

Outsourcing Human Resource Functions and their Impact on Organizational Performance

Atyeh Mohammed Alzhrani¹

¹ Taif University

Received: 6 December 2019 Accepted: 31 December 2019 Published: 15 January 2020

Abstract

In today's rising competition, the benefit generated by the development of high organizational quality is becoming more important. The role of human resource tasks and the culture of organizations can impact the achievement of substantial organizational effectiveness, which in return draw much more attention from both theoreticians and practitioners. Outsourcing is deemed one of the tools which companies use to reinforce their core competencies to assign their resources effectively. The rise of globalization has transformed outsourcing into one of the broadly adopted business plans towards supplying exceptional services to consumers.

Index terms— outsourcing, human resources, organizational performance, performance

1 Introduction

he most important objective of the organization is the wise & effective utilization of its available resources. Therefore, the importance of the productive utilization of these resources has become more debatable in this modern and competitive era. This adequate use of organizational resources ultimately will equip the organization with perfectly framed goals, objective, and strategies. Hence, the carefully designed framework will help in achieving higher organizational performance. In the recent decade the economists dedicating their immense efforts for developing and quantifying the term human capital, they are implementing the term human capital through the concept of expenditure incurred in the development of human being. It includes promotional activities related to the development of education, training, healthcare, and nutrition-related factors. Human capital is an investment in five categories, education, on the job training, migration, health, and economic information, the investment in these can enhance their choices and welfare (Schultz T., 1971). The model by Becker S. G. (1962) explained that the firms feel uncomfortable investing in human capital for the development of general skills because the cost incurred in these programs is hard to recover, rather the firms only show willingness to invest in only firms specific skills, that are useful for investing firm but not for other firms. The human capital is defined as organizational input in the talents and technologies which can uphold organization to drive benefit in developing competitiveness, which is exceptional, valuable and to be kept secret from the other organizations. Thus, the employees acquiring exceptional, valuable, competitive skills and capabilities are qualified as human capital (Chen & Lin, 2005). Huang, Luther, Michael, & Roszaini (2013) concluded human capital as the most important asset of an organization. In addition, it appends with its workers and includes the years of formal education, working experience and period of managerial expertise. Moreover, it is a mixture of aptitude factors that distinguish organizations and take part in its performance and production.

Organizations need to build and concentrate on their substance competencies to succeed in a competitive global market and to achieve growth in the long period (Potkány, 2008; Merrifield, 2006; Li-Hua & Simon, 2007; Kirchner, 2006). Organizations require proper planning and execution of strategies to improve levels of productivity and to shift workers' views, beliefs and attitudes (Hirshman, Cords, & Hunter, 2005), Business and economic practices are continually evolving (Kong, 2007). Some authors found that manufacturing sectors have produced advanced product lines requiring specially qualified operators (Sullivan, 2006; Thapanachai, 2004). In addition, the use of ICT (information technology communication) and quicker flows of information have brought revolution to our

3 LITERATURE REVIEW A) OUTSOURCING

45 living style and the business managing path. Consumer requirements and demands have forced corporations
46 to repair and redesign new structures to meet market requirements (Entrekin & Court, 2001). The argument
47 about the outsourcing of human resources (HR) is getting more attention, outsourcing of human resources is seen
48 by some as an advantage for the HR role and others consider it as a risk. The first group who consider it an
49 advantage suggests that outsourcing HR is a tool that creates time for HR to become a strategic partner.

50 The second group point of view is that outsourcing of HR is a cost-cutting tool that eventually decreases HR
51 personnel (Delmotte & Sels, 2008). Outsourcing may generally be interpreted as a company that transfers its
52 un-core functions to an outside company that provides a specific service, task or product (Hansen, 2009; Lawler
53 & Mohrman, 2003). Many researchers wrote that outsourcing is no longer limited to IT services, but has grown
54 to include fiscal services, services of the banking, services provided by engineers, innovative services, services
55 that manage the data, human resource management (HRM), and etc.. (Bielski, 2007). HR functions outsourcing
56 may be considered as a way that can improve the efficiency of any company (Lawler & Mohrman, 2003). As
57 the inner and outer organizational environment changed, the concept of the HR function has changed (Zhu &
58 Warner, 2004). The emphasis has been on shifting jobs and strategic HRM not on conventional organizational
59 and administrative viewpoints (Beardwell & Claydon, 2007). In particular, the contemporary approach to HRM
60 is to elucidate the connection between HRM and business strategy. In addition, organizations must consider how
61 HRM can be used strategically for the attainment of organizational goals (Thompson, Strickland, & Gamble,
62 2005).

63 2 a) Problem statement and research objectives

64 The knowledge, skills, competencies, and attribute embodied in the individuals that can assist them in the
65 economic well-being of an organization can be characterized as human capital. In addition, human capital stands
66 crucial among the others intangible assets of the organization. Furthermore, it is a significant intangible asset
67 which draws more amount of competitiveness advantage, especially in the knowledge-intensive industries where
68 the more amounts of knowledge, skills, and expertise drive more volume of competitive advantage by ensuring
69 their survival (Munjuri, K'Obonyo, & Ogutu, 2015). The essential problem of almost every organization is
70 achieving their stated goals and objectives on the way to attain greater organizational performance. Therefore,
71 the welldeveloped and wisely managed human capital is crucial for every organization to compete and achieve
72 exceptional performance.

73 To stay alive in the modern business globe, a company needs to concentrate on velocity, resilience, and lightness.
74 To achieve this, corporations are required to emphasis on key and core qualifications when making deals on non-
75 core tasks with an outsider entity to perform this role as one of their key operations (Entrekin & Court, 2001; Lee,
76 2008; Young, 2007). This shift in focus requires a more strategic HR function (Francis & Keegan, 2006). A number
77 of numerous scientists say HR outsourcing is one such strategy as cost reduction, increased flexibility, access to
78 advanced technology and an focus on core activities (Khanna & New, 2005; Lilly, Gray, & Virick, 2005) that is
79 utilized by business managers to meet the requirements and objectives of the organization. There still remains
80 a significant confusion as to how outsourcing activities of human resources can influence company performance,
81 if HR practices have an on the improvement of performance. This study is going to investigate the relationship
82 between human resource functions outsourcing and organizational performance.

83 The main objective of this study is to examine the impact of outsourcing HR functions on the performance,
84 this study is also going to achieve these sub objectives; 1. To determine the major factors that impact the decision
85 to outsource HR activities. 2. To understand the benefits and risks associated with outsourcing.

86 II.

87 3 Literature Review a) Outsourcing

88 The definition of outsourcing is referred to as a perceptive of management, in which a corporation appoint as
89 representative a number of noncore functions to services suppliers that are characterized of efficiency and expertise.
90 In other words, outsourcing (derived from outsource i.e. external source) can be referred to as the purchase of
91 goods or services that were internally provided at an earlier time (Elmuti & Kathawala, 2000). Outsourcing is
92 conceived to be one of the major fundamental principles in the time of global market and e-economy, regarding
93 the modern method to fix the eyes on the correlations among corporations. There is no structured particular
94 course of action presented that is capable of providing the approval and encouragement to the govern of the
95 evolution of an allinclusive outsourcing procedure without being affected by outsourcing large distribution, huge
96 business cases and big deals of material that provides official information able to be obtained on network or press
97 (Franceschini & Galetto, 2003). Cost-effectiveness and the restructuring of producing are conceived as the most
98 significant factors that cause outsourcing to happen or develop. Outsourcing can help corporations' determined
99 exertions to be centered on core business, medium/long-term goals and chances of diversification (Linder, Cole,
100 & Jacobson, 2002). The outsourced and the outsourcer are perceived to be the major actors of outsourcing
101 procedures, the outsourced (customer), outsources his procedures, while on the other hand the outsourcer (the
102 enterprise) delivers outsourced services, the interface pertaining to an organization is perceived as the owner of
103 the procedure, regarding the two enterprises in the time of all the outsourcing activities (Franceschini & Galetto,
104 2003).

105 Whenever an organization establishes a contract with another organization in order to either provide a service
106 or a product that is characterized by vital importance, outsourcing eventuates. Internal traditional work is
107 transferred to an outside supplier, in addition to the employees being on numerous occasions shifted to the
108 provider of the service, outsourcing varies from alliances or collaborations or joint ventures because resource
109 flows are one-way, from the provider to the client, there is typically no sharing of profit or mutual contribution
110 (Belcourt, 2006). Nearly all companies are outsourcing, and the trend is growing, in a study conducted by
111 Hewitt Associates, (94) percent of companies subjected to the survey said they had outsourced one or more
112 human resources functions (Gurchiek, 2005), in the event that the company needs experts and cannot afford to
113 hire or train them, it may be a way to outsource them. Many companies want cost savings or better products or
114 expert or software exposure as the basis for their outsourcing decision, some executives, however, treat outsourcing
115 as a solution without first identifying what the problem is (McCauley, 2000). At least six main reasons exist
116 to outsource organizations: financial savings, strategic focus, access to advanced technology, improved level of
117 service, access to expertise, and organizational policy; the first reason given for the decision to outsource is to save
118 money, organizations assume that the outsourcing of a role like wage will reduce costs, when the manufacturer,
119 such as Ceridian, specializes in benefits administration, focuses on one field and provides this service to many
120 companies, economies of scale can be achieved. Specialist vendors are more effective because training personnel
121 and research and development costs can be expanded to more users (Belcourt, 2006). The second reason behind
122 outsourcing is strategic focus; an evolution was observed in the last decade, regarding outsourcing procedures
123 from traditional to strategic, whenever a non-critical procedure for the corporation is outsourced, it is conceived
124 as traditional outsourcing (Franceschini & Galetto, 2003). This is the matter for actions not requiring particular
125 qualifications by the provider, like for example services of canteen or cleaning. Whenever everything but special
126 actions that could accomplish a distinctive competitive edge is outsourced, it is perceived as strategic outsourcing
127 (Quinn & Hilmer, 1994; Willcocks & Choi, 1995), enterprises that have sought out to outsource companies reported
128 decreasing administrative tasks by more than half and increasing their strategic emphasis by 40% (Oshima, Kao,
129 & Tower, 2005).

130 Technology and the ability to get access to it is the third factor that plays a role in the need for organizations
131 to outsource, it can be seen as a driving force behind outsourcing trend, many functions are outsourced because
132 organizations want to improve technical services or are unable to find technical skills or need quick and reliable
133 access to new technologies.

134 Much of the traditional HR service included responding to employee inquiries or changing employee files, so
135 interactive voice responses and companies that specialize in this service can easily handle these tasks, technology
136 also reduces processing time for an organization, the time it takes to process an inquiry (Belcourt, 2006). Another
137 reason for outsourcing is the enhancement in performance. The contract can include performance standards more
138 closely than is usual for current and long-term employees. Managers can choose the 'best-of-breed' suppliers who
139 can employ and recruit their staff with outstanding records and greater flexibility. An additional reason given
140 by certain outsourcing companies is that they find HR laws and regulations so confusing that they want to
141 outsource to businesses with the specific expertise they need (Belcourt, 2006). HR departments are frequently
142 criticized for their bureaucracy. HR customers see noticeable flexibility, response, and performance development
143 when using a service vendor who is majored in service. Most companies can earn dominance over their level of
144 service because their contract outsourcing can quantify outcomes (Cooke, 2004). The access to specific expertise
145 can also be notices as a factor that causes outsourcing, the slogan is "Outsource, if someone can do better than
146 you." One explanation some businesses have provided for the need of outsourcing is that they consider the laws
147 and regulations regulating HR so complicated that they want to outsource to attain specific expertise. Having
148 experts also decreases corporate hazards and liabilities. Specialists possess the knowledge about the legislation
149 more than anyone else and they can make sure that all the practices of a company are subjected to legislations
150 (Belcourt, 2006) The outsourcing role is not as clear as an internal department that carries out same tasks. Many
151 companies opt to outsource a struggling unit, for example one where workers are not doing well. Outsourcing
152 decreases head count as well, in the public sector head counts are of great importance; the fewer payroll workers,
153 the more satisfied the tax payer, there is an indication that the system is not as effective and problem-free as
154 expected for companies with expertise in outsourcing (Belcourt, 2006).

155 4 b) Human Resources Outsourcing

156 Human resource outsourcing (HRO) is described as a third party supplier's procurement of HR services (CIPD,
157 2009). It is also known as "the procurement from a third-party provider by an organization of ongoing HR services
158 which they would normally provide themselves otherwise" (Tian, 2007, p. 55). Human Resource Outsourcing is
159 the transferal of components of the HRM function or activities of a corporation to an administrator outside the
160 corporation itself (Reed, 2001). No new is HRO for instance, companies generally include law firms for guidance
161 on HR regulations. Ghassemieh, Thach, and Gilinsky (2005) advocate an economic HR strategy to outsource
162 the HR function. In fact, several organizations outsource all or some HR activities, since they are unable to
163 allow talent in all sectors of the operations of the organization, either part-time or full-time, (Greer, Youngblood,
164 & Gray, 1999). Other outsourced human resources activities include recruitment and selection, compensation,
165 relationships with the industry, and occupational safety and health (Armstrong, 2006; Davidson, 2005; Hansen,
166 2009; Staff, 2006; Weinberger, 2008), among others. Nonetheless, it is debated whether or not the HR function

167 should be outsourced and focuses on the benefits and drawbacks of HR outsourcing over the production of internal
168 programs (Fitzpatrick & Dilullo 2007). Despite evidence that there are both advantages and disadvantages to
169 outsourcing HR practice (Kosnik, Ji & Hoover, 2006; Stopper, 2005) the popularity of outsourcing for HR has
170 grown quickly during the last decades, with many organizations choosing to outsource all or some of their HR
171 activities.

172 The design and management of HR functions in prevailing business environments needs thorough-depth
173 planning that mostly includes considerable effort and investment (Henneman, 2005; Sullivan, 2005). This
174 assumption has demonstrated that the HR function has turned out to be the most common outsourcing function
175 (Hansen, 2009; Bednarz, 2005; Henneman, 2005; Hayes, 2006). A survey made by Pollitt, Gelman and Dell (2004)
176 of 125 firms indicates that 80% of these firms are now outsourcing major HR functions, with most of these
177 firms continuing to expand their options in this field. The study has also shown that less than 1% of these
178 organizations have restored internal services. Specific processes covered by any outsourcing arrangement vary
179 from organization to organization. Although some companies can outsource almost any HR system, others choose
180 specific components such as payroll and assets (CIPD, 2009). The research evidence shows that most companies
181 are happy with their HRO programs, which makes companies comfortable with nontransactional outsourcing
182 functions such as employee relations, the Human Resource Information System (HRIS) and hiring by fulfilling
183 the initial transactional and operational aspect of HRO (Igbinomwanhia et al., 2013). Saha (2005) notes that the
184 existing HR services offered by external service companies are increasingly standardized and effective, and are
185 characterized by; A. Transparent process which provides easy access to information, usually e-enabled, both for
186 HR system managers and employee users. B. Processes that are connected across the entire range of HR services
187 by the ability to apply an event-based approach where the employee provides detailed and non-friendly responses
188 to all applicable HR responses.

189 C. An incorporated service delivery method for HR programs so that all HR responses to a working-life event
190 can be seen. D. The idea of an integrated software "single platform" that results in end-to-end data management
191 and processing from many sources. Inside today's companies, several factors drive HR outsourcing, companies
192 must provide quality and service products at competitive prices in order to remain competitive, and that means
193 that organizations, always at the proper time, have the right sort of people. Such types of people are vital to
194 corporate efficiency and sustainability, wherever they may be found (Igbinomwanhia et al., 2013).

195 The reasons behind HR outsourcing are often tactical or logistical (Kang, Wu, & Hong, 2009; Saha, 2005;
196 Houtzagers & Janssen, 2008). The following factors contributed to HR outsourcing were described by Saha
197 (2005).

198 The Need for specialized expertise is one of the driving forces behind HR outsourcing is the need to "let those
199 who can do this better than you." Where companies require more specific HR, the second best option is for
200 external vendors to perform formally inhouse activities that will increase their expertise and thus improve the
201 quality of the company.

202 5 III.

203 Outsourcing hr Functions Time Pressures; HR outsourcing allows HR managers to meet the demands of time
204 and competition, thanks to their knowledge, experience and the ability to offer standard deals which can be
205 personalized easily, HR vendors may meet client organizations' specific needs.

206 Cost Savings; Cost savings were historically the most important rationale for HR outsourcing (CIPD, 2009)
207 and remain an important reason for HR outsourcing. The fact that the HR provider can carry out the outsourced
208 operation in a more economical way implies that companies are able to reduce costs by outsourcing.

209 Outsourcing, in general, may be described as buying an operation that was or might have been done in-house
210 from external vendors (Gilley & Rasheed, 2000). As a result, HR functions are outsourced to external service
211 providers in the entire or part of the HR role of a company (Pelham, 2002;). Initially, this pattern indicates that
212 outsourcing involves small segments of the HR structure, especially non-core activities such as the administration
213 of salaries and benefits). However, many other facets of the work of HR have been added to this, including
214 key tasks such as HR planning and design (Stroh & Treehuboff, 2003). Other outsourcing practices include
215 education, long as they are able to have good relations with their service providers, the company will gain the
216 maximum from those activities. Those working hard to partnership the external provider were more successful
217 than those who saw outsourcing as a way to dump transaction work on an external party (Oshima et al. 2005).
218 One of the most important assets of an organization is the function of human resources. The degree to which the
219 organization can achieve its goals largely depends on its ability to attract the right quality and amount of human
220 resource (HR) capital, grow it, retain it and sustain it (Igbinomwanhia et al., 2013). The HR role is to ensure
221 that, where and where required in the organization, the required quality and number of employees are available.
222 The general aim is primarily to encourage the company to achieve its goals through programs and assistance on
223 all issues affecting its employees (Armstrong, 2001). This role involves creating a healthy working atmosphere in
224 which the capacity of the workforce is fully exploited for both the workforce and the organization. Given these
225 critical roles, there was a continuing debate about not abolishing the HR feature (Igbinomwanhia et al., 2013).

226 A Survey of the current status of HRO amongst the members and their organizations, the Chartered Institute
227 of Human Rights and Development (CIPD, 2009) indicates that the use of Outsourcing the recruitment process
228 is a longstanding and rapidly increasing process. RPO has evolved in terms of size, range, and length in a natural

229 outgrowth of mass recruitment procurement. RPO uses two main reasons to outsource the necessary expertise
230 and cost reduction (Norman, 2009).

231 While training is outsourced by companies for a long time, the level of outsourcing is rapidly increasing. The
232 number of training projects and the nature of these projects has increased (Friedman, 2005) as an expanding
233 partner for training and development activities. The strategic importance of outsourced education in the study
234 was emphasized by Gainey and Klass (2013), the researchers use the options cost economies, the theory of social
235 exchanges, rehabilitation to identify factors designed to influence the satisfaction of customers with external
236 training providers. Results show that the relationship is mediated by socially-oriented trust and contractual
237 specificity (Norman, 2009).

238 Frequently consultants like the Hay Group, Hewitt, make executive compensation. The issue of collaborating
239 with other companies to set rates is one of the benefits of outside the wage survey system which is special to this
240 HRM sector. Employer organizations, by subcontracting this operation, often prevent too much participation in
241 industry data collection on pay rates ??Çiçek & Özer, 2011). This is a field practiced by policy advisors and
242 HR consultancies, due to the access needed for these activities by senior organizational leaders ??Çiçek & Özer,
243 2011).

244 Accenture builds on its IT outsourcing strength to link information technology to HR through enterprise
245 resource programs such as SAP, PeopleSoft, and Oracle. Also, this is the foundation of HRO structures of
246 multi-process. The licensing costs for ERP technology levied by Oracle and SAP were substantial savings in size
247 (Norman, 2009).

248 Payroll processing could be the most often outsourced and transactionally repetitive HR-related HRM task
249 ??Çiçek & Özer, 2011). ? Focus on Core/Strategic Issues; every company has scarce resources and all managers
250 have limited time and attention. Outsourcing implies that the HRs of the organization shift their focus from the
251 periphery to the core business operations of the organization. ? Cost Reduction; outsourcing reduces operational
252 costs as well as the cost of capital. The customer company benefits from the smaller cost structure of the
253 outsourcer which often results from scale savings and from specialization-based performance. A reduction in the
254 operating costs of the business gives a strong competitive advantage. Therefore, outsourcing reduces the need for
255 significant investments in non-core functions. In order to maintain and improve human resources systems and
256 infrastructure, for instance, businesses must not invest to capital as it is left to outsource businesses.

257 ? Flexibility; by outsourcing, versatility and rate of response to HR issues is improved, this has been learned
258 from the outsourcer's knowledge, skills and technological infrastructure. ? Reduced Risk; when outsourcing
259 companies reduce the burden of the risks by turning them into a third party, hazards can be integrated with
260 the ? Strategic Risk; one of HR Outsourcing's strategic significance is to make it possible for the organization
261 to concentrate on its main activities. However, in the context of HR tasks, companies often do not know which
262 of their tasks are substantial or not. If the core tasks are outsourced, companies will potentially risk long-term
263 sustainability.

264 ? Operational Risks; another common risk set aligned with HR outsourcing is the organizational or performance
265 issue. Problems such as rising service performance levels, unforeseen cost excesses or business disruptions may
266 severely undermine potential outsourcing benefits. Such risks tend to be higher if the customer and outsourcer do
267 not recognize the appropriate rates of service or if performance control and management are poor and ineffective.
268 ? Cultural Risk; disparities in the working cultures of both the consumer organization and the outsourcer may
269 affect the perceived value of quality and quality of service, and therefore the probability of performance loss.
270 ? Contracting risk; sometimes, administrators are deceived and pulled to make a contract by the well-drafted
271 contract as a substitute for successful risk management. Nevertheless, most outsourcing agreements are either
272 not adequately flexible to accommodate shifts in the market environment or in international jurisdiction are not
273 cost-effectively efficaciously. ? Risk of dependence; one risk that can happen from outsourcing is the loss of
274 control on activities that have been outsourced, and the loss of inside knowledge, which will in return cause
275 the client organization to get completely dependent on the provider of the activity, and if any problems occur
276 between the two parties dire outcomes can result for the client.

277 In addition to these risks, HR outsourcing is also correlated with costs, scholars have specified some of these
278 costs involving; 1) Coordination Costs; the costs associated with the exceeding costs and obstacles of production
279 and exchange with the sundry services suppliers. 2) Costs for transactions; these costs are linked to the process
280 of finding (searching and, picking) the suitable outsourcer, this theory is based on the fact that externalized
281 transactions are more expensive than the internalized ones. 3) Cost monitoring; such costs do occur from the
282 need of the client to concentrate the surveillance of the service provided by the outsourcer, as by doing this, any
283 intent of providing less quality and service or minimizing them by the outsourcer can fade away (Igbinomwanhia
284 et al., 2013) As with all the new fields of research the psychological aspects of practice have been studied very
285 little in empirical terms ??Giardini, Kabst, 2008). It is important how employees feel about BPO because it can
286 affect employee behavior, attitudes or a range of organizational outcomes. Organizations give employees a sense
287 of identity, security and belonging feeling. If they are disrupted, employees can feel uncomfortable and retaliatory
288 as stakeholders. About a third of HR professionals oppose outsourced services as they fear being forced to lose
289 their jobs and work for a contractor and are worried that outsiders will be more qualified ??Çiçek & Özer, 2011).
290 HR managers should expect talented employees to start job search and all employees will be reluctant to lose
291 their productivity when rumors are launched about externalization arrangements (Belcourt, 2006). Regardless of

the reasons behind the outsourcing activity, the employees feel that their company's outsourcing decisions have resulted in qualitative changes in their employees and psychological agreements. Outsourcing can have a positive impact on some workers by changing their tasks, allowing them to concentrate on activities with a high added value and eliminating repetitive and boring activities. Outsourcing can, however, lead to job losses for some employees. Outsourcing can have a positive impact on some workers by changing their tasks, allowing them to concentrate on activities with a high added value and eliminating repetitive and boring activities. Outsourcing can, however, lead to job losses for some employees. Given these major employee impacts, outsourcing could lead to a perceived psychologic transition, which could lead to adverse organizational results, such as perceived smuggling ?? The repercussions of outsourcing strategies on work quality, attitudes and performance of employees were investigated by Elmuti, Grunewald, and Abebe (2010), the attitude findings show that outsourcing strategies have a negative impact on the perceived quality of the dimensions of work and life. Ndubisi (2011) identified typologies for conflict management that affect B2B outsourcing relationship trust and commitment. The moderating influence of culture in the relationship is also considered. Data from Chinese and Indian companies providing HR outsourcing services were obtained. The influence on trust and engagement of compromise on conflict is moderated by culture which for Chinese people is significantly higher (business culture is the blend of Confucian moral values and composes elements relating to long-term relationships, including strong personal connections and interpersonal harmony) in relation to Indian service suppliers (spiritualism and the idea of 'Karma'). Culture also has a direct impact on commitment but not on trust. ??iardini and Kabst (2008) assumed that recruitment processes outsourcing (RPO) had a detrimental effect on job applicants' perceptions and assessments. The results showed that participants' satisfaction with the process, the organization's attractiveness and their intention to take an offer of jobs declined, with the RPO level increasing.

The study of Shepard et al. (1996) explored that provision of flexible working hours to the employees have increased the organizational productivity approximately by 10%. Their study was based upon a handsome sample size of 36 pharmaceutical companies operating in the USA and the organizational productivity data was gathered from last 11 years. The study of Jeffrey Pfeffer & John F. Veiga, (1999) concluded that "positioning employee as most primitive for organizational success", they discussed seven most important practices for successful organizations. These practices included employee employment security, selective hiring, decentralization, high compensations, extensive training, lessening the status differences, and effective communication or information sharing. According to Delery & Doty, (1996) cited by Jeffrey Pfeffer & John F. Veiga, (1999), most of the studies on performance management have integrated outsourcing as an important element. Past studies found that employee productivity and performance are correlated outsourcing. If they feel secure in their job they will work more efficiently for organizational success. Organizations enhance their profitability and effectiveness through their human resources so they ensure right people for the right position. Organizations should be quite clear about the required skills, abilities, knowledge and experience while selecting employees. Decentralization increases the sense of responsibility among employees. Most of the studies found that teambased organizations are more successful because the entire employees feel liable and accountable for the business and company, not just the individuals who are working on top management positions. Hence, the delegation of authority increases the work sense of responsibility which effects positively on individual performance and organizational success. The basic principle of the performance management system is that the ideas, skills, and work of all the employees should be acknowledged by the organization without discriminating the job level of employees. If employees feel that the organization is giving importance to their ideas and their work are recognized then the work more devotedly and this enables the organization to achieve their goals and objectives successfully. The study of Perry-Smith and Blum (2000) demonstrated that the organizations which offer work-life balance to their employees, experienced larger apparent market performance, increased employee productivity and reduced turnover, increased sales and profits, and organizational success. Moreover, the organizations which outsource their employees are more successful.

Given the widening possibilities, improved organizational performance depends not only on other organizational resources or tangible assets but also on human resource (Lee and Sukoco, 2007) especially outsourcing. Bogner and Bansal (2007) pointed out that human resource outsourcing is related to important organizational outcomes. Many researchers including Grinsven and Visser (2011); Kuo (2011) supported that outsourcing results in increased effectiveness and efficiency in business processes through collective utilization of employees' insights and experiences for better visioning of routine business practices.

The main dependent variable of concern to researchers in almost all areas of management is organizational performance, customers, inputs and capital markets competition make organizational performance vital for the modern company's survival and success, as a result, this concept has become the core focus of modern industrial activity, a commitment to the organization's success primarily assesses advertising, logistics and human resources (HR) and policy (Richard, Devinney, Yip, & Johnson, 2009). A surprisingly open issue with few studies using consistent definitions and measures is the definition of organizational performance (Kirby, 2005). In the managerial analysis, performance is so prevalent that its structure and definition is rarely explicitly justified; its adequacy, however, is undoubtedly assumed (March & Sutton, 1997). Organizational performance includes three specific areas of firm result: (a) financial

6 Global Journal of Management and Business Research

353

354 Volume XX Issue IV Version I Year 2020 () A performance (profits, asset return, investment returns, iv.
355 Organizational performance etc.); (b) the performance of the market (sales, market share, etc.) in products;
356 and (c) the return on shareholders (extensive return on shareholders, economic value-added, etc.). Although
357 organizational performance is dominant in strategic management literature, not to bring up economics, finance,
358 and accounting, it is not unquestioned. Performance is one type of efficiency indicator with both benefits and
359 drawbacks. We must therefore first differentiate between the performance of the company and the more general
360 organizational effectiveness model (Richard, Devinney, Yip, & Johnson, 2009). Organizational effectiveness is a
361 wider framework that encompasses organizational performance but is built on the concept of organization which
362 aims to achieve alternative performance goals (Richard, Devinney, Yip, & Johnson, 2009). Accounting measures
363 are the most common and easily available means of assessing organizational performance are accounting measures,
364 the importance of their use is shown by extensive evidence of the link between accounting and economic benefit.
365 It must be remembered that rules on accounting systems (e.g. GAAP standards) do not always adhere to the
366 underlying organizational performance technical principles (Richard, Devinney, Yip, & Johnson, 2009). The
367 nature of the rules (equations) which define the measure of interest should be understood to apply accounting
368 measures rigorously. However, researchers seldom have the tendency, time or data to do this. The emphasis
369 given to historical activity on future performance is an important limit to the accounting performance measures
370 (Keats, 1988).

371 The accounting measures reflect what has occurred because of its dependence on auditable sources and are
372 limited in anticipating and disclosing future performance expectations. That has both a negative side, as for
373 Enron, and a positive side, as in many early Internet companies. Measures of the financial market. Financial
374 market measures, mainly shareholder returns, are the preferred tool to characterize organizational performance
375 within the strategy, economics, and finance literature, the main strength of these methods, in theory, is the
376 decreased present value of future cash flows (Fisher & McGowan, 1983).

377 Sink and Langley (1997) notes that several companies are working to analyze their goals and focus their
378 resources on a relatively small number of preferred tasks and procedures within the context of modern market
379 trends. As a result, organizations have been increasingly willing to outsource designated activities including
380 logistics. They incorporate the dimensions of organizational success in three categories: cost effectiveness,
381 productivity and profitability. The purpose of these measurements is to provide at the time of outsourcing an
382 aggregate feature of organizational performance. a. Cost efficiency: Organizations must cope with outsourcing
383 if some tasks are thought to be handled by an external service provider more efficiently, conveniently or better
384 (Barthelmy and Adsit, 2003). Functions that are not core institutional competences are vying for contracting.
385 Nonetheless, any expertise or skills that enable a business to better serve its customer base, which directly
386 coincides with the products or services that it agitates to get out of the door, must remain inhouse. The original
387 reason for increasing outsourcing tends to be cost efficiency. Organizations analyze outsourcing as to whether
388 it is possible to reduce the recent operating costs and to re-invest saved capital for (Maudos et al, 2002). Some
389 researchers say that outsourcing is the main cost-cutting source of exposure to economies of scale for organizations
390 and the unique experience that a broad outsourcer can provide that all in effect would improve the organizational
391 efficiency ??Isaksson and Lantz, 2015).

392 A study done by Jiang, Frazier & Prater (2006) finds that agreements for outsourcing shift the assets of
393 organizations to a supplier of services that can convert fixed costs and operating costs into varying costs.
394 Outsourcing on the application side can minimize fixed costs, human resources and other overhead costs by
395 coordinating the production of skills and knowledge on a necessary basis. b. Productivity: This dimension
396 describes the level of outputs and inputs as shown by Windrum et al (2009). Production is determined by the
397 overall company profit or revenue, input by number of staff, total assets or stock required to manufacture the
398 output. Productivity is a performance measure that takes account of the cost of achieving a certain level of
399 output (Fixler & Siegel, 1999). The capacity of an individual, organization or enterprise to produce the outcomes
400 requested with a minimum energy, time, resources, personnel and material consumption is what organization
401 productivity about ??Shields & Brown, 2015). The influence of outsourcing on productivity as shown by
402 Okeke-Ezeanyanwu (2017) is the increase of efficiency, returns, and the potential or value for enterprise output.
403 Outsourcing could be seen as an improved efficiency mechanism, nevertheless, several organizations don't ever
404 stand to gain completely from an outsourcing relationship, if it is seen as a short or tactful response rather than
405 part of the longer-term strategic plans, and the outsourcing-productivity partnership is not effective (Kayumba,
406 2019). To achieve the required outcome it will be necessary to coordinate and record the operation of reviewing
407 and/or applying an outsourcing solution. The organization must go through various phases such as planning,
408 testing, design, implementation and operations in order to accomplish a productive exsourcing application. The
409 outsourcing decision, Yu and Lindsay (2011), can result in increased productivity for companies. Outsourcing
410 will help the organization shift its focus from marginal tasks to client needs-complying work and improve the
411 utilization of its resources to enable managers identify their goals more explicitly and precisely (Kayumba, 2019).
412 c. Profitability: This dimension is correlated with a corporation or industry's expertise in earnings generation
413 (Adu-Gyamfi, 2015, P.9). This shows the amount of revenue per input unit (work, equipment and capital) or a
414 capacity of a business to produce net income or to calculate its capacity to gain profit. The outsourcing effects
415 on profitability, like the use of new techniques and profitability margins, are based on Görg and Hanley (2004).

416 One key question is whether outsourcing increases value, or especially if it results in higher profitability for the
417 company that is starting outsourcing. This question essentially descends to the question of transactions costs
418 typically raised: should a company produce its very own input via some model of acceptance, or should it start
419 exploring to obtain inputs on the international market at possible more competitive prices? There's only a very
420 limited amount of reliable quantitative or econometric studies that interpret or check in this area to the best of
421 researchers' knowledge.

422 Nevertheless, Chaffey (2008) indicated that the quality enhancement relation between external services and
423 productivity was not explicitly delineated or explaining. The evidence was presented in various papers. Marjit
424 and Mukherjee, in particular (2008, p.12), found no indication that the outsourcing of Japanese service companies
425 would contribute to higher profits.

426 IV.

427 7 Conclusion

428 Studies have shown that the relationship between outsourcing human resourcing functions and organizational
429 performance is positively correlated, by outsourcing companies can gain many benefits if studied the decision
430 well, benefits can be concluded in sex categories; cost reduction, more concentration of strategic or core issues,
431 reduced risks, more flexibility, efficiency increase, guarantee the access to the latest technologies. The decision to
432 outsource is not random and usually managers decide to outsource because of one of the six reasons as follows;
433 financial savings, strategic focus, access to advanced technology, improved level of service, access to expertise,
434 and organizational policy. Literature has shown that outsourcing HR functions has a key role in the improvement
435 of organizational performance which can be characterized in three major dimensions; productivity, profitability,
436 and cost efficiency.

437 V.

438 8 Limitations and Future Recommendations

439 This study has only focused on the past literature and in future the model developed by study can be investigated
440 empirically. Moreover, the future researchers can investigate the outsourcing with organizational commitment. ¹

¹© 2020 Global Journals

- 441 [Worldwide journal of multidisciplinary research and development] , *Worldwide journal of multidisciplinary re-*
442 *search and development* 1 (3) p. .
- 443 [Grinsven and Visser ()] , M V Grinsven , M Visser . 2011.
- 444 [Armstrong ()] *a hand book of human resource management practice*, M Armstrong . 2006. London: Kogan Page
445 Limited. (10th ed.)
- 446 [Kong ()] ‘A selective review of recent developments in the economic growth literature’. T Kong . *Asian-Pacific*
447 *Economic Literature* 2007. 21 (1) .
- 448 [Oshima et al. ()] ‘Achieving post-outsourcing success’. M Oshima , T Kao , J Tower . *Human Resources Planning*
449 2005. 28 (2) p. .
- 450 [Bielski ()] ‘American bankers association’. L Bielski . *ABA Banking Journal* 2007. 99 (11) p. .
- 451 [Khanna and New ()] ‘An HR planning model for outsourcing’. S Khanna , J New . *Human Resource Planning*
452 2005. 28 (4) p. .
- 453 [Armstrong ()] M Armstrong . *a handbook of human resource management practice*, (London) 2001. Kogan Page.
454 (8th ed.)
- 455 [Thapanachai ()] *Asian firms expect to use more outsourcing of human resources work*, C Thapanachai . 2004.
456 Knight Ridder Tribune Business News
- 457 [Hayes ()] ‘Be an HR resource for your clients’. M Hayes . *Journal of Accountancy* 2006. 202 (5) p. .
- 458 [Li-Hua and Simon ()] ‘Benchmarking China firm competitiveness: a strategic framework’. R Li-Hua , D Simon
459 . *Journal of Technology Management* 2007. 2 (2) p. .
- 460 [Linder et al. ()] ‘Business transformation through outsourcing’. J Linder , M Cole , A Jacobson . *Strategy and*
461 *Leadership* 2002. 30 (4) p. .
- 462 [Cooper ()] ‘Can We Live With the Changing Nature of Work’. C L Cooper . *Journal of Managerial Psychology*
463 1999. 14 p. .
- 464 [Kirchner ()] ‘Captive operation or outsource? Thai is the question’. M Kirchner . *Product Finishing* 2006. (3)
465 p. 71.
- 466 [Zhu and Warner ()] ‘Changing patterns of human resource management in contemporary China: WTO
467 accession and enterprise responses’. Y Zhu , M Warner . *Industrial Relations Journal* 2004. 35 (4) p. .
- 468 [Willcocks and Choi ()] ‘Co-operative partnership and total IT outsourcing: from contractual obligation to
469 strategic alliance’. L Willcocks , C Choi . *European Management Journal* 1995. 13 (1) p. .
- 470 [Ndubisi ()] ‘Conflict handling, trust and commitment in outsourcing relationship: A Chinese and Indian Study’.
471 N O Ndubisi . *Industrial Marketing Management* 2011. 40 (1) p. .
- 472 [Elmuti et al. ()] ‘Consequences of outsourcing strategies on employee quality of work life, attitudes, and
473 performance’. D Elmuti , J Grunewald , D Abebe . *Journal of Business Strategies* 2010. (2) p. 27.
- 474 [Maudos et al. ()] ‘Cost and profit efficiency in European banks’. J Maudos , J M Pastor , F Perez , J Quesada
475 . *Journal of international financial markets* 2002. 12 (1) p. . (institutions and money)
- 476 [Thompson et al. ()] *Crafting and executing strategy: The quest for competitive advantages: Concepts and cases*,
477 A Thompson , A Strickland , J Gamble . 2005. New York: McGraw-Hill/Irwin. (14th ed.)
- 478 [Lawler and Mohrman ()] *Creating a strategic human resource organization: An assessment of trends and new*
479 *directions*, E Lawler , S Mohrman . 2003. California: Stanford University Press.
- 480 [Hansen ()] ‘Currents in Compensation and Benefits’. F Hansen . *Compensation & Benefits Review* 2009. 41 (1)
481 p. .
- 482 [Delmotte and Sels ()] J Delmotte , L Sels . *HR outsourcing: threat or opportunity*, 2008. 37 p. .
- 483 [Ghassemieh et al. ()] ‘Does my business need a human resource function? A decision-making model for small
484 and medium-sized firms’. G Ghassemieh , L Thach , A Gilinsky . *New England Journal of Entrepreneurship*
485 2005. 8 (1) p. .
- 486 [Görg and Hanley ()] ‘Does outsourcing increase profitability’. H Görg , A Hanley . *The Economic and Social*
487 *Review* 2004. 35 (3) p. .
- 488 [Kayumba ()] ‘Effect of outsourcing on organizational performance in Rwanda’. C U Kayumba . *Umeå School of*
489 *Business and Economics* 2019. Business Administration. (Master’s Thesis)
- 490 [Davidson ()] ‘Emerging trends and early lessons in public-sector HR outsourcing’. G Davidson . *Employment*
491 *Relations Today* 2005. (4) p. 31.
- 492 [Empowerment, knowledge conversion and dimensions of organizational learning, The Learning Organization]
493 *Empowerment, knowledge conversion and dimensions of organizational learning, The Learning Organization*,
494 18 p. .

8 LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS

- 495 [Adu-Gyamfi ()] *Evaluating the impact of outsourcing on organisational profitability*, L Adu-Gyamfi . 2015.
- 496 [Shepard et al. (1996)] 'Flexible work hours and productivity: Some evidence from the pharmaceutical industry'.
497 E Shepard , T Clifton , D Kruse , W C Bogner , P Bansal . *Journal of Management Studies* 1996. January.
498 2007. 35 (1) p. . (Industrial Relations)
- 499 [Kuo ()] 'How to Improve Organizational Performance through Learning and Knowledge'. T-H Kuo . *International Journal of Manpower* 2011. 32 (5/6) p. .
- 501 [Sullivan ()] *HR goes MIA at HP. Workforce Management*, J Sullivan . 2006. p. 85.
- 502 [Cipd ()] 'HR outsourcing and the HR function: Threat or opportunity?'. Cipd . *Survey. CIPD* 2009.
- 503 [Staff ()] 'HR outsourcing: From baby steps to giant leaps'. E Staff . *Employee Benefit News* 2006. 1.
- 504 [Cooke ()] 'HR/benefits outsourcing: Updating the conventional thinking'. B Cooke . *Employee Benefit Plan Review* 2004. 58 (8) p. .
- 506 [Munjuri et al. ()] 'Human Capital and Performance of Commercial Banks and Insurance Firms in Kenya'. M G
507 Munjuri , P K'obonyo , M Ogutu . *The Africa Management Review* 2015. 5 p. .
- 508 [Huang ()] 'Human capital disclosures in developing countries: Figureheads and value creators'. Huang . *Journal of Applied Accounting Research* 2013. p. .
- 510 [Saha ()] *Human resource accounting. The Chartered Accountant*, M Saha . 2005. p. .
- 511 [Greer et al. ()] 'Human resource management outsourcing: The make or buy decision'. C Greer , S Youngblood
512 , D Gray . *The Academy of Management Executive* 1999. 13 (3) p. .
- 513 [Entrekin and Court ()] *Human resource management practice: Adaptation and change in an age of globalisation. International Labour Organisation*, L Entrekin , M Court . 2001.
- 515 [Beardwell and Claydon ()] *Human resource management: A contemporary approach*, J Beardwell , T Claydon
516 . 2007. New York: Prentice Hall/Financial Times. (5th ed.)
- 517 [Gilley et al. ()] 'Human resource outsourcing and organizational performance in manufacturing firms'. K M
518 Gilley , C R Greer , A A Rasheed . *Journal of Business Research* 2004. 57 (3) p. 232240.
- 519 [Igbinomwanhia et al. ()] *Human Resource Outsourcing: Is The HR Function Losing Its Relevance in Organizations?*, O R Igbinomwanhia , O Iyayi , F Iyayi . 2013. KJBM. 5 p. .
- 521 [Okeke-Ezeanyanwu ()] 'Impact of Outsourcing on Organizational Productivity in Private and Public Sector
522 Organizations in Anambra State'. J A Okeke-Ezeanyanwu . *African Journal of Education Science and Technology* 2017. 3 (4) p. .
- 524 [Sullivan ()] 'In-house or outsource'. E Sullivan . *Building Operating Management* 2005. (8) p. 52.
- 525 [Reed ()] *Innovation in human resource management: Tooling up for the talent war s. London: CIPD house, A
526 Reed . 2001.*
- 527 [Becker ()] 'Investment in Human Capital: A Theoretical Analysis, the'. S G Becker . *Journal of Political Economy. Investment in Human Beings* 1962. 70 (5) p. .
- 529 [Schultz ()] *Investment in human capital: The role of Education and of Research*, T Schultz . 1971. London; New
530 York: Collier Macmillan Ltd.
- 531 [Mccauley ()] 'Know the benefits and costs of outsourcing services'. A Mccauley . *Canadian HR Reporter* 2000.
532 13 (7) p. .
- 533 [Merrifield ()] 'Make outsourcing a core competency'. D Merrifield . *Research Technology Management* 2006. (3)
534 p. 49.
- 535 [Gilley and Rasheed ()] 'Making more by doing less: an analyzing of outsourcing and its effects on firm
536 performance'. K M Gilley , A A Rasheed . *Journal of Management* 2000. 26 (4) p. .
- 537 [Adler ()] *Making the HR Outsourcing Decision. MIT Sloan Management Review*, P Adler . 2003. 45 p. .
- 538 [Adler ()] 'Making the HRM outsourcing decision'. P S Adler . *MIT Sloan Management Review* 2003. 45 (1) p. .
- 539 [Tian ()] 'Management of risk posed by human resource outsourcing'. E Tian . *Chinese Business Review* 2007. 6
540 (3) .
- 541 [Richard et al. ()] 'Measuring Organizational Performance: Towards Methodological Best Practice'. P J Richard
542 , T M Devinney , G S Yip , G Johnson . *Journal of Management* 2009. p. .
- 543 [Henneman ()] 'Measuring the true benefit of human resources outsourcing'. T Henneman . *Workforce Management* 2005. 84 (7) p. .
- 545 [Delery and Doty ()] 'Modes of theorising in strategic human resource management: Tests of universalistic,
546 contingency and configurational performance predictors'. J Delery , D H Doty . *Academy of Management Journal* 1996. 39 p. .
- 547

- 548 [Fisher and McGowan ()] 'On the misuse of accounting rates of return to infer monopoly profits'. F M Fisher , J
549 J McGowan . *American Economic Review* 1983. 73 p. .
- 550 [Yu and Lindsay ()] 'Operational effects and firms' responses: Perspectives of New Zealand apparel firms on
551 international outsourcing'. Y Yu , V Lindsay . *The International Journal of Logistics Management* 2011. 22
552 (3) p. .
- 553 [March and Sutton ()] 'Organizational performance as a dependent variable'. J G March , R I Sutton .
554 *Organization Science* 1997. p. .
- 555 [Belcourt ()] 'Outsourcing -the benefits and the risks'. M Belcourt . *Human Resource Management Review* 2006.
556 16 p. .
- 557 [Barthelemy and Adsit ()] 'Outsourcing and Firm-Level Performance'. J Barthelemy , D Adsit . *An International*
558 *Journal* 2003. 8 (4) p. .
- 559 [Fixler and Siegel ()] *Outsourcing and productivity growth in services. Structural change and economic dynamics*,
560 D J Fixler , D Siegel . 1999. 10 p. .
- 561 [Kessler et al. ()] 'Outsourcing and the Employment Relationship: An Employee Perspective'. I Kessler , A.-M
562 J Coyle-Shapiro , J Purcell . *Human Resource Management Journal* 1999. 9 (2) p. .
- 563 [Fitzpatrick and Dilullo ()] 'Outsourcing and the personnel paradox'. W Fitzpatrick , S Dilullo . *S.A.M. Advanced*
564 *Management Journal* 2007. 72 (3) p. .
- 565 [Jiang et al. ()] 'Outsourcing effects on firms' operational performance: An empirical study'. B Jiang , G V
566 Frazier , E L Prater . *International Journal of Operations & Production Management* 2006. 26 (12) p. .
- 567 [Bednarz ()] 'Outsourcing HR applications growing up'. A Bednarz . *Network World* 2005. (23) p. 22.
- 568 [Stroh and Treehuboff ()] 'Outsourcing HR functions: when-and when not-to go outside'. L K Stroh , D Treehuboff
569 . *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies* 2003. 10 (1) p. .
- 570 [Pollitt et al. ()] 'Outsourcing HR: The contrasting experiences of Amex and Dupont'. D Pollitt , L Gelman , D
571 Dell . *Human Resource Management International Digest* 2004. (6) p. 12.
- 572 [Norman ()] *Outsourcing Human Resource Activities: Measuring the Hidden Costs and Benefits*, T J Norman .
573 2009. University of Minnesota (Unpublished PhD Thesis)
- 574 [Lee ()] 'Outsourcing Innovation in a Durable good monopoly'. S Lee . *American Economist* 2008. 52 (1) p. .
- 575 [Isaksson ()] 'Outsourcing strategies and their impact on financial performance in small manufacturing firms in
576 Sweden'. Isaksson . *The International Journal of Business and Finance Research* 2015. 9 (4) p. .
- 577 [Lilly et al. ()] 'Outsourcing the human resource function: Environmental and organisational characteristics that
578 affect HR performance'. J Lilly , D Gray , M Virick . *Journal of Business Strategies* 2005. 22 (1) p. .
- 579 [Kosnik et al. ()] 'Outsourcing vs insourcing in the human resource supply chain: A comparison of five generic
580 models'. T Kosnik , D Ji , K Hoover . *Personnel Review* 2006. (6) p. 35.
- 581 [Nagpal ()] *Outsourcing your HR department*, M Nagpal . 2008.
- 582 [Stopper ()] 'Outsourcing's effect on HR leadership development'. W Stopper . *Human Resource Planning* 2005.
583 28 (4) p. .
- 584 [Franceschini and Galetto ()] 'Outsourcing: guidelines for a structured approach'. F Franceschini , M Galetto .
585 *Benchmarking: An International Journal* 2003. 10 (3) p. .
- 586 [Young ()] 'Outsourcing: Uncovering the complexity of the decision'. S Young . *International Public Management*
587 *Journal* 2007. 10 (3) p. .
- 588 [Pelham ()] D Pelham . *It is time to outsource HR? Training*, 2002. 39 p. .
- 589 [Potkány ()] 'Personnel outsourcing processes'. M Potkány . *E+M Ekonomie a Management* 2008. p. .
- 590 [Marjit and Mukherjee ()] 'Profit reducing international outsourcing'. S Marjit , A Mukherjee . *The Journal of*
591 *International Trade & Economic Development* 2008. 17 (1) p. .
- 592 [Gurchiek ()] *Record growth: I. Outsourcing of HR functions*, K Gurchiek . 2005. HR Magazine. 50 p. .
- 593 [Giardini ()] 'Recruitment Process Outsourcing: A scenario study on the acceptance of outsourcing in Peronel
594 selection'. A Giardini . *Zeitschrift für Personnal for schung* 2008. 22 (4) p. .
- 595 [Shields ()] 'Reviewing and developing employee performance'. J & Shields . *Managing Employee Performance*
596 *and Reward: Concepts, Practices, Strategies*, 2015.
- 597 [Houtzagers and Janssen ()] 'Shifting from HR outsourcing to business process sourcing'. G Houtzagers , S
598 Janssen . *IHRIM Journal* 2008. 7 (1) p. .
- 599 [Hirshman et al. ()] 'Strategic direction'. B Hirshman , R Cords , B Hunter . *Airline Business* 2005. (10) p. 21.
- 600 [Kang et al. ()] 'Strategic outsourcing practices of multi-national corporations (MNCs) in China. Strategic
601 Outsourcing'. M Kang , X Wu , P C Hong . *An International Journal* 2009. 2 (3) p. .

- 602 [Quinn and Hilmer ()] *Strategic outsourcing. Sloan Management Review*, J Quinn , F Hilmer . 1994. p. .
- 603 [Francis and Keegan ()] ‘The changing face of HRM: In search of balance’. H Francis , A Keegan . *Human*
604 *Resource Management Journal* 2006. 16 (2) p. .
- 605 [Çiçek and Özer ()] ‘THE EFFECT OF OUTSOURCING HUMAN Resource on Organizational Performance:
606 the role of oranzaitonal culture’. I Çiçek , B Özer . *International journal of business and management studies*
607 2011. 3 (2) p. .
- 608 [Lee and Sukoco ()] ‘The effects of Entrepreneurial Orientation and Knowledge Management Capability on
609 Organizational Effectiveness in Taiwan: the moderate role of Social Capital’. L T Lee , B M Sukoco .
610 *International Journal of Management* 2007. 24 (3) p. .
- 611 [Elmuti and Kathawala ()] ‘The effects of global outsourcing strategies on participants’ attitudes and organiza-
612 tional effectiveness’. D Elmuti , Y Kathawala . *International Journal of Manpower* 2000. 21 (2) p. .
- 613 [Bahli and Rivard ()] ‘The information technology outsourcing risk: A transaction cost and agency theory-based
614 perspective’. B Bahli , S Rivard . *Journal of Information Technology* 2003. 18 (3) p. .
- 615 [Windrum et al. ()] ‘The outsourcing productivity paradox: total outsourcing, organisational innovation, and
616 long run productivity growth’. P Windrum , A Reinstaller , C Bull . *Journal of evolutionary economics* 2009.
617 19 (2) .
- 618 [Gainey and Klass ()] ‘The Outsourcing of Training and Development: Factors Impacting Client Satisfaction’. T
619 Gainey , B Klass . *Journal of Management* 2003. 29 (2) p. .
- 620 [Chen and Lin ()] ‘The role of human capital cost in accounting’. H M Chen , K J Lin . *Journal of Intellectual*
621 *Capital* 2005. 5 (1) p. .
- 622 [Applebaum et al. ()] ‘The Tough Test of Downsizing’. S Applebaum , R Simpson , B Shapiro . *Organizational*
623 *Dynamics* 1987. 16 (2) p. .
- 624 [Keats ()] ‘The vertical construct validity of business economic performance measures’. B W Keats . *Journal of*
625 *Applied Behavioral Science* 1988. 24 p. .
- 626 [Kirby ()] ‘Toward a theory of high performance’. J Kirby . *Harvard Business Review* 2005. 83 p. .
- 627 [Weinberger ()] ‘Value Pricing the Outsourcing of Compensation Processes: The Indirect Return on Knowledge
628 Baseline’. T Weinberger . *Compensation Benefits Review* 2008. 40 (3) p. .
- 629 [Perry-Smith and Blum ()] ‘Work-life human resource bundles and perceived organizational performance’. J E
630 Perry-Smith , T C Blum . *Academy of Management Journal* 2000. 43 (6) p. .