

Accounting Conservatism and Cost of Debt of African Firms: Based on Ownership Structure

Abdurahman Aliyi Ibrahim¹, Man Wang² and Demis Hailegebreal Hailu³

¹ Dongbei University of Finance and Economics

Received: 11 December 2018 Accepted: 5 January 2019 Published: 15 January 2019

Abstract

This study examines the relationship between accounting conservatism and the firm's cost of debt in an African firm's settings. In further, the paper investigated the moderating effects of a firm's ownership structure in this relationship. It uses firm-level data of 224 firms in 8 African countries from OSIRIS databases from 2007 to 2018. We employed a linear regression model to estimate the relationship and the moderating effects of ownership structure. We adopted a model of Givoly and Hayn (2000) the accrualbased measure of accounting conservatism to quantify the level of conservatism reporting of the firm, and we use 1-year ahead interests paid for the total interest-bearing debt to measure the cost of the firm's debt capital. The findings indicated that the relation between accounting conservatism and cost of debt is negative as expected, and firms with high accounting conservatism in recognizing their losses than their gain enjoy a low cost of debt. The result also proves that firms with high institutional ownership shareholding percentages are more conservatism. Institutional ownership boots the relationship between accounting conservatism and the cost of debt. The results have a contribution to the positive accounting theory suggests that accounting conservatism enhances efficiency in the debt contracts process (Watts and Zimmerman 1986).

Index terms— accounting conservatism, cost of debt, ownership structure.

1 Introduction

he concept of accounting conservatism has a long history in accounting studies. Several works of literature defined conservatism from different angels. In the empirical work, Basu (1997) interprets accounting conservatism to capture accountants' tendency to require a higher degree of verification for recognizing good news than bad news in financial statements. Accounting conservatism is a tendency that accountants when encountering uncertainties in economic transactions, choose to report lower prediction for the values of assets and revenues, but higher estimates for the amounts of liabilities and expenses ??Wang, Å? Hogartaigh, et al. 2009). Watts (2003) defined accounting conservatism as the differential verifiability required for recognition of profits versus losses. Hille (2011) also construed that accounting conservatism is about an asymmetry between the verification of positive and negative income streams and profits are being reported far more prudent, while losses are overestimated. Ruch and Taylor (2015) explained it as accounting policies or tendencies that result in the downward bias of accounting net asset value relative to the economic net asset value.

Generally, speaking accounting conservatism is differential verifiability for gains and losses where a high level of verifiability to be given to gains that are good news than losses that is bad news in financial reporting. It had been concluded that conservatism could lead to a direct benefit to investors in the form of more efficient investments ??Lara, Osma, et al. 2016). Several pieces of literature made clear that accounting conservatism generates positive economics outcomes (Khan and Watts 2009), (Goex and Wagenhofer 2009), (Jayaraman and Shivakumar 2013), ??Lara, Osma et al. 2016). In their studies, Zhong and Li (2017), concluded that accounting conservatism is imperative and cannot be excluded from accounting standards.

1 INTRODUCTION

44 The demand for conservatism in financial reporting comes from different stakeholders of the firm or enterprise.
45 Lenders (Debt holder) in the debt market needs more conservatism accounting reporting in to evaluate their
46 fund and make a contract with a firm. Investors in equity market demand conservative reporting to control
47 opportunistic management and to make decisions about the firm, Similar to equity market users and debt
48 market users, accounting information is of high quality to corporate governance users if it is relevant to corporate
49 governance decisions and mitigates information asymmetry between shareholders and firm management (Ruch and
50 Taylor 2015). ??oh, Lim et al. (2017) studied whether conditional conservatism reduces information asymmetry
51 differentially for shareholders and debt holders, and they use the setting of a firm's choice between equity versus
52 debt when it seeks a significant amount of external financing to examine this research question. They found that
53 when firms raise a significant amount of external financing, the use of equity (versus debt) increases with the
54 level of conservatism and also found that the reduction in cost of equity associated with conservatism is more for
55 equity issuers than for debt issuers, but find no such difference when they examine cost of debt. Lai and Taylor
56 (2008) studied firm-level accounting conservatism in the Australian setting and concluded that conservatism is
57 positively associated with stock return volatility, investment cycle length, and prior period conservatism, and it is
58 negatively associated with firm age, firm size, and leverage. García Lara, Garcia Osma et al. ??2005) predicted
59 that conservatism also mitigates underinvestment among firms facing financing difficulties. They concluded these
60 firms likely suffer from related problems such as the risk of insolvency and low profitability. ??Chen, Hu et al.
61 2013) Investigated whether accounting conservatism solves the misalignment of interest between managers and
62 shareholders by increasing hurdle rates used by managers during project selections. They argued that accounting
63 conservatism raises managerial cautiousness in project screening. By incorporating bad news timely into earnings,
64 a conservative accounting system increases the likelihood of early termination of unsuccessful projects, increasing
65 a personal costs of the manager and thus deterring managers from investing in projects merely to enjoy private
66 benefits. They found that conservative accounting increases hurdle rates, and such increases are more pronounced
67 for firms that exhibit a higher degree of the agency problem. They also showed conservatism adds value to firms
68 when an investment is under consideration.

69 Furthermore, prior researches showed that there is a relationship between accounting conservatism and the
70 firm's cost of capital (Li 2015), Hille, 2011, ??Beatty, Weber, et al. 2008) and others. Li (2015) concluded that in
71 international setting, firms domiciled in countries with more conservative financial reporting systems have lower
72 costs of equity and debt capital. On his part, Hille (2011) found the relation between accounting conservatism
73 and the cost of debt is significant and concludes that firms that are more conservative face lower costs of debt.
74 Contrary to the above literature, ??igler, Kanodia et al. (2009), examined how accounting conservatism affects
75 eficiency debt contracting. They developed the statistical and informational properties of accounting reports
76 under varying degrees of conditional and unconditional accounting conservatism and found that accounting
77 conservatism decreases the efficiency of debt contracts. ??Lin, Wu et al. 2014)Investigated the relationship among
78 accounting conservatism, institutional investor shareholdings, and earnings manipulation by using Benford's law,
79 and results indicated that firms with more conservative financial reporting have less probability of engaging
80 in earnings-manipulative activities. They also found a negative association between earnings management and
81 institutional investor shareholdings. (Sánchez-Ballesta and García-Meca 2011) Empirically test the association
82 between conditional conservatism and the cost of equity capital. Conditional conservatism imposes stronger
83 verification requirements for the recognition of economic gains than economic losses, resulting in earnings of those
84 reflect losses faster than benefits. Using standard asset pricing tests, they also found a significant negative relation
85 between conditional conservatism and excess average stock returns. On the other, several types of research had
86 investigated the relationship between ownership structure and accounting conservatism. For instance, Cullinan,
87 Wang et al. (2012)found that conservatism is negatively associated with the percentage of shares held by the
88 largest shareholders and that this effect is particularly significant when the ownership percentage exceeds 30%
89 and they indicated that state ownership influences the relationship between largest shareholder's ownership and
90 accounting conservatism. Several kinds of research also showed that stable shareholdings are negatively related
91 to degrees of conservative accounting. Other studies like Alkurdi, Al-Nimer et al. (2017) also showed that there
92 is an inverse effect of government ownership on accounting conservatism. They also indicated that there is a
93 significant and positive relationship between foreign and institutional ownership with accounting conservatism,
94 but the concentration doesn't affect conservatism.

95 However, all of the afros mentioned studies and others conducted in countries with a well-organized and
96 efficient capital market. There is an empirical research gap on the relationship between accounting conservatism
97 and the firm's cost of capital in developing nations, particularly Africa. Furthermore, the moderating effects
98 of ownership structure on these relationships are where the previous studies overlooked. Such kind of research
99 had not been conducted on African firms, which is working in a different setting and with a diverse scenario
100 where most of the countries in the continent do not have an efficient and effective capital market. There are
101 very little researches conducted in Africa about accounting conservatism. For instance, Houcine (2013) the effect
102 of accounting conservatism on firm investment efficiency in an emerging market form the Tunisian context, and
103 they concluded that conservatism has no significant impact on firms' investment efficiency the Tunisian market.
104 Recently, Ugwunta and Ugwuanyi (2019) tried to see the association between accounting conservatism and
105 performance of consumer goods firms in the context of Nigeria as opposed to the assumed negative relation and
106 findings from their study suggest that accounting conservatism has a positive but non-significant effect on firm

107 performance. As far as our knowledge concerned, there is no empirical research has been done on the relationship
108 between conservatism accounting reports and the cost of debt capital in the context of Africa so far.

109 Thus, our main objective here is to examine the relationship between accounting conservatism and the cost of
110 debt and in further moderating effects of ownership structure. We investigate it using samples of non-financial
111 African firms from 2007 to 2018. By employing a model of Givoly and Hayn (2000) the accrual-based measure
112 of accounting conservatism we measure the level of conservatism reporting of the firm, and we use 1-year ahead
113 interests paid for the total interest-bearing debt to calculate the cost of the firm's debt capital. We found that
114 the relationship between accounting conservatism and cost of debt is negative, and firms with high accounting
115 conservatism in recognizing their losses than their gain enjoy a low cost of debt. Further, our results prove
116 that firms with high institutional ownership shareholding percentages are more conservatism. We indicated
117 that institutional ownership boots the relationship between accounting conservatism and the cost of debt. The
118 remaining parts of the study structured as; review of the literature and hypothesis development, research methods,
119 results and discussion, and conclusions.

120 2 II. The Literatures Review and Hypothesis Development a) 121 The relation between accounting conservatism and the cost 122 of debt

123 This study is based on the literature linking accounting policy choice and financing decisions of the firm.
124 There are two accounting theories, namely positive and normative accounting theories. In positive accounting
125 theory, the investigator tries to predict and explain a particular phenomenon using observation, whereas in
126 normative accounting theory, the investigator uses his/her assumption to explain the phenomenon (Deegan and
127 Unerman 2011). Positive accounting theory suggests that accounting conservatism enhances efficiency in the
128 debt contracts process (Watts and Zimmerman 1986). In their empirical work, Goh, Lim et al. (2017), argued
129 that there is an association between accounting conservatism and debt versus equity financing decision choice.
130 In more specific, Khurana and Wang (2015) proved that short-maturity debt could mitigate agency costs of
131 the debt arising from information asymmetry and suboptimal investment problems inherent in debt financing.
132 As such, debt contracting demand for accounting conservatism is expected to be lower in the presence of more
133 shortmaturity debt. ??Ahmed, Billings et al. 2002) showed that conservatism is positively associated with
134 mitigating bondholder-shareholder conflict and lower debt cost of capital.

135 Francis, LaFond, et al. (2004)concluded that there is no significant evidence to indicate that conservatism
136 affects the cost of equity capital. However, a large stream of research successively shows the effects of conservatism
137 on the cost of equity capital. ??im, Li et al. (2013)Using seasoned equity offerings (SEOs), examined the role
138 of accounting conservatism in the equity market and found that issuers with a higher degree of conservatism
139 experience fewer negative market reactions to SEO announcements. ??han, Lin, et al. (2009) analyzed that
140 unconditional conservatism is associated with a lower cost of equity capital, and conditional conservatism is
141 associated with a higher cost of equity capital. Accounting conservatism makes timely loss recognition and
142 deferred gain recognition result in the lower persistence of earnings in bad news periods relative to gain news
143 period. The good news (gains) in earnings is more persistent because the capitalized value of good news is
144 partially recognized in current earnings and partially is deferred in subsequent earnings ???odan 2012). ??ara,
145 Osma, et al. (2016) empirically test the association between conditional conservatism and the cost of equity
146 capital. Conditional conservatism imposes stronger veri fication requirements for the recognition of economic
147 gains than economic losses, resulting in earnings of those reflect losses faster than income. This asymmetric
148 reporting of gains and losses is predicted to lower firm cost of equity capital by increasing bad news reporting
149 precision, thereby reducing information uncertainty (Li 2015) pointed out that is a negative association between
150 conditional conservatism and cost of equity capital. The paper further explores the crosssectional variation of the
151 above relationships, finding that the negative association between conditional conservatism and the cost of equity
152 and debt capital is more pronounced in countries with stronger legal enforcement, suggesting a complementary
153 role between conservatism and legal institutions in capital markets. Empirical findings prove that companies with
154 higher debt costs have a lower level of conditional conservatism, and lenders prefer conservative accounting and
155 timely loss recognition because it improves debt agreement efficiency by sending a timelier signal of default risk
156 and allows them to take protective actions ???odan 2012). Zhang (2008) studied the benefits of accounting
157 conservatism for lenders and borrowers and concluded that borrowers profit from accounting conservatism
158 through lower interest rates and lenders profit through reduce downside risk. Conservatism provides timely
159 information about default risk to lenders, which results in lower debt cost of capital for borrowers. Li (2015)
160 hypothesized that there a negative relationship between condition conservatism and the cost of debt capital.
161 Li (2015) found that in international setting, countries with high conservative financial reporting systems have
162 lower costs of equity and debt capital. In further analysis, Li (201) also determined that a negative association
163 between conditional conservatism and the cost of equity and debt capital is more pronounced in countries with
164 stronger legal enforcement, suggesting a complementary role between conservatism and legal institutions in capital
165 markets.

166 As we have discussed in the previous paragraphs, accounting conservatism is one of accounting policy or
167 measurement that helps both borrowers and lenders in debt contract setting. Lenders use accounting conservatism

168 to assess the credibility the default risk of the borrower (i.e., firm). Conservatism provides timely information
169 about default risk to lenders, which results in lower debt cost of capital for borrowers.

170 For example, Li (2015) studied the benefits of accounting conservatism for lenders and borrowers and concluded
171 that borrowers profit from accounting conservatism through lower interest rates and lenders profit through reduce
172 downside risk. In other cases, similar to the shareholder-manager conflict, the agency problem also exists between
173 shareholders and creditors. Due to conflicts of interest, self-serving shareholders may expropriate creditors' value
174 through wealth transfers, such as asset substitution and overpaying dividends. Creditors protect themselves
175 through binding contracts based on a series of performance measures in periodic financial reports. In the event
176 of covenant violation, creditors could reduce their default risk by either taking over control of the firm or by
177 exercising greater oversight. In exchange, creditors are likely to require a lower return from borrowers that
178 commit to a conservative financial reporting practice. Also, many kinds of the literature showed that firms with
179 more conservatism accounting practice bear the lower cost of debt (??Ahmed, Billings, et al. 2002), (Zhang
180 2008), (Li 2015)).

181 To seriously assess the performance of their borrowers and to avoid their risks, creditors favor a conservative
182 financial reporting system that recognizes unwanted news in a timelier manner than good news and minimizes the
183 default risk. For example, by recording bad news earlier than good news, covenants based on earnings numbers
184 become more binding (e.g., ??Ahmed, Billings, et al. 2002); (Zhang 2008)). From the above discussion, it could
185 understand as firms become conservative in recording financial report the creditors or lenders require a lower
186 return from their borrower. So, the hypothesis as follows;

187 H1: There is a negative relationship between accounting conservatism and the cost of debt.

188 3 b) The Moderating effects of ownership structure

189 Ownership structure characteristic like institutional ownership, ownership concentration, shareholding controls,
190 and state ownership affects the cost of debt capital and accounting conservatism. The previous literature broadly
191 support the significant influence of the ownership structure characteristic on the practices of the information
192 disclosure by companies. For example, if there is a shareholder who owns a large percentage of the company's
193 shares, this shareholder may heavily influence the functioning of the company organization, and the company's
194 financial reporting quality. Li (2015) indicated that different ownership structure does matter for managers
195 in adopting accounting conservatism. ??hagat, Black et al. (2004) and Chen, Chung et al. ??2007)among
196 others, argue that while minority shareholders and institutional investors aiming for short-term trading gains
197 tend to require timely disclosure of bad news, large shareholders with greater access to private information might
198 discourage such disclosure owing to their longer investment horizons.

199 So, these show that the ownership structure of the company affects accounting conservatism of the company.

200 On the other hand, ownership also affects the cost of debt capital. For example, Sánchez-Ballesta and García-
201 Meca (2011) noted that ownership structure might promote effective decision making and reduce information
202 asymmetry and moral hazard, thus lowering the firm's cost of debt, mainly by increasing the external monitoring
203 of management and by increasing the incentive alignment between management and shareholders. The empirical
204 results of Lin, Wu et al. (2014) indicated that compared with companies demonstrating the lowest accounting
205 conservatism and high institutional ownership ratios, companies with the lowest accounting conservatism and a
206 low institutional ownership ratio possess enhanced motivation to manage their earnings.

207 Lafond and Roychowdhury (2008) investigated the association between managerial ownership and conservatism
208 found that firms with lower ownership report more conservative earnings. Their results are consistent with equity
209 stakeholders demanding greater conservatism as a means of addressing agency problems arising from the greater
210 separation between ownership and control. Specifically, managers effectively enjoy limited liability concerning to
211 other stakeholders in the firm. As managerial ownership declines, the severity of the agency problem increases,
212 increasing the demand for conservatism (Lafond and Roychowdhury 2008). They also provide evidence on a
213 source of demand for conservatism from the firm's shareholders.

214 García-Meca and Sánchez-Ballesta (2009) also noted that directors who own shares tend to aligned with
215 external shareholders, that firms with government ownership enjoy a lower cost of debt and that banks effectively
216 monitor management, so reducing the agency costs of debt.

217 Based on the arguments above, we argue that ownership structure and its characteristics may have a moderator
218 effect on the relationship between accounting conservatism and the cost of debt capital. Accordingly, the second
219 hypothesis is as follows; H2: The relationship between accounting conservatism and the cost of debt moderated
220 by a firm's ownership structure.

221 4 c) Research framework

222 To analysis, the relationship between accounting conservatism and cost of debt capital as moderated by the firm's
223 ownership structure three main proxy variables identified, and analyzed. The first one is accounting conservatism
224 (ACCON), the firm's cost of debt (CD), and institutional ownership as a proxy for a firm's ownership structure.
225 The study also includes some controlling variables; firms return on asset, convergence ratio, leverage ratio,
226 tangibility ratio, and firm's size. Based on the hypothesis the following conceptual research framework has been
227 designed;

228 5 Methodology a) Data and sample

229 To investigate the association between accounting conservatism and the cost of debt capital and examine the
230 moderating effects of institutional ownership in these relations, we use OSIRIS financial database as sources
231 of data. All Financial statements, ownership structures, and stock data of the firms extracted from these data
232 sources. The study population is African non-financial publicly listed companies on the OSIRIS database. African
233 Firms registered on the OSIRIS database about 1300, including financial institution firms. The sample for the
234 current study determined after excluding financial institution firms and firms with missing data. We exclude them
235 due to that the financial reporting environment for financial institutions differs from that for other companies
236 and to avoid some complexity. Firms that don't have a fiscal year report of 2018 have been excluded from the
237 sample, and firms with missing value also excluded. Accordingly, 224 firms extracted for the analysis purpose.
238 The study covers the period of 2007-2018. We chose this period due to that most African companies listed on
239 the OSIRIS database start adopting IFRS from 2007.

240 6 b) Variable specifications and measurement i. Measurement 241 for accounting conservatism

242 Prior literature suggests several empirical measures that can gauge the degree of accounting conservatism. Basu
243 (1997) which is based on asymmetric the timeliness of earnings to good news and the incremental timeliness
244 of earnings to loss news, Givoly and Hayn (2000) model a measurement based on the sign and magnitude of
245 accruals overtime, and firm-year proxy for conditional conservatism model developed by (Khan and Watts 2009),
246 and others.

247 Considering the scenario of African firms and the data on hand, a model of Givoly and Hayn (2000), the
248 accrual-based measure of accounting conservatism employed to measure the level of conservatism reporting of
249 the firm. They state that the sum of cash flows in the total lifetime of a company should be equal to the sum
250 of net income in the entire lifetime of the company. The existence of a negative difference between this years is
251 expected to be followed by a positive difference in the following year. If the accruals persistently remain negative
252 in contrast to the expected pattern of accrual reversal it is a signal of conservative accounting, and it suggests
253 that the mean of the firm's accrual over a long time is a proxy for accounting conservatism.

254 Accordingly, we use the method of Givoly and Hayn (2000) to measure the firm's accounting conservatism
255 level. The Firms with a high value of accounting conservatism considered as conservative firms in reporting their
256 losses than their gains. All the information used to determine the firm's conservatism level is obtained from the
257 financial statement of the company. Operating income, depreciation, and others obtained from income statement,
258 whereas accrual cash flows extracted from the company's cash flow statement. After calculating the value for
259 accounting conservatism the result would be multiplied by mines 1 to avoid the negative value of the results.

260 7 ii. Measurement for the cost of debt

261 There are different measurements for firms' cost debt. For example, Li (2015), ??odan (2012), (Zhang 2008),
262 Beatty, Weber, et al. (??008) had used the 1-year ahead average interest rate a firm pays for its debt outstanding
263 or interest-bearing. For example, Beatty, Weber et al. (??008) examine a sample of private lending contracts and
264 find that higher conditional conservatism is associated with a lower probability of having an income escalator in
265 debt contracts after controlling for the interest rate. Also, Gigler, Kanodia et al. ??2009) argue that the optimal
266 debt contract simultaneously determined by the specification of a covenant and an interest rate negotiated ex-ante
267 between the lenders and borrowers. However, the possibility that creditors may adjust other contracting terms
268 rather than lowering the

269 8 Accounting conservatism

270 Cost of debt Ownership structure (Institutional ownership) interest rate for conservative borrowers is working
271 against finding a negative association between the interest rate and conditional conservatism.

272 In line with these literature and considering the nature of data on hand 1-year ahead interests paid for the total
273 interest-bearing debt were used to measure the cost of the firm's debt capital. This measurement computed from
274 the financial statements of the companies. Total interest paid by a firm obtained from the income statement of
275 the company, and the total interest-bearing of the company is extracted from the balance sheet of the company.

276 9 iii. Measurement for control variables

277 Based on various previous literature and considering the nature of data on hand, control variables such as return
278 on asset, firm size, leverage, convergence ratio, tangibility ratio and some dummy variables have been included
279 in the model. Earlier research is proved that a higher return on assets leads to a better rating (Kaplan and
280 Urwitz 1979). We measure return on an asset as operating income divided by total assets. The cost of debt
281 is expected to be lower because when a firm has a better profit, it is more able to meets its obligations. The
282 control variable for equity risk is leverage. Relatively more debt leads to higher leverage and more risk for the
283 firm. The debt holders want compensation for the higher risk, and thus, the cost of debt will increase. So, a
284 higher leverage rate leads to a higher value of interest expenses on debt (CD). So, we measure leverage as the

total debt divided by total assets. Size is a control variable for the size of a firm and of course, calculated as the natural log of the firm's total asset. Convergence ratio measured as operating income after depreciation divided by interest expenses. Tangibility ration determine the net property, plant, and equipment divided by total assets, as additional controls for default risk.

Also, we include country and company as dummy variables to controls their effects on the model. Generally, the following table shows the lists of variables and their measurements.

10 Table1: Lists of variables and their measurement

11 Variables Measurement

Cost of debt The 1-year ahead average interest rate a firm pays for its debt outstanding. Total interest expenses divided by total interest bearing debt

12 Accounting conservatism

Total accruals= (Net Income + Depreciation) -Cash flow from operations multi plied by -1 deflated by total asset

13 Institutional ownership proportion of common shares held by institutional investors

14 Size

The natural log of a firm's total assets at the fiscal year end. Leverage the total debt divided by total assets
Convergence ratio operating income after depreciation divided by interest expenses
Tangibility ratio net property, plant, and equipment divided by total assets, as additional controls for default risk

15 Return on asset operating income divided by total assets c) Model specification

To achieve the research objectives, we have employed a linear regression model using a formula or model of Ahmed, Billings et al. (2002) and Li (2015). Based upon the model from these two pieces of research, we investigate whether conservatism influences the cost of debt of a company or that conservatism has no significant effect on it. Ahmed, Billings et al. (2002) had used a credit rating from standard and poor (S&P) to measure the response variables. However, credit ratings for many African companies are unavailable. Due to that, we have another proxy for the cost of debt. Li (2015) used the interest expenses on the debt of a company divided by its total interest-bearing debt as a proxy for the creditworthiness of a firm. Following Li (2015), we have used the relation between interest expenses and total interest-bearing debt (CD-cost of debt capital) as a response variable (independent variable). The higher the result of this result, the higher the cost of debt is for a company. So, ACCON and CD are predictors for the cost of the debt in this formula.

So, generally, to test hypothesis H1, we employ the following a linear regression model employed.
$$CD_{t+1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ACCON + \beta_2 InOwn + \beta_3 Size + \beta_4 Lev + \beta_5 ROA + \beta_6 Cov + \beta_7 Tang + \mu_{it} \quad (1)$$

Where; CD_{t+1} is the 1-year ahead cost of debt capital, ACCON-level of accounting conservatism of the company In Own-institutional ownership, Size-firm size, Lev-leverage ratio, ROA-return on an asset, Cov-the interest coverage ratio, and tangibility -the tangible ratio. In the model, two dummy variables used to control Year effects, and country effects of the firms used as dummy variables.

For H2 only the interaction variable ($\beta_3 ACCON * In Own$) has been included in the previous formula to measure the moderating effects of institutional Where; CD_{t+1} is the 1-year ahead cost of debt capital, In Own-institutional ownership, ROA-return on an asset, Size-firm size, Lev-leverage ratio, Cov-the interest coverage ratio, and tangibility -the tangible ratio. In the model, two dummy variables used to control their effects. Year effects and country effects of the firms have been taken as dummy variables.

IV.

16 Empirical Results and Discussion

a) Statistical descriptive Table 2shows the summary statistical descriptive of the variables included in this study by their mean, maximum-minimum, and standard deviation value of the variables. The average mean value of accounting conservatism (ACCON) is -0.04028 with 0.1369908 standard deviation value. Its maximum value is 222.03. The negative sign of the value indicates that, on average most companies are conservative in recognizing bad (loss) news than good(gain) news. The result also reveals that in the increase in the level of accounting conservatism and the existence of accounting conservatism in the financial reporting of African Firms. The result is more consistent with the finding of (Givoly and Hayn 2000), Alkurdi, Al-Nimer, et al. 2017). They confirm that the negative ratio refers to the increase in the level of accounting conservatism and the implementation of more conservative accounting standards. The average mean value of the cost of debt is 1.131326, which indicates the companies experiencing a low level of cost of debt with more conservative reporting. The average mean

338 institutional ownership is 0.038231 with a maximum value of 1.604069 less number of observations because of
339 missing data. It also implies accounting conservatism driven by different ownership structures.

340 **17 Correlation and variance inflation matrix**

341 Before making a regression analysis, regression diagnosis was conducted to test multi colinearity and tolerance
342 of variance among the variables. Pearson's correlation method and variance inflation (Vif) employed to examine
343 the multi co-linearity and noise of the model. Table 3 presents both correlation, and the result showed that
344 there no multi co-linearity problem among the independent variables. The maximum multi co-linearity exists
345 between tangibility and accounting conservatism with the coefficient value of -0.3204, which still tolerable. Evans
346 (1996)suggested that the absolute value of correlation (r) of more than 0.6 has a strong correlation. Since, our
347 collinearity is less than this threshold, there is weak correlation between the variables used in the current study.

348 Table 3 also shows the variance inflation factor analysis of all variables in the model. Since multi colinearity
349 inflates the variance parameter estimates in the model, and this may lead to a lack of statistical significance
350 of individual explanatory variables. So, to test this problem, the correlation between the variables, variance
351 inflation factor analysis has been used. In table 3, the mean value of variance inflation factor of all variables is
352 1.12, with the maximum amount 1.23 has existed for accounting conservatism is the highest in the model, which
353 still acceptable. Literature suggested that 7% of VIF is tolerable for the variables in the model. For instance,
354 (Kaplan and Urwitz 1979)standards analysis of correlation coefficient size suggested that 7% of VIF moderate for
355 multi co-linearity. Generally, the outcome reveals that there is no multi co-linearity problem between the variables
356 both in Pearson's correlation method and variance inflation. So, the current model is appropriate to investigate
357 the moderating effect of ownership structure (institutional ownership) in the relationship between accounting
358 conservatism and the firm's cost of debt. CD-determined total interest expenses divided by total interest-bearing
359 debt, ACCON=accrual method followed, net income before interest expenses depreciation-, InOwn=the percentage
360 of total shares held by institutions divided by total equity, Lev=the total debt divided by total assets, Tang=net
361 property, plant, and equipment divided by total assets, as additional controls for default risk, Size= natural log
362 of total asset, interact=it is an interaction value where acc*inown, ROA=total equity divided by total assets,
363 Cov=operating income after depreciation divided by interest expenses.

364 **18 Global Journal of**

365 **19 Heterogeneity test**

366 Heteroskedasticity does make the coefficient estimates less precise, lower precision increases the likelihood that
367 the coefficient estimates are far from the correct population value. It does not create bias in coefficient estimates.

368 In finance-related data, heteroskdasticity often exist because of unconditional price volatility, especially in stock
369 data. In this study also there are some stock data used in the model so, heteroskedasticity problem does exist
370 in the model. To solve this problem, robust regression analysis applied. The heterogeneity test conducted based
371 on the results showed that there is heteroskedasticity in the model.

372 **20 i. Regression results of Accounting conservatism and cost of** 373 **debt capital**

374 Table 5 provides the results of regression results for H1 using the accrual method of Givoly and Hayn (2000). The
375 research hypothesizes of this study expects that there is an inverse relationship between accounting conservatism
376 and the cost of debt, and there would be a moderating effect of ownership structure in this relationship. It
377 expected that companies with a high level of accounting conservatism practice experience a low cost of debt and
378 expected that institutional ownership in the companies' equity would enhance this relationship.

379 Accordingly, table ?? showed that there is a negative relationship between accounting conservatism and the
380 firm's cost of debt capital. The result indicated that the level of the firms' accounting conservatism has statistically
381 significant effects on the firms' cost of debt capital at (0.086) p-values a 10% significance level. The result implies
382 that firms with more conservative in recognizing losses and give conscious about loss recognition or give timely
383 recording would have less cost of debt. Because, the creditor or debt holder, offers low-interest-rate for firms with
384 more conservative in recognizing losses than gains. This result is consistent with the findings of ??odan (2012),
385 who proved that that company with higher debt cost has a lower level of conditional conservatism, as it expected
386 in the hypothesis. Namely, conservatism causes more timely recognition of losses than gains, which improves the
387 quality of accounting information in the context of corporate governance and loan agreements. So, debt holders
388 are likely to reward borrowers with more conservative accounting by reducing the interest rates (debt costs), and
389 vice versa. Therefore, coefficients on changes in net income are expected to be substantially different for high
390 debt cost companies than for the whole sample.

391 Generally, the results from Table 5 suggest; First, the existence of accounting conservative practice among
392 African firms. Second, the association between accounting conservatism and the cost of debt capital is negative as
393 expected. The result proves the hypothesis that there is a negative relationship between accounting conservatism
394 and the cost of debt capital. The coefficient showed us that as 1% increases in the value of the, firms' accounting
395 practicing level firms cost of debt capital decrease (-3.59%). The result disclose as firms become more conservative

21 II. MODERATING EFFECT OF OWNERSHIP STRUCTURE IN ACCOUNTING CONSERVATISM AND COST OF DEBT

396 in recognizing their losses than their gain, they enjoy a low level of cost of debt capital. Table 5 also shows the
397 other controlling variables' significance level. Except for tangibility and leverage ratio, no variables appeared
398 significant at 10%, 5%, and 1% confidence level, and it indicates that the majority of the controlling variables
399 would not explain the dependent variable.

400 To sum up, the study results supported hypothesis H1. It indicates that as firms become conservative in
401 recording their losses than their gain. The result implies as firms become more conservative in recognizing
402 their losses than their gain, they enjoy a low level of cost of debt. Thus, the negative changes in accounting
403 conservatism reduce the cost of borrowing debt as a result of timely recognizing losses than gains. The current
404 study proves that the majority of African firms are conservative in recording their losses than gains, which
405 enables them to enjoy a low level of cost of debt. R-Square of the model explaining how the variables in the
406 model fit to explain the dependent variables. Accordingly, Table 5 shows that R-square is 0.0085, which means
407 the variables in the model explain the dependent variable is 8%. All results summarized in the following table 5.
408 CD-determined total interest expenses divided by total interest-bearing debt, ACCON-accrual method followed,
409 net income before interest expenses depreciation-, InOwn=the percentage of total shares held by institutions
410 divided by total equity, Lev= the total debt divided by total assets, Tang= net property, plant, and equipment
411 divided by total assets, as additional controls for default risk, Size= natural log of total asset, interact=it is
412 an interaction value where acc*inown, ROA=total equity divided by total assets, Cov= operating income after
413 depreciation divided by interest expenses.

414 21 ii. Moderating effect of ownership structure in accounting 415 conservatism and cost of debt

416 The other main hypothesis of this study are that ownership structure, particularly institutional ownership, has
417 moderating impacts on the relationship between accounting conservatism and firms' cost of debt. Accordingly,
418 the results in table 6 show that institutional ownership in the company has statistically significant at 10% percent
419 to boost the relationship between accounting conservatism and the cost of debt in African firms, and it indicates
420 that the relationship between firms' conservatism reporting level and their cost of debt capital determined by the
421 firm's ownership structure, specifically institutional ownership. On average, as institutional ownership increases
422 by 2.53 percent, the level of accounting conservatism increases by 3.59 percent, which leads to the decrease of
423 firms' cost of debt capital. So, the institutional ownership has moderating effects on the relationship between
424 the independent variable (firm's accounting conservatism level) and the dependent variable (firm's cost of debt
425 capital) implies decreases. The value of interaction variables is not statistically significant, but it has boosting
426 or enhancing effects on the relationship.

427 Thus, it shows the existing relationship between accounting conservatism and the firm's cost of debt capital
428 moderated by an institutional investor. Institutional investors need more conservative firms to invest in the
429 company. For conservative firms, institutional investors offer low-interest rates for the amount borrowed by
430 the firms. As a result, firms with high conservative accounting practices would enjoy a low-interest rate that
431 means low cost of debt and it implies ownership structure of the company, particularly institutional ownership
432 has a significant impact on the firms accounting conservatism level. So, the interaction results of the model
433 have an effect on determining or enhancing the relationship of accounting conservatism (Independent variables)
434 and the cost of debt capital (dependent). That means it increases the negative relation between accounting
435 conservatism (Independent variables) and the cost of debt capital (dependent) and their association boosted
436 by the interaction variables effects. Table 6 demonstrates that the coefficient between accounting conservatism
437 (Independent variables) and the cost of debt capital (dependent) increased from (-2.50359 table 5) to (-3.97119
438 table 6), which indicates the relationship between the Independent variable and dependent variables becomes
439 stronger because of the moderating effects of institutional ownership. In this second model, the accounting
440 conservatism (ACCON) is statistically significant at 10% with a p-value of (0.019), which also indicates that the
441 power of explaining the dependent variable boosted by the moderating effects of institutional ownership.

442 Most controlling variables is not statistically significant except tangibility ratio. The Tangibility ratio is
443 significant at a 1% confidence interval, and it implies that the tangibility ratio can explain the dependent variables.
444 This finding shows that variables such as return on asset, convergence ratio, firm size, and leverage ratio have not
445 a significant impact on the dependent variables. However, it happened because of the interaction variables. Table
446 6 also shows the interaction variable is significant at a 5% confidence interval. It implies that the interaction
447 variable increases the relation between accounting conservatism and the cost of debt capital.

448 R-Square of the model explaining how the variables in the model fit to explain the dependent variables. After
449 including moderating effects of institutional ownership in the model, R-Square increases from 0.0085 8% to
450 0.010010% to describe the model, and it indicates that institutional ownership has an enhancing effect on the
451 model.

452 Generally, the findings indicated that institutional ownership has moderating effects on the relationship
453 between accounting conservatism and the cost of debt capital. The results proved H2 that is the relationship
454 between accounting, and conservatism and cost debt capital moderated by ownership structure. CD-determined
455 total interest expenses divided by total interest-bearing debt, ACCON-accrual method followed, net income
456 before interest expenses depreciation-, InOwn=the percentage of total shares held by institutions divided by

457 total equity, Lev= the total debt divided by total assets, Tang= net property, plant, and equipment divided by
458 total assets, as additional controls for default risk, Size= natural log of total asset, interact=it is an interaction
459 value where acc*inown, ROA=total equity divided by total assets, Cov= operating income after depreciation
460 divided by interest expenses.

461 V.

462 22 Conclusion and Implications

463 This paper examines the relationship between accounting conservatism and the cost of debt and the moderating
464 effects of ownership structure particularly, institution ownership on this relationship. Findings emerge from this
465 investigation are; One, it proves that the existence of accounting conservative practice among African firms. The
466 association between accounting conservatism and the cost of capital is statistically significant. It implies that
467 the majority of African companies conservatively recognize their bad news to achieve an efficient debt contract.
468 That is, firms with a high levels of accounting conservatism practice bear low level of cost of debt. Two, the
469 association between accounting conservatism and the cost of debt capital is negative as expected, and it infers
470 as firms become more conservative in recognizing their losses than their gain, they enjoy a low level of cost of
471 debt. The result consistent with the finding of literature; Ahmed and Duellman (2007), ??Gigler, Kanodia, et
472 al. 2009), (Li 2015), ??Goh, Lim, et al. 2017). Thus, the negative changes in accounting conservatism reduce
473 the cost of borrowing debt as a result of timely recognizing losses than gains.

474 Three, the firm's ownership structure moderates the relationship between accounting conservatism and the
475 cost of debt capital. Specifically, institutional ownership has an enhancing or boosting effect on the relationship
476 between accounting and the firm's cost of debt capital. It indicates firms with higher institutional ownership
477 shareholding are more conservatism than with the lower institutional ownership shareholding. Firms with high
478 institutional ownership structure enjoy a low cost of debt capital as institutional investors want to invest in more
479 conservative firms.

480 The study is noble by showing that the moderating effects of institutional ownership in increasing the level
481 of a firm's accounting conservatism, and also the first in its kind using African data. The currents study proves
482 that the majority of African firms are conservative in recognizing their losses than gains, which enables them to
483 enjoy a low level of cost of debt. These findings contributed to the literature by showing up that conservative
484 reporting practice is existing and practicing among African firms. Furthermore, and perhaps more importantly,
485 the study reveals that the relationship between accounting conservatism and the cost of debt capital moderated
486 by ownership structure.

487 Generally, it is good to recommend that accounting standard-setter should emphasis that accounting
488 conservatism principles are exiting among African firms. African firms should be conservatism in reporting
489 their financial statements to enjoy the low cost of debt capital from an institutional investor. The findings of
490 this paper could not be generalized for the whole African firms as it only focused very few countries, and also
491 the study did not address all the country-level variables, it focuses only on firm-level. So, level of accounting
conservatism could vary from firm to firm and from country to country. ¹

ownership. Accordingly, the following linear regression
model used;

Year 2019

16

Volume XIX Issue VII Version I

() C

Global Journal of Management and Business Research

© 2019 Global Journals 1

[Note: CD]

Figure 1:

492

2

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
CD	2,006	1.131326	7.362964	-0.14	222.03
ACCON	2,441	-0.04028	0.136991	-1.60407	1.705186
Size	2,437	6.807228	0.79832	2.053078	9.020443
InOwn	2,441	0.038231	0.130818	-1.70519	1.604069
ROA	2,437	0.096951	0.565233	-27.17	0.92
Cov	2,301	178.5806	5017.262	-116861	142162
Lev	2,437	0.545866	0.321623	0.006377	12.61947
Tang	2,437	0.334293	0.228791	0	0.937535

Figure 2: Table 2 :

3

Year 2019
 Volume XIX Issue VII Version I
 ()
 Management and Business Research

[Note: C]

Figure 3: Table 3 :

5

CD	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P>t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
ACCON	-2.50359	1.459643	-1.72	0.086	-5.36623	0.359054
Size	0.173962	0.227397	0.77	0.444	-0.27201	0.61993
InOwn	1.963	1.368157	1.43	0.152	-0.72022	4.646219
ROA	1.562319	1.580556	0.99	0.323	-1.53746	4.662093
Cov	-0.00049	0.000919	-0.54	0.593	-0.00229	0.001311
Lev	-0.09774	0.935248	-0.1	0.017	-1.93194	1.736463
Tang	-2.96282	0.793023	-3.74	0.000	-4.51809	-1.40755
_cons	0.717198	1.610162	0.45	0.656	-2.44064	3.875035
R-squared	0.3185					
Adj R-squared	0.0049					

Figure 4: Table 5 :

6

	Coef.	Std. Err.	T	P>t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
ACCON	-3.97119	1.690454	-2.35	0.019	-7.28649	-0.65588
Size	0.177144	0.227289	0.78	0.436	-0.26861	0.622902
InOwn	2.745041	1.44119	1.9	0.057	-0.08141	5.571492
ROA	1.628332	1.580225	1.03	0.303	-1.47079	4.727458
Cov	-0.00051	0.000919	-0.56	0.076	-0.00232	0.001288
Lev	-0.21131	0.937109	-0.23	0.012	-2.04916	1.62654
Tang	-3.08571	0.795842	-3.88	0.000	-4.6465	-1.52491
interact	18.16258	10.56821	1.72	0.086	-2.5637	38.88887

Figure 5: Table 6 :

- 493 [Wang (2009)] 'A signaling theory of accounting conservatism'. R Z Wang . *Tony, A Signaling Theory of*
494 *Accounting Conservatism*, 2009. June 6. 2009.
- 495 [Ahmed and Duellman ()] 'Accounting conservatism and board of director characteristics: An empirical analysis'.
496 A S Ahmed , S Duellman . *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 2007. 43 (2-3) p. .
- 497 [Chen ()] *Accounting Conservatism and Corporate Investment Decisions: Evidence from a Structural Assessment*,
498 C Chen . 2013. (Working Paper)
- 499 [Lara ()] 'Accounting conservatism and firm investment efficiency'. J M G Lara . *Journal of Accounting and*
500 *Economics* 2016. 61 (1) p. .
- 501 [Alkurdi ()] 'Accounting conservatism and ownership structure effect: evidence from industrial and financial
502 Jordanian listed companies'. A Alkurdi . *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues* 2017. 7 (2)
503 p. .
- 504 [Ugwunta and Ugwuanyi ()] 'Accounting Conservatism and Performance of Nigerian Consumer Goods Firms':
505 An Examination of the Role of Accruals'. D O Ugwunta , B U Ugwuanyi . *International Journal of Financial*
506 *2019. 10 (1) p. .*
- 507 [Li ()] 'Accounting conservatism and the cost of capital: An international analysis'. X Li . *Journal of Business*
508 *Finance & Accounting* 2015. 42 (5-6) p. .
- 509 [Chan ()] 'Accounting conservatism and the cost of equity capital: UK evidence'. A L Chan , -C . *Managerial*
510 *Finance* 2009. 35 (4) p. .
- 511 [Gigler ()] 'Accounting conservatism and the efficiency of debt contracts'. F Gigler . *Journal of accounting research*
512 *2009. 47 (3) p. .*
- 513 [Zhong and Li ()] 'Accounting conservatism: A literature review'. Y Zhong , W Li . *Australian Accounting Review*
514 *2017. 27 (2) p. .*
- 515 [Ruch and Taylor ()] 'Accounting conservatism: A review of the literature'. G W Ruch , G Taylor . *Journal of*
516 *Accounting Literature* 2015. 34 p. .
- 517 [Jayaraman and Shivakumar ()] 'Agencybased demand for conservatism: evidence from state adoption of
518 antitakeover laws'. S Jayaraman , L Shivakumar . *Review of Accounting* 2013. 18 (1) p. .
- 519 [Evans ()] 'An analysis of criterion variable reliability in conjoint analysis'. R H Evans . *Perceptual and motor*
520 *skills* 1996. 82 (3) p. .
- 521 [Goh ()] 'Conditional conservatism and debt versus equity financing'. B W Goh . *Contemporary Accounting*
522 *Research* 2017. 34 (1) p. .
- 523 [?odan ()] 'Conditional conservatism and the cost of debt: Evidence from central and eastern Europe'. S ?odan
524 . *Croatian Operational Research* 2012. 3 (1) p. .
- 525 [Beatty ()] 'Conservatism and debt'. A Beatty . *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 2008. 45 (2-3) p. .
- 526 [Watts ()] 'Conservatism in accounting part I: Explanations and implications'. R L Watts . *Accounting* 2003. 17
527 (3) p. .
- 528 [García-Meca and Sánchez-Ballesta ()] 'Corporate governance and earnings management: A meta-analysis'. E
529 García-Meca , J P Sánchez-Ballesta . *Corporate governance: an international review* 2009. 17 (5) p. .
- 530 [Chen ()] 'Corporate governance and equity liquidity: Analysis of S & P transparency and disclosure rankings'.
531 W P Chen . *Corporate governance: an international review*, 2007. 15 p. .
- 532 [Francis ()] 'Costs of equity and earnings attributes'. J Francis . *The accounting review* 2004. 79 (4) p. .
- 533 [Khurana and Wang ()] 'Debt maturity structure and accounting conservatism'. I K Khurana , C Wang . *Journal*
534 *of Business Finance & Accounting* 2015. 42 (1-2) p. .
- 535 [Deegan and Unerman ()] C Deegan , J Unerman . *Financial accounting theory: European edition*, 2011.
536 McGraw-Hill.
- 537 [Houcine ()] 'Does accounting conservatism affect firm investment efficiency in an emerging market? Evidence
538 from Tunisian context'. A Houcine . *African Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance* 2013. 2 (3) p. .
- 539 [Lai and Taylor ()] 'Estimating and validating a firm-year-specific measure of conservatism: Australian evidence'.
540 C Lai , S L Taylor . *Accounting &* 2008. 48 (4) p. .
- 541 [Khan and Watts ()] 'Estimation and empirical properties of a firm-year measure of accounting conservatism'.
542 M Khan , R L Watts . *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 2009. 48 (2-3) p. .
- 543 [Lafond and Roychowdhury ()] 'Managerial ownership and accounting conservatism'. R Lafond , S Roychowdhury
544 . *Journal of accounting research* 2008. 46 (1) p. .
- 545 [Goex and Wagenhofer ()] 'Optimal impairment rules'. R F Goex , A Wagenhofer . *Journal of Accounting and*
546 *Economics* 2009. 48 (1) p. .

22 CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS

- 547 [Cullinan ()] 'Ownership structure and accounting conservatism in China'. C P Cullinan . *Journal of International*
548 *Accounting, Auditing and Taxation* 2012. 21 (1) p. .
- 549 [Sánchez-Ballesta and García-Meca ()] 'Ownership structure and the cost of debt'. J P Sánchez-Ballesta , E
550 García-Meca . *European Accounting* 2011. 20 (2) p. .
- 551 [Watts and Zimmerman ()] *Positive accounting theory*, R L Watts , J L Zimmerman . 1986.
- 552 [Bhagat ()] 'Relational investing and firm performance'. S Bhagat . *journal of Financial research* 2004. 27 (1) p.
553 .
- 554 [Kaplan and Urwitz ()] 'Statistical models of bond ratings: A methodological inquiry'. R S Kaplan , G Urwitz .
555 *Journal of business* 1979. p. .
- 556 [Givoly and Hayn ()] 'The changing timeseries properties of earnings, cash flows and accruals: Has financial
557 reporting become more conservative?'. D Givoly , C Hayn . *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 2000. 29
558 (3) p. .
- 559 [Basu ()] 'The conservatism principle and the asymmetric timeliness of earnings 1'. S Basu . *Journal of Accounting*
560 *and Economics* 1997. 24 (1) p. .
- 561 [Zhang ()] 'The Contracting Benefits of Accounting Conservatism to Lenders and Borrowers'. J Zhang . *Journal*
562 *of Accounting and Economics* 2008. 45 p. .
- 563 [Lara ()] 'The effect of earnings management on the asymmetric timeliness of earnings'. García Lara , JM .
564 *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting* 2005. 32 (3-4) p. .
- 565 [Lin ()] 'The relations among accounting conservatism, institutional investors and earnings manipulation'. F Lin
566 . *Economic Modelling* 2014. 37 p. .
- 567 [Ahmed ()] 'The role of accounting conservatism in mitigating bondholder-shareholder conflicts over dividend
568 policy and in reducing debt costs'. A S Ahmed . *The accounting review* 2002. 77 (4) p. .
- 569 [Kim ()] 'The role of accounting conservatism in the equity market: Evidence from seasoned equity offerings'. Y
570 Kim . *The accounting review* 2013. 88 (4) p. .