Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Socio-Economic Determinants of Tobacco use in Tanzania: Estimates using Demographic Health Survey

Moses A. Ofeh

Received: 10 December 2016 Accepted: 5 January 2017 Published: 15 January 2017

6 Abstract

1

2

3

4

Tobacco use increases morbidity and mortality and is an established health hazard as it contributes to multiple diseases, including cardiovascular, pulmonary, musculoskeletal, 8 immune diseases, and cancer and asthma (WHO, 2011). Information on factors affecting the 9 tobacco use is useful for policies on tobacco reduction, especially in developing countries. This 10 paper examines the key socio-economic characteristics associated tobacco use using a 11 Demographic Health Survey (DHS) data (2010) in Tanzania. Both logit and multinomial 12 logistic regression models are used to identify key determinants of tobacco consumption 13 choices. The regression analyses of tobacco use in Tanzania identify age, urban residence, no 14 education, primary, poorest, poor and never married as factors associated with the choice of 15 tobacco use among males, while age, no education, primary, poorest, poor, unemployed and 16 being an unskilled and domestic employer were significantly associated with female tobacco 17 use. The prevalence of tobacco use in Tanzania is high as compared to the rates in other SSA 18 countries. Although the government in collaboration with WHO continues to enact policies to 19 address the problem, there is a need for effective implementation and enforcement of tobacco 20 reduction policies. There is also the need for health education to modify social norms and also 21 reduce tobacco use in Tanzania. 22

23

24 Index terms— socio-economic, determinants, tobacco, multinomial, logit, tanzania.

25 1 Introduction

obacco use increases morbidity and mortality and is an established health hazard as it contributes to multiple
diseases, including cardiovascular, pulmonary, musculoskeletal, immune diseases, and cancer and asthma ??WHO,
2011). Such tobacco-related morbidity occurs disproportionately in developing countries, where smoking has
reached epidemic rates particularly in the past decades with an increased in tobacco consumption rates especially
in Africa. The increase in smoking rates can be attributed to the fact that most of the raw tobacco is processed
in developed countries and exported back to Africa for consumption.

In 2008, tobacco use killed over five million people worldwide as compared to 4.9 million in 2000 (Jha, 2012). In 2015, it was estimated that the global yearly death toll as a result of tobacco use is 6 million (including exposure to secondhand smoke). This is more than tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS and malaria combined. If unchecked, the number of deaths will increase to around ten million in 2030, with more than 80% of these deaths happening in developing countries (ASH, 2015). At the same time, worldwide tobacco usage accounted for 4.1% of global burden of ill health in 2000; much of this burden was due to an increase in the last full decade of tobacco-related illnesses in developing countries (Ezzati et al 2002).

As use of cigarette and other tobacco products declines in high-income countries, increasing attention has turned to the growth of cigarette use in middle-and low-income countries ??Jha & Chaloupka, 2000;Opdal, 2008). From 1970 to 2000, per capita cigarette consumption fell by 14% in developed countries and rose by 46% in developing counties ??Guindon and Boisclair, 2003). The increase occurred primarily among men but, given marketing efforts of tobacco companies, use by women appears primed to move upward (Ernster, Kaufman, Nichter, Samet, & Yoon, 2000; ??ackay, 1998). Largely because of the growth in lowand middle-income nations,
the number of smokers worldwide has now risen to 1.3 billion and may well reach 1.5 billion by 2025 (Mackay,

46 Eriksen, and Shafey, 2006).

Tanzania is one of the major producers of tobacco in the world and the second largest producer in Africa after Malawi 1 1 Tanzania is one among the poorest countries of the world. Per capita income is estimated at about US\$ 450 per year. Covering an area of 945,000 square kilometers, it has a population of about 42 million growing at about 3 percent a year. The economy is heavily dependent on agriculture (primarily, tobacco, coffee, cotton, tea, cloves, cashew nuts, sisal, maize, rice, wheat, and cassava), which accounts for about 50 percent of GDP,

52 provides 85 percent of exports, and is by far the largest employer.

53 . For the year 2011-2012 the area planted was 118250 hectares with a yield of 126620 tones, amounting to 1.07 54 tons per hectare. With regards to cigarette use, the overall smoking prevalence rate is 12.4% for males, 8.8% for 55 females and 10.6 overall (WHO, 2012). However, the overall tobacco use increases to 20.5% when the reference 56 population is limited to adults (15 to 60 years). Most smokers in Tanzania appear to lack the understanding 57 of the harmful health effects of tobacco use, the corresponding high health care expenditure as well as reduced 58 earnings that emanate from smoke related diseases **??**Kidane et al, 2014).

59 The harm that tobacco use does to health is irrefutable. The evidence in tens of thousands of careful scientific 60 articles from around the world testifies that tobacco use (chewing or smoking) and inhaling "secondhand" or side 61 stream smoke from cigarettes raises the risk of many serious diseases. Moreover, tobacco use is one of the major preventable causes of disease and premature death. The efficacy and cost effectiveness of a well-tested set of 62 policies and interventions have been clearly established over several decades, in many countries around the world, 63 at various income levels and in many different cultures. The adverse effects of tobacco use on the health of an 64 individual are well known. Therefore, it is essential to identify factors leading to tobacco use to plan strategies 65 to limit its use. 66

While cigarette smoking is a major health issue, other forms of tobacco use (pipe smoking, snuff and tobacco 67 chewing) are growing concern especially as Tanzania is the second highest producer of tobacco in Africa after 68 Malawi. Like cigarette smoking, these forms of tobacco use have increased considerably among the elderly rural 69 population in Tanzania especially in Tobacco growing regions. Other forms of tobacco use seem to have similar 70 negative health affects to cigarette smoking on consumers although this has not been well explored. Regardless 71 of the type of tobacco product, tobacco use has adverse health effects. The age at which people start tobacco 72 use is crucial, as once smoking is commenced the addictive effect of nicotine is likely to promote continuous 73 74 consumption (Morrell et al., 2011).

The bottom line is clear: reducing tobacco use is good for health, and makes sound economic sense. Therefore, 75 Tanzania would derive net economic gains, not losses if their demand for tobacco products fell, because economic 76 losses would be offset by economic gains at household and national levels. Despite Tanzania being one of 77 the highest producers and subsequent consumers of tobacco in Africa, hardly any study to the best of our 78 knowledge has empirically estimated the socio-economic determinants of tobacco use using DHS data. Obtaining 79 an understanding of factors influencing tobacco use will facilitate the development of effective interventions to 80 prevent smoking initiation, as well as to assist established smokers to quit smoking. This study examines factors 81 associated with tobacco use in Tanzania. This research will help us understand the meanings of smoking for the 82 Tanzanian population as well as the social determinants that influence the uptake of smoking and tobacco use, 83 as well as cessation. 84

Therefore, this study investigates tobacco use in Tanzania because of high rates of prevalence of consumption. 85 Additionally, Tanzania requires more consideration because it is the second largest producer of tobacco in Africa 86 and more especially and tobaccoinduced non-communicable diseases (NCDs) such as cardiovascular diseases, 87 cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease have emerged as major causes of mortality (Kidane et al., 88 2015). More so, even after the ratification of the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC), the 89 relatively weak tobacco control policies and programs in Tanzania provide a potential market for the tobacco 90 industry to exploit. Therefore, this study investigates adult tobacco use in Tanzania because of the high rates of 91 prevalence compared with other Sub-Saharan African countries. 92

This paper analyzes individual tobacco use and consumption by using Demographic Health Survey (DHS) data (2010) of Tanzania. The objective is to identify and examine the socioeconomic and demographic factors that influence individuals' tobacco use.

96 **2** II.

⁹⁷ 3 Prevalence of Tobacco use in Main

Cities of Tanzania Tobacco use prevalence is rising in the main cities of Tanzania. It is estimated that more than a third (37%) of all adults (age 15+) use some form of tobacco and almost half of adult men (49%) and a quarter of adult women (25%) currently use tobacco products. Among youth (age [13][14][15] in Dar es Salaam, 6% currently smoke cigarettes (male 10%; female 2%). It was also estimated that more than 10% of youths in Dar es Salaam and other main cities like Arusha and Kilimanjaro currently use tobacco products other than cigarettes (male 13%; female 7%). Tobacco chewing and pipe smoking are popular among the poor in rural areas especially the tobacco cultivating regions of Tabora and Mara regions (Tanzania Step Survey, 2012).

Unlike in developed countries where cigarette smoking is common, both smoking-and chewingtobacco are 105 prevalent among tobacco users in many developing countries especially in Tanzania which is the 2 nd highest 106 producer of tobacco products after Malawi and Zimbabwe in Africa (Kidane et al., 2015). Smoking cigarettes is a 107 common habit among the general male population in Tanzania especially in urban areas while pipe smoking and 108 109 chewing tobacco are common habits among the elderly people mostly in rural areas. World Health Organization analyzed the cost of tobacco consumption at the national level is found to be associated with the increased 110 health-care costs, loss of productivity due to illnesses and early deaths and environmental pollution (WHO, 111 2011) Using Tanzanian Step Survey-2012 fact sheet, prevalence of tobacco use in Tanzania can be illustrated as 112 show in Table 1. From the surveys, the following observations are with regards to the three main cities Arusha 113 -10.6% of students currently use any form of tobacco; 1.7% currently smoke cigarettes; 9.5% currently use some 114 other form of tobacco. 115

Dar es Salaam -9.2% of students currently use any form of tobacco; 2.6% currently smoke cigarettes; 5.7% currently use some other form of tobacco. Kilimanjaro -10.9% of students currently use any form of tobacco;
3.6% currently smoke cigarettes; 8.7% currently use some other form of tobacco.

119 **4 III.**

¹²⁰ 5 Methodology a) Data

The study uses data from the DHS which provides reliable and nationally representative data on fertility, family planning, health, and nutrition of populations in developing nations. The survey has been conducted in 79 countries across the world since the mid-1980s. In Tanzania, a couple of the DHS have been conducted with the most recent being in 2010. The surveys use stratified two-stage cluster designs that oversample low-populated provinces, identify clusters within regions, and choose households randomly within clusters. The surveys thus select nationally representative samples that appropriately include rural as well as urban residents.

For sampled households, one member answers questions about the household in general and provides a list of 127 household residents. Interviews of household representatives were completed for 97-99% of selected households, 128 but response rates were a bit lower for household members. The age ranges of the samples are limited to 15-49 129 years because the DHS are designed to study fertility, which may bias estimates of tobacco use among all adults. 130 The low end of the age range begins at age 15 years, by which time a small but meaningful percentage of youth 131 has already started smoking whereas, the high end of the range misses older smokers (Mackay et al., 2006). In 132 terms of gender, we see about typical distribution of 88.33% females among the cigarette smokers and 80.23% 133 among other tobacco users respectively, while men tend to be under-represented in the sample. Cigarette smokers 134 are better educated than other users. The data shows that mostly the poorest and poor respondent have a high 135 136 proportion of tobacco use. Meanwhile, cigarette smokers are relatively more covered by insurance, other tobacco users are less covered. This may be due to the fact that most respondents using other forms of tobacco are 137 mostly residing in the rural areas (76.46%). In terms of marital status, mostly the married and never married are 138 cigarette smokers while other forms of tobacco use is mostly among the divorced/widowed and never married. The 139 mean age of respondents (34 years and 37.2 years for cigarette smokers and other users respectively) show that 140 tobacco users are mature and should be able to make rational decisions about their tobacco use or consumption.

tobacco users are mature and should be able to make rational decisions about their tobacco use or consum

¹⁴² 6 b) Model Specification

143 In this section, two models will be specified.

The first model examines the determinants of tobacco use taking into consideration the place of residence. The 144 probability of using tobacco is a function of social and economic variables, and a multinomial logit framework 145 is utilized in exploring the effects of these variables on smoking prevalence. The dependent variable, smoking 146 prevalence, has three classes: 0 = non-smokers, 1 = cigarette smokers and 2 = pipe smokers, snuff and chewing 147 tobacco i.e., the estimates give the probability of cigarette smokers and pipe smokers, snuff and chewing tobacco 148 relative to the reference state of non smokers. The standard errors are corrected for repeated observations on 149 the same persons. This approach will present odds ratios for belonging to the two tobacco smoking categories 150 (cigarettes and pipe/other) relative to the baseline category of non-smokers. 151

A Multinomial Logit Model (MNLM) which simultaneously estimates binary logits for all possible comparisons among the outcome categories is well suited to examine such multiple outcomes (Long, 1996). Each nominal outcome is specified as a nonlinear function of the independent variables. The MNLM is formally stated as follows:

Let y be a dependent variable with J nominal outcomes. The J categories are numbered 1,..., J but are not ordered in any way. Let Pr() i

y m X = be the probability of observing outcome m for individual i given , X the set explanatory variables. As a probability model, the MNLM is written as:Pr() (X) 1,..., Pr() m X m b y m X In In X for m J y b X ? = ? = = = =

Where b is the base or reference category, also referred to as the comparison group. These J equations can be solved to compute the predicted probabilities: $1 \exp() \Pr() \exp() m b J j b j X y m X X ? ? = = = ?$ The tobacco smoking questions consider only current behavior, and the surveys contain no information on age of adoption, former smoking, or age of cessation. For the analysis of multinomial logit, the dependent variable (165) y

¹⁶⁶ 7 c) Factors influencing tobacco use

167 The surveys ask respondents four questions, each with yes or no responses available, on whether they smoke 168 cigarettes, pipes, other tobacco, or nothing. Respondents using a mix of non-smoking tobacco use with cigarette 169 smoking are identified and dropped from the analysis.

The analyses examine the association of tobacco use with the following economic and demographic variables. 170 Age in single years ranges from 15-49 years for women and 15-54 years for men. All other variables are treated 171 as dummy variables. Urban residence equals one for those living in cities and zero otherwise. Education has four 172 categories: (1) no school (reference category), (2) completed primary school, (3) completed secondary school, and 173 (4) post-secondary schooling. Employment status include: (1) employed and zero other. Occupation includes: (1) 174 not working (reference category), (2) agricultural self-employed workers and employees, (3) household, domestic, 175 service, and skilled or unskilled manual workers, and (4) professionals, technicians, managers, and clerical and 176 sales workers. Analysis will be based on male and females since DHS data is normally divided into male and 177 female datasets. 178

Although the choice of these variables are informed by the literature (John et al., 2012; Palipudi et al., 179 2012: Hosseinpoor et al., 2011), it was simultaneously constrained by the information available in the Tanzanian 180 DHS dataset. All the variables, except age, are categorical. Although price is an important variable in the 181 estimation of determinants of tobacco and cigarette use ?? Jha and Chaloupka, 2000), was not included in the 182 regression because the price paid for tobacco was not collected by the survey and the crosssectional nature of 183 data limited variation in tobacco prices across time. Religion and ethnicity are not included in the Tanzanian 184 DHS according to the constitution of the United Republic of Tanzania. Therefore, these two variables are not 185 being included in our analysis. 186

187 8 IV.

188 Estimation Results (More Interpretation)

Table 3 presents the multinomial logit regression and logit regression for both male and female individuals. Since the coefficients of these regressions do not have clear economic meaning, the marginal effects of the explanatory variables are computed. The marginal effect is equal to the partial derivative of response probabilities with regression explanatory unrichlage calculated at the many variables.

with respect to the explanatory variables, calculated at the mean value of the explanatory variables.

The results show that the probability of smoking first increases and then decreases when the age increases for both males and females. These findings are consistent with Laxminarayan and Deolalikar (2004) and Cuong (2012).

People with no education and primary education are more likely to smoke than people with secondary and post secondary education. Similar to other results, people with the high of education are more likely to reduce

smoking prevalence rate. Individuals belonging to the poorest and poor income groups are more likely to smoke than people in the rich group, in respective of the gender. This result stipulates that increase in income can lead to a reduction in smoking. These findings are similar to those of several studies such as Townsend et al., ??1994),

Evans et al., ??1996), Laxminarayan and Deolalikar (2004) and Cuong (2012).

People who have never married are mostly likely to increase smoking prevalence for males. The effect is most likely to reduce for females even though it is not significant. Married people are less likely to smoke. This shows that the effect of marriage is positive and statistically significant on reducing the smoking intensity rates among

205 Tanzanians.

The results show that being a domestic unskilled female worker increases the probability of smoking as compared to male domestic unskilled workers which is not statistically significant.

Household size does not have a significant effect on the smoking status for all the models. However, the negative sign on the coefficients shows that individuals living in a household with a larger proportion of members are less likely to smoke.

211 9 Source: Author's Calculation

Table 4 shows that the probability decreases substantially with the increase intensity of tobacco use in Tanzania. As for males, 535 out of 2527 have 0.05 probability of using Tobacco while for females, 10924 out of 12779 have a 0.05 probability of using tobacco in Tanzania. The mean values show that if a male individual is chosen at random, there will be a 19.82 percent that he a tobacco user, whereas for females the percentage is relatively low at 2.44.

218 10 Conclusion

Tanzania is a developing country with a high prevalence of tobacco use with respect to other SSA countries. In the recent years young people as well as adults have been highly involved in tobacco consumption. This might

²¹⁷ V.

be attributed to the fact that Tanzania is one of the highest producer and exporter of tobacco in Africa (second after Malawi). Even though Tanzania ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC) like many SSA countries, the government does not have strong legal framework to reduce tobacco use. Smoking is still allowed in public areas. People can smoke almost everywhere. This increases the harms of the second-hand smoke on health.

Understanding the factors affecting smoking is helpful for policies on tobacco reduction in Tanzania. This 226 paper examines how socio-economic characteristics can affect individual tobacco use using 2010 DHS data. Using 227 the multinomial model and logit model, the paper finds age, no education, primary education; poorest and 228 poor individuals are the most crucial determinants of tobacco use for both male and female individuals where 229 as unemployment and working in the domestic or unskilled labour are also crucial determinants of tobacco use 230 for female individuals. Household size, age squared and being married are negatively correlated with tobacco 231 use. Therefore, being married reduces the probability of smoking significantly. The finding on household size 232 is interesting but further in-depth studies would be needed to find out how household composition can affect 233 tobacco use decisions of household members. 234

²³⁵ 11 VI.

236 12 Limitations

237 The age truncation used in the DHS data (15-49 years) limited the ability to provide an overall picture of adult tobacco use in Tanzania. Even though the choice of the explanatory variables for the study was informed by the 238 existing literature, it was simultaneously constrained by information available in the DHS dataset and analyses 239 were based on the structure of the data. One of the variables of importance (religion) was not included in the 240 analysis to better inform policies because it is a sensitive issue and consequently not included in the survey. This 241 study was cross-sectional in nature, which limited the ability to make any causal inferences. Nevertheless, the 242 study provided a comprehensive investigation into tobacco use in Tanzania that could assist the government, 243 public health community and policy makers to target their efforts to reduce the high level of tobacco use and 244 1 2 3 consequently reducing the effects of second-hand smoking.

1

Results for adults aged 25 -64 years Percentage who smoke tobacco	Both sexes 14.10%	$\frac{Males}{26.00\%}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Females} \\ 2.90\% \end{array}$
refeelinge who shoke tobacco	(12.4% - 15.8%)	(23.1%) -28.9\%)	(1.7% - 4.0%)
Percentage who smoke tobacco daily	11.80%	22.20%	2.00%
	(10.2% -13.4%)	(19.7%) -24.7\%	(0.7% -3.2%)
Percentage of current tobacco users (smol	ке		
and smokeless tobacco)	15.90%	28%	4.50%
	(14.0% - 17.9%)	(25.1%	(3.3% - $5.6%)$
		-30.9%)	
For those who smoke tobacco daily			
Average age started smoking (years)	21.9	21.8	22.4
	(21.0 - 22.8)	(21.1 - 22.5)	(17.8 - 27.0)
Percentage daikyng	knecking		
manufactured cigarettes	79.80%	81.20%	64.80%
	(72.6% - 87.0%)	(74.9%)	(32.6%
		-87.5%)	-96.9%)
	Source: Tanzania		
	Step Survey -2012		
	Fact sheet		

Figure 1: Table 1 :

$\mathbf{2}$

	Arusha			Dar es S	Salaam		Kilimanjaro	
Type	Male	Femal	eTotal	Male	Female	Total	Male	
-Ever smoked cigarettes	7.5%	4.9%	6.2%	12.7%	6.0%	9.2%	16.3%	
- Curnsets	f							
Cigarettes	2.2%	1.1%	1.7%	4.6%	0.7%	2.6%	3.3%	
-Ever users of any								
tobacco products	12.4%	8.8%	10.6%	9.7%	5.3%	7.6%	12.5%	
-Current users of other								
tobacco products	10.8%	8.2%	9.5%	6.2%	4.7%	5.7%	10.4%	
					Source:	Global Youth	Tobacco Survey	(Tan

Figure 2: Table 2 :

$\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$

Variables gender	Categories/description female male Married	Cigarettes 83.50 16.49 46.27	Others 80.23 19.77 9.63	
marital status	Widowed / Divorced	7.970	50.15	
500005	Never Married		45.76	40.22
Residence	Urban Rural		53.98 46.02	23.54 76.46
	Unemployed		19.98	39.20
sector	Agriculture, manufacturing	Domestic	$44.70\ 25.10$	$37.90\ 17.52$
	workers and construction			
	Public sector, health care, educ	ation	10.14	5.380
	poorest		17.47	33.37
	poor		31.22	29.35
wealth	middle		24.84	20.69
	Rich		18.43	12.39
	Richest		8.040	4.370
insurance	percentage coverage		20.01	16.85
	No education or less than prima	ary	38.36	40.3
education	Primary education	27.37	31.73	
	Secondary and higher		34.27	27.97
age (mean)	mean age of respondents in yea	rs	34.0	37.2

Figure 3: Table xx :

other to bacco), and using other forms of to bacco (pipe smoking, snuff and chewing to bacco). J

namely: no tobacco use (base category-b), smoking cigarettes (excluding those who use both cigarettes and

Figure 4:

	Male Multinomial logit Logit			Female Multinomial logit Logit		
Variable	Cigarette	Others	Smoking	Cigarette	Others	Smoking
Age	0.349^{***}	0.5101^{***}	0.339**	0.0138**	0.473^{**}	0.161^{***}
Age	(0.539)	(0.193)	(0.052)	(0.0138)	(0.139)	(0.056)
Agesq	-0.004***	-0.0067**	-0.004	-0.0001	-0.003	-0.001
ngesq	(0.001)	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.002)	(0.001)
Urban	(0.001) 0.4719^{**}	-0.7986	(0.001) 0.427	-0.005	(0.002) -0.502	(0.001) -0.276
Orban	(0.179)	(0.557)	(0.176)	(0.006)	(0.054)	(0.184)
Rural	Omitted	(0.001)	(0.170)	(0.000)	(0.004)	(0.104)
Noeduc	0.4927**	14.929***	0.564**	0.262*	2.36^{***}	-1.873***
Noeuuc	(0.237)	(0.527)	(0.230)	(0.016)	(0.002)	(0.724)
Primary	(0.237) 0.5078^{***}	(0.321) 14.381^{***}	(0.230) 0.549^{***}	0.0678	(0.002) 0.024^{**}	(0.124) -2.42***
1 mary	(0.168)	(0.373)	(0.166)	(0.019)	(0.024)	(0.722)
Sec_high	Omitted	(0.313)	(0.100)	(0.013)	(0.004)	(0.122)
Hhsize	-0.043*	-0.031	-0.039*	-0.058	0.028	0.015
11115120	(0.017)	(0.040)	(0.017)	(0.006)	(0.019)	(0.013)
Poorest	0.9692***	(0.040) 1.302^*	(0.017) 1.077^{***}	0.8807**	(0.015) 1.1555^{***}	1.908***
1 001050	(0.259)	(0.782)	(0.251)	(0.105)	(0.074)	(0.288)
Poor	0.7465**	(0.7649)	0.793^{***}	0.655**	0.532^{**}	1.714**
1 001	(0.248)	(0.805)	(0.241)	(0.104)	(0.092)	(0.293)
Middle	0.4203*	0.2426	(0.211) 0.431^*	0.984	0.609	(0.200) 1.104^{***}
Mildule	(0.244)	(0.810)	(0.239)	(0.111)	(0.162)	(0.291)
Rich	0.198	0.044	0.185	-0.583	0.269	(0.201) 0.192
101011	(0.213)	(0.723)	(0.208)	(0.118)	(0.077)	(0.297)
Richest	Omitted	(0.120)	(0.200)	(0.110)	(0.011)	(0.201)
Insurance	0.144	-14.073***	0.103	-0.233	-0.0207	-0.268
	(0.256)	(0.280)	(0.257)	(0.145)	(0.127)	(0.286)
Never_ma		0.836*	0.855***	0.056	-0.036	-0.581
1.0.01_00	(0.255)	(0.868)	(0.251)	(0.015)	(0.021)	(0.593)
Married	-1.274***	-1.129*	-1.255***	-0.064**	-0.019***	0.051
	(0.199)	(0.578)	(0.198)	(0.017)	(0.022)	(0.110)
Divorced/v		()	()	()	<u> </u>	()
Unemploye		0.328	-0.692	0.523**	0.325^{*}	0.445^{*}
			•			

Figure 5: Table 3 :

4					
Male			Female		
Probability	Frequency	Percent	Probability	Frequency Percer	nt
0.05	535	21.17	0.05	10924.77	85.49
0.1	277	10.96	0.1	1536.03	12.02
0.15	277	10.96	0.15	283.69	2.22
0.2	286	11.32	0.2	20.45	0.16
0.25	280	11.08	0.25	8.95	0.07
0.3	281	11.12	0.3	3.83	0.03
0.35	185	7.32	-	-	-
0.4	150	5.94	-	-	-
0.45	115	4.55	-	-	-
0.5	56	2.22	-	-	-
0.6	34	1.35	-	-	-
0.7	27	1.07	-	-	-
0.8	22	0.87	-	-	-
0.9	2	0.08			
Total	2527	100	Total	12779	100
Mean	0.1982			0.0244	

Figure 6: Table 4 :

 $^{^1(}$) 2017 © 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US) 1 2 © 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US)

³() 2017 G Socio-Economic Determinants of Tobacco use in Tanzania: Estimates using Demographic Health Survey

- [Ash ()] Action on Smoking and Health: Fact Sheet on Smoking statistics -Illness and death, Ash. 2015.
- [Jha ()] 'Avoidable deaths from smoking: a global perspective'. P Jha . Public Health Reviews 2012. 33 p. .
- [Kidane et al. ()] Costs of Cardiovascular Diseases among Smokers in Tanzania, A Kidane, A Hepelwa, E Ngeh
 T Hu. 2015. Tanzania. (Paper presented in the 1 st Voice of Social Science Conference)
- [Morrell et al. ()] 'Earlier age of smoking initiation may not predict heavier cigarette consumption in later
 adolescence'. H E Morrell , A V Song , B Halpern-Felsher . Journal of Adolescent Health 2011. 46 (2) .
- [Long ()] Regression Models for Categorical and Limited Dependent Variables, J S Long . 1996. Thousand Oaks,
 CA: Sage Publications.
- [Ezzati et al. ()] 'Selected major risk factors and global and regional burden of disease'. M Ezzati , A D Lopez ,
 A Rodgers , S V Hoorn , C J L Murray . Lancet 2002. 360 p. .
- [Cuong ()] 'Smoking Behavior in Vietnam: Demographic and Socioeconomic Determinants'. N V Cuong . Paper
 No. 36516. Munich Personal RePEc (MPRA) 2012.
- [Palipudi et al. ()] 'Social determinants of health and tobacco use in thirteen low and middle income countries:
 evidence from Global Adult Tobacco Survey'. K M Palipudi , P C Gupta , D N Sinha , L J Andes , S Asma
 T Mcafee . *PLoS One* 2012. 7 (3) p. e33466.
- [Hosseinpoor et al. ()] 'Social determinants of smoking in low-and middle-income countries: results from the
 World Health Survey'. A R Hosseinpoor , L A Parker , E Tursan D'espaignet , S Chatterji . *PLoS One* 2011.
 6 (5) p. e20331.
- [John et al. ()] 'Socioeconomic implications of tobacco use in Ghana'. R M John , Mamudu , Hm , Liber . Nicotine
 Tobacco Resource 2012. 14 (10) p. .
- [Mamudu et al. ()] 'The odd man out in Sub-Saharan Africa: understanding the tobacco use prevalence in
 Madagascar'. H M Mamudu , S John , A E Ogwell Veeranki , Ouma . *Public Health* 2013. 13 p. .
- [Mackay et al. ()] The tobacco atlas. 2. Geneva: World Health Organization, J Mackay , M Eriksen , O Shafey .
 2006.
- ²⁶⁹ [Jha Chaloupka (ed.) ()] *Tobacco Control in Developing Countries*, P Jha, F Chaloupka (ed.) (Oxford) 2000.
 ²⁷⁰ Oxford University Press.
- [Opdal ()] Tobacco control in Tanzania, K Opdal . 2008. Norway. Norwegian University of Science and Technology
 (Unpublished Master Thesis)
- [Laxminarayan and Deolalikar ()] 'Tobacco initiation, cessation, and change: evidence from Vietnam'. R Laxminarayan , A Deolalikar . *Health Economics* 2004. 13 p. .
- [Warning about the Dangers of Tobacco; World Health Organization WHO Report on the Global Tobacco Epidemic ()]
- 'Warning about the Dangers of Tobacco; World Health Organization'. WHO Report on the Global Tobacco
 Epidemic 2011. 2011. (World Health Organization)