

Analysis of Monetary Policy, Capital, Saving, FDI, and Economic Development for High and Middle Income Economies with ARDL Approach

Qamar Rasheed¹

¹ The University of Lahore

Received: 10 November 2020 Accepted: 3 December 2020 Published: 15 December 2020

Abstract

Monetary policy and macroeconomic factors play a decisive and fascinating role to determine the economic output of the country. Policymakers and economists take very seriously and consider them deterministic because these factors have an influence on each other. Therefore, the research has the objective to delineate the effect of monetary policy and all given indicators together on economic development precisely and their interdependence as well. ARDL (Autoregressive Distributed Lag) Bounds test cointegration technique is applied by employing annual time series data from 1980 to 2018. Money supply, lending interest rate, inflation, capital, saving, FDI, and economic development are said to be independent variables and explanatory variables one by one for each country separately to ascertain their interdependence

Index terms— monetary policy, capital, savings, foreign direct investment, economic development, ARDL ECM mechanism, and granger causality.

1 I. Introduction

Monetary policy is defined as the policy adopted by the central bank to control the money supply and interest rate in the country as per the definition of Handa (2009). The central bank of the country deals with the monetary policy with the help of different financial instruments like interest rates and inflation. Interest rate, inflation, and money supply play the main role to keep the well-balanced financial market and overall prices. Monetary policy secures money supply stability and helps to obtain fuller utilization of economic resources. It is necessary to sustain and keep it restricted range to get desirable results. According to Measuring Capital OECD Manual 2009, the money supply is one of the core factors to determine economic development. Gross fixed capital formation is defined as obtain and less salvages of fixed assets including plant, machinery, tools, and equipment including substantial improvement on non-produced assets. The assets procured can be new or they can be used or second hand. UNCTAD is defined that foreign direct investment describes as the investor has a long term business relationship and has significant influence on the management of the host country whereas it is controlled by the resident country. Individuals and business entities may be incorporated in FDI. Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development clarified that gross saving is the difference between disposable income and final consumption plus net transfers. The low saving rate affects the current account deficit and makes the worse international investment. Ayyoub et al. (2011) founded, when inflation exceeds its particular level, which makes trouble for the economy due to an increase in the average price level of the goods, and services, therefore, policymakers need to contemplate another option to keep inflation stable and moderate. Money supply also helpful to reduce the uncertainties to boost capital formations in the country. Chang et al. (2014) appreciated the Chinese monetary policy, which has proved inflation management because china has been dealing vigorously with business activities and monetary policy for the last two decades. Nguyen (2015) described a low rate of

inflation is one of the finest objectives of macroeconomic practice and price stability plays a beneficial role in the determination of economic development. Chaitip et al. (2015) suggested that monetary policy manipulates GDP growth, inflation rate and, exchange rate so it uses as an economic tool to maintain and promote economic progress. Mansur (2011) described that government needs to introduce strategies to make a rapid contribution to export and inflow of foreign capital. In the new global arena, there is a need for trade liberalization policies to organize savings and investment. That is why the government has been taking aggressive steps to make sure domestic demand and enhance economic growth. Taspinar (2014) stated that foreign direct investment and domestic savings raise the real income of the country. Mousavi and Monjazebeh (2014) expressed that saving is the most important macroeconomic indicator for the country to utilize the financial and capital resource, which is taken into consideration for the determination of the level of investment in the country. Turan and Gjergji (2014) mentioned that the government needs to give special attention to make policies to attract foreign direct investment, which may intensify savings and encourage economic growth as well. Akram (2015) demonstrated the benefits of savings for a country because it causes the financial sector to grow and control inflation. Alvi and Fatima (2017) described that domestic savings play a vital role in economic development and as well as promoting capital. Saving and interest rates could effectively control inflation and money supply in the short run but that cannot happen in the long run. Bhat and Laskar (2016) endorsed that efficient monetary policies will help in balancing and steadying inflation and interest rate to improve economic growth. Shaukat et al. (2019) intimated that the low interest rate is productive for developing countries to attain and sustain higher economic growth. Ayyoub et al. (2011) employed Ordinary Least Square to analyze the relationship between inflation level and economic growth for annual time series data from 1972 to 2010 in Pakistan. They found that after a certain level of inflation, the economy was beginning to fall into the danger zone and inflation has to be kept below the 7% rate to run an economy smoothly. Jiang and Chang (2014) examined the interdependence of money growth and inflation in China with the help of monthly data span from January 1991 to June 2014. They transformed original data into natural logs and taken the first difference to adjust seasonal trends within the time series. They got different time scale with the help of wavelet analysis to draw conclusion. They found that money growth and inflation linked positively in the longrun while discovered some divergence in the short run because of temporary fluctuations. Nguyen (2015) probed money supply and fiscal deficit on inflation nine selected Asian economies for 28 years. The data was taken from the Asian Development Bank for eight variables from 1985 to 2012. The study was used inflation, fiscal deficit, money supply, GDP per capita, government expenditure, exchange rate, trade openness, and interest rate. The study found the positive relationship between money supply and inflation based on pooled mean group method of analysis while interest rate, government expenditure, and fiscal deficit were significantly affecting inflation as per GMM and PMG method of analysis. Chaitip et al. (2015) applied the Pooled Mean Group and Mean Group under panel ARDL model to examine the long run and short run association of eight Asian countries to show the influence of money supply on economic growth for 19 years. The research concluded that there is a long run relationship between money supply and economic growth. Nizhegorodtsev and Goridko (2015) revealed the nonlinear relationship between GDP growth and money supply by performing macroeconomic equilibrium in the money of real goods and money market. The study was consisted on five BRICS countries, G7 countries, five PIIGS countries, some European and Asian countries as well. Urbanovsky (2016) showed the interaction of monetary policy, price level interest rate and real GDP by applying VAR (Vector autoregression) approach and Granger Causality test. The study suggested that the price level has influence on interest rate whereas interest rate and price level both could affect the real GDP. Morteza and Farahani (2016) found that the negative effects of monetary policy have more impact on production growth than positive effects in the same period because organizations do not change their price level. They do not try to decrease the price level due to having some hesitation. The study ratified that countries depend on natural resources need to change the price in the long-run because of market structure. However, they do not need to make changes in the short run. The study used a vector error correction model (VECM) to draw the conclusion about money supply and economic activity. Bhat and Laskar (2016) found that GDP behaves negatively against the interest rate while it behaves positively against inflation rate in Indian perspective. Anwar et al. (2016) used OLS (Ordinary Least Square) approach to test the function of monetary policy, inflation rate, exchange rate and interest rate, and economic growth of Pakistan quarterly basis from 1972 to 2011. GDP behaved insignificantly against money supply and inflation rate whereas interest rate and exchange rate have a significant influence on GDP. Sasongko and Huruta (2018) showed that there is a one-way causality between money supply and inflation in Indonesia. Denbel et al. (2016) disclosed that economic growth affected by the change of money supply and inflation based on the VECM approach. The study concluded that the unidirectional causal relationship existed between economic growth and inflation rate as per the Granger Causality test. Twinoburyo and Odhiambo (2018) carried out a survey of prevailing theoretical and recent empirical findings to know the effect of monetary policy on economic growth. They described that most of the previous research has been supporting the role of monetary policy on the economy. However, the strength of influence is different in developing and developed economies because of the control of the central bank to make policies. ??ahin showed that saving has a positive and significant impact on economic growth and Iran needs to increase the level of private savings in the country to support investment. Economic growth and saving both have a mutual and two-way relationship with each other. Taspinar (2014) examined the effect of domestic saving and foreign direct investment on the economic growth of Turkey with the help of the Bound test ARDL model subject to the ECM model. Domestic savings

6 IV. Results

7 a) Unit Root Test Results

The unit root is conducted for each variable to know the stationarity in the time series and to find the level of integration of the series before conducting the cointegration test. The unit root test is used to make sure the validity of the results. All variables are stationary at level "I(0)" and first difference "I(1)" according to Augmented Dickey Fuller test at 05% level of significance. The outcome of the ADF test is reported the stationarity of variables in table 1 at the intercept, intercept, and trend. The unit root test giving a strong reason for the utilization of ARDL because all variables are stationary at the level and first difference.

8 () B c) Methodology

The objective of the research is to focus on the interaction of money supply capital, interest, inflation, savings, FDI, and GDP per capita in 02 high-income Asian economies namely Singapore, South Korea, and 02 middle-income Asian economies namely Malaysia and Thailand. The study is being applied the ARDL bound test approach to know the cointegration to examine the long run relationship between variables. The ARDL methodology was introduced by Pesaran et al. (2001). Usually, the Johansen cointegration approach has been used to develop the long run interaction between certain variables. Variables must be integrated at the same level or order as per its requirements. The long run relationship between the variable cannot be possible if variables are not at the same order. ARDL deals with such problems to get better results by presenting the Bound Test procedure and to determine long run interaction among variables. The optimum lag order of variables is determined before executing the ARDL bounds testing method to cointegration. Moreover, the study conducted a unit root test, normality test, serial correlation LM test, and Heteroskedasticity Test before going to apply ARDL bound test for selected economies. Granger Causality Test is also performed to determine the causation amongst the indicators.

9 I(1)

Stat. -3.424 - The outcomes are shown in Table 3 imply that the optimum lag order is 02 based on the AIC: Akaike information criterion. The pertinent lag order keeps away from the spuriousness of the ARDL bounds testing approach to cointegration outcomes. ?? revealed that capital, FDI, and savings are the most significantly related to GDP per capita prominently for middle and high-income economies with positive interaction. The coefficient of capital, FDI, and saving showed that any increase in capital, FDI, and saving would lead to a favorable output for the economies. Money supply, interest, and inflation have the insignificant effect on GDP per capita in middle and high-income economies in the long run except for Singapore but the coefficient of their determinants has a negative influence on GDP per capita in most cases. The study presented the long run results of equation (2) The results indicated that GDP and inflation are most significant toward Capital with a positive association. Moreover, FDI inflow and Saving affect the significantly gross Capital formation of Malaysia and South Korea while the coefficient of FDI inflow has a positive and negative impact on the Capital of both countries respectively. The outcome of table 7 suggests that any increase in the lending Interest rate in South Korea and Malaysia would lead to an appreciation in FDI. In the meantime, GDP and Capital have been affecting the FDI significantly in Singapore, South Korea, and Malaysia. Table 8 explains the result of equation (4) in which Inflation is taken as the dependent variable.

Saving has a much greater influence on Inflation than other explanatory variables. Capital, Interest, and FDI behave significantly in different countries, however, Thailand and Singapore are the most affected countries in terms of inflation by their explanatory variables. 9 presents the result of equation (5) when the study took interest as the dependent variable. In this case, FDI and Saving stimulate and surge lending interest rates. Meanwhile, GDP has a significant and inverse impact on Interest in Singapore while it is positively and significantly associated with Interest in Thailand. South Korea's money supply is influenced by defining indicators according to equation (6) and table 10 as compare to other economies. The table 11 indicates that an increase in GDP per capita and Inflation would lead to Saving in middle and highincome economies except for Singapore because the rise in Inflation would create trouble for Saving in Singapore's economy.) is applied to probe the short run interaction related to the long run relationship between the variables. The results of the ECM model for each equation are described in Table 12 but the study would like to interpret only equation (1) with respect to Singapore at 05% level of significance. The outcomes are described in table 12 express that the coefficient of ECM is -0.87 for Singapore's economy and it is significant. The sign of the coefficient of ECM is negative and its probability value is "0" which ratifies the significant, strong and the long run relationship between GDP per capita and explanatory variables. The R-Square explained that defining variables have 95% control aggregately on the GDP of Singapore and they have a significant impact cumulatively on GDP per capita in Singapore.

10 g) Causation Results

The study estimated statistical causal and directional relationships by applying the Granger Causality Test. The pairwise outcomes of the Granger Causality are presented in Table 13. The estimated outcomes reported that bidirectional causality and twoway causal relationship exist between GDP to Inflation, Interest to Capital,

and Saving to Interest in Singapore, Malaysia, and Thailand respectively. There is one way, and unidirectional Granger causation exists from GDP to Inflation, from GDP to Interest, from Saving to GDP, from Interest to Capital, from Saving to Capital in South Korea, and Thailand. Meanwhile, the results also ratified that GDP leads to Capital, Interest, Inflation, and Money supply in Malaysia and Thailand. There is a one-way causal relationship running from Capital to FDI, Saving to FDI and Money in Thailand. In addition, Money and Saving would lead to Capital in Singapore. There is one way Granger Causality exists in Malaysia and South Korea with respect to Inflation to Capital and vice versa respectively.

11 V. Conclusion

The empirical finding of the study on ARDL Bound testing form, Error Correction Model (ECM) form and Granger Causality test can be concluded as follows: (1) GDP per capita and Gross Savings are highly effective and advantageous to determine other variables and contribute a significant role in most equations. In the meantime, the behavioral trend of the Money supply is statistically significant with Saving and Interest only in South Korea. (2) Gross Capital formation is another crucial indicator to provide favorable and decisive outcomes, that illuminate GPD per capita, FDI, and Savings significantly in different countries but it also surges money supply and inflation in countries like Singapore and Thailand. (3) Inflation, FDI, and lending Interest rate playing a detrimental and affirmative role toward other variables because these variables significantly related to other variables in the long run perspective. (4) There is a momentous relationship exist between variables in high-income economies such as Singapore and South Korea. Therefore, the economic output of high-income economies could be spoiled through the combination of determinants. (5) The economic output could be worse in middle-income economies in response to fluctuations in economic indicators but it would be less harmful as compared to high-income economies.

In addition, the study measures a directional and causal relationship with the help of the Granger Causality test. The causation result described that most of the explanatory variable has one way and unidirectional effect on others variable such as GDP versus Interest, Saving versus Capital, Inflation versus Capital and etc. but some of them have two way and bidirectional causation on other variables.

The study deduced that economic variables make the utmost uncertainties during the long run toward economic output; however, some of them have the least impact on economic activities in middle and high-income economies like money supply. Therefore, if policymakers like to boost economic output then they have to focus on gross capital formation, gross savings and GDP per capita to get better economic output. Moreover, the government should formulate effective and fruitful policies to tackle economic issues to make less severe in the long run.

1

Countries	Variable	Order	Value	GDP	Money	Capital	Saving	FDI	Interest	Inflation
I(0)	Intercept		Prob.	0.451	0.033		0.754	0.269	0.691	0.055
			Stat.	-1.643	-3.122		-0.969	-2.041	-1.133	-2.896
										4.028
	Trend & Intercept		Prob.	0.703	0.950		0.325	0.534	0.001	0.000
										0.023

Figure 1: Table 1 :

3

Optimum Lag	Method	No. of Observation	Period
2	AIC: Akaike information criterion	36	1980-2018
d) The Bounding Test			

Figure 2: Table 3 :

4

D.V	Countries	Function	F.Stat.	Sig.	I(0)	I(1)	Re-sult
		Singapore Equation (1)	19.80	5%	2.45	3.61	Yes
GDP	S. Korea	Equation (1)	10.63	5%	2.45	3.61	Yes
	Malaysia	Equation (1)	37.14	5%	2.45	3.61	Yes
	Thailand	Equation (1)	36.36	5%	2.45	3.61	Yes
Capital	Singapore	Equation (2)	9.71	5%	2.45	3.61	Yes
	S. Korea	Equation (2)	7.55	5%	2.45	3.61	Yes
	Malaysia	Equation (2)	31.28	5%	2.45	3.61	Yes
	Singapore	Equation (3)	13.17	5%	2.45	3.61	Yes
FDI	S. Korea	Equation (3)	7.62	5%	2.45	3.61	Yes
	Malaysia	Equation (3)	17.16	5%	2.45	3.61	Yes
	Thailand	Equation (3)	5.27	5%	2.45	3.61	Yes
Inflation	Singapore	Equation (4)	13.39	5%	2.45	3.61	Yes
	S. Korea	Equation (4)	10.55	5%	2.45	3.61	Yes
	Malaysia	Equation (4)	12.33	5%	2.45	3.61	Yes
	Thailand	Equation (4)	12.33	5%	2.45	3.61	Yes

Figure 3: Table 4 :

2

Figure 4: Table 2 (

7

D.V	Statistics	Function	GDP	Capital	Inflation	Interest	Money	Saving
Coun-tries								
Singapore	ret.stat.	Equation (3)	2.399	-0.877	-0.664	1.834	0.992	-0.708
	Coef.	Equation (3)	7.064	-0.305	-0.009	0.072	0.783	-0.592
S. Korea	t.stat.	Equation (3)	0.913	-2.577	1.090	2.288	1.378	1.751
	Coef.	Equation (3)	4.344	-3.045	0.018	0.072	1.093	2.215
Malaysia	t.stat.	Equation (3)	-2.084	3.405	1.258	3.403	0.007	0.665
	Coef.	Equation (3)	-13.096	3.625	0.026	0.217	0.003	0.507
Thailand	t.stat.	Equation (3)	-1.573	1.247	1.052	-0.577	0.928	1.143
	Coef.	Equation (3)	-9.880	1.910	0.025	-0.019	1.262	1.702

Figure 5: Table 7 :

8

D.V	Countries	Statistics	Function	GDP	Capital	FDI	Interest	Money	Saving
	Singapore	t.stat.	Equation (4)	1.855	3.063	-	2.280	1.875	-2.938
		Coef.	Equation (4)	60.670	10.514	-0.975	0.832	15.191	-22.479
	S. Korea	t.stat.	Equation (4)	-0.186	1.502	0.994	4.360	1.025	-1.369
		Coef.	Equation (4)	-	25.954	2.261	1.600	9.658	-27.945
	Malaysia	t.stat.	Equation (4)	0.729	-0.923	2.093	-0.867	-0.753	2.529
		Coef.	Equation (4)	46.074	-12.188	3.747	-0.616	-3.897	16.355
	Thailand	t.stat.	Equation (4)	-2.171	2.785	0.703	-1.490	-0.727	2.795
		Coef.	Equation (4)	-106.653	24.502	0.680	-0.637	-9.072	30.327

Table

Figure 6: Table 8 :

9

D.V	Countries	Statistics	Function	GDP	Capital	FDI	Inflation	Money	Saving
	Singapore	t.stat.	Equation (5)	-2.609	0.020	0.784	1.597	-0.025	2.609
		Coef.	Equation (5)	-31.098	0.036	0.372	0.105	-0.097	9.767
	S. Korea	t.stat.	Equation (5)	0.565	1.829	2.934	0.355	-3.599	-1.806
		Coef.	Equation (5)	20.120	18.209	4.704	0.040	-19.612	-19.139

Figure 7: Table 9 :

12

Money
Saving

Figure 8: Table 12 :

10

Figure 9: Table 10 :

11

Figure 10: Table 11 :

13

Variables	Singapore		S. Korea		Malaysia		Thailand	
	F-Stat.	Prob.	F-Stat.	Prob.	F-Stat.	Prob.	F-Stat.	Prob.
CAPITAL does not Granger Cause GDP	2.12	0.14	0.76	0.48	3.09	0.06	2.41	0.11
GDP does not Granger Cause CAPITAL	0.98	0.39	0.95	0.40	3.77	0.03	8.40	0.00
FDI does not Granger Cause GDP	1.86	0.17	1.83	0.18	0.14	0.87	0.74	0.48
GDP does not Granger Cause FDI	1.01	0.38	0.14	0.87	0.06	0.94	1.77	0.19
INFLATION does not Granger Cause GDP	5.78	0.01	1.22	0.31	1.52	0.23	1.26	0.30
GDP does not Granger Cause INFLATION	4.25	0.02	4.71	0.02	0.52	0.60	4.24	0.02
INTEREST does not Granger Cause GDP	1.37	0.27	1.53	0.23	0.83	0.45	1.07	0.36
GDP does not Granger Cause INTEREST	2.16	0.13	8.22	0.00	13.30	0.00	4.92	0.01
MONEY does not Granger Cause GDP	2.81	0.08	2.08	0.14	0.07	0.93	0.49	0.62
GDP does not Granger Cause MONEY	1.57	0.22	1.76	0.19	0.14	0.87	3.73	0.04
SAVING does not Granger Cause GDP	0.24	0.79	3.33	0.05	0.15	0.86	3.31	0.05
GDP does not Granger Cause SAVING	0.10	0.90	0.07	0.93	0.20	0.82	0.83	0.45
FDI does not Granger Cause CAPITAL	2.64	0.09	0.23	0.80	0.43	0.65	0.15	0.86
CAPITAL does not Granger Cause FDI	0.62	0.55	0.02	0.99	0.29	0.75	3.20	0.05
INFLATION does not Granger Cause CAPITAL	0.00	1.00	0.27	0.76	4.84	0.01	1.89	0.17
CAPITAL does not Granger Cause INFLATION	0.63	0.54	4.34	0.02	1.66	0.21	2.90	0.07
INTEREST does not Granger Cause CAPITAL	0.52	0.60	0.59	0.56	5.87	0.01	1.96	0.16
CAPITAL does not Granger Cause INTEREST	1.81	0.18	7.22	0.00	7.59	0.00	6.79	0.00
MONEY does not Granger Cause CAPITAL	4.37	0.02	1.05	0.36	0.08	0.93	0.09	0.91
CAPITAL does not Granger Cause MONEY	1.37	0.27	0.55	0.58	0.02	0.98	2.29	0.12
SAVING does not Granger Cause CAPITAL	3.68	0.04	3.25	0.05	0.04	0.96	5.44	0.01
CAPITAL does not Granger Cause SAVING	0.20	0.82	0.04	0.96	0.04	0.96	0.21	0.81
INFLATION does not Granger Cause FDI	0.11	0.90	0.83	0.45	2.51	0.10	1.35	0.27
FDI does not Granger Cause INFLATION	2.85	0.07	0.59	0.56	0.60	0.56	0.06	0.94
INTEREST does not Granger Cause FDI	0.68	0.51	0.53	0.59	0.04	0.96	0.11	0.90
FDI does not Granger Cause INTEREST	0.74	0.49	0.53	0.59	0.34	0.71	0.33	0.72
MONEY does not Granger Cause FDI	1.81	0.18	0.17	0.84	0.43	0.65	0.69	0.51
FDI does not Granger Cause MONEY	0.11	0.90	1.60	0.22	0.04	0.96	0.04	0.96
SAVING does not Granger Cause FDI	1.14	0.33	2.45	0.10	0.39	0.68	3.28	0.05
FDI does not Granger Cause SAVING	2.20	0.13	3.09	0.06	0.09	0.92	0.11	0.90
INTEREST does not Granger Cause INFLATION	0.97	0.39	0.51	0.60	0.37	0.70	2.48	0.10

Figure 11: Table 13 :

-
- [Dutse Journal of Economics Development Studies] , *Dutse Journal of Economics & Development Studies* 3 p.
- [Handa ()] , J Handa . *Monetary Economics, Taylor & Francis e-Library* 2009. 2 p. .
- [Siddique et al. ()] , M A Siddique , M M Naeem , S Yaqoob . *Impact of FDI on Economic Growth: Evidence from Pakistan. Bulletin of Business and Economics* 2017. 6 p. .
- [Sahin and Dogan ()] ‘Analysis of the Neutrality of Money for the US Economy’. A Sahin , I Dogan . *Journal of Applied Sciences* 2017. 7 p. .
- [Tiryaki et al. ()] ‘Asymmetric Effects of Industrial Production, Money Supply and Exchange Rate Changes on Stock Returns in Turkey’. A Tiryaki , R Ceylan , L Erdo?an . *Applied Economics* 2018. 51 p. .
- [Bukowski and Bukowska ()] ‘Changes of Money Supply, Interest Rates, Foreign Exchange and Economic Growth in the Euro Area’. S I Bukowski , J E Bukowska . *Acta Universitatis Lodzianensis. Folia Oeconomica* 2017. 6 p.
- [Carbonell and Werner ()] ‘Does Foreign Direct Investment Generate Economic Growth? A New Empirical Approach Applied to Spain’. J B Carbonell , R A Werner . *Economic Geography* 2018. 94 p. .
- [Ayyoub et al. ()] ‘Does Inflation Affect Economic Growth? The case of Pakistan’. M Ayyoub , I S Chaudhry , F Farooq . *Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences (PJSS)* 2011. 31 p. .
- [Alvi and Fatima ()] ‘Domestic Saving under the Perspective of Interest Rate, unemployment and inflation in Pakistan: A Time Series Analysis’. A A Alvi , A Fatima . *Bulletin of Business and Economics* 2017. 6 p. .
- [Onyinye et al. ()] ‘Effect of Capital Formation on Economic Growth in Nigeria’. N G Onyinye , O S Idenyi , A C Ifeyinwa . *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting* 2017. 5 p. .
- [Celik et al. ()] ‘Effects of an Unchanged Monetary Policy Rate in Nigeria’s Economic Growth’. B Celik , A Usoro , A Yakubu . *Nile Journal of Business and Economics* 2019. 11 p. .
- [Nguyen ()] ‘Effects of Fiscal Deficit and Money M2 Supply on Inflation: Evidence from Selected Economies of Asia’. V B Nguyen . *Finance and Administrative Science* 2015. 20 p. . (Journal of Economics)
- [Taspinar ()] ‘Foreign Direct Investment, Domestic Savings, and Economic Growth: The Case of Turkey’. N Taspinar . *International Journal of Economic Perspectives* 2014. 8 p. .
- [Belascu and Horobet ()] ‘Foreign Direct Investments and Institutional Performance: A Romanian Perspective’. L Belascu , A Horobet . *Bulletin of Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv. Economics* 2015. 9 p. .
- [Anwar et al. ()] ‘Impact of Monetary Policy on Economic Growth in Pakistan: Evaluation and Analysis’. A Anwar , A Q Mohsin , A Saboor . *Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences (PJSS)* 2016. 36 p. .
- [Galadima and Ngada ()] *Impact of Money Supply on Economic Growth in Nigeria*, M D Galadima , M H Ngada . 2017.
- [Aslam ()] ‘Impact of Money Supply on Sri Lankan Economy: An Econometric Analysis’. A M Aslam . *International Letters of Social and Humanistic Sciences* 2016. 67 p. .
- [Svedin and Stage ()] *Impacts of foreign direct investment on efficiency in Swedish manufacturing*, D Svedin , J Stage . 2016. Springer Plus. 5 p. .
- [Urbanovsky ()] ‘Interconnection of Interest Rate, Price Level, Money Supply, and Real GDP: The Case of the Czech Republic’. T Urbanovsky . *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences* 2016. 220 p. .
- [Bhat and Laskar ()] ‘Interest Rate, Inflation Rate and Gross Domestic Product of India’. S A Bhat , M R Laskar . *International Journal of Technical Research &* 2016. 1 p. .
- [Measuring Capital ()] *Measuring Capital*, 2009. OECD Manual.
- [Twinoburyo and Odhiambo ()] ‘Monetary Policy and Economic Growth: A Review of International Literature’. E N Twinoburyo , N M Odhiambo . *Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice* 2018. 2 p. .
- [Sasongko and Huruta ()] ‘Monetary Policy and the Causality between Inflation and Money Supply in Indonesia’. G Sasongko , A D Huruta . *Business: Theory and Practice* 2018. 19 p. .
- [Chang et al. ()] ‘Money Growth and Inflation in China: New Evidence from a Wavelet Analysis’. T Chang , X L Li , C Jiang . *International Review of Economics & Finance* 2014. 35 p. .
- [Chaitip et al. ()] ‘Money Supply Influencing on Economic Growth-wide Phenomena of AEC Open Region’. P Chaitip , K Chokethaworn , C Chaiboonsri , M Khounkhalax . *Procedia Economics and Finance* 2015. 24 p.
- [Shaukat et al. ()] ‘Real Interest Rate and Economic Growth: A Statistical Exploration for Transitory Economies’. B Shaukat , Q Zhu , M I Khan . *Science Direct* 2019. 534.
- [Lee and Werner ()] ‘Reconsidering Monetary Policy: An Empirical Examination of the Relationship between Interest Rates and Nominal GDP Growth in the’. K S Lee , R A Werner . *Ecological Economics* U.S., U.K., Germany and Japan (ed.) 2018. 146 p. .

- [Najarzadeh et al. ()] ‘Relationship between Savings and Economic Growth: The Case for Iran’. R Najarzadeh , M Reed , M Tasan . *Journal of International Business and Economics* 2014. 2 p. .
- [Akram and Akram ()] ‘Savings Behaviour in Muslim and Non-Muslim Countries in Context to the Interest Rate’. N Akram , M I Akram . *Pakistan Journal of Applied Economics* 2015. 25 p. .
- [Mansur ()] ‘Savings, Investment & FDI Contribution to Malaysian Economic Growth in the Globalization Era’. K Mansur . *International Business & Economics Research Journal* 2011. 2 p. .
- [Tsai and Chang ()] ‘The Comovement between Money and Economic Growth in 15 Asia Pacific Countries: Wavelet Coherency Analysis in Time Frequency Domain’. S L Tsai , T Chang . *Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting* 2018. 2 p. .
- [Castro et al. ()] ‘The determinants of foreign direct investment in Brazil and Mexico: an empirical analysis’. P G Castro , E A Fernandes , A C Campos . *Procedia Economics and Finance* 2013. 5 p. .
- [Comes et al. ()] ‘The Impact of Foreign Direct Investments and Remittances on Economic Growth: A Case Study in Central and Eastern Europe’. C A Comes , E Bunduchi , V Vasile , D Stefan . *Sustainability* 2018. 10 p. .
- [Mousavi and Monjazebe ()] ‘The Impact of Growth Rate of Real GDP Per Capita on the Savings Rate in Iran and Some Developing and Developed Selected Countries’. N Mousavi , M Monjazebe . *International Journal of Scientific Management and Development* 2014. 2 p. .
- [Nizhegorodtsev and Goridko ()] *The Impact of Money Supply on Economic Growth: Theory, Experience, Modelling. Handbook on Economics, Finance and Management Outlooks 03*, R Nizhegorodtsev , N Goridko . 2015. p. .
- [Belloumi and Alshehry ()] *The Impacts of Domestic and Foreign Direct Investments on Economic Growth in Saudi Arabia*, M Belloumi , A Alshehry . 2018. 6 p. .
- [Hussain and Zafar ()] ‘The Interrelationship between Money Supply, Inflation, Public Expenditure and Economic Growth’. M I Hussain , T Zafar . *European Online Journal of Natural and Social Sciences* 2018. 7 p. .
- [Lloyd ()] ‘The Panama Canal as a Determinant of FDI in Panama’. R A Lloyd . *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research* 2017. 7 p. .
- [Dkhili and Dhiab ()] ‘The Relationship between Economic Freedom and FDI versus Economic Growth: Evidence from the GCC Countries’. H Dkhili , L B Dhiab . *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 2018. 11 p. .
- [Jednak and Kragulj ()] ‘The Relationship between Economic Growth and Inflation: The Case of Poland and Serbia’. S Jednak , D Kragulj . *Zeszyty Naukowe Pwz W P?ocku. Nauki Ekonomiczne* 2018. 28 p. .
- [Denbel et al. ()] ‘The Relationship between Inflation, Money Supply and Economic Growth in Ethiopia: Co integration and Causality Analysis’. F S Denbel , Y W Ayen , T A Regasa . *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications* 2016. 6 p. .
- [Morteza and Farahani ()] ‘The Relationship between Money Supply and Economic Activity in Countries Dependent on’. E Morteza , Y G Farahani . *Natural Resources. Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development* 2016. 7 p. .
- [Mansoor et al. ()] ‘The Relationship between Money Supply, Price Level and Economic Growth in Pakistan: Keynesian versus Monetarist View’. A Mansoor , Q Shoukat , S Bibi , K Iqbal , R Saeed , K Zaman . *Review of Economic and Business Studies* 2018. 11 p. .
- [Mlosa et al. ()] ‘The Role of Credit, Money, and Exchange Rate Channels on Monetary Transmission Mechanism in Five East Community (EAC) Countries’. C Mlosa , L Hakim , S A Rahayu . *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research* 2018. 292 p. .
- [Mele and Stefanski ()] ‘Velocity in the Long-Run: Money and Structural Transformation’. A Mele , R Stefanski . *Review of Economic Dynamics* 2019. 31 p. .
- [Turan and Gjergji ()] ‘What is the Impact of Savings on Growth? The Case of a Small Open Economy (Albania)’. G Turan , O Gjergji . *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences* 2014. 5 p. .