

Exploring the Prerequisites of Institutionalizing Crowd funding Process in Bangladesh as an Alternative Financing Option for the Start-ups

Md. Rakibul Hasan¹, Md. Shakil Ahmad² and Md. Rakibul Hasan³

¹ University of Dhaka

Received: 9 December 2017 Accepted: 5 January 2018 Published: 15 January 2018

Abstract

Nowadays, Crowdfunding (raising capital for a startup business through the Internet) is becoming a prominent platform as an alternative source of finance for the entrepreneurs. On this ground, three objectives are set here to meet. First, exploring the regulatory reformations needed to institutionalize crowdfunding in Bangladesh. Second, assessing stakeholder?s (i.e., investors and entrepreneurs) bilateral technological readiness for crowdfunding process. Third, analyzing the determinants of their behavioral intention to participate in CF process. An in-depth interview with the experts is conducted to assess the regulatory reformations and reconciliations needed to institutionalize CF in Bangladesh. On the other hand, a structured questionnaire based survey on 250 respondents (investors and entrepreneurs) is conducted to meet the second and third objectives. Result based on descriptive statistical analysis shows significant differences between the investors and entrepreneurs regarding their technological readiness. Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) is used to find out the determinants of stakeholder?s behavioral intention to participate in CF, which results in technology awareness, perceived expectations, and costs, availability of resources, social psychology, and access to other finance options are the significant determinants.

Index terms— crowdfunding, readiness level, behavioral intention, alternative finance, entrepreneurs, bangladesh.

1 Introduction

ew ideas and innovations foster the economy of a country as a boon. But owing to several factors, this economy becomes paralyzed in supporting new ideas and innovations. Therefore, for the lack of support from the participants of the economy, these ideas get squelched and lose their ability to boost the economy. The primary factor that hinders new ventures is the lack of financial support, whereas financial supporters have their reasons for their attitude towards new venture financing. On the other hand, the intensifying competition among the business houses and the survival possibility of the new ventures within this are snowballing the opportunity cost of startup financing. This overall process puts us in a paradox whereas economy itself is biting short its opportunity. On the backdrop of this scenario, the quest of the researchers of this article is to identify the alternative financing option for the entrepreneurs in Bangladesh, and here we find the solution of this paradox, which is familiar by the name of "Crowdfunding."Crowdfunding is the process of asking the public for donations that can subsidize the need for capital for the startups (Steinberg & DeMaria, 2012). Furthermore, this process is enabled by modern internet technology whereas potential investors are asked or requested by the entrepreneurs for financial assistance through the internet (Steinberg & DeMaria, 2012). "Crowdfunding involves an open call, essentially through the Internet, for the provision of financial resources either in the forms of donations (without

rewards) or for something like monetary or nonmonetary rewards and voting rights to support initiatives for specific purposes” (Belleflamme, Lambert, & Schwienbacher, Crowdfunding: Tapping the Right Crowd, 2010). The contributors may become appurtenant as they provide both financial support and valuable insights (Buysere, Gajda, Kleverlaan, & Marom, 2012). Moreover, they may not look for any direct or immediate return from the venture (Buysere, Gajda, Kleverlaan, & Marom, 2012). In developed countries, this source for financing venture capital has been proved successful. But in case of developing countries, the necessity for assessing the context and environment of institutionalizing crowdfunding platform (infoDev, 2013) is addressed on this research. Because, the economic environment of developing countries is different in terms of regulatory framework for financial institutions, technological awareness among stakeholders (i.e., entrepreneurs and investors), transparency and assurance of the financial settlements, etc.

The economy of Bangladesh is growing with GDP growth rate of 7.05%, whereas the inflation rate is only 5.53%, vis-à-vis (Ministry of Finance, 2016; The World Bank, 2016), which is phenomenal. However, the financial market is critical for financing any venture and lack of stability since the capital market crisis in 2010-11. Lack of investor’s confidence and transparency, their N gambling attitude, and regulatory flaws were some of the reasons behind the stock market crash on that period (Wahab & Faruq, 2012; Unnayan Onneshan, The Innovators, 2013). Despite the hard struggle of the concerned authorities, some of these problems are appeared to be persistent currently.

In this juxtaposed situation, it is worthy enough to assess the socio-economic environment of Bangladesh to know suitability and feasibility of crowdfunding. For addressing this issue three objectives or expected outcomes are set for this study. First, exploring the regulatory reformations needed to institutionalize crowdfunding in Bangladesh. Second, assessing stakeholder’s (i.e., investors and entrepreneurs) bilateral technological readiness for crowdfunding process.

Third, analyzing the determinants of their behavioral intention to participate in CF process.

2 II.

3 Literature Review

Crowdsourcing is considered as the predecessor concept of crowdfunding, whereas crowdfunding only deals with the task of raising fund through internet (Ibrahim & Verliyantina, 2012; Brabham, 2008). In crowdsourcing, different things like ideas, opinions, resources, feedbacks, etc. are obtained from the public (Howe, 2006). At the same way, crowdfunding means collecting or raising money from the crowd in exchange of nothing (this process is known as the donation) or something (reward or return), while an internet-based community platform may work as a mediator (Nesta, 2014). Although this is the old concept started from the very beginning of humans, but now this process is based on modern technologies and new approaches. The crowdfunding process may consist of three parties based on three distinctive roles played by them on the CF process (Cunningham, 2012). These are: (a) entrepreneurs, (b) crowd-funder (a.k.a. sponsor, backer, investor), and (c) crowdfunding platform (a third party or mediator provides online platform, like as Kickstarter, Indiegogo, RocketHub, etc.).

The picture of crowdfunding may be enlightened from the story of the successful crowdfunding campaign ever happened in the history, where Kickstarter (a crowdfunding platform) has raised \$10,000,000 (but the initial goal was to collect \$100,000) for Eric Migicovsky (Current CEO of Pebble Technology) within six months. So, that is the capability of this platform (Scholz, 2015).

Based on the motivations of the investors crowdfunding can be categorized into four categories of (1) Donation, (2) Equity/Profit Sharing, (3) Pre-selling, and (4) Lending/Loan (infoDev, 2013). On the other hand, based on the crowdfunding platform (an intermediary institution) provider’s intention and business type, there are several business models of CF. a. Threshold Pledge Model: pledging to raise a promised amount by the CF platform, though only after reaching the target amount they can get their fees/charges from the fund seekers. Micro-lending Model: collecting fund from the crowd in small amount and returning the money to the crowdlenders after the promised time. b. Investment or Equity Model: offering equity-based CF option for the funders. c. Holding Model: making a subsidiary company invested by each individual crowd-funders. The Club Model: providing community-based fund raising option ??infoDev, 2013).

Several studies are available on crowdfunding, but very few have focused the context of Bangladesh. Only, Adhikary & Kutsuna (2015) have excavated the systematic problems of Bangladesh relating to the gaps in financing options for the startups and evaluated crowdfunding as an alternative solution. According to their argument, crowdfunding can fill up these gaps left by other financial institutions (e.g., banks, NBFIs, microcredits, etc.) without replacing the venture capitalists and business angels. At the same logical ground, Rubinton (2011) has compared crowdfunding with investment banking, whereas the investment can take the forms of donation.

However, this paper is the first comprehensive and rigorous study on crowdfunding and its feasibility in the context of Bangladesh. The focal points of other research initiatives conducted worldwide consist of different aspects of CF like the legal feasibility, technological specifications, entrepreneur’s or funder’s interest and behavioral dynamics, comparison of CF models, economic implications of CF, significance of campaign and communication networks, etc. The following section provides the snapshots of the literary works done in this discipline.

Regarding the structure of crowdfunding process in a developing country, Ibrahim & Verliyantina (2012)

103 have proposed a model for Indonesia. They have used Web-based Platform and Social Networking Sites (i.e.,
104 Facebook, Twitter) as the center of the model. This model dictates that entrepreneurs and crowd-funders will
105 use this Web Based Platform and Social Networking Sites for their respective purposes. Another inseparable
106 part of crowdfunding mechanism is the information system because information system is the prime enabler of
107 crowdfunding process. Shaping the user interfaces, platform, software and other hardware specifications as per
108 the need of executing crowdsourcing campaign defines the level of success in a noticeable extent (Leimeister
109 et al., 2009;Feller et al., 2012). These studies have addressed the technical specification and know-how of the
110 participants of crowdfunding platform in Indonesia. But, the necessity to pre-assess the participant's readiness
111 was not accommodated. On the other hand, some of the researchers have studied on the aspects of policy maker's
112 role in CF process and the regulatory obligations, those are deemed to be another critical element for any CF
113 initiative (Zimmerman & Zeitz, 2002;Burkett, 2011). A research work conducted by Sancak (2016) in Turkey
114 shows that the successful implementation of crowdfunding platform in a country depends on regulatory flexibility.
115 But considering the context of Bangladesh, this is not the only issue is going to be addressed in this research work,
116 because the introduction of CF requires much more attention and transformation of the status quo. Turan (2015)
117 has identified that in developed countries security market regulations are being rectified to incorporate the rules of
118 the WEB 3.0 as a supporting tool of CF. His investigation yielded some recommendations for crowdfunding, such
119 as increasing transparency concerning shareholders' rights, having third-party auditor to evaluate the projects,
120 adopting a code of conduct for bringing professionalism in the industry. His recommendations were particularly
121 important for profit-oriented crowdfunding efforts (Pitschner & Pitschner-Finn, 2014). Along with these, Hazen
122 (2012) has analyzed laws and securities for protecting investor's interest in theUSA.

123 However, Steinberg & DeMaria (2012) believe that the success of crowdfunding is analogous to the psychological
124 satisfaction and motivation of the stakeholders, where effective promotion can contribute on this aspect
125 (Cholakova & Clarysse, 2015). From the empirical evidence, it is noticeable that the success of such models
126 depends on the ways of promoting these. Jegeleviciute & Valenciene (2015) have meticulously portrayed that
127 how developed countries are doing well in crowdfunding because of their promotional campaigns took place before
128 launching crowdfunding. But the worrisome fact is, in developing countries like Bangladesh same approach may
129 not work at the expected level because of the lack of awareness and consciousness among the people (Adhikary
130 & Kutsuna, 2015).

131 Kraus et al. (2016) has adopted the theory of communication which was initially developed by Schulz von
132 Thun (2000) and showed that how communication with the stakeholders plays a vital role as the success factor of
133 crowdfunding. Though, these studies have merely focused on the communication and promotional aspects, but
134 broader than other contemporary analyses.

135 Lukkarinen et al. (2016) find that pre-determined characteristics (i.e., the minimum amount of investment,
136 campaign duration, financial provisions, etc.) of crowdfunding campaigns and the utilization of private/public
137 networks (i.e., online and offline) for campaign. Artis (2015) has also stated same thing on "Social and
138 Solidarity Finance" model. Similar kinds of models are also suggested in different studies, whereas the personal
139 relationship between entrepreneurs and investors can be beneficial (Burtch, Ghose, & Wattal, 2011;Busenitz
140 et al., 2003;Cardon, Sudek, & Mitteness, 2009;Cornelius, 2006;Dushnitsky, 2009;Gorman, 1989).On the other
141 hand, Belleflamme et al., (2010) argue that personal benefit of the investors is considered while they are making
142 investment decisions, so keeping this on mind entrepreneurs should shape their crowdfunding campaign. Mollick
143 (2014) has proposed that along with communication or promotional campaign, "project quality" (i.e., profitability,
144 duration or sustainability of the project) and "geographic characteristics"(i.e., project objective, project area,
145 target customers) of the project are also significant. Furthermore, Agarwal et al. (2015) show that how the
146 geographic distance between fundlenders and fund-recipients influences investment decisions of the funders. Both
147 online and offline social interaction are emphasized here to makeover this discrepancy.

148 However, there are also some other studies concentrating on the behavioral traits and interests of both investors
149 and entrepreneurs. Zvilichovsky et al. (2015) have proposed a crowdfunding platform and emphasized its
150 reciprocity role of preserving the interests of both parties (entrepreneur and investor). Belleflamme et al., (2015)
151 have argued from the crowdfunding platform provider's perspective. They have suggested two-sided market
152 (recipient and funder) approach, whereas information asymmetry between both parties can ensure a win-win
153 situation. Zhao et al. (2016) applied "Social Exchange Theory" to examine the factors behind the financing
154 intention of the investors. They found that commitment of the entrepreneurs, perceived risk of investment,
155 and trust factors had a significant impact on the financing intention of the investors. Ryu & Kim (2016) In a
156 report of World Bank, some other aspects are mentioned essential for any crowdfunding framework. This is the
157 only report recommending the necessity to assess the readiness or preparedness level of a country regarding four
158 dimensions (i.e., technology, culture, capital, and regulation), those are essential prerequisites of implementing
159 CF process (infoDev, 2013).But, no research is conducted yet analyzing the probable acceptance of crowdfunding
160 in Bangladesh. Before initializing crowdfunding platform, it is necessary to know whether the people are ready to
161 involve with CF or not. That is the issue going to be investigated in this study.

162 **4 III.**

163 **5 Theoretical Framework & Hypotheses**

164 Based on rigorous literature review, variations and insights are found related to the methodological aspects,
165 objectives and the context of the study. The research objectives of this study are formulated by considering
166 these outcomes and the socio-economic condition of Bangladesh. The objectives of this study are to analyze
167 and asses (1) regulatory framework, (2) stakeholder's bilateral technological readiness, and (3) the factors as the
168 determinants of their behavioral intention to participate in CF process. A theoretical framework is developed
169 to represent the 3rd objective and the associated constructs of the study subjected to empirical analysis (See:
170 Figure 1).

171 **6 Technological Awareness**

172 The process of crowdfunding is information and communication technology aided (Zvilichovsky et al., 2015;
173 Majchrzak & Malhotra, 2013), so it is necessary to assess the level of technical know-how of the entrepreneurs
174 and the investors in Bangladesh. The parameters of assessing technological awareness include their access to
175 the smart devices (i.e., smartphone, a personal computer), internet facilities, appearance in social media (e.g.,
176 Facebook, Twitter), usage of e-mail service, e-banking, mobile application based services, etc. (infoDev, 2013).

177 **7 Perceived Expectation**

178 Researchers show that vast majority of crowdfunding efforts provides the investors with promised return
179 (Belleflamme et al., 2010;Burtch et al., 2011). Matching between the commitment of the entrepreneurs and
180 the expectations of the investors from the crowdfunded projects is responsible for the success of the projects
181 (Lambert & Schwienbacher, 2010), therefore, it becomes essential to assess investor's perceived expectations
182 (infoDev, 2013).

183 **8 Social Psychology**

184 Social psychology denotes the attitude of the society towards the entrepreneurs, crowdfunding process,
185 trustworthiness to others, partnership business, innovative ideas, profit/loss, risk, etc. (Sajjad et al., 2012;Ajzen,
186 1991;Bird, 1988;Hayton et al., 2002). Entrepreneur's and investor's perceptions about these socio-psychological
187 issues represent their readiness level required for crowdfunding (Grinbatt & Keloharju, 2001; infoDev, 2013).

188 **9 Perceived Cost**

189 Perceived cost is related to issues like; (a) entrepreneur's opportunity cost related to the decision of accepting
190 crowdfunded capital rather than not selecting other options, (b) the risk of the deterioration of expected project
191 outcome, and (c) failure of CF campaign (Gleasure, 2015).

192 **10 Financial**

193 **11 Openness and Support**

194 Entrepreneurs, what are they thinking and perceiving about the fairness of their opportunity to access any
195 financing options provided by the government or any other financing authorities (i.e., Banks, NGOs, Leasing
196 companies, etc.) in Bangladesh.

197 **12 IV. Research Design and Methodology a) Methodological**
198 **Approach**

199 From the methodological point of view, a mixed approach is applied here. In this study, based on a desk research
200 and literature review the constructs and the variables are determined. On the other hand, causal and conclusive
201 research techniques are applied to test the hypotheses. As it is known that methodological approach represents
202 the plan of a research study containing the issue of how the objectives of a research initiative will be meet
203 (Zikmund et al., 2010;Cooper & Schindler, 2008). Table 3 contains detail about the methodological approach
204 of this study. The target population of this study is the experts including government officials, bankers, and
205 academicians, which is related to the first objective of this study of conducting in-depth interview to identify
206 the regulatory reconciliations and reformations needed to initiate CF process in Bangladesh. On the other hand,
207 regarding the second, third and fourth objectives, the target population are the investors and the entrepreneurs
208 in Bangladesh.

209 Non-probabilistic sampling techniques (i.e., judgment and snowball sampling techniques) are applied to select
210 the sample units of experts, entrepreneurs, and investors. For conducting an in-depth interview, eighteen
211 experts comprising of five government officials, six bankers, and seven academicians on the related discipline
212 are selected and interviewed by using an open-ended and somewhat unstructured questionnaire (See: Table A1).
213 Along with this, 268 respondents are surveyed based on a structured questionnaire (See: Table A2). After

214 screening, 250 respondent's data (125 entrepreneurs and 125 investors/funders) are used for further analysis.
215 The items of the structured questionnaire represent 31 variables used to measure the 8 readiness factors (See
216 Figure 1 and Appendix: 2). Most of the variables are measured in a five-point Likert scale (1-Strongly agree,
217 2-Agree, 3-Neutral, 4-Disagree, and 5-Strongly disagree) and the few are measured in nominal scale generating
218 categorical/binary (Yes/No) response patterns. In PLS-SEM analysis, the variables measured in Likert scale are
219 only used. Data were collected by field survey conducted in Dhaka city, which was carried by 25 field enumerators,
220 those were trained before data collection.

221 **13 Findings, Interpretations, and Implications**

222 Three issues are analyzed, interpreted, and addressed here. These are: (1) What are the regulatory compliance
223 and reformations needed to institutionalize crowdfunding in Bangladesh based literature review and in-depth
224 interview? (2) Do the entrepreneurs and investors have enough technological readiness to participate in
225 crowdfunding? (3) What are the factors determining their behavioral intention to participate in a crowdfunded
226 project? a) Regulatory and Legal Feasibility of Crowdfunding in Bangladesh

227 Crowdfunding is not a new concept throughout the world, but recently it is brought under some specific
228 rules and regulations to monitor the processes related to this. Likewise, on October 23, 2013, the Securities
229 and Exchange Commission of USA implemented the "Jumpstart Our Business Startups" Act (a.k.a. the JOBS
230 Act) for legally supporting the CF processes (kelleydrye.com, 2013). On November 16, 2015, they also approved
231 the new regulations and permitted companies to offer and sell their securities through authorized crowdfunding
232 platforms in reliance on the exemption under Section 4(a)(6) of the Securities Act of 1933 (Tate, 2015).

233 But in Bangladesh, there is no such legal framework for controlling and regulating crowdfunding process. In the
234 backdrop of this fact, a few crowdfunding platforms are initiated here in Bangladesh till now. Some crowdfunded
235 projects are implemented with the help of the platforms like Kickstarter, crowdfunder.co.uk, StartSomeGood.com,
236 etc. "Project Aim at Sreepur Village," "Tripty Project: Ethically Made in Bangladesh," and "Positive Light"
237 are some of the examples of crowd-funded projects ever happened in Bangladesh, those were non-profit oriented
238 ??kickstarter, 2016; whydev, 2012). A self-motivated entrepreneur Waiz Rahim has introduced the first local
239 CF platform named as "project.com" in Bangladesh (Reaz, 2015). dog without a master. Based on the in-depth
240 interview, it is anticipated that whenever CF is going to take place here in a broader way, but it will be messy
241 without any legal and regulatory frame. So, the experts think that this is the right time to formulate customized
242 rules and regulations for CF in Bangladesh which will ensure the maximum interest of entrepreneurs and also the
243 safety of investors. On the other hand, as we know that the people here in Bangladesh have gone through some
244 unfortunate financial fraudulent experience such as Destiny2000 limited, unipay2u, stock market crash, etc. in
245 the recent past and these activities can be attributed to the deficiency and loopholes of the legal framework. So,
246 expert's opinions are suggesting that having legal boundaries will prevent similar fraudulent event likely to arise
247 from crowdfunding.

248 There are certain types of financial instruments available in Bangladesh those are like crowdfunding, mutual
249 fund schemes, for instance. Crowdfunding is like this regarding the collection of the fund, amount of subscription,
250 and underlying. But the usage of the fund is different from each other. Fund collection mechanisms and the
251 concerned law of mutual fund depicted in Securities and Exchange Commission Rules of 2001 can be utilized in
252 preparing a regulatory framework for CF. Along with this; we can also use Investors' Fund Protection Regulations
253 of 1999 to keep them safe from being betrayed. Crowdfunding is new and tech-savvy than any other traditional
254 financial instruments. To create a legal framework covering these aspects, we can also follow the newly approved
255 Regulatory Framework of USA. Following points give a very brief idea about this law (kelleydrye.com, 2013):

256 ? Eligible companies can raise the maximum amount of \$12 million on a 12-month rolling basis. ? Investors
257 will not need to meet any wealth thresholds, but they will have a limit on the amount of investment made in 12
258 months. ? Crowdfunded offerings will need to be conducted through a registered broker or a registered funding
259 portal. ? Crowdfunding will be restricted to online-only platforms. ? Before crowdfunding offering the issuer
260 must disclose all the facts of the projects and that substantial information should be audited by independent
261 audit firms. ? After the successful offering of crowdfunding, the issuer will be required to submit annual reports
262 to the SEC until it becomes a public limited company. These basic rules can be implemented in any country given
263 the infrastructural support. The regulatory authorities of Bangladesh such as the Bangladesh Bank, Securities
264 and Exchange Commission, Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, Ministry of Finance, etc. should be coordinated.
265 With their regulatory support, a legal framework can be created for the future crowdfunding initiative. It will
266 not only create a safe and sound environment for the entrepreneurs and investors but also inspire them to go for
267 such initiatives as they will have a fixed path to follow. b) Demographic Characteristics and Readiness of the
268 Stakeholders i. Demographic Features of the Respondents Demographic data(See: TableA3) of the respondents
269 (investors and entrepreneurs) show that most of the entrepreneurs (total 83%) are belonging to the age groups
270 of 21-30 and 31-40 when the investors are from 41-50 and 51-40 (total 65%). There is also a significant difference
271 between investors and entrepreneurs regarding their education level and profession. 36% of the entrepreneurs
272 are not involved with any other job, and 22% are having their own business. On the contrary, 45% investors are
273 businessmen. The surprising part is the lack of gender diversity, but women entrepreneurs and investors should
274 be encouraged and patronized to come forward to contribute to the socio-economic development and CF process
275 in Bangladesh.

14 ii. Bilateral Technological Readiness of the Respondents

On the other hand, significant differences are observed (See: Dashboard 1) between the investors and entrepreneurs in accessing and using modern technologies and technology-aided services in Bangladesh. Such as, the usage of smartphone, personal computers, Internet, social media (e.g., Facebook), e-mail services, online/mobile banking, etc. As it is observed that 79% entrepreneurs have internet access, where 58% of the investors are not continuously connected to the Internet. It may cause a significant impact on the usage and acceptance of CF process by them.

However, Dashboard1 contains the actual scenario related to the technological readiness level of the investors and entrepreneurs towards crowdfunding as a new initiative in Bangladesh. Bilateral technological readiness is measured by five indicators (See the observed variables 2, 4, 6, and 10 of the construct of "Technology Awareness" from Appendix A2), whereas the responses against each indicator were collected in a 5-point Likert scale. For the sake of simplicity, responses are grouped into three categories. These are:

(1) Favorable to CF Process ("Strongly Agree" and "Agree" in the Likert scale), (2) Probable Favorable to CF Process ("Neutral" in the Likert scale), and (3) Unfavorable to CF Process ("Disagree" and "Strongly Disagree" in the Likert scale). It is obvious that, technologically, entrepreneurs are more prepared to accept crowdfunding in Bangladesh rather than the investors. Based on these facts, two suggestions can be derived for the potential CF platform providers; (1) "Snatching the Opportunity" -those are in favor of CF can be capitalized to do business with them as an intermediary; (2) "Grooming-up them" -those are in neutral and unfavorable position can be motivated and trained up to transform them into favorable participants. The findings of this analysis bring a lot of insights about the potential business model of crowdfunding platform and prerequisite context of crowdfunding in Bangladesh. The findings of this study can be applied to realize -what are the factors should be considered and how much will it be successful if anyone goes for initiating crowdfunding in Bangladesh. These will help them to develop rigorous action plan associated with crowdfunding. Table 4 contains the threshold values used to compare with the estimated values depicted in figure ?? and 3. Based on the Table 4, and Figure ?? and 3 following inference can be derived regarding the hypotheses of this study.

15 Global

16 Limitations

The primary limitation of this study is related to two aspects. One is the research area, and another is the demographic impact on the findings. This study is mainly conducted in Dhaka city due to the time and resource limitations; which may not be an ideal represent of the rural scenario. On the other hand, demographic characteristics of the respondents may have the mediating or moderating effect on the outcomes derived by PLS-SEM analysis.

17 VII.

18 Conclusion

The findings of this study will be helpful enough for the policy makers, government, corporate bodies, CF platform initiators, investors, entrepreneurs, and NGOs. This study is carrying a clear and comprehensive picture of the status of the pre-requisite context of crowdfunding. The initiative of institutionalizing crowdfunding process in Bangladesh as an alternative source of long-term finance for the startups or new ventures may follow the findings of this study. Crowdfunding can be the most promising source of finance and may be a strong alternative to other financial intermediaries in Bangladesh. This study is conducted by realizing this fact and for fostering the pace of CF.

¹© 2018 Global Journals 1

²© 2018 Global Journals

³Global Journal of Management and Business Research




Figure 1:




Figure 2:




Figure 3: Figure 1 :




Figure 4: 1




Figure 5:




Figure 6:

1

- H1: "Technology Awareness" significantly affects "Investor's Behavioral Intention to Participate in CF Process."
- H2: "Perceived Expectation" significantly affects "Investor's Behavioral Intention to Participate in CF Process."
- H3: "Social Psychology" significantly affects "Investor's Behavioral Intention to Participate in CF Process."
- H4: "Availability of Resources" significantly affects "Investor's Behavioral Intention to Participate on CF Process."
- H5: "Technology Awareness" significantly affects "Entrepreneur's Behavioral Intention to Participate in CF Process."
- H6: "Perceived Cost" significantly affects "Entrepreneur's Behavioral Intention to Participate in CF Process."
- H7: "Social Psychology" significantly affects "Entrepreneur's Behavioral Intention to Participate in CF Process."
- H8: "Financial Openness & Supports" significantly affects "Entrepreneur's Behavioral Intention to Participate in CF Process."

Figure 7: Table 1 :

2

Figure 8: Table 2 :

3

Objectives of the Study	Data Collection Techniques	Data Analysis Tools	Nature of Analysis
1 Exploring and identifying the regulatory compliance and reforms needed to institutionalize crowdfunding in Bangladesh.	In-depth Interview with the Experts	Descriptive or Desk Research	Qualitative
2 Assessing stakeholder's (i.e., investors and entrepreneurs) bilateral technological readiness.	Structured Questionnaire based Field Survey	Descriptive Statistical Tools	Quantitative
3 Analyzing the determinants of stakeholder's behavioral intention to participate in the crowdfunding process.	Structured Questionnaire based Field Survey	Partial Least Square-Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM)	Quantitative

V.

Figure 9: Table 3 :

-
- li Factor/Outer Loadings of the indicators to their respective constructs (More than 0.708 is considered as statistically significant)
IR (Indicator reliability) is the squared values of the loadings representing the amount of variance in
 - IRi the indicator variables explained by the corresponding constructs in a reflective measurement model (value more than 50% is significant)
 - ?c Composite Reliability is calculated to assess the internal consistency of the measurement model (values from 0.70 to 0.90 are considered statistically significant)
 - ? Cronbach's Alpha is as much as like composite reliability used for the same purpose (values from 0.70 to 0.90 is acceptable)
 - AVE (Average Variance Extracted) is equal to the summations of IRs divided by the number of indicators (values from 0.50 to 0.90 is acceptable)
 - f2 f2 (Effect size) denotes the contribution of the exogenous constructs in the R2 value of the endogenous construct (value > 0.35 represents strong effect)
 - Q2 It means the predictive relevance of the independent constructs over the dependent construct (value > 0.35 represents strong effect)
 - FL=FL (Fornell-Larcker Criterion) represents discriminant validity of the measurement model (FL value
 - ?AVE a construct > correlation with any other constructs is acceptable)
 - VIFVIF (Variance Inflation Factor) represents the degree of multicollinearity among the exogenous constructs (values < 5 denotes no multicollinearity)
 - ? Path Coefficients among the constructs (Acceptable if it is significant at 1%, 5%, or 10% level of significance)
 - t Calculated value of t-statistic which is compared with the critical value of 2.52 (at 1%), 1.96 (at 5%), and 1.64 (at 10%)
 - R2 Coefficient of Determination denotes the degree of variance in the dependent construct explained by the independent constructs (value > 0.50 is acceptable)

Figure 10: Table 4 :

5

H1: "Technology Awareness" significantly affects "Investor's Behavioral Intention to Participate in CF Process."	Accepted
H2: "Perceived Expectation" significantly affects "Investor's Behavioral Intention to Participate in CF Process."	Accepted
H3: "Social Psychology" significantly affects "Investor's Behavioral Intention to Participate in CF Process."	Accepted
H4: "Availability of Resources" significantly affects "Investor's Behavioral Intention to Participate on CF Process."	Accepted
H5: "Technology Awareness" significantly affects "Entrepreneur's Behavioral Intention to Participate in CF Process."	Accepted
H6: "Perceived Cost" significantly affects "Entrepreneur's Behavioral Intention to Participate in CF Process."	Accepted
H7: "Social Psychology" significantly affects "Entrepreneur's Behavioral Intention to Participate in CF Process."	Not Accepted
H8:	

[Note: "Financial Openness & Supports" significantly affects "Entrepreneur's Behavioral Intention to Participate in CF Process." Accepted]

Figure 11: Table 5 :

19	PE3	My motivation to invest in a project is to maximize my return on investment
20	PC1	Perceived Cost (Respondents: Entrepreneurs) I think my project will not always be in a profitable condition.
21	PC2	I think that investors are going to only focus on their financial returns/benefits
22	PC3	I think the opportunity cost of accepting investment from individuals will be higher than other institutional options
23	AR1	Availability of Resources (Respondents: Investors) I think I have enough savings to invest in any innovative projects I think I can bear the risk of lending money to others
24	AR2	Financial Openness and Supports (Respondents: Investors) In Bangladesh government is doing well in terms of helping entrepreneurs Entrepreneurs are getting enough supports from financial institutions In Bangladesh, different NGOs are working in favor of the entrepreneurs Behavioral Intention of the Investors I think CF is an effective way to help entrepreneurs I think I can invest through CF process in Bangladesh Behavioral Intention of the Investors I think CF is an effective way to get financial assistance from others I think I can raise capital through CF process for my new venture
25	FOS1	
26	FOS2	
27	FOS3	
28	BII1	
29	BII2	
30	BIE1	
31	BIE2	

Figure 12:

A3

	Entrepreneurs			Investors	
Age			Education Level		
Less than 21	6%	0%	Primary	0%	6%
21-30	54%	5%	Secondary	0%	17%
31-40	29%	18%	Higher Secondary	10%	30%
41-50	9%	37%	Graduation	21%	19%
51-60	2%	28%	Post-graduation	54%	22%
More than 60	0%	12%	Vocational/Technical Education	15%	7%
Gender			Profession		
Male	98%	100%	Business	22%	45%
Female	2%	0%	Private Job	31%	24%
			Public Job	10%	31%
			Unemployed	36%	0%

Figure 13: Table A3 :

.1 Appendices

- 317
318 Table ??1: Questionnaire Items of FGD (Related to the 1 st Objective) 1 Is there any opportunity of
319 Crowdfunding in Bangladesh? What do you think? 2
320 Will it be a potential financing method for the entrepreneurs in Bangladesh? 3
321 Is there enough regulatory flexibility in Bangladesh for institutionalizing CF?
322 [Organization Science] , *Organization Science* 21 p. .
323 [Kommunikationspsychologie Für] , Führungskräfte Kommunikationspsychologie Für . Leipzig. (Rowohlt)
324 [Wahab and Faruq ()] *A Comprehensive Study on Capital Market Developments in Bangladesh*. Dhaka: Research
325 Department, M Wahab , M Faruq . 2012. Bangladesh Bank.
326 [Buysere et al. ()] *A Framework for European Crowdfunding*, K D Buysere , O Gajda , R Kleverlaan , D Marom
327 . 2012. European Union: European Crowdfunding Network.
328 [Hemer ()] *A Snapshot of Crowdfunding*. Karlsruhe: Fraunhofer Institute for Systems and Innovation Research
329 ISI, J Hemer . 2011.
330 [Ryu and Kim ()] *A typology of crowdfunding sponsors: Birds of a feather flock together? Electronic Commerce*
331 *Research and Applications*, S Ryu , Y.-G Kim . 2016. 16 p. .
332 [Lambert and Schwienbacher ()] *An empirical analysis of crowdfunding*. Belgium: Mimeo. Louvain School of
333 Management, T Lambert , A Schwienbacher . <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1578175> 2010.
334 [Burtch et al. ()] ‘An empirical examination of the antecedents and consequences of investment patterns in
335 crowd-funded markets’. G Burtch , A Ghose , S Wattal . *SSRN Electronic Journal* 2011.
336 [Sancak ()] ‘Applicability and Readiness of Crowdfunding in Turkey’. I E Sancak . *International Journal of*
337 *Business and Social Science* 2016. 7 (1) p. .
338 [Onneshan ()] *Bangladesh Economic Update*, Unnayan Onneshan . 2013. Dhaka: Unnayan Onneshan. (The
339 Innovators)
340 [Zimmerman and Zeitz ()] ‘Beyond survival: achieving new venture growth by building legitimacy’. M Zimmer-
341 man , G Zeitz . *Academy of Management Review* 2002. 27 p. .
342 [Jegeleviciute and Valenciene ()] ‘Comparative analysis of the ways crowdfunding is promoted’. S Jegeleviciute ,
343 L Valenciene . *Procedia -Social and Behavioral Sciences* 2015. 213 p. .
344 [Cooper and Schindler ()] D R Cooper , P S Schindler . *Business Research Methods*, (Singapore) 2008. McGraw-
345 Hill. (10th ed.)
346 [Burkett ()] ‘Crowdfunding exemption-online investment crowdfunding and US securities regulation’. E Burkett
347 . *A. Transactions: Tennessee Journal of Business Law* 2011. p. 63.
348 [Hazen ()] *Crowdfunding or Fraudfunding? Social Networks and The Securities Laws-Why the Speciality Tailored*
349 *Exemption must be Conditioned on Meaningful Disclosure*, T L Hazen . 2012. The University of North Carolina
350 [Why (2012)] *Crowdfunding: creating the future our communities need*, Why . <http://www.whydev.org/creating-the-future-our-communities-need/> 2012. June 20. 2016.
351
352 [Rubinton ()] *Crowdfunding: Disintermediated Investment Banking*, B J Rubinton . 2011. McGill University
353 [Agarwal et al. ()] ‘Crowdfunding: Geography, Social Networks, and the Timing of Investment Decisions’. A
354 Agarwal , C Catalini , A Goldfarb . *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy* 2015. 24 (2) p. .
355 [Belleflamme et al. ()] ‘Crowdfunding: Tapping the Right Crowd’. P Belleflamme , T Lambert , A Schwienbacher
356 . *Journal of Business Venturing* 2010. 29 (5) p. .
357 [Hernando ()] ‘Crowdfunding: The collaborative economy for channelling institutional and household savings’.
358 J R Hernando . 10.1016/j.ribaf.2016.03.004. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2016.03.004>
359 *Research in International Business and Finance* 2016. 38 (1) p. .
360 [Brabham ()] ‘Crowdsourcing as a model for problem solving, An Introduction and Cases’. D C Brabham . *The*
361 *International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies* 2008. 14 (1) p. .
362 [Cunningham ()] M Cunningham . *The JOBS Act. Crowdfunding for Small Businesses and Start-ups*, (New
363 York) 2012. Springer Verlag.
364 [Zhao et al. ()] ‘Determinants of backers’ funding intention in crowdfunding: Social exchange theory and
365 regulatory focus’. Q Zhao , C.-D Chen , J.-L Wang , P.-C Chen . *Telematics and Informatics* 2016. 34
366 (1) p. .
367 [Cholakova and Clarysse ()] ‘Does the possibility to make equity investments in crowdfunding projects crowd
368 out reward-based investments?’. M Cholakova , B Clarysse . *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 2015. 39
369 p. .
370 [Dushnitsky ()] *Entrepreneurial optimism in the market for technological inventions*, G Dushnitsky . 2009.

18 CONCLUSION

- 371 [Cornelius ()] 'Entrepreneurial studies: the dynamic research front of a developing social science'. B Cornelius .
372 *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 2006. p. .
- 373 [Busenitz et al. ()] 'Entrepreneurship research in emergence: past trends and future directions'. L Busenitz , G
374 West , D Shepherd , T Nelson , G Chandlerlast , A Zacharakis . *Journal of Management* 2003. p. 29.
- 375 [Reaz (2015)] *Face time with Waiz Rahim, founder of the local crowd-funding*
376 *platform* *Projekt*, S Reaz . [http://www.thedailystar.net/next-step/](http://www.thedailystar.net/next-step/projektco-face-time-waiz-rahim-founder-the-local-crowd-funding-platform-72639)
377 [projektco-face-time-waiz-rahim-founder-the-local-crowd-funding-platform-72639](http://www.thedailystar.net/next-step/projektco-face-time-waiz-rahim-founder-the-local-crowd-funding-platform-72639)
378 2015. June 20. 2016.
- 379 [Turan ()] 'Financial Innovation-Crowdfunding: Friend or Foe?'. S S Turan . *Procedia -Social and Behavioral*
380 *Sciences* 2015. 195 (1) p. .
- 381 [Grinbatt and Keloharju ()] 'How Distance, Language and Culture Influence Stockholdings and Trade'. M
382 Grinbatt , M Keloharju . *The Journal of Finance* 2001. (3) p. . (LVI)
- 383 [Nesta (2014)] 'How the UK's businesses, charities, government, and financial system can make the most of
384 Crowdfunding'. Nesta . *Economics Letters* 42. Pitschner, S., & Pitschner-Finn, S. (ed.) 2014. August 5, 2016.
385 2014. 123 (1) p. . (Non-profit differentials in crowd-based financing: Evidence from 50,000 campaigns)
- 386 [Sajjad et al. ()] 'Impact of Culture on Entrepreneur Intention'. S I Sajjad , H Shafi , A M Dad . *Information*
387 *Management and Business Review* 2012. 4 (1) p. .
- 388 [Bird ()] 'Implementing entrepreneurial ideas: the case for intentions'. B Bird . *Academy of Management Review*
389 1988. 13 p. .
- 390 [Leimeister et al. ()] 'Leveraging crowdsourcing: activation-supporting components for IT-based ideas competi-
391 tion'. J Leimeister , M Huber , U Bretschneider , H Krcmar . *Journal of Management Information Systems*
392 2009. 26 (1) p. .
- 393 [Ministry of Finance ()] *Ministry of Finance*, (Dhaka; Bangladesh) 2016. (Ministry of Finance)
- 394 [Thun, F. S. (ed.) ()] *Miteinander reden*, <http://www.worldbank.org/en/country/bangladesh52>
395 Thun, F. S. (ed.) 2016. August 02. 2016. 2000.
- 396 [Hayton et al. ()] 'National culture and entrepreneurship: a review of behavioral research'. J C Hayton , G George
397 , S A Zahara . *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* 2002. 26 (4) p. .
- 398 [Feller et al. ()] 'Orchestrating' sustainable crowdsourcing: a characterization of solver brokerages'. J Feller , P
399 Finnegan , J Hayes , P Reilly . *Journal of Strategic Information Systems* 2012. 21 p. .
- 400 [Zvilichovsky et al. ()] *Playing Both Sides of the Market Success and*, D Zvilichovsky , Y Inbar , O Barzilay .
401 2015.
- 402 [Devraj et al. ()] 'Research Note-how does personality matter? Relating the five-factor model to technology
403 acceptance and use'. S Devraj , R F Easley , J M Crant . *Information Systems Research* 2008. 19 p. .
- 404 [Gleasure ()] 'Resistance to crowdfunding among entrepreneurs: An impression management perspective'. R
405 Gleasure . *Journal of Strategic Information Systems* 2015. 24 (1) p. .
- 406 [Adhikary and Kutsuna ()] 'Small Business Finance in Bangladesh: Can "Crowdfunding" be an Alternative?'. B
407 K Adhikary , K Kutsuna . *Review of Integrated Business and Economics Research* 2015. 4 (4) p. .
- 408 [Artis ()] 'Social and solidarity finance: A conceptual approach'. A Artis . 10.1016/j.ribaf.2015.11.011. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2015.11.011>
409 [Research in International Business and Finance](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2015.11.011) 2015.
410 (1) .
- 411 [Steinberg and Demaria ()] S Steinberg , R Demaria . *The Crowdfunding Bible. Australia: read.me*, 2012.
- 412 [Kraus et al. ()] 'Strategies for reward-based crowdfunding campaigns'. S Kraus , C Richter , A Brem , C-F
413 Cheng , M-L Chang . *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge* 2016. 1 (1) p. .
- 414 [Lukkarinen et al. ()] 'Success drivers of online equity crowdfunding campaigns'. A Lukkarinen , J E Teich , H
415 Wallenius , J Wallenius . *Decision Support Systems* 2016. 87 (1) p. .
- 416 [Mollick ()] 'The dynamics of crowdfunding: An exploratory study'. E Mollick . *Journal of Business Venturing*
417 2014. 29 (1) p. .
- 418 [Belleflamme et al. ()] 'The economics of crowdfunding platforms'. P Belleflamme , N Omrani , M Peitz .
419 *Information Economics and Policy* 2015. 33 p. .
- 420 [Wessel et al. ()] 'The Emergence and Effects of Fake Social Information: Evidence from Crowdfunding'. M
421 Wessel , F Thies , A Benlian . 10.1016/j.dss.2016.06.021. *Decision Support Systems* 2016.
- 422 [Cardon et al. ()] *The impact of perceived entrepreneurial passion on angel investing*, M Cardon , R Sudek , C
423 Mitteness . 2009. p. 29. *Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research*
- 424 [Ibrahim and Verliyantina ()] 'The Model of Crowdfunding to Support Small and MicroBusinesses in Indonesia
425 Through a Webbased platform'. N Ibrahim , Verliyantina . *Procedia Economics and Finance* 2012. 4 p. .

- 426 [Scholz ()] *The Relevance of Crowdfunding: The impact on the innovation process of small entrepreneurial firms*,
427 N Scholz . 2015. Gabler: Springer. (eBook ed.)
- 428 [Howe ()] *The Rise of Crowdsourcing*. *Wired Magazine*, J Howe . 2006. p. 14.
- 429 [The World Bank, Finance and Private Sector Development ()] *The World Bank, Finance and Private Sector*
430 *Development*, 2013. Washington, DC: The World Bank. (Crowdfunding's Potential for the Developing World)
- 431 [Ajzen ()] 'Theory of planned behavior'. I Ajzen . *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 1991.
432 50 (1) p. .
- 433 [Tate (2015)] 'Title III Equity Crowdfunding'. M Tate . [http://crowdfundbeat.com/2016/02/08/
434 title-iii-equity-crowdfunding-regulation-registration/](http://crowdfundbeat.com/2016/02/08/title-iii-equity-crowdfunding-regulation-registration/) *Regulation & Registration* 2015.
435 June 15. 2016.
- 436 [Majchrzak and Malhotra ()] 'Towards an information systems perspective and research agenda on crowdsourcing
437 for innovation'. A Majchrzak , A Malhotra . *Journal of Strategic Information Systems* 2013. 22 (1) p. .
- 438 [Tripty Project: Ethically Made in Bangladesh SEC Proposes New Regulatory Framework for Crowdfunding ()]
439 'Tripty Project: Ethically Made in Bangladesh'. [https://www.kickstarter.com/projects/
440 triptyproject/tripty-project-ethically-made-in-bangladesh/posts/1505708](https://www.kickstarter.com/projects/triptyproject/tripty-project-ethically-made-in-bangladesh/posts/1505708) *SEC*
441 *Proposes New Regulatory Framework for Crowdfunding*, 2013. 2016. 2016. June 20. 2016. 10.
- 442 [Gorman ()] 'What do venture capitalists do'. M S Gorman . *Journal of Business Venturing* 1989. 4 p. .
- 443 [Zikmund et al. ()] W G Zikmund , B J Babin , J C Carr , M Griffin . *Canada: South-Western Cengage Learning*,
444 2010. (Business Research Methods)