Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

The Empirical Evaluation of how Public Expenditure Influences Economic Growth in Nigeria Past. Dr. Abomaye-Nimenibo¹ and Williams Aminadokiari Samuel² ¹ OBONG UNIVERSITY Received: 5 November 2020 Accepted: 5 December 2020 Published: 15 December 2020

7 Abstract

This study examined government expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria during the period 1985-2015. The specific objective of this study is to investigate how government capital 9 expenditure affects economic growth in Nigeria. Data extracts from the Central Bank of 10 Nigeria (CBN) statistical bulletin form our major source of information. We use the Unit root 11 test using Augmented Dickey-Fuller test technique. Our result revealed that all the variables 12 in the model were stationary at different levels of test. The Johansson co-integration test 13 result also showed that all the variables in the model have a long-run relationship, and 14 government capital expenditure has a positive and significant impact on economic growth in 15 Nigeria. The government recurrent expenditure also has a positive and significant impact on 16 economic growth in Nigeria having a coefficient of determination of 98.4 17

18

19 Index terms— government, capital expenditure, recurrent expenditure, economic growth,

²⁰ 1 Introduction a) Background to the Study

n important instrument of government to control the economy of a nation is that of Capital and recurrent 21 expenditure. These two important tools are used sine quo to fine-tune the economy in promoting economic 22 23 growth. Government expenditure notably on social and economic infrastructure can be growth-enhancing 24 although the financing of such expenditure to provide essential infrastructural facilities including transport, electricity, provision of potable water and good sanitation especially proper waste disposal, provision of quality 25 education and health are key. Inuwa, (2012) stated that the relationship between government expenditure 26 and economic growth has continued to generate sense or controversies among scholars in economic literature. 27 Accordingly, the nature of the impact of government expenditure on economic growth is a foregone conclusion, 28 and incontrovertible. While some researchers such as Tuban, (2010) believed that the impact of government 29 expenditure on economic growth is negative or non-significant, others such as Alexiou, (2009) were of the view 30 that the impact is positive and significant. The structure of Nigerian government expenditure is categorized into 31 capital and recurrent expenditure (Muritala 2011). Under the recurrent expenditure lie government expenditures 32 on administration such as wages, salaries, interest on loans, maintenance cost, etc. and that of capital expenses 33 34 centres on capital project such as construction of trunk and feeder roads, international and local airports, Tertiary, 35 Secondary and Primary education, telecommunication networks, electrification of towns and villages with solar 36 and generating sets or connection to the national grid, building of Hospitals and Dams etc. which are generally referred to as capital expenditure. The pattern of government spending in Nigeria relative to economic growth is 37 still an enigma. The theoretical positions on the subject are quite diverse, making spending a source of economic 38 stagnation as it were. Empirical research does not conclusively see government spending as a stagnation as 39 a few studies found the spending pattern as having a significantly negative relationship between government 40 spending and economic growth in real output of goods and services. It is against this backdrop, that this study 41 is undertaken to empirically evaluate the impact of government expenditure on economic growth in Nigeria. 42

⁴³ 2 b) Statement of Problem

It is of true attestation that the Federal Government of Nigeria's Capital and Recurrent expenditure has continued 44 to rise over the years as a result of huge receipts from production and sales of crude oil, calling forth an increased 45 demand for public goods like construction of more roads, improvement in communication gadgets, increase in 46 power generation, increased educational institution and equipment's and provision of better health services etc. 47 Besides, there has been increasing demands for the government to provide both internal and external security for 48 the people and the nation. Available statistics revealed that total government expenditure (capital and recurrent) 49 and its component have continued to rise in the past decades. Government total recurrent expenditure increased 50 from N4,846.7m in 1981 to ?? 7,576.4m in 1990, and N 36, 219.60m in 1995, while that of Recurrent expenditure 51 was N461,600.00m and N1, 589,270.00m in 2000 and 2007; and further increased to ?? 3,314,513.33m in 2011 and 52 N 33,255,178m in 2012. In the same, composition of government recurrent expenditure shows that expenditure 53 on defence, internal security, education, health, agriculture, construction, and transport and communication 54 increased during the period under review (see appendix 1); as government capital expenditure rose from N 6,567m 55 in 1981 to N 8,526m in 1986 and further to N 241,688.3m in 2003. Capital expenditure stood at N 918,500m and N 56 874,800m in 2011 and 2012, respectively (see appendix 2). We also noticed that the various components of capital 57 expenditure (that is, defence, agriculture, transport, communication, education, and health) also show a rising 58 trend (see appendix 2). Although government spending continued to rise, there has not been any meaningful 59 translated of these expenditures into meaningful growth and development, rather Nigeria was ranked among the 60 poorest countries in the world; and many Nigerians have continued to reel in abject poverty, with no less than 50 61 per cent of Nigeria's population living on an income of less than the US \$2 per day. As it were the situation is not 62 satisfactory enough, the nation's infrastructure (in terms of roads and power supply) keep on depleting leading 63 to the wounding up of many industries, thereby increasing the already saturated market of unemployment. 64 More so, macroeconomic indicators like the balance of payments, import obligation, inflation rate, and exchange 65

rate, were not showing any sign of improvement irrespective of the increasing expenditure of the government.The study therefore empirically examines the impact of government expenditure on economic growth

$_{68}$ 3 c) Objectives of the study

⁶⁹ The general objective of this study is to examine the impact of government expenditure on the economic growth ⁷⁰ of Nigeria. Howbeit, the specific objectives include the followings: i.

- 71 To examine the relationship between government capital expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria. ii.
- 72 To investigate the relationship between government recurrent expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria.

⁷³ 4 d) Statement of Hypotheses

74 The following hypotheses are used to evaluate the impact of government expenditure on the economic growth of 75 Nigeria.

$_{76}$ 5 Hypothesis one

Ho: There is no significant relationship between government capital expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria.
 HA: There is a significant relationship between government capital expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria

79 6 Hypothesis two

80 Ho: There is no significant relationship between government recurrent expenditure and economic growth in 81 Nigeria.

HA: There is a significant relationship between government recurrent expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria.

⁸⁴ 7 e) Definition of Terms

Economic growth: This is referred to as a sustained rise in the quantity of the overall goods and services produced in an economy.

Total government expenditure: It refers to all government expenses on consumption, investment, and transfer payments which can be financed through governmentgenerated fund through taxes etc. and by borrowing, seignior age, etc.

Capital expenditure: It is government money used to purchase, upgrade, improve, or extend the life of longterm assets which are typically property, infrastructure, or equipment with a useful life of more than one year.

Recurrent expenditure: This refers to payments made by governments or organization for all purposes except capital cost. Recurrent expenditure includes a payment made on goods and services as well as interest and subsidies.

95 8 II.

⁹⁶ 9 Theoretical Framework and

97 Literature Review

⁹⁸ 10 a) Conceptual Framework

The need to have a better way of government's expenditure has raised a lot of questions on the impact of government expenditure on economic development and growth of nations. There has been a steady increase in government spending without an appreciable increase in economic growth and development in Nigeria as well as in other developing economies which has led to several types of research. Interest in growth theories has also invigorated interest among researchers in verifying and understanding the link between government fiscal policies and economic growth.

capital and recurrent expenditure ought to boost the productive base of the economy. The inconclusiveness 105 in interest by economists in Nigeria and other jurisdictions on the role of government expenditure calls for more 106 research. Barro (1990) while writing on government spending in a growth model analysed the relationship that 107 existed between the size of government and rates of growth. He concluded that an increase in resources devoted to 108 nonproductive government services is associated with lower per capita growth. Therefore, government expenditure 109 which enhances economic growth should be tailored towards productive services. ??arro and Grilli (1994) opined 110 that Government spending includes all government consumption and investment but excludes transfer payments 111 made by a state. Government expenditure is for the acquisition of goods and services for current use in satisfying 112 individual or collective needs of the members of the community or it can be for acquisition of goods and services 113 intended to create future benefits such as infrastructure investment, and that the expenditures can represent 114 transfers of money, such as social salaries and cost of administration. 115

¹¹⁶ 11 i. Economic growth

Economic growth is the process by which national income or output is increased. An economy is said to be growing if there is a sustained increase in actual output of goods and services per head. The rate of economic growth, therefore, measures the percentage increase in real national output, during a period usually a year over the preceding years level ??Anyanwocha, 1993).

Todaro and Smith (2007) have defined economic growth as a steady process by which the productive capacity of an economy is increased over time to bring about rising levels of national output and income.

Economic growth is the increase in per capita gross domestic product (GDP) with other measures of aggregate income. It is often measured as the rate of change in real GDP and only refers to the number of goods and services produced in an economy. Economic growth can be either positive or negative; and when the economy is shrinking, we refer to that as Negative growth, which is associated with economic recession and economic depression.

Economic growth refers to an increase in a country's potential GDP, depending on how the national product has been measured. Economic growth must be sustained for a developing economy and to break the circle of poverty a country must pursue a fiscal policy to achieve accelerated economic growth.

Economic growth represents the expansion of a country's potential GDP or output. For illustration, if the social rate of return on investment exceeds the private return, then tax policies that encourage growth rate and levels of utility can be adopted. Growth models that incorporate public services, encourage optimal tax policy which hinges on the characteristic or types of services rendered. Tanzi (1994) observed that fiscal policy applies to the use of fiscal instruments of taxation and spending to influence the working of the economic system to maximize economic welfare with the overriding objective of promoting long-term growth of the economy. Therefore, growth means an increase in economic activities.

Todaro (1995) citing Kuznets defined a country's economic growth as a long-term rise in capacity to supply increasingly diverse economic goods to its population, and this growth capacity is based on advancing technology and the institutional and ideological adjustment that it demands.

According to Timothy and Abomaye-Nimenibo (2019), economic growth means an increase in national income, 141 which is an increase in the total output of goods and services of a nation. Increase in per capita income means 142 that total output during a particular period must be rising than the rise in production. Suleiman (2009) observes 143 that the size of Government and its impact on economic growth has emerged as a major fiscal management 144 145 issue facing economies in transition. He went on to say that previous researches have focused predominantly 146 on size of government in industrialized countries, but given the openness of most developing countries (DCs), 147 trade dependency, the vulnerability to external shocks, and volatility of finances, the role and size of government become germane to adjustment and stabilization programmes. Mitchell (2005) has argued that a large and 148 growing government is not conducive to better economic performance; while Abu and Abdullah (2010) observe 149 that government expenditure has continued to rise due to the huge receipts from production and sales of crude 150 oil, which brings about an increased demand for public goods like roads, communication, power, education and 151 health. Besides, there is an increasing need to provide both internal and external security for the people and the 152

nation. Available CBN statistical data show that total government expenditure (capital and recurrent) continued to rise throughout the period of that study.

The relationship between public expenditure and economic growth has continued to generate series of controversies among scholars, and the nature of its impact is inconclusive and while some authors such as investment and economic growth, but, that of current and consumption expenditures were negatively associated. Other studies also confirm either a negative or a positive correlation/relationship between fiscal policy (government expenditure, public investment or related variables used as proxies) and economic growth.

160 12 Economic growth is seen in two perspectives: b) Output 161 Growth

Economic growth is measured in quantitative terms of national income per head, output per worker, gross domestic product, etc. For example, in a situation where the salary per head of staff rises from say N250, 000.00 to N300, 000. 00, we refer to such increase as an element of economic growth. Similarly, an increase in the total gross domestic product (GDP) is an indication of economic growth. However, this increase should not be taken to mean an increase in the welfare of the person since an increase in output or income per head may not necessarily improve the welfare of the people which will be termed as economic development.

Economic growth means the process whereby more goods and services are available to satisfy the needs of society. It also means the expansion of an economy's capability to produce the goods and services the citizenry want in a given period. The productive economy depends on the quantity and quality of resources as inputs as well as on the level of technological development of a nation.

Nigeria is an agro-based country which predominant occupation is agriculture with its allied activities like farming, poultry, cattle rearing, fishing, animal husbandry, etc. which has in its employees according to recent statistics, about 23 per cent of the labour force in Nigeria. They are producing about 22 percent of the country's GDP (Gross Domestic Product).

However, due to defective planning and improper implementation of policies, the productivity of Nigeria's agriculture is very meagre compared to foreign countries. Low productivity was also attributable to improper land tenure, inadequate credit system, primitive technology which is still in vogue and old ways of cultivation and irrigation, urban migration, the quest for white collar jobs, etc. To overcome all these technical hitches, the government has adopted several measures, including land reforms, School to land, the green revolution; Operation feed the nation, etc. for the growth of per hectare agricultural production but the results are not still encouraging.

¹⁸² 13 c) Industrial Growth

Irrespective of all the various developmental plans adopted by the Government of Nigeria in realizing industri-183 alization has not to yield sufficient realization as long as there is no lasting or enduring development of small 184 and heavy industries such as steel and iron industry, cement industries and self generating power supply, etc. 185 186 Even though businesses producing consumer goods are on the increase, the capital goods industries are not increasing at the same pace. Towards solving this problem, the government of Nigeria decided to privatize 187 and commercialize the government own companies and also giving out licenses open-handedly to private sector 188 investors to develop consumer goods industries along with few engineering goods companies. The government 189 also resorted to reactivate and revamp small and medium scales industries such as industries producing defence 190 ammunition, railway spare parts, rehabilitation of power and energy sector. Proper credit facilities and adequate 191 192 subsidies with soft loans are also being provided to industrialists to increase their scale of production.

Even though there has been slow growth in wholesale and retail trade, transportation, there has been tremendous growth in communication, financial intermediation, education, health, and social work sectors as well as in hotel and restaurants business.

Despite the reforms in the industrial sector, yet that of export and import businesses has been stifled, and domestic industries are finding it difficult to stand especially in the face of an embargo on the importation of raw materials (Abomaye-Nimenibo and Timothy, 2019).

¹⁹⁹ 14 i. Economic Development

Economic development refers to the system through which the welfare of the citizens of a nation is improved economically so that their present state of well-being should be better than their former state. It means developing the economic wealth of countries, regions or communities for the well-being of its citizenry with the view of improving the economic well-being and quality of life for the citizenry and creating jobs for them. Wellbeing of the people of a state within its geographical expanse. Economic development is a concept that is widely used every day.

It is also known as the process by which emerging economies become advanced economies whereby those nations with low living standards become nations with a high living standard. Economic development is akin to the overall improvement in health, socio-economic well-being, and academic level with a constant increase in income per capita, etc. (Abomaye-Nimenibo and Timothy, 2019).

²¹⁰ 15 ii. Economic Growth versus Economic Development

Human development is said to be a one-sided process, yet it remained the very goal of every society at Aregbeyen (2007) established a positive and significant correlation between government capital and public all times. The term 'development' until recently meant growth measured by GNP or rise in per capita income. Yet development is not growth. Perhaps it could be growth coupled with social justice according to Kayode and Oyeranti, (1999).

Pearce and Warlord (1993) defined economic developments as achieving a set of social goals, and those goals are bound to change over time through a process. An economy in the process of economic development is likely to experience a combination of three sets of changes: (a) an advance in utility; (b) a major factor contributing to advancement in wellbeing of a real income per capita, and (c) advances in the realms of education, health and general quality of life. Goulet (2009) argued that economic development involves advances in skills, knowledge, capability and choice with Self-esteem and Self-respect. It is also independence from domination by others or at times from the state which is a major characteristic of an economy that can be said to be developed.

Lngham ??1993) opined that development must be understood from two perspectives implying that changes lead to improvement or progress and that every economy that raises its per capita level of real income for a specific period without transforming its social and economic structure is unlikely to be perceived as developing. Todaro (2011) perceived development in terms of the reduction or elimination of poverty, inequality and unemployment that is economic in character must involve a charge in the composition of an economy's outputs

unemployment that is economic in character must involve a change in the composition of an economy's outputs and inputs.

²²⁸ 16 iii. Composition of economic growth

Public spending plays an important role in supporting economic growth. When public spending is at a lower 229 level it means that fewer revenues are needed to achieve balanced budgets, which also means that lower taxes 230 can be levied, therefore contributing to stimulate growth and employment. Public spending is a key variable 231 that influences the sustainability of public finances via effects on fiscal balances and government debt. Moreover, 232 better control of fiscal variables would eliminate or reduce the possibility of the fiscal policy itself being a source 233 of macroeconomic volatility. If we accept that fiscal policy is in some cases driven by considerations which are 234 not linked to macroeconomic stability, then there is the possibility that by limiting such actions the society will 235 gain by having less economic volatility in terms of output and investment; leading to higher economic growth. 236 Generally speaking, authorities would like to redirect public expenditure towards increasing the importance 237 of capital accumulation both physical and human as well as support such areas as research, development, and 238 innovation. 239

To understand how to restrict fiscal policy volatility and check government size, it is particularly important to understand which components of government revenue and spending are most detrimental to growth. The channel, through which fiscal policy affects growth when understood properly, will enable the authorities of government to redirect public spending and revenue properly and control other components which are limited. We, therefore, provide some answers to this composition issue and address the effects of both government size and fiscal policy volatility on economic growth using the volatility of the cyclical components of the budgetary variables.

²⁴⁶ 17 iv. Government expenditure

The rising trend between government spending and economic growth have called for different arguments among 247 scholars and policymakers. There are two basic roles government play in an economy and they are maintenance 248 of law and order (i.e. making and enforcing these laws and orders passed), which is the protection of lives and 249 250 properties of the nation as well as providing public goods such as good roads, education, health, defence, power and so on (Abomaye-Nimenibo, 2019). Protection function consists of the creation of the rule of law and the 251 enforcement of property rights. This helps to minimize risks of criminality, protect life and property, and the 252 nation from external aggression; while the provisions of public goods are defence, roads, education, health, and 253 power, just to mention but a few. Some scholars argue that an increase in government expenditure on socio-254 economic and physical infrastructures encourages economic growth. For example, government expenditure on 255 health and education raises the productivity of labour and increase the growth of national output. Similarly, 256 expenditure on infrastructure such as roads, communications, power, etc., reduces production costs, increases 257 private sector investment and profitability of firms, thus fostering economic growth. Supporting this view, Ranjan 258 and Sharma (2008) and Cooray (2009) concluded an experiment where the expansion of government expenditure 259 260 was found to have contributed positively to economic growth.

261 Scholars have argued over time that increase in government expenditure on socio-economic and physical 262 infrastructure fosters economic growth. For example, expenditure on education and health raises the level of 263 national output through improved quality of labour and productivity. Similarly, spending on infrastructure such 264 as roads, communications, power and so on reduces production costs and increase the profitability of firms, thus fostering economic growth. Series of arguments and studies have emerged on the platform saying that an increase 265 in government spending does not promote growth and development, rather reduce the overall performance of the 266 economy. Buttressing this argument is the fact that an increase in government spending may result from an 267 increase in 268

²⁶⁹ 18 Global Journal of Management and Business Research

Volume XX Issue II Version I Year 2020 () B taxes or borrowing. This is so when higher taxes are imposed, 270 individuals get discouraged because income is reduced and the number of hours they worked also reduces. On 271 the side of the coin, higher profit tax increases production cost and reduces investment expenditure as well as 272 profitability. If the government in a different dimension resort to borrowing to finance projects rather than 273 raising taxes, then private sector investment will reduce and growth will also be deterred. In Nigeria, government 274 expenditure has always been on the increase due to the inflow of revenue as a result of an increase in the flow 275 of revenue from production and sales of crude oil. This is however accompanied by a huge demand for public 276 goods such as roads, electricity, and education, and health, external and internal security etc. With this context, 277 statistics has it that government capital and recurrent expenditure have continued to rise in the last forty (40) 278 vears or so. 279

Despite the huge government expenditure, the economy of Nigeria has not been translated into reasonable 280 growth and development. This is true as the country is still ranked as one of the poorest in the world. In the 281 last few years, her balance of payment, inflation and exchange rates, national savings and other macroeconomic 282 indicators have not been behaving healthily. This is depicted by the fact that there has been serious winding up of 283 many industries partly because of a breakdown in infrastructure or as a result of the high rate of unemployment. 284 However, some scholars did not support the claim that increasing government expenditure promotes economic 285 growth, they rather assert that higher government expenditure may slow down the overall performance of the 286 economy. For instance, in an attempt to finance rising expenditure, the government may increase rates of taxes 287 and/or borrowing. Higherincome tax discourages individuals from working for long hours or even searching 288 for jobs, which in turn reduces income and aggregate demand. On the other hand, higher profit tax tends 289 to increase production costs and reduce investment expenditure as well as the profitability of firms. If the 290 government increases borrowing especially from the banks to finance its expenditure, private sector investment 291 will be low. Furthermore, in a bid to score cheap popularity and ensure that they continue to remain in power, 292 293 politicians and government officials sometimes increase expenditure and investment in unproductive projects or 294 in producing goods that the private sector can produce more efficiently. Studies by Laudau (1986), Barro (1991), and Henrekson (2001) suggested that large government expenditure harms economic growth. 295

²⁹⁶ 19 v. Composition of government expenditure

The composition of public government expenditure has been attracting the attention of economists in recent 297 times due to its effects on the level of growth. Government expenditure is expected to be the means of reducing 298 the negative impacts of market failure on the economy. Nevertheless, allocations of public expenditure with 299 a lack of consideration for the urgent needs of the country may engender greater distortion in the economy 300 301 which may be detrimental to growth. Hence, from 1960, it has become a yearly procedural for the government to 302 allocate public expenditure into various sectors of the economy. However, the impact of the composition of public 303 expenditure on the level of growth is not felt. If government allocations to the various sectors are determined by political consideration rather than economic reasons, market distortion will be aggravated with an increase 304 305 in government expenditure. Where the problem of rent-seeking is rampant, public expending compositions will be disproportionally shifted based on rent-seeking for personal benefits rather than achieving rapid economic 306 growth. Are the compositions of public expenditure growth-enhancing or growth retarding in Nigeria? Is there 307 any need for the composition of government expenditure to be adjusted to accelerate rapid economic growth? 308 Earlier research in this area in Nigeria has been to investigate the impacts government investments on variables 309 like manufacturing performance and employments (Adenikinju;1998 & Hossein;. 310

In the same manner, the composition of government recurrent expenditure shows that expenditure on general 311 312 administration, defence, internal security, education, health, drinking water, local development, agriculture, construction, and transport and communication increased during the period under review. Moreover, government 313 capital expenditure rises considerably yearly in Nigeria. Furthermore, the various components of capital 314 expenditure (that is, economic service, social service, defence, agriculture, transport and communication, 315 education and health) also show a rising trend between 2000 and 2012 as can be averred in the yearly budget. 316 Before the endogenous growth theory, as proposed by ??arrow (1991), no significant relationship was predicted 317 to exist between economic growth and public expenditure. In fact, in the Solow growth model (1956) public 318 expenditure is only related to the equilibrium factor ratios and it is assumed that public investment is not 319 related to long-run economic growth in the neoclassical perspective. However, the recent argument in favour of 320 the significant relationship between long-run economic growth and public expenditure rests on the inclusion of 321 322 fiscal policies into the endogenous growth model with the conclusion that public spending can affect the long-323 run economic growth (Barro and sala-Martin, 1992). Government consumption expenditure is assumed to be 324 negatively related to long-run growth while public investment expenditure is predicted to be positively related to 325 longrun growth. Barro (1990) further argued that government private production functions as well as it negative relationship with returns on private investment which invariably poses discouragement to investors. 326

However, public policies can be sued to enhance the efficient allocation of the resource by correcting market failure and thus encourage higher human and physical capital productivity. Productive public expenditure is expected to boost the steady-state growth rate but this argument depends on the composition of the public expenditure. Consequently, the trade-off between consumption and productive public expenditure will ultimately determine the effects of government expenditure on the long-run economic growth, (Kneller, Bleaney, and Gemmell; 1999). Therefore, while the neoclassical models assumed transitory public expenditure effects on economic growth the endogenous model predicts permanent steady-state growth effects of public expenditure.

334 Theoretical repositions on the relationship between the composition of government expenditure and economic growth unlike many other theories originated from empirical findings. The explosion of empirical studies on the 335 endogenous models led to the division of public expenditure into productive and consumption items. (Landau, 336 1983; Aschauer, 1989; Barro's 1990Barro's, 1991)) The productive expenditure is assumed to be positively 337 correlated with economic growth while the consumption expenditure is assumed to be negatively related to 338 growth. The most comprehensive theoretical model is that of Devarajan, Swaroop and Heng-fu-Zou (1996) in 339 which the conditions under which a change in the composition of public expenditure could enhance the higher 340 steadystate growth rate of the economy was derived. They concluded that the generally assumed productive 341 expenditure could become unproductive if the amount allocated to them is excessive. However, there is no 342 consensus yet in the literature about which public expenditure is productive or unproductive (Musgrave, 1997). 343

³⁴⁴ 20 vi. The History of Public Expenditure in Nigeria

Adebayo (1969) reconnoitred Nigeria's public expenditure management between 1946 and 1966 and identified four stages of its evolution that is -from 1946 to 1952 being an era of three regions with two sources of revenue, namely: regional taxes and federal block grant, with expenditure guided purely by the derivation principle; and the second from 1952 to 1954 (an era when regions were given independent tax jurisdiction, with the statutory share of federal revenue, whereas need, national interest and revenue derivation principle were the primary indices for sharing or expending the revenue).

The third phase was 1954 to 1959 when the North and West aligned to reintroduce revenue derivation principle as the only expenditure determinant; while the final phase was from 1959 to 1966, necessitating the discovery of oil in the East and the consequent abrogation of derivation as the only determinant factor. The fourth phase was characterized by the absence of the fiscal adjustment process, lack of effective coordination of producer price policy in the regions and their harmonization with the national monetary and fiscal policies.

Adebayo therefore, observed that the Nigerian fiscal system evolved and operated on the principle that negated the main features of public expenditure management, which include among others: i. Allocation, ii. Efficiency and iii. Equity guided by the principle of needs, equity, stability and national interest (Ademolekun, 1983). This feature has greatly hampered the effective development-oriented fiscal system and was rather an instrument of national conflict. Ademolekun (1983) on his part noted that Nigeria's public expenditure management has been reformed since 1960 passing through many stages whereby in 1960 to 1979 the Minister of Finance was the leader of the budgetary process and chairman of the Treasury Board.

363 Between 1979 and 2005 the office of the director of the budget was equally established as the expert responsible 364 for the budgetary process under the direct control of the president of Nigeria directing the budgetary process, 365 and he is also the chairman of the Treasury Board. Jaja (2000) in his evolutionary study of Nigeria from 1900 to 1950 identified a change or shift from colonially controlled and dictated fiscal management system to 366 367 a centralized system of budgeting and subsequent decentralization. Jaja identified 1900 to 1906 as a period of classical budgetary practices, which revolved around development plans, short term financing policies, objectives 368 and strategies for the several units which later became Nigeria. The period 1907 to 1950 experienced a change 369 to a central budgetary control through the establishment of a small central development board. However, in 370 1954/55, decentralized Public Expenditure Management (PEM) aimed at solving the problems of the regions 371 were introduced being inflexibility, inappropriate coordination of budgetary process and proliferation of offices 372 373 responsible for budgeting, non-professionalization of the system and government disregard for fiscal regulation, 374 as the problem confronting Public Expenditure Management as at then (Jaja, 2000).

Generally, Ukwu et al. ??2003) summarized the weaknesses of Public Expenditure Management (PEM) in Nigeria as: i. Lack of rigour at the bureaucratic level.

iii. Very little involvement of the civil society, except for formalistic consultation of or with the organized 377 private sector, in the entire planning process. iv. Ill-equipped and inefficient bureaucratic. ADI (2005) noted 378 that Nigeria's PEM is structured after oil income such that in periods of boom, expenditure is ratcheted up 379 while periods of lower oil prices become one of crisis, inefficiency in resource use, waste and misplaced priorities 380 in government expenditure, high fiscal federal structure that places little or no premium on inter-temporal fiscal 381 solvency, and poor institutional mechanism for regulating actions of the debt burden, huge recurrent expenditure 382 furniture burdens, inefficient delivery of services and distortion in the incentive structure for both the private 383 384 and public sectors. There have also been traces of seeming lack of political will and commitment to abide by 385 stipulated rules and budgetary guidelines, inability to develop a macroeconomic framework for budget formation, 386 role obscurities among various government agencies concerned with PEM, lack of coordination between the office 387 of the Accountant General of the Federation (AGF) and the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN), slow budget process fraught with errors, among other things ?? Akinyene, 1981; ?? kwu et al., 2003). 388

UNCTAD (??003) on its part noted that Nigeria has pursued a long term expenditure management framework.
 While Gowon and Obasanjo's regimes pursued nine years' development plans, the Babangida administration

embarked on ten years SAP programme, and the Abacha administration pursuit was a fifteen-year vision 2010

392 programme.

³⁹³ 21 d) Growth Theories

Economic growth theory deals with the long-run growth trend of the economy, or potential growth path (Branson, 2012). The focus is on factors that lead to economic growth over time and analysis of the forces that allow some economies to grow rapidly, some slowly and others not at all. Early growth theories emphasized different aspects of the economy.

While the Mercantilists emphasized a surplus balance of trade, the Physiocrats emphasized agriculture as the source of all wealth while the Camera lists favoured taxation and state regulation for a strong economy ??Lombardi, 2011). Within the framework of the classical models of Smith and Malthus, economic growth is described in terms of fixed land and growing population. But without technological change, the increasing population eventually exhausts the supply of free land and triggers the law of diminishing returns which results in declining real wage down to subsistence level at which point Malthusian equilibrium is obtained.

The Keynesians see demand as a prerequisite for growth. Therefore, their analysis concludes that aggregate demand management policies can and should be used to improve economic performance. In the Keynesian model, an increase in government expenditure especially on infrastructures leads to higher economic growth.

The Neo-classical growth models contend that government fiscal policy does not have any effect on the growth of national output. However, it has been argued that government fiscal policy (interventionism) helps to improve failure that might arise from the inefficiencies of the market.

In exploring the Keynesian framework, Harrod-Dommar model pointed out some dynamics of growth which determines the equilibrium growth rate in the economy, maintaining the balance between supply and demand for a country's output. On the supply side effect, savings is a function of the level of GDP while investment is an important component of the demand for the output of an economy as well as the increase in capital stock. Therefore, the equilibrium rate of growth is given by matching proportionate change in output with the ratio of

415 savings-output to that of capital-output. This sustains the economy along some warranted a steady growth path.
416 Therefore, temporary deviations from the warranted growth path would not be self-correcting, because of the
417 lack of self-correcting forces within the dynamics of the model. It is to be characterized by 'knife-edge instability'

⁴¹⁸ i. e. market-regulated growth espoused by the model is unstable and, thus, necessitates government intervention.

⁴¹⁹ 22 e) Empirical Literature

A good number of studies have been carried out focusing on the relationship between government expenditure and economic growth in developed and developing countries like Nigeria. The results varied from one study to another. Alexander (1990) applied the OLS method for a sample of 13 Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) countries during the period ranging from 1959 to 1984. The results revealed among others that, government spending has a significant negative impact on economic growth.

Gregarious and Ghosh (2007) made use of the heterogeneous panel data to study the impact of government expenditure on economic growth and their results revealed that countries with large government expenditure tend to experience higher economic growth than others with less government expenditure.

Devarajan and Vinay (1993) used panel data for 14 developed countries for a period ranging from 1970 to 1990 and applied the Ordinary Least Square statistics on 5-years moving average. They took various functional types of expenditure (health, education, transport, communication, and Defence.) as explanatory variables and found that health, transport and communication have significant positive effect economic growth, while education and defence harm economic growth.

Using panels of annual and period-averaged data for 22 Organizations for OECD countries during 1970 to 1995, Blarney et al (2001) studied the impact of government spending on economic growth applying OLS and GLS methods, and they found that productive public expenditures enhance economic growth, but nonproductive public spending does not. Their result was in line with the predictions of Barro (1990) model.

Gemmell and Kneller (2001) provided empirical evidence on the impact of fiscal policy on long-run growth for the European economy. Their study required that at least two of the taxation, expenditure and deficit effects have to be examined simultaneously. They employed panel and time series econometric techniques, on the endogeneity of fiscal policy. Their results indicated that while some public investment spending impacts positively on economic growth, consumption and social security spending have zero or negative growth effects on economic growth.

Mitchell (2005) evaluated the impact of government spending on economic performance in developed countries. He assessed the international evidence, and reviewed the latest academic research, cited examples of countries that have significantly reduced government spending as a share of national output and went on to analyse the economic consequences of these reforms. Regardless of the method of study or model employed, he concluded that a large and growing government is not conducive to better economic performance. He further argued that reducing the size of government expenditure would lead to higher incomes and improve American's competitiveness.

Olorunfemi, (2008) studied the direction and strength of the relationship between public investment and economic growth in Nigeria. He used time-series data from 1975 to 2004 and observed that public expenditure impacted positively on economic growth and that there was no link between gross fixed capital formation and Gross Domestic Product. He averred that from disaggregated analysis, the result reveals that only 37.1% of government expenditure is devoted to capital expenditure while 62.9% share is to recurrent expenditure.

Olepade and Olepade (2010) study centres on how fiscal and monetary policies influence economic growth 454 and development. The essence of their study was to determine the components of government expenditure that 455 enhance growth and development, and also identify those variables or components that do not enhance economic 456 growth and development and recommend those that should be cut off or reduce the amount of government 457 spending on them to the barest minimum. The study employs an analytic framework based on economic models, 458 statistical methods encompassing trends of analysis and simple regression. They find no significant relationship 459 between most of the components of government expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria from the period 460 ranging from 1970 to 2008. They used disaggregated analysis in an attempt to unravel the impact of government 461 expenditure on economic growth. Their results revealed that government total capital expenditure and total 462 recurrent expenditure on Education have a negative effect on economic growth; and on the contrary, an increase in 463 economic growth. They recommend that the government should increase both capital expenditure and recurrent 464 expenditure including expenditure on education as well as ensure that funds meant for development on these 465 sectors are properly utilized. They also recommend that the government should encourage and increase the 466 funding of anti-corruption agencies to tackle the high level of corruption found in public offices in Nigeria. 467

⁴⁶⁸ 23 f) Summary of Reviewed Literature

Eminent scholars such as Alexander (1990) applied OLS method for a sample of 13 organizations for economic 469 cooperation and Development (OECD) countries panel during the period ranging from 1959 to 1984; and 470 his result revealed among others that, government spending has a significant negative impact on economic 471 growth. Gregarious and Ghosh (2007) made use of the heterogeneous panel data to study the impact of 472 government expenditure on economic growth for a period ranging from 1970 to 1990, applied the Ordinary 473 Least Square method on 5-years moving averages. They took various functional types of expenditure (health, 474 education, transport, communication and defence) as explanatory variables and found that health, transport and 475 communication have a significant positive effect while education and defence do not impact on economic growth. 476 We also see Bleaney et al (2001) who also studied the impact of government spending on economic growth; 477 Gemmell and Kneller (2001) provide empirical evidence on the impact of fiscal policy on long-run growth for the 478 European economy. Mitchell (2005) evaluated the impact of government spending on economic performance in 479 480 developed countries. Olorunfemi, (2008) studied the direction and strength of the relationship between public investment and economic growth in Nigeria, using time series data from 1975 to 2004 and observed that public 481 expenditure impacted positively on economic growth and that there was no link between gross fixed capital 482 formation and gross domestic product, etc. However, none of these researchers covers the period 1985-2015 483 which call for this research work. 484

485 **24** III.

486 25 Method of Study

Here, we outline the procedures that were adopted to realize the research objectives, including the overall design
of the study, data collection and the techniques of data analysis.

489 26 a) Research Design

Onwumere (2005), states that a research design is a kind of blueprint that guides the researcher in his or her 490 investigation and analyses. The research design we adopted for this research is the ex-post factor research 491 design. The adoption hinges on the reasons that, the study relied heavily on historical data obtained from the 492 Central bank of Nigeria statistical bulletin from 1985-2016, revealing that the even understudy has already taken 493 and therefore does not give room for control or manipulation of the independent variables. The inability of the 494 researcher to manipulate the independent variables is a basic feature of expost factoresearch design; and secondly, 495 this type of research design calls forth causal-comparative research which is used when the researcher intends to 496 determine the cause-effect relationship between the independent and dependent variables to establish a causal 497 link between them. 498

⁴⁹⁹ 27 b) Model Specification

The model specification is functionally expressed as: GDP = f(CAPEX, RECEX,) - ??

The data required for this study is that of annual time series which were collected from secondary sources Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) statistical bulletin, ranging from 1985 to 2016.

⁵⁰³ 28 d) Method Of Data Analysis

⁵⁰⁴ We use the multiple regression analysis of the ordinary least square (OLS) employing the estimation technique to

determine the impact of government spending on the economic growth in Nigeria; using the Econometric software

 $_{\rm 506}$ $\,$ called E-views 3.1 in analyzing the data.

⁵⁰⁷ 29 e) Diagnostic Test

508 The following diagnostic tests were conducted as follows:

⁵⁰⁹ Unit root test: The time series properties of data employed in the estimation equation was tested for stationery ⁵¹⁰ using Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) unit root test to avoid the problem of spurious regression.

511 Co-integration test: To investigate whether there is the existence of a long-run relationship among the variables 512 in the estimation, the Johansen test for co integration was employed.

Error Correction Method: This test was conducted to determine the speed of adjustment from short-run equilibrium to long-run equilibrium.

⁵¹⁵ 30 Coefficient of multiple determinations (R2):

We carried the test to ascertain the adjusted (R2) to test the goodness of fit which shows the percentage of the total variation of the dependent variable that can be explained by the independent variable. The value of R2 lies between 0 and 1, and the closer R2 is to 1, the better the goodness of fit, while the closer R2 is to 0, the weaker or worse the goodness of fit is.

520 **31 T-test:**

This was used to test the statistical significance of the individual regression coefficient. When this was done, the computed or calculated value (cal) was compared with the theoretical/tabulated value (tab) with the n-k degrees of freedom. The acceptance or rejection of the null hypothesis has a definite economic meaning and implication, whereby the acceptance of null hypothesis bi = 0 implies that the explanatory variable to which this estimation of the variable was done does not influence the dependent variable and should not be included in the function.

The essence of F-Test was to determine whether the individual estimated parameters (independent variables)

were statistically significant or not. It allows the tdistribution at 5% level of significance. If the computed F-value

is greater than the tabulated F-value, we reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternate hypothesis showing that the overall model is statistically significant.

530 Durbin-Watson statistic was used to test whether autocorrelation is present in the model or not.

⁵³¹ 32 IV. Data Presentation and Analysis of Result

The results got from data analyses and the result presented and interpreted accordingly. The Unit Root Test was carried out to analyse data and was followed by the estimation of the regression equation.

⁵³⁴ **33** a) Unit Root Test

We test the variables for stationarity using the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) technique The results as presented 535 in Table 4.3 showed that R-squared value is 0.985427 which implies that about 98.54 per cent of the total variation 536 in economic growth (GDP) within the period under study was explained by changes in government capital 537 expenditure (CAPEX) and recurrent government expenditure (RECEX). The F-statistic of 946.7009 with the 538 corresponding probability value of 0.0000 measured the adequacy of the regression model and the overall influence 539 of CAPEX and RECEX on GDP. However, the probability value of the F-statistic is less than 0.05, revealing 540 that the model has a good fit and the explanatory variables jointly exerted a statistically significant effect on 541 the dependent variable (GDP). The Durbin-Watson statistics of 0.884350 shows that there was the presence of 542 serial correlation among the variables, The coefficient of the constant term stood at 2.802672 which implied that 543 if all the explanatory variables (CAPEX and RECEX) are held constant, GDP will remain at 2.802672 units. 544 The coefficient of government capital expenditure (CAPEX) was 0.223007 while the t-value is 2.250240 with the 545 probability value of 0.0325. This shows that if all other explanatory variables in the model are held constant, 546 a percentage increase in government capital expenditure will cause a positive and significant effect on economic 547 growth by 0.223007 units. The coefficient of recurrent government expenditure (RECEX) was 0.822257 with 548 t-value of 9.644882 and probability value of 0.0000 which implies that if all other variables in the model are 549 held constant, a percentage increase in government recurrent expenditure (RECEX) will cause a positive and 550 significant effect on GDP by 0.822257 units. 551

This result leads to the rejection of the first and second null hypotheses which says that there is no significant relationship between government capital expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria and also, that there is no significant relationship between government recurrent expenditure and economic growth of Nigeria.

⁵⁵⁵ 34 Summary, Conclusion and Recommendation a) Summary

The study examines the impact of government expenditure on the economic growth of Nigeria within the period 1985 -2015. In our introduction, a comprehensive background statement to the study was given, stating the identified problems as well as the objectives of the study. The research hypotheses were also stated as well as the significance of the study. We also reviewed the various theories associated with our study and that of empirical study as well as stating our model for the analysis and the variables with the sources and methods of data analysis. We adopted the Ordinary Least Squares method of estimation, as well as stating our analysis of results, ⁵⁶² our discussions on findings. We, therefore, make a summary of major findings and present our recommendations ⁵⁶³ drawing inferences from our study to proffer necessary solutions or policy statements for policymaking.

564 **35** VI.

565 36 Summary Of Major Findings

566 Our major findings include: i.

Government capital expenditure has a positive and significant impact on economic growth in Nigeria, implying that an increase or decrease in government capital expenditure will have a significant impact on the economic growth of Nigeria at least for the period under study. ii.

Government recurrent expenditure has a positive and significant impact on economic growth in Nigeria, which

571 invariably means that an increase or decrease in government recurrent expenditure will have a significant impact

572 on the economic growth of Nigeria at least for the period under study a)

⁵⁷³ Based on major findings, the study concludes that the government's recurrent and capital expenditures have ⁵⁷⁴ a significant impact on the economic growth of Nigeria.

575 37 b) Recommendations

576 Given our findings, the following recommendations are made: i.

The government should increase its capital expenditure by way of increasing its investment on the health sector, education sector, and agricultural sector, as well as construction of roads and bridges and provisions of better telecommunication services. ii.

The government should also increase its recurrent expenditure on salaries, transfer payments and welfare services to enable the population to go into the production of goods and services. iii.

While embarking on expenditure, the government should instill fiscal discipline in her expending by initiating far-reaching effective internal control measures and discourage all expenditures on non-productive activities and

investments at all tiers of governments. iv.

The independent corrupt practices commission and other related crimes commission should be reformed and modernized to ensure transparency in all government spending. v.

That the CBN's Monetary and Fiscal policies should advocate a lower interest rate to encourage investors to borrow for investment in the production of good and services. vi.

That, monetary authorities and the government to maintain a stable exchange rate to encourage investment both at home and abroad. vii.

⁵⁹¹ The government should give more attention to human capital development.

592 Year 2020 ()B

showing that about 44.68% variation in the dependent variable (GDP) were explained by changes in the explanatory variables (CAPEX and RECEX), and since the probability value of the F-statistic is less than 0.05, the model was a good fit and the explanatory variables jointly exerted a statistically significant effect on the dependent variable (GDP). The Durbin-Watson value of 1.812494 shows weak autocorrelation.

In this result, the error correction term appeared with statistically significant coefficient with the appropriate negative signs as is required for dynamic stability. The value of the coefficient of the error correction term is 0.277891 showing that the speed of adjustment from short-run equilibrium to long-run equilibrium is $27^{-1/2}$

¹© 2020 Global Journals

 $^{^{2}(}$) B © 2020 Global Journals

$\mathbf{41}$

Table 4.2: Co integration Test Result Date: 08/23/19 Time: 14:14 Sample: 1985 2015 Included observations: 29 Test assumption: Linear deterministi c trend in the data Series: GDP RECEX CAPEX Lags interval: 1 to 1 L. R Test indicates 3 coin integrating

equation(s) at 5% significant level. This suggests that

the variables in the model (GDP, RECEX and CAPEX) have a long run relationship.

Figure 1: Table 4 . 1 :

VARIABLES	ADF STATISTIC	CRITIC	5% CAL LEVEL	ORDER INTE- GRA- TION
GDP RECEX CAPEX	-3.059393 -7.313748 -3.487046		-2.9750 -3.5731 -2.9705	$OF \\ 1(2) \\ 1(1) \\ 1(1)$
	Likelihood 5 Per cent		Year 2020 1 Per cent	Hypothesized
Eigenvalue Ratio		Value Critical	Value Critical	No. of E CE(s) (
0.798665	77.63853	29.68	35.65	None **
0.554454	31.15777	15.41	20.04	At most 1 **
0.233524	7.712591	3.76	6.65	At most 2 **
		Regression Result		
Dependent Variable: LOG Method: Least Squares Date: 08/23/19 Time: 14:1 Sample: 1985 2015 Included observations: 31	(GDP) 17			
Variable	Coefficie	Std. Er	ror t-Statistic Prob.	
C LOG(CAPEX) LOG(RECEX)	nt 2.802672 0.145332 19.28459 0.0000 0.223007 0.099104 2.250240 0.0325 0.822257 0.085253 9.644882 0.0000			
R-squared	0.985427	Mean d	ependent var	8.681600

Figure 2: Table 4 .

$\mathbf{44}$

 $\mathbf{4}$

Year 2020 () B Dependent Variable: DLOG (GDP) Method: Least Squares Date: 08/23/19 Time: 14:20 Sample (adjusted): 1986 2015 Included observations: 30 after adjusting endpoints

[Note: V.]

Figure 3: Table 4 . 4 :

13

Log-likelihood 34.44365 Durbin-Watson stat F-statistic 1.812494Prob(F-statistic) Conclusion

 $\begin{array}{c} 6.999387 \\ 0.001326 \end{array}$

Figure 4:

- 600 [Business and Economics Journal], Business and Economics Journal 4 p. .
- 601 [Nigeria's Data On et al.] , Recex Nigeria's Data On , Gdp Year Recex Capex , Gdp .
- [Tanzi ()] 'An Examination of the Government Spending and Economic Growth Nexus for Turkey Using Bound
 Test Approach'. S Tanzi . Journal of Business and Social Science 1994. 2 (1) p. .
- [Mitchell ()] 'Backgrounder development: Empirical evidence from Nigeria'. J Mitchell . European Journal of
 Business and Management 2005. 3 (9) p. 2011. (The Impact of Government spending on Economic Growth)
- [Olukayode ()] Does Government Spending Spur Economic Growth in Nigeria?, M E Olukayode . 2009. (MPRA
 paper No. 17941)
- [Barro ()] 'Economic Growth and a Cross-Section of Countries'. R J Barro . Review of Economic Studies 1991.
 59 p. .
- [Anyanwuocha ()] Fundamentals of Economics, R A I Anyanwuocha . 1993. Onitsha, Nigeria: Africana First
 Publishers Limited.
- [Abu and Abdullabi ()] Government pedicure and Economic Growth in Nigeria, Abu , K Abdullabi . 2010. 1970 2008.
- [Aschauer ()] 'Government Spending and Economic Growth: Econometric Evidence from South-Eastern Europe
 (SSE)'. C Aschauer . *journal of Economic and Social Research* 1989. 11 (1) p. .
- [Alexiou ()] 'Government Spending and Economic Growth: Econometric Evidence from South-Eastern Europe
 (SSE)'. C Alexiou . Journal of Economic and Social Research 2009. 11 (1) p. .
- [Barro ()] 'Government Spending in a Simple Model of Endogenous Growth'. J Barro . Journal of Political
 Economy 1990. 98 p. .
- [Growth Follow Wagner's Law in Nigeria? Annals of the University of Petrosani Economics] 'Growth Follow
 Wagner's Law in Nigeria?' Annals of the University of Petrosani Economics 10 (2) p. .
- [Jaja ()] 'History Budgeting and Planning in Nigeria, Lesson for the Fourth Republic'. S O Jaja . *Policy and Contending Issues in Nigeria. Natural Development Strategy* 2000. (In Aja A and Emeribe AC)
- [Aregbeyan ()] 'Is Public Expenditure Productive'. A Aregbeyan . Journal of Monetary Economics 2007. 23 p. .
- [Hossein ()] 'Is Public Expenditure Productive?'. D Hossein . Journal of Monetary Economics 1998. 23 p. .
- [Kayode et al. ()] 'is there Endogenous Long-run Growth? Evidence from'. N R Kayode , K M Oyeranti , Yi .
 Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 1999. 29 (2) p. .
- 628 [Akpan ()] Local Government Expenditure Basingstoke, E Akpan . 2005. Macmillan Press.
- [Henrekson ()] Military Expenditure: Econometric Testing of Economic and Political Influences, IMF Working
 Paper, D Henrekson . 2001. Washington D.C: International Monetary Fund. 53.
- [Adebayo ()] Nigerian Federal Finance: Its Development and Comparative Perspective, A Adebayo . 1969. New
 York: Longman Group Ltd.
- [Ademolekun ()] 'Public Administration: a Nigerian and Comparative Perspective'. L Ademolekun . Journal of
 Human -Social Science: E-Economics 2249-460x and Print : 0975-587X. 1983. 6 Version 1.0 July 2019. 19 p.
 1.
- [Barro and Sala-I-Martin ()] 'Public Finance in Models of Economic Growth'. R Barro , X Sala-I-Martin . Review
 of Economic Studies 1992. 59 p. .
- [Adenikinju ()] 'Public Finance in Models of Economic growth'. R Adenikinju . *Review of Economic Studies* 1998.
 59 p. .
- [Musgrave ()] 'Reconsidering the Fiscal Role of Government'. A Musgrave . American Economic Review 1997.
 87 p. .
- [Suleiman ()] K Suleiman . The Nature of Government Expenditure and its Impact on Sustainable Economic
 Growth" Middle Eastern Finance and Economics, 2009. 1 p. .
- [Devarajan et al. ()] 'The Composition of Public Expenditure and Economic Growth'. U Devarajan , Vinay , W
 Hengfu . Journal of Monetary Economics 1996. 37 p. .
- 646 [Abomaye-Nimenibo (2019)] 'The Divergent Role of Government in Instituting Public Policy in a Political
- Economy'. W A S Abomaye-Nimenibo . 10.15520/jro.v5i11.122. DOI: 2. https://doi.org/10.15520/
 jro.v5i11.122 Print 2589-904X, 2019. August 2019. 06 p. .
- [Cooray ()] The impact of Government Expenditure on Economic Growth in Barbados: A Disaggregate Approach,
 A Cooray . 2009. Research Department, Central Bank of Barbados
- [Abomaye-Nimenibo and Timothy ()] The Interface of Public Policy In Nigeria And Its Upshot On The Nigerian
 Economy, Global, W A S Abomaye-Nimenibo , G M Timothy . 2019.
- [Timothy and Abomaye-Nimenibo ()] G M Timothy , W A S Abomaye-Nimenibo . Capital Mobility Measures:
 A Bridge to Saving-Investment Disequilibrium in Developing, 2019.