being. The accumulation of human capital represents for them a privileged source of spending. These results are consistent with those in the literature which suggest that acquiring human capital in general and education in particular, helps to improve the wellbeing of people and can be considered as a reducing risk element of high poverty (Mihai et al., 2015). Similarly, this acquisition and accumulation which follows to be profitable it must be widespread among the poorest. For that purpose, Zhang (2014) shows that educational costs cause poverty and deprivation for lowand middle-income families.

Poverty is characterised by a lack of or insufficient resources of all sorts for alternative use. Given that poor people, like anybody else, have unlimited needs they often consider that the opportunity cost associated with the time spent receiving education is really substantial not to say unbearable and must therefore be substituted by the profit guaranteed by a paid activity that requires few qualifications. The issue of (direct and indirect) cost of education should thus be the gist of the analysis and the crux of the matter of economic policies relating to poverty in countries severely affected by this phenomenon such as Cameroon, insofar as education allows to improve the well-being of people but unfortunately, is very difficult to access.

Our findings consequently, show how indispensable it is for the government to provide financial assistance in the field of education targeting the poorest so that their education expenses could be spared and used for other purposes on the one hand and on the other, the said financial assistance should enable them to receive high standard education in order to give them the opportunity to enter the labour market and get out of poverty. Other factors like income play a key role in poverty alleviation.

238 11 Conclusion

This paper dwells on human capital and household well-being in Cameroon. Results from nested logit model estimates indicate that education have a significant impact on poverty alleviation. Moreover, our findings show that education determines the poverty level of people in Cameroon, and that education contributes to poverty reduction and this is particularly true for those who are close to the line of poverty.

The findings of this study ultimately suggest that when the number of years spent receiving education is below a certain threshold of quantity and quality, education has a negative impact on poverty reduction. Meanwhile, the more the number of years spent receiving education, the greater the role of education in reducing poverty for it is significant, positive and meaningful.

This paper has some relevant policy implications. It is crucial for the poorest to receive financial support from the government to cover education expenses so they may strive to meet other needs (housing, clothing, etc.). The

said financial assistance should equally enable them to receive high standard education in order to give them the
opportunity to enter the labour market of the society to which they belong, get out of poverty and definitely put
an end to this vicious circle. ^{1 2 3 4 5}

P?, NP?, P?, NP?, P? and NP? are then estimated by the usual techniques of maximum likelihood 12 III.

Figure 1:

251

⁵© 2020 Global Journals

¹The first and second ECAM (Cameroon Household Survey) were realized respectively in 1996 (ECAM I) and in 2001 (ECAM II).2 By opposition to the collective households: boarding schools, barracks, hospitals, convents, etc.

 $^{^{2}}$ The division by one hundred allows to avoid certain inconveniences bound to the size effects.6 The division by one hundred allows to avoid certain inconveniences bound to the size effects.7 In this study, the income is approximated by per capita expenditures.

³The situation which we define here is obvious. However, when it is not the case, it is possible to tidy up the alternatives in subgroups. So, when the hypothesis of IIA holds between two alternatives, these can be tidied up in the same subset or the subgroup.

 $^{^{4}}$ When the IIA hypothesis (independence of irrelevant alternatives) holds (or is well applied) within two alternatives, they can be classified in the same sub-set or sub-group.12 The full information maximum likelihood.

11 CONCLUSION

- 252 Step 1 of the decision-making process:
- 253 Step 2of the decision-making process:
- Non-poor or poor i = 1, 2 Source: Author.
- [Mcfadden et al. ()] 'An Application of Diagnostic Tests for the Independence of Irrelevant Alternatives Property
 of the Multinomial Logit Model'. D Mcfadden , K Train , W Tye . Transportation Research Record 1977. 637
 p. .
- [Smith ()] An enquiry into the nature and causes of the wealth of nations, A Smith . 1776. London: Methuen &
 Co., Ltd.
- [Ndjobo and Abessolo ()] 'Analyse de l'impact de l'éducation sur le comportement de l'offre de travail au
 Cameroun : une application du modèle logit emboîté'. Nga Ndjobo , PM , Y A Abessolo . African Integration
 and Development Review 2017. 10.
- [Cameroon's National Institute of Statistics ()] Cameroon's National Institute of Statistics, 2007. Cameroon.
 (Third Cameroon Household Survey)
- [Kamgnia ()] 'Compensating the Poor out of Traditional Healing in Cameroon: A Nested Logit Analysis'. D B
 Kamgnia . Applied Econometrics and International Development 2007. 7 (2) p. .
- [Mcfadden ()] 'Conditional Logit Analysis of Qualitative Choice Behavior'. D Mcfadden . Frontiers in Econometrics P. Zarembka (ed.) 1973. Academic Press.
- [Ben-Akiva and Lerman ()] Discrete Choice Analysis: Theory and Application to Travel Demand, sixth printing
 1994 edn, M Ben-Akiva, S R Lerman. 1985. Cambridge/Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- 271 [Train ()] Discrete Choice Methods with Simulation, K E Train . 2003. Cambridge University Press.
- [Mcfadden ()] 'Econometric Analysis of Qualitative Response Model'. D Mcfadden . *Handbook of Econometrics*,
 M D Intriligator (ed.) 1984. Elsevier Science Publishers. 2.
- [Davis and Sanchez-Martinez ()] 'Economic Theories of Poverty'. P E Davis , M Sanchez-Martinez . Joseph Rowntree Foundation Report 2015.
- [Mihai et al. ()] 'Education and Poverty'. M Mihai , E Titan , D Manaea . Procedia Economics and Finance
 2015. 32 p. .
- 278 [Greene ()] W Greene . Econometric Analysis, 1997. Prentice Hall. (3rd ed.)
- [Becker ()] 'Human Capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education'. G S Becker
 National Bureau of Economic Research 1975. Columbia University Press. (2) . (nd ed.)
- [Becker ()] Human Resources Development and Operations Policy Working Paper N°. 52, The World Bank, G S
 Becker . 1995. Washington DC. (Human Capital and Poverty Alleviation)
- [Baland and Robinson ()] 'Is Child Labor Inefficient?'. J.-M Baland , J A Robinson . Journal of Political Economy
 2000. 108 p. .
- [Living conditions of the populations and the profile of poverty in Cameroon (2008)] Living conditions of the
 populations and the profile of poverty in Cameroon, 2008. December 2008. Cameroon. Cameroon's National
 Institute of Statistics (in 2007 Main Report of the ECAM 3)
- [Psacharopoulos and Patrinos ()] 'Returns to Investment in Education: a Decennial Review of the Global
 Literature'. G Psacharopoulos , H A Patrinos . 10.1080/09645292.2018.1484426. Education Economics 2018.
- [Silberhorn et al. ()] N Silberhorn , Y Boztu? , L Hildebrandt . Estimation with the Nested Logit Model:
 Specifications and Software Particularities, (Germany) 2006. Institute of Marketing, Humboldt-Universität
 zu Berlin (SFB 649 Discussion Paper 2006-017)
- [Hausman and Mcfadden ()] 'Specification Tests for the Multinomial Logit Model'. J Hausman , D Mcfadden .
 Econometrica 1984. 52 (5) p. .
- [Ravallion and Chen ()] 'The developing World is poorer than we thought, but not less successful in the fight
 against poverty'. M Ravallion, S Chen. Policy Research Working Paper Series 2008. 4703. (The World Bank)
- ²⁹⁷ [Third Cameroon Household Survey: Trends, profile and determinants of the poverty in Cameroon ()] Third
- Cameroon Household Survey: Trends, profile and determinants of the poverty in Cameroon, 2008. 2001-2007.
 June 2008. Cameroon. Cameroon's National Institute of Statistics
- [Townsend ()] 'What is Poverty? An Historical Perspective'. P Townsend . Poverty in Focus/UNDP International
 Poverty Centre (IPC), 2006.