Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

# Evaluating the Forecasting Performance of Symmetric and Asymmetric GARCH Models across Stock Markets: Stock Market Returns and Macroeconomic Variables Market Returns and Macroeconomic Variables N.Chitra Devi<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> Doms, NIT, Trichy *Received: 11 December 2017 Accepted: 3 January 2018 Published: 15 January 2018*

#### 8 Abstract

Recently, the stock market volatility has created a surge among the researchers to focus their attention towards studying the sensitivity of stock market returns. In this study, the method 10 of OLS has been applied to study the sensitivity of stock market returns to macroeconomic 11 fundamentals. The performance of OLS (Ordinary Least Square Method) has not been BLUE 12 (Best Linear Unbiased Estimator) due to the existence of heteroskedasticity. The presence of 13 heteroskedasticity is confirmed by the ARCH LM test of Heteroskedasticity. Therefore, 14 Symmetric and Asymmetric GARCH models have been employed to investigate the 15 interaction between the stock market volatility and macroeconomic fundamentals volatility. 16 Apart from this, the forecasting performance of symmetric and asymmetric GARCH models 17 are compared and ranked based on the error measurement approaches such as Mean Squared 18 Error, Root mean squared error and Mean Absolute Percentage Error. The results of the 19 Mean Absolute Percentage Error reveals that the asymmetric E-GARCH model is the superior 20 model to other GARCH models namely TGARCH and symmetric GARCH models in 21 explaining the stock market returns in USA and in UK. Subsequently, the GARCH models 22

<sup>23</sup> outperform well in the US stock market comparing with the UK stock market.

24

25 Index terms— macroeconomic variables, stock market returns, model evaluation.

#### <sup>26</sup> 1 Introduction

ver the last two decades, a large number of researchers have turned their attention to figure out the sensitivity of asset returns to the volatility of macroeconomic fundamentals. The stock market is highly volatile and complex in nature. The volatility of stock market returns has gained significance among the researchers and become a fertile area in which application of various econometric tools on the financial time series facilitates to examine the disperse of returns over certain period. Hence, volatility measurement is the signal to know the performance

32 of a stock market.

The ordinary least square method is the superior model in predicting the stock market prices under the Gauss Markov assumptions. In the presence of heteroskedasticity, the application of Ordinary Least

Author: e-mail: chitranagarajan80@gmail.com Square method on the financial time series yields spurious regression. Consequently, a model namely ARCH (Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity) was developed by Engle (1982) to capture the volatility under the condition of heteroskedasticity. Following the model of ARCH, an extended model of ARCH was proposed by Bollerslev (1986) to capture the symmetric volatility of any financial time series data under the assumption of heteroskedasticity.

40 After the introduction of GARCH model, many researchers have focused their attention to extend the GARCH 41 models under various specifications. The GARCH models such as T-GARCH proposed by Nelson (1991) E-

42 GARCH developed by Glosten, Jagannathan, and Runkle (1993) capture the asymmetric volatility of any financial

time series. Along with the T-GARCH and E-GARCH models, many researchers have developed other GARCH family models such as IGARCH, AGARCH, GARCH-M, FIGARCH under various specifications. There is no

45 conclusion on the GARCH model that is superior to capture the volatility of a financial time series. But the 46 performance of a model differs across markets due to distinguished characteristics of each stock market and time

47 period. In general, the selection of the best model is based on the error measurement of the GARCH model.
48 In this study, we have considered USA and UK stock market returns to examine the unforeseen relationship with

the macroeconomic variables over the period from 1991 to 2014. Apart from examining the relationship between

50 stock market returns and macroeconomic variables, an attempt has been taken to analyze the performance of the

51 model by using the error measurement techniques such as Mean Squared Error, Root Mean Squared Error and

52 Mean Absolute Percentage Error. Subsequently, the results of the error measurement approaches are compared

53 and ranked to find out the superior model that explains the interrelationship between stock market returns and 54 selected key macroeconomic variables.

## 55 2 II.

#### 56 3 Literature Review

A large number of studies documented the relationship between macroeconomic variables and stock market 57 returns, but, very few studies evaluated the superior model in explaining the stock market returns. The empirical 58 identification of the macroeconomic variables affecting the stock market returns by employing ARCH/GARCH 59 models has focused mainly on developed and emerging stock markets. El-Nader and Alraimony (2012) 60 investigated the relationship between stock market returns and macroeconomic variables and documented that 61 the ARCH (1) model performs well and therefore, the extension of GARCH (1, 1) model is not necessary. 62 Alberg, Shalit, and Yosef (2008) compared the forecasting performance of several GARCH models with different 63 distributions and found that EGARCH under student-t distribution is the most promising model explaining 64 the dynamic behavior of stock market returns. Ahmed and Suliman (2011) has employed both symmetric and 65 asymmetric GARCH models and found that asymmetric GARCH models are fit than the symmetric GARCH 66 67 model. Subsequently it reveals that the stock market behavior is asymmetric and it implies that negative news 68 have more impact than the positive news.

Kirui, Wawire, and Onono (2014) evaluated the relationship using TGARCH model and observed that the 69 impact of news is asymmetric and confirmed the presence of leverage effects in Nairobi stock market. Wei-70 Chong, See-Nie, and Ung (2011) compared the GARCH models with Adhoc models on Japanese stock market 71 and documented that GJR GARCH model is superior to the simple GARCH (1, 1) model. Lim and Sek (2013) 72 have used symmetric and asymmetric models to capture the volatility of stock returns in Malaysia and found 73 that symmetric GARCH models outperform well in the pre and post crisis period, whereas, in the crisis period, 74 asymmetric GARCH models outperform well. Atoi (2014) employed first order symmetric and asymmetric 75 GARCH models under normal and student 't' distribution and found that the power GARCH (1, 1, 1) in 76 student-t distribution is the best predictive model based on the error measurement approaches of Root Mean 77 Square Error (RMSE). Al Freedi, Shamiri, and Isa (2011) examined the volatility of Saudi stock market prices 78 using Symmetric and Asymmetric GARCH models and found that the GJRGARCH model outperforms in the 79 pre-crisis period, whereas the Simple GARCH model performs better in the post crisis period. Miron and Tudor 80 81 (2010) examined the presence of leverage effects in Romanian and US daily stock market returns by employing EGARCH, PGARCH and TGARCH models and documented that the EGARCH model exhibit lower forecast 82 error comparing with the other asymmetric GARCH models. Marcucci (2005) compared different GARCH models 83 in forecasting ability of US stock market returns and found than Markov Regime Switching GARCH models 84 outperform well in forecasting ability at shorter horizon, whereas, in the longer horizon Standard asymmetric 85 GARCH models performed well. Hansen and Lunde (2005) reported that GARCH (1, 1) model is not inferior to 86 other model in terms of their ability to forecast the conditional variance. The review of comparison of GARCH 87 family models gives a notion that the performance of GARCH models differs across markets. This study is isolated 88 from the previous literature by comparing the performance of GARCH models across stock markets using error 89 measurement approaches such as Mean Squared Error, Root Mean Squared Error, and Mean Absolute Percentage 90 Error. 91

92 III.

# 93 4 Data and Methodology

94 The aim of the study is to evaluate the forecasting performance of the symmetric and asymmetric GARCH 95 models in examining the linkage between macroeconomic variables and stock market returns. ii. Industrial 96 Production Index of industrial production is a proxy used for real economic output of the economy. An increase 97 in economic activity increases the profit of companies and in turn it raises the stock prices to go up. Many 98 previous literatures have used industrial production index as a proxy for representing the economic conditions of a country. Moreover, the industrial production reveals the true picture of an industrial economic growth of 99 a country. Chen, Roll, and Ross (1986) produced evidence that current stock prices are positively influencing 100 the future level of economic activity. Therefore, increases in industrial production positively impact the stock 101 prices and decreases in industrial production make an opposite effect on stock prices. It is expected from the 102

study that there is a positive relationship exists between industrial production and Stock returns. Industrial 103 production is transformed as, DLNIP = Ln IPt -Ln IPt-1 iii. Interest Rate Investors use interest rate as the 104 barometer for earning profit or facing loss from investment in an efficient capital market. A rise or fall in interest 105 rate influence the investment decision of the investors as they consider the interest is the minimum rate of return 106 or the risk free rate of return expected from investment. An increase or decrease in interest rate obviously has a 107 negative or positive impact on stock returns as investors motivated to change the portfolio structure in favor of 108 or against the bonds. Therefore, Inverse relationship is expected between interest rate and stock market returns 109 .(El-Nader & Alraimony, 2012); Fifield, Power, and Sinclair (2002) found that there is a significant relationship 110 exists between stock market prices and interest rate, whereas, Quadir (2012) found that there is insignificant 111 relationship between stock market prices and interest rate. 3 month Treasury bill rate is used to represent interest 112 rate. The transformation of interest rate is given as, DLNIR = Ln IR t -Ln IR t-1 iv. Inflation A high inflation 113 reduces the purchasing power of each unit of currency that spent to purchase goods and services and raises the 114 disposable income and reduces the savings. Therefore, investment in stock market may considerably be reduced 115 when inflation influences the stock market returns negatively. However, there is an ongoing debate on the impact 116 of inflation on stock returns; the influence depends on various factors and time period. Hence the inflation is an 117 unpredictable factor. It has given contradictory results in the previous literature. Fama ??1981) concluded that 118 119 there is a positive relationship between inflation and stock returns but, Mukherjee and Naka (1995) reported negative relationship between stock returns and inflation. Consumer price index is considered as a proxy to 120 represent inflation rate. The inflation is transformed using the following formula. 121

# <sup>122</sup> 5 DLNIF = Ln LIF t -Ln LIF t-1 v. Money Supply (M3)

Money supply represented by M3 is the broad money supply index including money with public, demand deposit 123 of banks and demand deposit of Apex bank. The downturn of stock market price is substantially influenced 124 by the liquidity of money supply. A high liquidity of money supply strengthens the stock market price of an 125 126 economy. On the other hand, decrease in money supply tends to decreases the stock market returns. Fama (1981) documented that there is a negative relationship between inflation and stock market prices because of increasing 127 128 money supply tends to increase the discount rate and lowers the stock market prices. On contrary to the result of Fama, Mukherjee and Naka (1995) found that there is a positive relationship between money supply and stock 129 market returns as a result of increasing cash flows increases the investment in stock market. The money supply 130 has been transformed using the following formula. 131

# $_{132}$ 6 DLNMS = Ln M3 t - Ln M3 t-1 vi. Exchange Rate

Depreciation of domestic currency against foreign currencies creates a favorable pitch for the growth of an economy 133 by attracting more portfolio investment from foreign investors and augmenting exports to foreign countries. Hence 134 the capital flows from foreign countries would increase the stock returns and it creates a positive impact on stock 135 market prices. On the other hand, Appreciation of domestic currency takes away the foreign capital flows and 136 makes imports cheaper and it creates negative impact on stock market prices. Therefore the stock market prices 137 are highly sensitive to the foreign exchange rate of a country and the impact of exchange rate and stock prices has 138 conceived more importance in the literature. Nnenna (2012) found that there is a significant and positive impact 139 on Nigeria stock market volatility. On contrary to Nnenna, El-Nader and Alraimony (2012) found negative 140 relationship between real exchange rate and Amman Stock market returns. The relationship between exchange 141 rate and stock market prices produced conflicting results and the magnitude of relationship differs from country 142 to country. The exchange rate used for the study are US dollar against SDR currency and UK Pound Sterling(?) 143 against Per US dollar(\$). The transformation of exchange rate is done using the following equation. 144

# <sup>145</sup> 7 DLNER = Ln ER t -Ln ER t-1 vii. MSCI World

The integration among the countries in the decade of 1990s became a major challenge for investors to understand the domestic stock market to the external shocks arising out of global equity markets volatility. The MSCI world index is used as a proxy to represent the global equity prices. This variable is The OLS estimation is the conventional and superior model in explaining the cause and effect relationship between variables. The application of OLS is superior where the data is free from the problem of autocorrelation, non-stationary and heteroskedasticity. Therefore, as the initial attempt, OLS method is selected to examine the relationship between stock market returns and macroeconomic variables.

# <sup>153</sup> 8 ii. Arch-Lm Test of Heteroskedasticity

The Autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity Lagrange multiplier test is used to model observed time series data. In the conventional econometrics, the variance of the error terms is assumed as constant over time. Otherwise it is considered as the series is homeskedastic. If the error variance is not constant, it is called heteroskedastic. The ARCH model assume the variance of the current error term or innovation is the function of the previous time periods error terms. Such models are often called ARCH model and it was developed by Engle. 1982. It is found out by applying the following equation.

#### 14 G) MEAN ABSOLUTE PERCENTAGE ERROR (MAPE)

(2) ?? ?? ?2 Denotes the squared error at lag t. ??0 is the constant. ??? indicates the coefficient of lagged squared residuals.

# <sup>162</sup> 9 c) GARCH (1.1) Generalized Autoregressive Conditional <sup>163</sup> Heteroskedasticity

The application of ordinary least square method on the time series data where the conditional variance of the 164 error terms is not constant will produce spurious regression results. To overcome the problem of heteoskedasticity, 165 ARCH model has come into solve the problem arising out of error terms. In particular ARCH models assume 166 167 the variance of the current error term or innovation to be a function of the previous time periods error terms or innovation. In simple, the current error term is related with the square of the previous innovations. The 168 Generalized Auto Regressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity proposed by Bollerserv in 1986 captures the volatility 169 clustering and unconditional return distribution. This study adopts the standard ARCH/GARCH (1.1) model 170 using the following equations. 171

#### <sup>174</sup> 10 d) TGARCH (Threshold Generalized Autoregressive

Conditional Heteroskdasticity) One of the major weaknesses of the GARCH model is that the GARCH model 175 assumes that error terms irrespective of the sign have similar magnitude of change on the volatility of stock market 176 returns. To overcome the problem of symmetric effect, the TGARCH model was proposed by Zakoian and Runkle. 177 In particular, the bad news creates more impact on the stock market returns than the degree of variations created 178 by Good news. The TGARCH model divides the distribution of the innovations into two disjoint intervals and 179 approximate a piecewise linear function for the conditional standard deviation. The Threshold GARCH(1,1)180 model is applied with the following equations.?? ?? ??? = ?? ??? ?? + ? ?? î? ???=?? ?? ????? ?? h 2 = ?? 0181 182 183

#### <sup>189</sup> 11 i. Mean Equation

192 ii. Variance Equation

#### <sup>195</sup> 12 f) Error Measurement Approaches for Model Evaluation

The GARCH family models are evaluated using three error measurement approaches such as mean squared error (MSE) root means squared error (RMSE) and mean absolute percentage error (MAPE).
 i

### <sup>199</sup> 13 . Mean Squared Errors (MSE)

Mean square error is the important error measurement approach which is commonly used to evaluate the performance of the model and it measures the average of the squares of the errors. Based on the average of the squares of the errors of each model, the forecasting performance of the symmetric and asymmetric GARCH models are compared and ranked to find out the most appropriate model to determine that the model that avoid large errors. The mean square error is estimated by (9) is the squared value of ?? ? Where, is the actual observed value and is the forecasted value at time t.

ii. Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) RMSE is the common error measurement approaches which amplifies
and penalize the large errors to distinguish and compare the performance of the models. It is estimated as (10)
is the squared value of ?? ?? ???? Where, ?? ?? is the actual observed value and is the forecasted value at
time t.

# <sup>210</sup> 14 g) Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE)

The MAPE is estimated as is the value of ?? ?? ?

212 Where, ?? ?? is the actual observed value and is the forecasted value at time t.

213 IV.

#### <sup>214</sup> 15 Results and Interpretations

The The probability values associated with the jarque bera test, a test for normality, reveals that the stock returns 215 and macroeconomic variables are deviated from the normal distribution. Based on the Jarque bera statistics and 216 p-values, the null hypothesis of normally distributed is strongly rejected at 5% significance level. The descriptive 217 statistics indicates that the data are not normally distributed and therefore, there is no randomness in the data. 218 219 The Augmented Dickey-Fuller test is applied to examine whether data at level or the differenced are stationary or not. The results of the test gives support that all the variables in time series are not stationary in their levels 220 except money supply in USA and exchange rate in UK at five percent level of significance. Therefore, the null 221 hypothesis of non-stationary is accepted at level data. It means that there is a unit root at level data. But 222 all the individual time series become stationary in their first differences. Consequently, Null hypothesis of non 223 stationary is rejected in the first differences of the data. h = ?? 0 + ?? 1 ?? 2 1 + ?? 2 1 + ?? 1 ?? 2 1 ??224 ???1 t ??? 1 ??? ??? ? 0 ?? ???1 = { ?? ???1 < 0 }  $\odot 2018$ 225

The Asterisk<sup>\*\*\*</sup> shows the rejection of the null hypothesis of non-stationary at the 1% level of significance, \*\* indicates rejection of null hypothesis at 5% percent level of significance and \* shows the rejection of unit root at 10% level of significance. The Mackinnon (1996) critical values are used for the models with intercept and with intercept and trend of Augmented Dickey-Fuller test. The computed ADF teststatistic at first difference data is smaller than the critical values -"tau" statistics or critical values, the Null hypothesis of non-stationary is rejected.

# <sup>232</sup> 16 c) Impact of macroeconomic variables on stock market <sup>233</sup> returns

The Augmented Dickey Fuller test shows that all the macroeconomic variables are stationary at first difference. Hence, the first difference of all the macroeconomic variables are selected to examine the impact of macroeconomic

variables on stock market returns by employing the Ordinary Least Square method. The result of the analysis is presented in the table ??.

The table ?? shows the regression co-efficient of macroeconomic variables including stock market returns. The SMR is the USA stock market returns which are used as the dependent variable whereas, the production index, Interest rate, Inflation, Money supply, exchange rate and MSCI world index are considered as the independent variables explaining the stock market returns in USA. Based on the regression results, it is understand that the macroeconomic variables considered for the study are influencing the stock market returns positively except the production index. As contrary to the expectations, the production index has negative impact on stock market returns and it indicates when industrial production falls down, the stock market prices may go up.

#### 245 **17 B**

246 Ho:H1:

247 Table ??: Results of the Regression Analysis -USA It is surprised to note that the index of industrial production 248 reveals a detrimental effect which do not support the postulates presented by Chen et al. (1986) But still in line with the previous studies, the impact of industrial production on stock returns is ambiguous. The world stock 249 return is the most significant factor influencing the stock market return at 5% significance level. The Durbin 250 Watson statistics which is closer to two reveals that there is no auto or serial correlation in the data. The Adjusted 251 R-square, the co-efficient of determination, is 88.06% which indicates that the 88.06% of the stock market return 252 variations are explained by the independent variables. The results of the Regression analysis reveals that the 253 macroeconomic variables, interest rate, exchange rate and world stock market returns are influencing the stock 254 market returns significantly while other macroeconomic variables have insignificant impact on the stock market 255 returns. The production index, as expected influence the stock market returns positively. If industrial production 256 is higher, the stock market returns will also be higher. But the interest rate which is significantly negative shows 257 that increasing 258

#### <sup>259</sup> 18 d) ARCH -LM Test of Heteroskedasticity

For computing ARCH LM test, the ordinary least square method is used to compute the residuals and Resid<sup>2</sup> is used as the dependent variable and Resid<sup>2</sup>(-1) is used as an independent variable. The result is presented in the table 5. The Arch test highly rejects the null hypothesis of no arch effect in the time series data. The result shows the data is suffering from the problem of heteroskedasticity. The p value of Chi-Square is 0.0002 in USA and 0.044 in UK. The probability values are lower than the critical value of 0.05. The residual squared at lag one coefficient are with a significant p value of 0.00 and 0.04. The table ?? shows that the error variance is not

 $_{\rm 266}$   $\,$  constant over the time period taken for the study.

# <sup>267</sup> 19 Table 5: ARCH LM TEST of Heteroskedasticity on Regres-<sup>268</sup> sion Residuals

Hence, it is concluded from the results that ARCH effect is present in the data which proves the presence of heteroskedasticity. The presence of ARCH effect indicates that the application of OLS method is not the true representation of the relationship between macroeconomic variables and stock market returns. Therefore, Symmetric and asymmetric GARCH models have been applied to investigate the relationship between stock market returns and macroeconomic variables in USA and UK. After examining the relationship, the symmetric and asymmetric GARCH models have been evaluated and ranked using the error measurement approaches such as Mean Squared Error, Root Mean Squared Error and Mean Absolute Percentage Error.

# <sup>276</sup> 20 e) Model Evaluation using out of Sample Analysis

The out of sample forecasting performance of symmetric and asymmetric GARCH models are evaluated and compared with the Mean squared Error(MSE), Root Mean Squared Error(RMSE) and Mean Absolute Percentage Error(MAPE) and the result of the analysis applied on USA is presented in the table 6. V.

# 280 21 Conclusion

The study evaluates the application of different symmetric and asymmetric GARCH models in USA and UK using the monthly observations of January 1991 to December 2014. The Augmented Dickey Fuller test applied on macroeconomic variables shows that the all the variables except exchange rate and money supply at level are non-stationary and became stationary at first difference.

Therefore the first difference logarithmic data are considered for application of ordinary least square method to find out the nexus between stock market returns and macroeconomic variables. But the application of regression is not the appropriate method due to the existence of conditional heteroskedasticity. The presence of conditional heteroskedasticity is confirmed with the help of ARCH LM test of heteoskedasticity.

Hence, Symmetric and Asymmetric GARCH models are applied to find out the linkage between stock market returns and macroecnomic variables and their performance are evaluated and ranked based on the error

manufacture and manufacture in a manufacture in the performance are organized and rained subol on the original measurement approaches such as Mean Square Error, Root Mean Square error and Mean Absolute Percentage

292 Error. The results indicate that the Asymmetric E-GARCH model outperforms well than the other models

<sup>293</sup> such as Asymmetric T-GARCH and symmetric GARCH models in both USA and UK stock markets. While

<sup>294</sup> comparing the performance of GARCH type models across stock markets, the forecasting accuracy of symmetric and asymmetric GARCH type models are superior to USA than the application of GARCH in UK.<sup>1</sup>

> 2018 Year Volume XVIII Issue II Version I ( ) Global Journal of Management and Business Research

[Note: included in the study to assess the impact of world stock market returns on domestic stock market returns. The following equation is applied to calculate world stock market returns. DLNWSR = Ln WSRt -Ln WSRt-1 b) Methodology i. Ordinary Least Square Estimation]

Figure 1: B

295

 $<sup>^1 \</sup>ensuremath{\mathbb O}$  2018 Global Journals

i. Mean Equation
(5)
ii. Variance Equation
(6)
?1. ?1 ?????? ??1 are the ARCH . GARCH and TGARCH co-efficients, h 2 is the conditional stock return volatility,
? t?1 is the set of all information available at time t-1 ,
< 0 indicates that bad news</li>
news ? 0 shows positive news
e) EGARCH (Exponential Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity)

#### Figure 2:

macroeconomic variables such as Stock Market Returns (SMR), Industrial Production index in natural log (LIF), Interest rate in Log (LIR), Inflation in natural log (LIF) Money Supply in natural log (LMS), Exchange Rate in log (LER),

Figure 3:

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

**Global Journals** 

[Note: Jarque-Bera Test. The Jarque-Bera test, a test for normality, is]

Figure 4: Table 1 :

	Variables				Ι		I&T		I(Ist Dif	fference)
	SMR				-1.77		-2.03		-15.45	
USA	LIP			-1.70 - 0.34		-2.21 -1.79		-4.38 -13.75		
	LIR									
	$\operatorname{LIF}$				-1.47		-2.45		-10.80	
	LMS				2.44		-3.62		-5.23	
	LER				-2.19		-2.77		-12.24	
C.V	WSR				1.58		-2.18		-15.41	
	$\operatorname{SMR}$				-2.35		-2.28		-16.60	
	LIP				-1.20		-1.53		-20.97	
LIR LIF	LMS LER	CRITICAL	VALUES U	K 1% 5% 10%	-0.60	1.21	-1.66	-1.71	-10.89	-4.40
					-1.32	-3.37	0.52	-3.44	-16.14	-12.17
					-3.453072 -2.871438 -2.572116		-3.990470		-3.453072	
							-3.425616		-2.871438	
							-3.135961		-2.572116	

Figure 5:

 $\mathbf{4}$ 

Dependent Variable: DLNSMR

Figure 6: Table 4 :

	interest rate decreases Dependent Variable: SMR The adjusted	s the stock marl	xet returns in UK.					
	K- Variables	Coefficient	Std Error	7				
	variables	Coemcient	Std.Error	L- Statistics				
	Intorcont	0.000562	0.001570					
		0.000502	0.126884	0.333690				
	DENIF	-0.024042	0.130004	- 0 175634				
	DI NID	0.000554	0.002581	0.173034				
	DENIR	0.000334	0.005381	0.134390				
0010	DLNIF	0.073282	0.208040	0.273397				
2018 V	DLNM5	0.287962	0.244999	1.175362				
Year	DLNER DLNWSR	0.194850	0.075220 0.020427	2.590400				
00		0.910337		44.50539				
28	R-Squared	0.883142	Akaike Information Criter	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
Volume	Adj R-Squared	0.880637	Schwarz Criterion Hannan-Quinn Criterion Durbin Watsor					
XVIII I	F-Statistic Prsob(F-	352.6769						
Issue	statistic)	0.000000						
ver-								
sion								
$() \mathbf{D}$	V	O	Std Emer 0.001602.0.1410	97 0 019995 0 905179 0 195411 0 05				
	variables intercept		Std.Error 0.001005 0.1410	27 0.015285 0.505178 0.125411 0.05				
Global	DLNIP DLNIR	-0.001083						
Jour-	DLNIF DLNMS	0.028166						
nal of	DLNER DLNWSR	-0.030050						
Man-	R-Squared Adj R-	0.024037						
age-	Squared F-Statistic	0.089891						
ment		0.484769						
and		0.828724						
Busi-		0.743363						
ness		0.737864						
Re-		135.1728						
search								
	Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000	Durbin Watson Statistic					
	© 2018 Global Jour-							
	nals							

# Figure 7:

6

2018 Year ( ) B

[Note: E-GARCH model outperform well than the T-GARCH and GARCH models. The result is consistent with the results produced by Miron and Tudor (2010).]

Figure 8: Table 6 :

 $\mathbf{7}$ 

Figure 9: Table 7 :

8

Figure 10: Table 8 :

	MODELS	MSE
	Symmetric Garch	0.015680
	Asymmetric T-Garch	0.015653
2018 Year	Asymmetric E-Garch	0.015631
30 Volume XVIII Issue II Version I	Country USA UK COMPARIS	ION BASED ON RMSE Symmetric GARCH RANK 0.010242
() B Global Journal of Manage- ment and Business Research	UK COMPARISION BASED (	ON MAPE 0.20753 2 USA 116.4482 1 UK 127.2060 2
	@ 2018 Global Journals	

Figure 11:

- [Hansen and Lunde ()] 'A forecast comparison of volatility models: does anything beat a GARCH (1, 1)?'. P R
   Hansen , A Lunde . Journal of applied econometrics 2005. 20 (7) p. .
- [Freedi et al. ()] 'A study on the behavior of volatility in Saudi Arabia stock market using symmetric and asymmetric GARCH models'. Al Freedi , A Shamiri , A Isa , Z . Journal of Mathematics and Statistics 2001. 8 (1) p. .
- [Miron and Tudor ()] 'Asymmetric conditional volatility models: Empirical estimation and comparison of forecasting accuracy'. D Miron , C Tudor . Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting 2010. 13 (3) p.
   .
- [Engle ()] 'Autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity with estimates of the variance of United Kingdom
   inflation'. R F Engle . Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society 1982. p. .
- [Lim and Sek ()] 'Comparing the performances of GARCH-type models in capturing the stock market volatility
   in Malaysia'. C M Lim , S K Sek . *Proceedia Economics and Finance* 2013. 5 p. .
- [Mukherjee and Naka ()] 'Dynamic relations between macroeconomic variables and the Japanese stock market:
   an application of a vector error correction model'. T K Mukherjee , A Naka . Journal of Financial Research
   1995. 18 (2) p. .
- [Chen et al. ()] 'Economic forces and the stock market'. N.-F Chen , R Roll , S A Ross . Journal of business
   1986. p. .
- [Alberg et al. ()] 'Estimating stock market volatility using asymmetric GARCH models'. D Alberg , H Shalit ,
   R Yosef . 10.1080/09603100701604225. Applied Financial Economics 2008. 18 (15) p. .
- [Marcucci ()] 'Forecasting stock market volatility with regime-switching GARCH models'. J Marcucci . Studies
   *in Nonlinear Dynamics & Econometrics* 2005. 9 (4) .
- [Bollerslev ()] 'Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity'. T Bollerslev . Journal of econometrics
   1986. 31 (3) p. .
- [Fifield et al. ()] 'Macroeconomic factors and share returns: an analysis using emerging market data'. S Fifield ,
   D Power , C Sinclair . International Journal of Finance & Economics 2002. 7 (1) p. .
- [Kirui et al. ()] 'Macroeconomic Variables, Volatility and Stock Market Returns: A Case of Nairobi Securities
   Exchange'. E Kirui , N H Wawire , P O Onono . Kenya. International Journal of Economics and Finance
   2014. 6 (8) p. 214.
- Wei-Chong et al. ()] 'Macroeconomics uncertainty and performance of GARCH models in forecasting Japan
   stock market volatility'. C Wei-Chong , L See-Nie , S.-N Ung . International journal of business and social
   science 2011. 2 (1) .
- [Ahmed and Suliman ()] 'Modeling stock market volatility using GARCH models evidence from Sudan'. A E M
   Ahmed , S Z Suliman . International journal of business and social science 2011. 2 (23) .
- 329 [Nelson ()] D B Nelson . Conditional heteroskedasticity in asset returns: A new approach, 1991. p. .
- [Glosten et al. ()] 'On the relation between the expected value and the volatility of the nominal excess return on
   stocks'. L R Glosten , R Jagannathan , D E Runkle . The journal of finance 1993. 48 (5) p. .
- [Fama ()] 'Stock returns, real activity, inflation, and money'. E F Fama . The American Economic Review 1981.
   71 (4) p. .
- [Atoi] 'Testing Volatility in Nigeria Stock Market using GARCH Models Ngozi V'. N V Atoi . Atoi. CBN Journal
   of Applied Statistics p. 65.
- <sup>336</sup> [Quadir ()] 'The Effect of Macroeconomic Variables on Stock Returns on Dhaka Stock Exchange'. M M Quadir
   . International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues 2012. 2 (4) p. 480.
- [El-Nader and Alraimony ()] 'The impact of macroeconomic factors on Amman stock market returns'. H M El Nader , A D Alraimony . International Journal of Economics and Finance 2012. 4 (12) p. 202.
- [Nnenna ()] 'X-Raying the Impact of Domestic and Global Factors on Stock Return Volatility in the Nigerian
   Stock Market'. O M Nnenna . European Scientific Journal 2012. 8 (12) .