

# Impact of Brand Equity on Consumer's Purchase Decision of Smart Phone-A Study on University Students in Chittagong, Bangladesh

Md. Nurul Islam

*Received: 6 December 2019 Accepted: 2 January 2020 Published: 15 January 2020*

## Abstract

This study aims to measure the impact of brand equity on customer satisfaction on the Smartphone in Chittagong, Bangladesh. While conducting this study, the research adopted a model questionnaire for the purpose of doing a survey. In this study 300 respondents have been surveyed, where respondents are the university's student of Chittagong. This study examined the brand equity dimensions developed by David Aaker. A number of statistical tools such as correlation and regression analysis have been applied to analyze the collected data from the survey. Statistical software SPSS (version- 22) has been used to make this analysis

**Index terms**— brand equity, awareness, brand association, perceived quality, brand loyalty.

## 1 Introduction

business support and cooperation, effectiveness of marketing communications, licensing opportunities, additional opportunities for brand extension, more attraction for investors, and support from investors (Aaker, 1991; Keller, 2003; Van Auken, 2005), greater profit margins (Kim and Kim, 2005), ability to attract II.

## 2 Literature Review

The term brand has been using for many years; the brand value is a core concept for raising institutions that have emerged in the last twenty years (Leone et al, 2006). Buying decision is a kind of decision in which we examined that why a consumer purchases a particular brand. Sproles and Kendall (1986) mentioned that "a purchaser's decision-making style is a mental orientation characterizing a consumer's approach to making choices". Furthermore, cognitive and perceptual models assume that the knowledge of brand affects customer response to the brand. According to Chiffman and Kanuk (2000), "customer behavior is how consumers take a decision for household or personal goods by using their resources such as money, effort and time". Several models are developed to depict the customer good employees (DelVecchio, et al. 2007), protection of potential competitors entrance during outsourcing" (Tan and Lim, 2009). Different brand equity models have found nowadays, whereas we had chosen a model which is developed by David Aaker (1991). Brand equity is an eminent model for brand research over the period. Previous researches were focused on different contexts of the brand model where they used Aaker's (1991) model, for instance, hostelling and airlines, but no one had done any research on "the impact of brand equity on consumer's Smartphone purchase decision in Chittagong". In an attempt, we try to find out the relationship between the purchases decisions of consumers and dimensions. The researchers of the brand have developed numerous concepts of brands and the way how brands effect on customers present and future's purchasing behavior. The Smartphone industry in Bangladesh is one of the best rising sectors with high export potential. This study examines the relationship between the brand value of a Smartphone manufacturer and the purchase decisions for potential customers.

In the modern era, consumer awareness has increased, which has led them to make a decision to buy a familiar and profitable brand. Hence, in order to compete effectively, businesses have to do more to ensure that consumers buy more of their products and brands. Because brands have the unique attributes of contributing to firms' assets, brands are often considered as vital ingredients that add considerable value to the organizations. As

a result, many organizations are searching for the vast scope to sustain present brands rather than expanding new brands. Moreover, the brand manager's tactical tool is brand equity, which assists in exploiting economic indications as well as marketing efficiency (Yoo and Donthu, 2001). It is commonly thought that the brand enhances the long-term profitability of a company. However, brand equity's concept has grown rapidly over the past few decades. Proper management of brand equity leads "consumer loyalty, low risk of marketing activity and crisis, flexible response to price fluctuations, more I purchasing attitudes. The process of the Customer decision model has 7 stages in which customers need to go through those 7 stages before entering their ultimate decisions. In addition, all stages are following; "need recognition, search for information, pre-purchase, evaluation, purchase, consumption, post-consumption evaluation and divestment" (Blackwell et al., 2006). Stage one is "need recognition". The purchasing process begins when consumers recognize their unsatisfactory needs (Levy and Weitz, 1995). However, the classification of needs is two, the first one is "functional needs" and the second one is "psychological needs". On the one hand, functional needs which are involved in the product's performance. On the other hand, the needs of psychological are acquired when consumers feel satisfied with owning a product or goods. The second stage is the "search of information". The depth of search is varied for different consumers and it depends on some variables, for instance, income, personality, and consumer satisfaction (Moorthy et al., 1997). Also, the search for information can be classified into two ways, (1) "prepurchase search" (2) "ongoing search", mentioned by Solomon et al. (2006). However, Pre-purchase research begins when buyers identify the need and then they are looking for more market information. And, ongoing research is likely to be based on the self-interest of a specific brand. The third stage is "pre-purchase assessment", whereas the customer makes a comparison with different services and brands for making a purchase decision. Moreover, customers give attention to the attributes of products that are relevant to their needs and it is also included in this stage (Kotler et al., 2005). To judge a brand, customers are using the following attributes, quality, price, and quantity. Blackwell et al., (2006) mentioned that the changes in attributes can affect the customer's decision and the product or brand of the choices. However, Porter (2004) mentioned that Smartphone industries can increase their competitive advantages by creating some values. The fourth stage is "Purchase decision" which comes after considering various retail offerings. In the fifth stage, consumers are started purchasing the products or goods, whereas consumers evaluate the purchasing process in the sixth stage. In the seventh stage, customers recycle or dispose of the products.

### 3 a) "Brand Equity"

Brand equity is the commercial value that comes from customer perception of the brand name of a particular product or service. Aaker (1991) mentioned that "brand equity as an aggregate variable of the five dimensions of the brand assets, for instance, brand loyalty, perceived quality, brand awareness, brand association, and other proprietary assets". However, brand equity's positive side is that it happens when customers are intending to give more for the same level of quality only because of the attractiveness of the brand name attached to the product mentioned by Bello and Holbrook (1995). Nevertheless, if brand equity is not managed properly then it will be destroyed. For instance, poor services and poor product quality may affect the image of the brand.

### 4 b) "Brand Awareness"

Brand awareness is a marketing term that depicts the degree of customer recognition of a product by its name. Aaker (1996) mentioned that brand awareness is a consumer's ability to identify a brand by its product or service category. A small number of customers make their purchase decisions by the brand's goodwill in the market (Keller, 1993). Keller (2003) mentioned that brand awareness plays an important role in customer decision making by bringing some advantages; these are the following, consideration advantages, learning advantages and choice advantages. Therefore, we may anticipate that H1: "Brand awareness might have a positive impact on customer's purchase decision".

### 5 c) "Brand Association"

Brand associations are brand attributes that come to mind when consumers talk about a brand. "Brand associations contribute to brand equity by making a non-attribute-based component of brand equity and an attribute-based component of brand equity and provide evidence by supporting their conceptualization" (Park and Srinivasan, 1994). However, a brand association is "anything linked in memory to a brand" (Aaker, 1991). Aaker (1991) mentioned that the benefits of brand associations are the following: differentiating the brand, creating positive feelings, generating a reason to purchase and providing a basis for extensions. From the above literature we can hypothesize that: H2: "Brand association might have a positive impact on customer's purchase decision".

### 6 d) "Perceived Quality"

"Perceived quality of a brand could help to generate values by charging a premium price, providing a pivotal reason-to-buy, differentiating the position of a brand, motivating channel members to perform well and also introducing extensions into new brand groups", mentioned by Aaker (1991). Furthermore, price is one of the significant clues to examine the perceived quality, (Aaker, 1991). Thus it is hypothesized from the

above literature that: H3: "Perceived quality might have a positive impact on customer's purchase decision". consistently in the future, despite situational influences and marketing efforts having the potential to cause switching behavior" (Oliver 1997). It shows the motivation to be loyal towards the brand, and it is reflected when consumers choose the brand as their first choice mentioned by Yoo & Donthu (2001). Customers continue to buy the brand because of the loyalty of a brand, regardless of the convenience and prices which are owned by its competitors ??Aaker, 1991). Thus it is hypothesized from the above literature that:

H4: "Brand loyalty might have a positive impact on customer's purchase decision".

## 7 III.

## 8 Conceptual Framework

With an objective to find the nexus between Brand Equity and Consumer's Purchase intention a theoretical framework has been formed where consumers' purchase decisions is associated with brand awareness, brand associations, perceive quality and brand loyalty This study is designed with the following objectives: ? ? V.

## 9 Methodology

## 10 Research Objectives

## 11 Brand Awareness

Brand Association

## 12 Brand Loyalty

Perceived Quality

## 13 Consumer's Purchase Decision

This study has been designed with an objective to evaluate the impact of Brand Equity dimensions of David Aaker Brand Equity model on customer's buying decision of smart phones in Chittagong.

## 14 Also, this study designed to understand different dimension of Brand Equity model developed by David Aaker

The objective of this research is to measure the impact of brand equity on customers buying decisions.

In this study, the impact of brand equity dimensions on customers buying decisions is measured. It is quantitative research. A questionnaire is adopted to conduct a survey, whereas the respondents were 300 who were living in Chittagong. A convenience sampling method has been used to select the samples. Tools and techniques used in analyzing the collected data and information were mostly statistical in nature. Statistical Techniques such as reliability analysis and regression analysis were done with the help of SPSS-22 software.

## 15 Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha N of Items .870 22 From table-5, the b coefficients tell us how many units dependent variable change for a single unit change in each predictor. Like so, 1 unit increase in 'Brand Awareness' corresponds to .361 unit increase in "Purchase Decision" and so on. Here all b-coefficients are positive numbers that explain positive correlation between dependent variable (Purchase Decision) and independent variables (Brand Awareness, Brand Association, Brand Loyalty and Purchase Quality). Therefore, as significant and positive correlations exist among the variables all alternate hypothesis such as H1, H2, H3 and H4 are accepted.

## 16 VI.

## 17 Conclusion

This study sets out to measure the brand equity impact on customer's purchase decisions on smart phone. The results of this investigation show that the customer's purchase decision is significantly influenced by brand equity dimensions. In a developing country like Bangladesh, consumers usually prefer the products that are inexpensive and mostly non-branded. However, the findings of this study suggest that the consumers in Chittagong consider brand awareness, brand association, perceived quality, and brand loyalty very

Figure 1: Table 1 :

**2**a)  
Data  
Anal-  
ysis

		Correlations				
		Brand Awareness	Brand Association	Brand loyalty	Perceived Quality	Purchase Decision
BAW	Pearson Correlation	1	.717 **	.781 **	.884 **	.905 **
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	300	300	300	300	300
BAS	Pearson Correlation	.717 **	1	.627 **	.817 **	.857 **
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	300	300	300	300	300
BLO	Pearson Correlation	.781 **	.627 **	1	.807 **	.839 **
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	300	300	300	300	300
PQU	Pearson Correlation	.884 **	.817 **	.807 **	1	.926 **
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	300	300	300	300	300

Figure 2: Table 2 :

2

	Model	R	Model Summary		
			R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1		.970 a	.940	.940	.0919
a. Predictors: (Constant), PQU, BLO, BAS, BAW					
	Model	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F
1	Regression	39.322	4	9.831	1163.1
	Residual	2.492	295	.008	
	Total	41.815	299		

a. Dependent Variable: CPD

b. Predictors: (Constant), PQU, BLO, BAS, BAW

The ANOVA table shows the R square value is .940 which is highly impressive. It means 94% of variance in 'Purchase Decision' is explained by the predictors (independent variables). And adjusted square is also .940.

	Model	Coefficients a			t
		Unstandardized Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		
1	(Constant)	.116	.059		1.968
	BAW	.361	.330		10.53
	BAS	.348	.329		13.31
	BLO	.158	.230		9.245
	PQU	.179	.179		4.514

a. Dependent Variable: CPD

Figure 3: Table 2

3

Figure 4: Table 3 :

4

Figure 5: Table 4 :

5

Figure 6: Table 5 :



- 
- 145 [Sproles and Kendall ()] 'A methodology for profiling consumers' decision-making styles'. G B Sproles , E L  
146 Kendall . *J. Consum. Aff* 1986. 20 (2) p. .
- 147 [Park and Srinivasan ()] 'A surveybased method for measuring and understanding brand equity and its ex-  
148 tendibility'. C S Park , V Srinivasan . *Journal of Marketing Research* 1994. 31 (5) p. .
- 149 [Schiffman and Li ()] *Consumer Behavior*, L G Schiffman , Li . 2000. Pearson Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs,  
150 New York.
- 151 [Blackwell et al. ()] *Consumer Behaviour*, R D Blackwell , P W Miniard , F J Engel . 2006. Mason: Thomson.
- 152 [Solomon et al. ()] *Consumer Behaviour. A European perspective*, M Solomon , G Bamossy , S Askegaard , M K  
153 Hogg . 2006. Prentice Hall Financial Times. (3rd ed)
- 154 [Moorthy et al. ()] 'Consumer information search revisited: theory and empirical analysis'. S Moorthy , B T  
155 Ratchford , D Talukdar . *Journal of Consumer Research* 1997. 23 (4) p. .
- 156 [Yoo and Donthu ()] 'Developing and validating multidimensional consumer -based brand equity scale'. B Yoo ,  
157 N Donthu . *Journal of Business Research* 2001. 52 p. .
- 158 [Bello and Holbrook ()] 'Does an absence of brand equity generalize across product classes?'. D C Bello , M  
159 Holbrook . *Journal of Business Research* 1995. 34 (2) p. .
- 160 [Kotler et al. ()] P Kotler , V Wong , J Saunders , G Armstrong . *Principles of Marketing*, (Essex) 2005. Pearson  
161 Education Limited.
- 162 [Vecchio et al. (2007)] 'Leveraging Brand Equity to Attract Human Capital'. Del Vecchio , Cheryl B Devon ,  
163 Richard R Jarvis , Brian R Klink , Dineen . *Marketing Letters* 2007. April. 18 p. .
- 164 [Leone et al. ()] 'Linking brand equity to customer equity'. R P Leone , V R Rao , K L Keller , A M Luo , L  
165 Mcalister , R Srivastava . *Journal of Service Research* 2006. 9 (2) p. .
- 166 [Aaker ()] 'Measuring brand equity across products and markets'. D A Aaker . *California Management Rev* 1996.  
167 38 p. .
- 168 [Porter ()] M E Porter . *Competitive Strategy: Techniques for Analyzing Industries and Competitors*, (London)  
169 2004. Free Press.
- 170 [Levy and Weitz ()] *Retailing management*, M Levy , B A Weitz . 1995. Burr Ridge, IL: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.  
171 (2nd ed.)
- 172 [Oliver ()] *Satisfaction: A Behavioral Perspective on the Consumer*, R L Oliver . 1997. New York, McGraw Hill.
- 173 [Keller ()] *Strategic Brand Management*, K L Keller . 2003. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall. (2nd ed.)
- 174 [Van Auken ()] *The brand management checklist: proven tools and techniques for creating winning brands*, B  
175 Van Auken . 2005. London, UK: Kogan-Page Pub.
- 176 [Kim and Kim ()] 'The relationship between brand equity and firms' performance in luxury hotels and restau-  
177 rants'. H Kim , W G Kim . *Tourism Management* 2005. 26 p. .
- 178 [Lim and Tan ()] 'Using brand equity to counter outsourcing opportunism: A game theoretic approach'. W S  
179 Lim , S J Tan . *Marketing Letter* 2009. 20 p. .