

# Leadership and Organization Performance: A Review on Theoretical and Empirical Perspectives

Gimhani Nandasinghe<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka

*Received: 8 December 2019 Accepted: 3 January 2020 Published: 15 January 2020*

---

## Abstract

Leadership is a skill that motivates groups of people to act to accomplish a common objective. It is a process of encouraging and helping others to work enthusiastically towards objectives. Leadership is essential because it establishes a clear vision and communicates effectively with its subordinates and colleagues. With a clear vision, the organization's direction makes the employees to realize their roles and responsibilities

---

**Index terms**— leadership, organization performance, leadership-skills, leadership theories

## 1 Introduction

Leadership defines by the characteristics of the leader, his qualities and behavior. Leadership describes as a process, but most theories and research on leadership look at people for understanding (Horner, 1997). Leadership is the capability to influence people by giving an inspiring example. An example is one that motivates people to follow objectives that gives an advantage to the organization. In general, leadership involves creating a vision of the future of the organization, designing a strategy to achieve that vision and communicating that vision to all members of the organization (James, Richard & Anthony, 2011). Leadership and performance beyond expectations represent a breakthrough in our understanding of who these leaders are, how they get results, and why their leadership often exceeds all predictability. Leadership is the leading element of any organization; however their function and capacity are becoming more complicated with greater (Chuang, 2013). In my view, the leadership is the art of motivating a group of people to act towards achieving a common goal. The ability is to create a vision and communicate that vision to others to share and realize that vision. Leadership is dynamic, and leadership is not a function of level or position. Leaders are the people who lead or command a group or an organization. The majority of the successful leaders have strengths in the following emotional intelligence competencies such as self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, and social skill (Goleman, 1998). There are six basic leadership styles and each uses the central component of emotional intelligence in various combinations. The best leaders know more than just a leadership approach. Having multiple skills and the flexibility changes the styles depending on the situation. Another key feature is the ability to take an independent (even unpopular) line and defend it. As could be predicted, interpersonal skills, team orientation, commitment, and motivation were also considered important (Tait, 1996). When communicating the vision, the leader must ensure that it is understandable so that everyone within the organization understands it (James, Richard & Anthony et al. 2011). Leaders use their skills and abilities to collaborate and communicate in order to gain the trust of people, and through his actions he or she gains influence. The power to influence others gives the leader his power. It is the leader who creates the vision and assists others to make the vision a reality (Hoyle, 2007). If the leader does not set the course and tests through hits or falls, the organization can collapse. Thus, hard work and talent will only take you and your organization so far. Lack of leadership stifles any further progress.

The success of the organization is inseparable from management practice, just like organized social activity is inseparable from the values, attitudes, skills, and behavior of the leader (Raisiene, 2014). The successful leader will be one that promotes leadership development and encourages workers to assume their leadership role. People

## 5 LITERATURE REVIEW A) LEADERSHIP

---

45 who work in the organizations must be innovative and creative, practice continuous learning, and have values  
46 that, mainly include integrity, have a personal vision, be in charge of their careers, motivate themselves from the  
47 within, plan, communicate and seek harmony relations with stakeholders. Different categories of leadership skills  
48 requirements arise at separate organizational levels, and jobs at the highest levels of the organization require  
49 higher levels of all leadership skills. Also, although specific cognitive skill requirements are necessary at all levels  
50 of the organization, exact strategic skill requirements only fully emerge at the highest levels of the organization  
51 (Mumfordm, Michael & Frederick, 2007).

### 52 2 a) Purpose of the study

53 The core objective of this paper is to empirically review the backgrounds of the leadership changes the performance  
54 of the organization. Employees are the foundation of any organization, so effective leaders must have the  
55 competencies to lead them to high performance (Lynda, Mary & Mertis, 2000). A successful organization is  
56 the result of effective leadership. An organization always reflects the values and beliefs of its founder. These  
57 are the things that make up an organization. Over time, as the organization evolves, it figures the leader, and  
58 influences his actions (Maria, 2012). Leadership belongs to a specific position, and it covers obligatory tasks and  
59 functions that businesses have to fulfill to live, develop, and be efficient (Firestone, 1996). After that, this study  
60 tries to identify leadership, leadership qualities, leadership skills, leadership models, the importance of leadership,  
61 and its impact on making a change to the organization's performance.

### 62 3 b) Methodology

63 This document studies the empirical approach in which the arguments and explanations justify by empirical  
64 evidence and associated theoretical frameworks. For this purpose, the author reviews journal articles, case studies,  
65 websites, eBooks, and the books written by professionals in the field to collect existing details on concepts on  
66 leadership changes the performance of the organization. Therefore, the literature review uses as the major research  
67 tool for the paper. The document organizes as a conceptual document where the arguments were empirically  
68 supported. From this concept paper, the author is trying to conceptually find the gap between the leadership  
69 and its impact on the organization's performance. Also, this suggests directions for future research areas such  
70 as the leadership transformations in the organizations are a combination with the technological changes, and  
71 another area is can be able to find out what are the new leadership skills which need to compete successfully in  
72 the digital business environment.

## 73 4 II.

### 74 5 Literature Review a) Leadership

75 Leadership is the most important element of every organization, but its functions and capabilities are becoming  
76 increasingly complex Chuang et al. (2013). It describes by the characteristics of the leader, qualities and  
77 behavior. The word of leadership explains as a process, but most theories and research on leadership look  
78 at people for understanding Horner et al. (1997). Leadership is the ability to influence others with exciting  
79 examples. An example, it encourages people to pursue goals that benefit the organization. In general, leadership  
80 involves creating a vision of the future of the organization, designing a strategy to achieve that vision, and  
81 communicating that vision to all members of the organization James, Richard & Anthony et al. ??2011).  
82 Leadership and performance beyond expectations represent a breakthrough in our understanding of who these  
83 leaders are, how they get results, and why their leadership often exceeds all expected limits . The achievement  
84 of an organization depends on the standard of the leadership. Successful leaders anticipate, change, exploit  
85 opportunities, and motivate their followers to the high level of performance and productivity. Hence, in  
86 the modern world management strategic leadership bears paramount importance towards the success of an  
87 organization.

88 There is a significant organizational change and, in response, a change in leadership style that indicates this  
89 dilemma. It is necessary to choose a leadership style that is an indication of the type of change to be implemented.  
90 Employees can increase the success of organizational change (James, 2005). The adoption of an appropriate  
91 leadership role, and the time change from one task to another as circumstances change, facilitate the ability  
92 of executives to mobilize the organization's resources and, in doing so, effectively address challenges facing the  
93 organization (Sheard & Kakabadse, 2007). Some tools and methods that find in practice to help senior managers  
94 adapt their behavior to the most suitable at a given time and create the organizational infrastructure necessary  
95 for successful teams to become the managerial norm, and not an exception, are presented. The networked nature  
96 of leadership and cultural change associated with creating effective teams, as we do here (Sheard & Kakabadse,  
97 2004). Leadership considers one of the most important components of the success of organizations. When  
98 organizations experience changes, it is imperative that their organizational leadership be adequate to meet the  
99 challenge (Eric, Deborah & Maurice, 2014).

---

## 6 b) Discussion on Leadership Theories

There are some theories under leadership to make a change of the employees as well as the (1997). A new theory for organizational leadership is in which Leadership, Authority, Administration, Power, and Environments (LAMPE) of an organization become coherent and integrated (Mackenzie, 2006). Organizations work best if their LAMPE is consistent, integrated, and operational. The introduction of basic concepts is such as structures, processes, process frameworks, task role matrices, interdependence uncertainty, and virtual organizational arrangements. The LAMPE theory builds on this basis. It defines as the processes of initiating, enabling, implementing, and maintaining change in an organization. Authority classifies as the legal right to avoid the outcome of a decision or process. Management characterizes in terms of its main methods. Power is the control of the uncertainty of interdependence Mackenzie et al. (2006).

Transformative leaders are creating somewhat new from the previous circumstance. While a transaction manager can make adjustments to the organizational tripod of mission, structure, and human resources, a transformative leader goes further and produces fundamental changes in the fundamental political and cultural systems of the organization. It is the latter that differentiates transformational leaders from transactional managers (Noel & David, 2008). Transformational leaders are people who stimulate and inspire followers of both to achieve extraordinary results and, in the process, develop their leadership (Bernard & Ronald, 2006). Transformational leaders help followers grow and become leaders responding to the needs of individual followers through training them and aligning the objectives and goals of the independent followers, the leader, the group, and the largest organization Bernard & Ronald et al. (2006). Being a leader in change is more than just payment, goods, or promotions for completed services. Typically charismatic, powerful role model, and value-driven goals are the characteristics of the transformational leader. They focus on follower's needs and followers inspired to put the needs of the organization above their own needs (Bailey & Axelrod, 2001).

A number of excellent and prominent leaders in history represents as charismatic leaders. In the business world, famous entrepreneurs, and business transformation agents often express themselves as charismatic leaders. Popular stories frequently attribute the quality of myth to charisma, although research sheds light on the attributes that lead to the perception that leaders are charismatic. (Conger, 2015). In order to understand charismatic leadership, it is very important to recognize that it is an attribution based on the perceptions and interpretations of believers in leader behavior. There is a set of leadership behaviors that distinguish charismatic leadership from non-charismatic leadership, and these are presented in a scenario model to lead others Conger et al. (2015). A charismatic leader, often the CEO or the principal of the school, takes over a school in difficulty, setting new goals and expectations and challenging business as usual within the organization. This leader creates new organizational routines and structures that eventually transform the culture of the school, contributing in turn to greater teacher satisfaction, higher teacher expectations for students and better student performance (Spillane, 2008). Also, the behaviors associated with charismatic leadership are constellation. The expression or presence of a single action connected with charismatic leadership is rarely sufficient to cause charismatic attribution. Instead, there must be a critical mass of action, Conger et al. (2015).

A trait-based approach sought to identify physiological, demographic, personality, confidence, aggression, intellectual, task-related, and social traits along with leader appearance and effectiveness. Successful leaders have interests, abilities, and personality traits other than less effective leaders. Among the core traits identified are achievement drive, Leadership motivation, Honesty and integrity, Selfconfidence, Cognitive ability, and Knowledge of business (Horace & Ronald, 2001). Researchers commenced to focus their research efforts on the behaviors of leaders in 1960s. By 1968, the investigation led to the creation of the Blake and Mouton Management Grid. The grid groups the work styles to create four main work styles: "Concern for tasks, Concerns for people, Leadership and Participatory leadership" (Scott & Jennifer, 2011). These categories describe how leaders work and interact with followers to take them to complete the tasks in question. Leadership behavior theory classifies because, it focuses on the study of a leader's specific behavior.

Leadership development programs must take into account the need to transform leadership skills to achieve victory for both organizations and society (Gregory, Atttoh, Tao, 2017). Moreover, the significant and positive significant impact of the working group climate on the working group's productivity, while both transformational and transactional leadership did not affect the working group's productivity through the working group's atmosphere (McMurray, Mazharul, Sarros & Andrew, 2012). The leadership style (transformational, transactional, or ambiguous), adopted by the group leader, and has an operational impact on the development of training as a strategic resource both within the team and in the organization (Tania, Linda & Prem, 2010). And yet, from a variety of natural scientists, most of the conclusions discover that some among the certain decisions of the listing agent in creativity transformational the guidance of the customer. The mayor of this article, the indirect effect of the focus on transformational leadership. Mediators and moderators are from various levels, including individual, team, and organizational levels. The directors middle of a multilevel, including individual, team and organizational level. Additionally, some context variables are also included (HongHu, Qinxuan & Jixiang, 2013).

## 7 c) Leadership and Organizational Performance

Leadership transforms potentials into reality. It is not merely using people and their potential for realizing an organization's goals. This is the maximum aspiration of ethical character, and high level of leaders Horner et

162 al. (1997). Leadership and performance beyond expectations represent a breakthrough in our understanding of  
163 which these leaders are, how they get results, and why their leadership often exceeds all limits . Despite the  
164 ubiquity of leadership influences in the performance of the organizational team and a large amount of literature on  
165 leadership and team/group dynamics, we know surprisingly little about how leaders create and manage effective  
166 teams. A key point in considering such relationships is the reciprocal influence, whereby both leadership and  
167 team processes influence each other (Stephen, Andrea & Michelle, 2002). Teams become more experienced and  
168 reach a significant level of experience; other members assume more leadership roles, while designated leaders  
169 retain their responsibilities that span the boundaries. Also, teams influence the leader's effectiveness, Stephen,  
170 Andrea & Michelle et al. ??2002). Further, the leadership facilitates in many ways as managerial function  
171 for instance, by creating a shared vision for the organization, by coordinating the people/activities among  
172 units, communicating among organization broad, monitoring/controlling activities deviations, and motivating  
173 employees for higher performance. The corporate should have stakeholder agency or relationships to result in  
174 performance (Kahandawaarachchi, Dissanayake & Maitra, 2016).

175 In general, effective leadership assumes to be the key to successful change. There is a shortage in research  
176 results on the impact of leadership on organizational change. The effect of leadership is on behavior and the results  
177 of organizational change. Change leadership is more difficult than predictable, and involves multiple forms of  
178 involved in different approaches, actions, and activities, only some of which are effective (Jeffrey & Laurie, 2012).  
179 Organizations that need strategic change often look for charismatic leaders to lead change efforts. Creating  
180 innovation through culture is always blessed by leaderships that results in higher performances (Dissanayake,  
181 Wastantha & Jinadasa, 2017). With the growing popularity of democratization in the workplace, team-based  
182 strategic change approaches are emerging. Strategic teams may be a better option than charismatic leaders to  
183 change an organization (Landrum, Howell & Lori, 2000). There are seven different approaches to structuring and  
184 managing the change that organizations can take to improve the likelihood of driving a successful transform in  
185 their organizations (Schell, 2019). Also, the significant influence of organizational values is consistent with the  
186 results of employees (Huang, Shiuan & Chou, 2005).

## 187 8 III. Conclusion and Further Research Areas

188 Leadership is a main factor in today's organizations. This type of pioneering activity is the backbone of total  
189 quality management and team operations. It can also replace the experience of middle management lost by staff  
190 reduction. It presents a generalized process to define, discover, and develop leadership in current organizations.  
191 The detection of guiding in the workforce does through performance evaluations. Leadership development must  
192 be comprehensively and systematically integrated into the organizational culture to produce leaders who can  
193 adequately face challenges and direct to the organization's performance. Service sector companies need strategic  
194 leaderships for service delivery models and cultures for higher acts (Dissanayake, Norsanina & Hamid, 2017).  
195 Today's organizational managers need leadership skills to develop massive performance teams and to cover the  
196 broader sections of control that remain after the reduction in staff. Support activities link with leadership. The  
197 development of leadership is encouraged through rewards, recognition, and reoriented training. Many managers  
198 mistakenly believe that leadership style is a strategic choice, not a personality. Instead of choosing one style that  
199 suits, they should ask which method is best suited to a particular situation.

200 In organizations in which middle managers perceive their leaders as collectivists, group decision making, and  
201 rapid two-way communication were common in practice, and this contributed to quality, better service, innovation,  
202 proposals for new ideas, and employee satisfaction. Unusually, low collective leadership, communication, or  
203 decision making did not influence economic performance (Sriya & Yasuo, 2010). Leadership development should  
204 encourage workers to take on their leadership roles. The success of an organization depends on the quality of  
205 its leadership. Successful leaders expect, change, seize opportunities, and motivate their followers to be more  
206 productive and performance. The general pattern of the results in the present study provides support for the  
207 effects of leadership and organizational performance styles. The results of regressions indicate that leadership  
208 styles influence the performance of the company. The relationship-oriented leadership style has a significantly  
209 better effect on firm performance than task-oriented leadership style and style of participatory leadership  
210 (Puspanathan, Mangaleswaran & Lin, 2008). Therefore, in today's world, strategic leadership management is  
211 vital to the success of an organization's performance. In general, effective leadership has been assumed to be the  
212 key to successful change. The impact of leadership is on behavior and the results of organizational performance  
213 change. It suggests that the directions for future research areas such as the leadership transformations in the  
214 organizations are a combination with the technological changes, and another area is can be able to find out what  
215 are the new leadership skills which need to compete successfully in the digital business environment.

- 
- 216 [Street] , G Street . Washington, DC 20052, USA.
- 217 [ Organizations. International Journal of Business and Social Science] , *Organizations. International Journal of*  
218 *Business and Social Science*
- 219 [Sheard Kakabadse ()] ‘A process perspective on leadership and team development’. Sheard & Kakabadse .  
220 *Journal of Management Development* 2004.
- 221 [Sheard Kakabadse ()] ‘A role-based perspective on leadership decision taking’. Sheard & Kakabadse . *Journal*  
222 *of Management Development* 2007.
- 223 [Eric and Maurice ()] ‘A Synthesis of Leadership Theories and Styles’. Deborah & Eric , Maurice . *Journal of*  
224 *Management Policy and Practice* 2014.
- 225 [Conger (ed.) ()] *Charismatic Leadership*, Conger . J. Conger, Organizational Behavior (ed.) 2015. John Wiley  
226 & Sons.
- 227 [James and Anthony ()] *Developing Leadership in Global and Multi-cultural*, Richard & James , Anthony . 2011.
- 228 [Spillane ()] *Distributed Leadership*, Spillane . 2008. Taylor & Francis.
- 229 [Firestone ()] *Leadership: Roles or Functions. International Handbook of Educational Leadership and Adminis-*  
230 *tration*, Firestone . 1996.
- 231 [Dissanayake and Hamid ()] ‘Effectiveness of Service Delivery Systems of Local Government Authorities to  
232 Enhance the Business Growth Potentials of Regional Businesses’. Norsanina & Dissanayake , Hamid . *Journal*  
233 *of Social Sciences -Sri Lanka* 2017.
- 234 [Tania and Prem ()] ‘Effects of leadership style on team learning’. Linda & Tania , Prem . *Journal of Workplace*  
235 *Learning* 2010.
- 236 [Chuang ()] *Essential skills for leadership effectiveness in diverse workshop development*, Chuang . 2013. 2013. 6  
237 p. 1.
- 238 [Huang and Chou ()] ‘Fitting in organizational values: The mediating role of person-organization fit between  
239 CEO charismatic leadership and employee outcomes’. Shiuhan Huang , Chou . *International Journal of*  
240 *Manpower* 2005.
- 241 [Horace and Ronald ()] *from trait to transformation: The evaluation of leadership theories*, & Horace , Ronald .  
242 2001. Project Innovation, Inc.
- 243 [Lynda and Mertis ()] *High-Performance Leadership at the Individual Level*, Mary & Lynda , Mertis . 2000. Sage  
244 Publications.
- 245 [Honghu and Jixiang ()] ‘How and when does transformational leadership affect organizational creativity and  
246 innovation?’. Qinxuan Honghu , Jixiang . *Nankai Business Review International* 2013.
- 247 [Schell ()] ‘Leadership and Change Management’. Schell . *Traffic Safety Culture* 2019.
- 248 [Hoyle ()] *Leadership and Futuring: Making Visions Happen. California*, Hoyle . 2007. Sage Publications.
- 249 [Raisiene ()] *Leadership and managerial competences in a contemporary organization from the standpoint*,  
250 Raisiene . 2014. Economics and Sociology.
- 251 [Bass ()] *Leadership and performance beyond expectations. Semantic scholar. Retrieved from semantic scholar*,  
252 Bass . [https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/c116/3c08a7312f01048b773d002f68e1d589a38a.](https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/c116/3c08a7312f01048b773d002f68e1d589a38a.pdf)  
253 **pdf** 1985.
- 254 [Bass ()] *Leadership and performance beyond. Interrelated effects of personality and environment on leadership*,  
255 Bass . 1985.
- 256 [Landrum and Lori ()] ‘Leadership for strategic change’. Howell Landrum , Lori . *Leadership & Organization*  
257 *Development Journal* 2000.
- 258 [Nancy ()] *Leadership for strategic change*, Jon Nancy , Lori . 2000.
- 259 [Bailey Axelrod ()] *Leadership lessons from Mount Rushmore.: School of Business and Public Management*,  
260 Bailey & Axelrod . 2001. p. 2115. George Washington University
- 261 [Puspanathan and Lin ()] ‘Leadership style and organizational performance in family owned small manufacturing  
262 industry in Sri Lanka’. Mangaleswaran & Puspanathan , Lin . of Sri Jayewardenepura 2008.
- 263 [Goleman (2000)] *Leadership That Gets Results. harvard business review ? march*, Daniel Goleman . 2000. april  
264 2000.
- 265 [Horner ()] *Leadership theory: past, present and future. Team Performance Management*, Horner . 1997.
- 266 [Gyensare and Sanda ()] ‘Linking transformational leadership to turnover intention in the public sector’.  
267 Kumedzro Gyensare , Nathaniel Sanda . *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies* 2017.
- 268 [Kahandawaarachchi and Maitra ()] ‘Role of Relative-Agency of Consumers in Brand Building: Conceptual  
269 Review for Marketing Implications and Future Studies’. Dissanayake Kahandawaarachchi , Maitra . *Sri Lanka*  
270 *Journal of Marketing* 2016.

## 8 III. CONCLUSION AND FURTHER RESEARCH AREAS

---

- 271 [Stephen and Michelle ()] Andrea & Stephen , Michelle . *Team leadership. USA: The Leadership Quarterly*, 2002.
- 272 [Pantelis ()] ‘Supervisory leadership and implementation phase’. Angeliki Pantelis . *Leadership & Organization*  
273 *Development Journal* 2004.
- 274 [Tait ()] ‘the attributes of leadership’. Tait . *Leadership & Organization Development Journal* 1996.
- 275 [James ()] ‘the impact of corporatisation and national competition policy: An exploratory study of organisa-  
276 tional change and leadership style’. James . *Leadership & Organization Development Journal* 2005.
- 277 [Mcmurray et al. ()] ‘The impact of leadership on workgroup climate and performance in a non-profit organiza-  
278 tion’. Mazharul Mcmurray , & Sarros , Andrew . *Leadership & Organization Development Journal* 2012.
- 279 [Mackenzie ()] *the LAMPE Theory of Organizational Leadership. Multi-Level Issues in Social Systems*, Macken-  
280 zie . 2006.
- 281 [Noel and David ()] *the Leadership Challenge -A Call for the Transformational Leader*, & Noel , David . 2008.
- 282 [Jeffrey Laurie ()] *the Leadership of Organization Change: A View from Recent Empirical Evidence*, Jeffrey &  
283 Laurie . 2012. (Research in Organizational Change and Development)
- 284 [Mumfordm and Frederick ()] ‘The leadership skills strataplex: Leadership skill requirements across organiza-  
285 tional levels’. Michael & Mumfordm , Frederick . *M. F. Mumfordm, the Leadership Quarterly*, 2007. p. .  
286 (science direct)
- 287 [Maria ()] ‘the relationship between leadership effectivieness and organizational performance’. Maria . *Journal*  
288 *of Defense Resources Management* 2012. JoDRM.
- 289 [Sriya Yasuo ()] *the Role and Perceptions of Middle Managers and Their Influence on Business Performance:*  
290 *The Case of Sri Lanka*, Sriya & Yasuo . 2010. Canadian Center of Science and Education.
- 291 [Dissanayake and Jinadasa ()] ‘the Role of Organizational Creativity Towards Innovations: A Conceptual Review  
292 on Services Sector Research Directions’. Wastantha & Dissanayake , Jinadasa . *Journal of Social Sciences Sri*  
293 *Lanka* 2017.
- 294 [Bryant ()] ‘The Role of Transformational and Transactional Leadership in Creating, Sharing and Exploiting  
295 Organizational Knowledge’. Scott Bryant . *Sage Journals* 2003.
- 296 [Scott ()] ‘Trait and behaviour theories of leadership: An integration andmetaanalytic test of their relative  
297 validity’. Jennifer Scott . *Personnel Psychology* 2011.
- 298 [Bernard and Ronald ()] *Transformational*, & Bernard , Ronald . 2006. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum  
299 Associates publishers.
- 300 [Gregory ()] ‘Transformational leadership and affective organizational commitment: mediating roles of perceived  
301 social responsibility and organizational identification’. Attoh Gregory , Tao . *Social Responsibility Journal*  
302 2017.
- 303 [Goleman ()] ‘what makes a leader’. Goleman . *Leadership That Gets Results. harvard business review*, 1998.  
304 2000.
- 305 [Yukl ()] G Yukl . *Leadership in organizations*, (Upper Saddle River, NJ) 1998. Prentice Hall. (4th ed.)