

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS RESEARCH: F REAL ESTATE, EVENT AND TOURISM MANAGEMENT Volume 18 Issue 2 Version 1.0 Year 2018 Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal Publisher: Global Journals Online ISSN: 2249-4588 & Print ISSN: 0975-5853

# Determination of Road user Charges in South East Nigeria: An Empirical Survey

By Dike, D. N., Ogwude, I. C, Ibe, C. C., Ejem, E. A. & Olikagu, C. A

Federal University of Technology

Abstract- The study was set out to determine road user charges (per/km). It carried out survey on different vehicle classifications (category) according to their average weights and converted them to an equivalent standard axle load (ESAL). This was utilized to determine the charges payable, the annual average daily traffic (AADT) and the cost component of a federal highway in the South-Eastern Nigeria on a yearly basis. The various needed data is collected especially the AADT. The charge per ESAL was obtained by dividing the annual roadway costs by aggregating the total number of the ESAL-Km it incurs on the 80.5km Onitsha-Owerri highway in a year. Furthermore, charge per vehicle was obtained by multiplying the individual ESALs by the charge per ESAL-Km. The study results show that road user charges (RUC) are directly proportional to the equivalent standard axle load (ESAL). This means that higher charges are paid by road users that cause more unit wear to the road. Hence the results: Tricycles and light passenger vehicle charges are negligible, Minibuses to pay ₦0.98/km, Trucks and Buses to pay ₦11.6/km, vehicles with multi-axles to pay ₦17/km, and Heavy Construction Machinery and Earth Moving Equipment to pay ₦41.59/km to recover the ₦517 million computed as the annual roadway cost.

Keywords: road, user, charge, costing, highway and axle load.

GJMBR-F Classification: JEL Code: L91



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



© 2018. Dike, D. N., Ogwude, I. C, Ibe, C. C., Ejem, E. A. & Olikagu, C. A. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# Determination of Road user Charges in South East Nigeria: An Empirical Survey

Dike, D. N. <sup>a</sup>, Ogwude, I. C <sup>o</sup>, Ibe, C. C. <sup>p</sup>, Ejem, E. A. <sup>a</sup> & Olikagu, C. A. <sup>¥</sup>

Abstract- The study was set out to determine road user charges (per/km). It carried out survey on different vehicle classifications (category) according to their average weights and converted them to an equivalent standard axle load (ESAL). This was utilized to determine the charges payable, the annual average daily traffic (AADT) and the cost component of a federal highway in the South-Eastern Nigeria on a yearly basis. The various needed data is collected especially the AADT. The charge per ESAL was obtained by dividing the annual roadway costs by aggregating the total number of the ESAL-Km it incurs on the 80.5km Onitsha-Owerri highway in a year. Furthermore, charge per vehicle was obtained by multiplying the individual ESALs by the charge per ESAL-Km. The study results show that road user charges (RUC) are directly proportional to the equivalent standard axle load (ESAL). This means that higher charges are paid by road users that cause more unit wear to the road. Hence the results: Tricycles and light passenger vehicle charges are negligible, Minibuses to pay N0.98/km, Trucks and Buses to pay №11.6/km, vehicles with multi-axles to pay №17/km, and Heavy Construction Machinery and Earth Moving Equipment to pay №41.59/km to recover the №517 million computed as the annual roadway cost. Road user charges must serve the essential function of rationing the available supply among many possible demands.

Keywords: road, user, charge, costing, highway and axle load.

#### I. BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY

ransportation is one of the tools the civilized societies need to bring order out of chaos. In Nigeria, Ogwude (2011) asserted that only in the cities of Lagos and Abuja are conventional buses in use similar to what obtains in most cities worldwide. However in both cities the use of para-transit modes of transport is clearly dominant. For this reason, he said Nigeria remains the only country in the world where densely populated cities with over 6 million people do not have an organized urban transport system based on a combination of conventional buses and rail. Ugboaja and Ukpere (2011) established that transport systems provide mobility, access and other benefits as facilitating the productivity of other sectors of the economy. According to them, this contributes to several environmental pressures, namely atmospheric pollution, traffic accidents, congestion, resources depletion, waste accumulation and disruption of nature and cities.

Urban transport pricing is currently one of the topical issues in different nations of the world. Pricing road use by time, place and distance of travel offers planners and politicians a powerful tool for reducing urban road traffic. An important goal when setting user fees is to achieve economic efficiency. The basic rule is for a price to be set equal to marginal cost. Consumers are only willing to purchase service that is at least as much as the price, so the rule says that consumers will get the service only when their benefits exceed the marginal cost of producing the service. The result is that marginal cost pricing maximizes social welfare. When the user charge is equal to marginal cost, the benefit of consuming the last unit of service equals its production cost (William and Edminton, 2000).

In 1985, about 23 percent of roads in Nigeria was in a bad state. This figure rose to 30 percent in 1991, and 50 percent in 2001 (Draft National Transport Policy, 2010). Unless roads and bridges are in good conditions, they cannot support the desired socioeconomic development of Nigeria and particularly in the South-East. This is why road pricing is important to prevent the rate of damage and to generate funds for maintenance and the provision of more facilities. User charges also have great potential for funding additional investment in public service infrastructure. Anderson (1987) demonstrated that if user charges increased the financial rate of return to public services infrastructure by 5 percent, enough revenues would be generated in sub-Saharan Africa to finance a 60 percent increase in annual investment.

Some federal highways in the South-East of Nigeria include the Owerri-Umuahia, Enugu-Onitsha, Onitsha-Owerri, Abakaliki-Enugu, Enugu-Port Harcourt, Afikpo-Okigwe, etc. but more emphasis was on the Onitsha-Owerri federal road. South-East comprises the five states of Abia, Anambra, Ebonyi, Enugu and Imo with Owerri and Enugu as its geographical and regional capitals respectively. The South-East houses two major commercial cities; Aba and Onitsha which are of great contribution to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP). The presence of these markets has inflated the generated traffic on all the federal highways inter-linking all these ever-busy markets. Onolememen (2012) stated that the 80.84 km dual carriage Onitsha-Owerri highway as at 2012 has gulp the sum of ¥19 billion in its construction cost representing 97% project completion. The loss of production hours before this time was enormous due to

Author α: Ph.D, Department of Transport Management Technology, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria. e-mail: ejemflagospel@yahoo.com

the high rise of externality factors arising from congestion, parking, and other environmental problems. The loss due to bad roads in Nigeria is valued at N80 billion yearly, while additional vehicle operating cost resulting from bad roads is estimated at N53.8 billion, bringing the total loss per annum to N133.8 billion (Central Bank of Nigeria, 2003). This excludes the manhour losses in traffic due to bad roads and other emotional and physical trauma people go through on the road and the consequent loss of productivity.

Applying the 'cost causation principle,'a direct relation between traffic activity and resulting costs has to be made. Maintenance and some share of investment costs of surface layers are allocated accordingly by the 4th power of axle weights as recommended by the American Association of State Highway and Transport Officials (AASHTO) Road Test (Franziska, 2005). Therefore, determination of road user charges in South-East Nigeria will attempt to provide the unit charge payable by any road user in other to recover reasonably all the costs borne by the government in roadway costs. The questions we must ask are; which road user is responsible for the wear on the road? What cost was incurred to that effect? And on what basis is this allocated?

This paper would help the federal government make a good evaluation on how best to recover the cost of providing roadways, while increasing the budgetary allocation of the industrial sector vis-à-vis reducing the unemployment rate in the country at large. Therefore, is this method indeed a pathway to efficiency in road pricing in Nigeria? The aim of this paper is to determine road user charges as an empirical result by using the fourth power principle method of cost allocation to recover the capital and the maintenance cost per annum.

#### II. Methodology

The survey design was adopted for this study; this was due to the variability in capital and maintenance costs, and the traffic loads in different locations of the region. Traffic load depends on the rate of flow of road users measured in traffic volumes and the axle load measured in the Equivalent Standard Axle Load (ESAL).

A survey shows that the federal highways that go through the South-East includes; the Owerri-Umuahia, Enugu-Onitsha, Onitsha-Owerri, Abakaliki-Enugu, Enugu-Port Harcourt, Afikpo-Okigwe, etc. but more emphasis will be laid on the Onitsha-Owerri federal road and hence the study's sample size. The research population included all road users (vehicle classifications/types) in the South-East based on the following classification: Tricycles, Passenger car; Jeep, Van, Wagon, etc.; Minibuses; Buses or Trucks; Multiple Axles Trucks and Heavy Construction Machinery and Earth-Moving Equipment. The paper presents the analysis of the survey on road user classification in South-Eastern Nigeria based on weight relevance. Various weights are converted to equivalent standard axle load (ESAL). It analyzed the results of the Annual Average Daily Traffic using the Average Daily Traffic (ADT) for seven-day volume counts. The Annual Vehicle Equivalent Standard Axle was computed, and the study estimated the road cost structure. The charge per different road user classification/category as calculated; hence, road user charges will then be determined.

#### III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

#### a) Road user Classification in South-East Nigeria

Road user classification by vehicle weights in the South-Eastern Nigeria roads was shown according to the general classification of vehicles. This was done to avert the problem of lack of weigh-in-motion Bridge on our roads. Ordinarily, the 'Tricycles' is good component of the road users on this road but was neglected due to its insignificant weight to the ESAL and its short-distant journey purpose. Light private vehicles (LPV) which included passenger cars, jeep and vans and its weight category is from 1000 lbs to 6000 lbs, and this is the most frequent of the vehicle classification. Mini-buses are a very clear classification of road users in south-Eastern Nigeria; this forms the inter-city transport means in the region. It weighs between 6001 lbs to 14,000 lbs and the second traffic frequency.

Buses or trucks included all vehicles manufactured as traditional passenger-carrying buses and freight-carrying trucks with two axles to three axles and six tires. This includes; school buses, tippers. It weighs between 14,001 to 22,000 lbs. Trucks of multiaxles were used to categorize all trucks within the range of 22,001 lbs to 38,000 lbs. This group included the tippers that have 12,000 front axles and 17,500 rear axles, city bus which normally weighs from 25,000 lbs to 40,000 (25 to 60 passengers). The last group is the Heavy Construction Machinery and Earth-Moving Equipment with an average weight of about 50,000 lbs. This included tankers, 1999 Mack (56,000lbs), 1999 Volvo trailer (52,000 lbs) etc. This classification is a typical developing nation's type adopted from India's practice, for many developed nations has over 600 different classifications.

Road user Classification	Weight Range (lbs)	Average Weight (lbs)
Light Private Vehicles	0-6,000	3,000
Mini-Buses	6,001-14,000	10,000
Trucks or Buses	14,001-22,000	18,000
Vehicles of Multi-axles	22,001-38,000	30,000
Heavy Construction Machinery and Earth Moving Equipment	38,001-above	50,000

Table 1: Road user Classification in South Eastern Nigeria

 b) Conversion to ESAL using the Fourth Power Principle Based on AASHO road test results, the most common approach is to convert wheel loads of various magnitudes to an equivalent number of "standard" or "equivalent" loads. The most commonly used load in Nigeria is the 18,000lbs (80kN or 8.16kips). This figure is obtainable in many parts of the world.

Using the fourth power rule of thumb:  $ESALS_i = \sum_i x_i^{exp}$ ,

A 30,000lbs road user will have an ESAL of  $\left(\frac{30,000}{18,000}\right)^4 = 7.7$ .

Table 3 shows the load equivalency factor Highways and Transport Officials (ASSHTO) to computed by the American Association of State standardize it.

Table 2: ESAL of Different Road user Classification in South Eastern Nigeria	

Road user Classification	Average Weight (lbs)	Description	ESAL
Light Private Vehicles	3,000	Single axle	0.0003
Mini-buses	10,000	Single axle	0.118
Trucks or buses	20,000	Single axle	1.4
Vehicles of Multi-axles	40,000	Tandem axle	2.06
Heavy Construction Machinery and Earth Moving Equipment	50,000	Tandem axle	5.03

Ayle Type (lbs)	Axle Load		Load Equivalency Factor (LEF)	
	(KN)	(lbs.)	Flexible	Rigid
Single Axle	8.9	2,000	0.0003	0.0002
	44.5	10,000	0.118	0.082
	62.3	14,000	0.399	0.341
	80.0	18,000	1.000	1.000
	89.0	20,000	1.4	1.57
	133.4	30,000	7.9	8.28
Tandem Axle	8.9	2,000	0.0001	0.0001
	44.5	10,000	0.011	0.013
	62.3	14,000	0.042	0.048
	80.0	18,000	0.109	0.133
	89.0	20,000	0.162	0.206
	133.4	30,000	0.703	1.14
	151.2	34,000	1.11	1.92
	177.9	40,000	2.06	3.74
	222.4	50,000	5.03	9.07

Table 3: AASHO Typical Load Equivalency Factors

Source: Aashto 1993

#### c) Computation of the Disaggregated ADT

|--|

Road user Classification	
Light Private Vehicles	900,000
Mini-buses	300,000
Trucks or buses	200,000
Vehicles of Multi-axles	100,000
Heavy Construction Machinery and Earth Moving Equipment	50,000

The data-collection periods consisted of 7 consecutive days; its average was taken and was converted into the annual ADT by multiplying each data by 365 representing the number of days in a year as

shown Table 4. Figure 1 shows the traffic of different road user category based on the hours of the day, while Table 4 shows the representation of total road users' traffic.



Figure 1: Road users by Hour of the Day



Figure 2: AADT by Road user Category

#### d) Estimation of Annual Vehicle ESAL

By adding the product of the ESALs and kilometers performed for each road user category too;

$$ESALKM = \sum_{j} (ESALS_{j} * z_{j})$$

We estimated the ESAL per road user category; therefore we multiply the ESAL per road user by the AADT.

Thus: 
$$\sum_{i} aESAL - KM = \sum_{i} (AADT * ESAL)$$

Where; j represents various road user categories.

#### e) Component of Annual Road Cost

Annual roadway cost was classified under the following cost headings; depreciation of Capital cost,

Annual maintenance, Highway Administration, Highway patrol and safety, Interest on capital, Expenditure on road pricing and other externalities.

Road Way Expenditure	Amount ( <del>N</del> )
Depreciation of Capital Investment	285,000,000
Maintenance or Operation Cost	19,100,000
Highway Administration	5,000,000
Highway Patrol and Safety	12,000,000
Interest on Capital	16,625,000
Expenditure on Road Pricing	165,000,000
Other Externalities	15,081,750
Total	517,806,750



Figure 3: Graphical Representation of Roadway Cost Structure

# f) Computation of Charge per ESAL-KM

The annual roadway costs are divided by the ESAL-km (see table 5), the charge per ESAL-km is obtained. Therefore the road user charges were finally gotten by multiplying the charge per ESAL-km by the ESAL of individual road users as shown in Table 6.

Table 6: Road User Charges in South Eastern Nigeria per Vehicle

Road User Classification	Road user Charges per Vehicle (₦)
Light Private Vehicles	0
Mini-buses	79
Trucks or buses	938
Vehicles of Multi-axles	1,380
Heavy Const. Machinery	3 360
Earth Moving Equipment	3,309

Table 7: Road User Charges in South Eastern Nigeria per Km

Road User Classification	Road user Charges (₦) per Km
Light Private Vehicles	Negligible
Mini-buses	0.98
Trucks or buses	11.6
Vehicles of Multi-axles	17.0
Heavy Const. Machinery Earth Moving Equipment	41.59

The results above are the empirical results for which this research was designed and expected to produce.

### IV. CONCLUSION

This paper aimed at ascertaining the average weight of the road user classification converted into its equivalent standard axle of 80kN (18,000lbs) as provided by the fourth power principle. An AADT was obtained using the ADT data of a seven-day counting. The annual equivalent standard axle load on the sample road of individual road users' category was calculated and further divided the estimated roadway cost to ascertain the charge per ESAL-KM. The empirical results were determined by multiplying these charges per ESAL-KM and the individual ESALS. In spite of what is often seen as disadvantages of road pricing, charging for road use through the fourth power principle has shown clearly the damaging power of the axle loads regarding the charges, and road users with higher ESAL pay higher charges than those of lesser ESAL. In fact, the current situation of most of the South-Eastern Nigeria roads has led to more vehicle operating cost than the liabilities of these user charges and therefore has guaranteed minimal externality costs.

## References Références Referencias

- 1. Anderson, Dennis (1987). The Public revenue and economic Policy in African Countries. World Bank Discussion Paper 19. Washington, DC. World Bank.
- 2. Federal Government of Nigeria (2010). Draft National Transport Policy. Abuja.
- Franziska Borer Blindenbacher (2005), Study of Methods of Road Capital Cost Estimation and Allocation by Class of user in Austria, Germany

and Switzerland, Transport Canada (www.tc.gc.ca); www.tc.gc.ca/policy/report/aca/fullcostinvestigation/road/tp14494/tp14494.htm

- 4. Ogwude I. C. (2011). Transport Infrastructure and Mobility in Nigeria. JORIND Vol. 9, ISSN 1596-8303, pp 456-467. Available online at www.transcampus. org/journals. www.ajol.info/journals/jorind on 2011 June
- Onolememen, M. (2012). 11 Road Projects Coast FG ₦498b in 1 Year. NAN-H-80. Roads Abuja, April 24, 2012. Retrieved from www.nanngronline.com
- Ugboaja P. C. and Ukpere W. I. (2011). Determining Economic Sustainability of Nigerian National Transport Policy. African Journal of Business Management Vol. 5 (8), ISSN 1993-8233 pp. 3139-3147, Available online at http://www. academicjournals.org/AJBM on 2011 March 1
- William, Fox and Kelly, Edminton (2000). User Charge Financing of Urban Public Services Africa. Georgia: Andrew young School of Policy Studies.