Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

1	Effects of Macroeconomic Variables on the Stock Market
2	Volatility: The Pakistan Experience
3	Waqar Khalid
4	Received: 11 December 2016 Accepted: 31 December 2016 Published: 15 January 2017

#### 6 Abstract

This research paper empirically investigates the effects of interest rates, exchange rates and 7 inflation rates on stock market performance of Pakistan by using annual time series data 8 covering the 1991-2017 periods. The prime intention of this research was to inspect the long-9 run and short-run relationships between the KSE-100 index and macroeconomic variables by 10 employing the econometric techniques of autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) bounds 11 testing procedure to cointegration and the Error Correction Model (ECM), respectively. By 12 applying the ARDL model, the empirical results revealed the fact that there was a negative 13 and significant impact of interest rate on the market index, whereas; the exchange rate and 14 inflation rate have a positive impact on stock market volatility in the long-run. Furthermore, 15 the ECM analysis points out that an estimated coefficient of the error correction term was 16 significant with expected negative sign and shows that 46.53 17

18

19 Index terms—stock exchange, ardl, macroeconomic variables, time series data, kse-100 index, Pakistan.

### <sup>20</sup> 1 Introduction a) Background of the Study

well-functioning and a well-developed financial sector play a leading role in the economic growth and development of a country. The efficient and effective utilization of capital resources in the financial market is the imperative job of a well-organized financial system. Through the financial system, the economy's scarce resources move from savers to borrowers. Savers provide their surplus income to the financial system with the expectations to achieve the highest rate of return in future. Conversely, borrowers demand funds from the same system with the understanding that they will be mandatory to repay the amount with the interest rate in future.

27 The financial system of a country has two main classes, (1) Financial Markets, and (2) Financial Intermediary. Financial markets are the institutions and arrangements which brings together buyers and sellers of financial 28 instrument. The elementary function of a financial market is the proper allocation of savings of individuals 29 eventually in the economy. That is, to collect funds from a saving surplus unit and invest that into a saving 30 deficit unit. In Pakistan, the bond or security market and the stock market are the principal financial markets. In 31 contrast, financial intermediaries consist on those financial arrangements and institutions through which lenders 32 can indirectly offer finances to debtors. Financial intermediaries include the overall banking sector of Pakistan. 33 These are the banks through which the money flows from the hands of savers to borrowers. Financial markets 34 have two main categories, (i) Money market, and (ii) Capital market. Money markets are those institutions 35 which are concerned with transactions of short-term credit instruments (the maturity time of such instruments 36 37 is less than a year), for example, bonds and treasury bills, etc. This market has no physical existence found 38 anywhere like the stock market, but it refers the network of all demanders, suppliers and brokers of short-term 39 bonds or treasury bills extend to the whole country. On the contrary, capital markets refer those institutions 40 where longerterm financial securities (the maturity time is more than a year) are traded ??Horne and Wachowicz, 2008), for instance, stocks, bonds, shares, etc. This market structure includes those financial institutions through 41 which surplus money (savings) in the economy is transmitted to investors. The major components of capital 42 market comprise of insurance companies, investment banks, savings banks, stock exchanges, etcetera. 43

A vibrant, developed and dynamic capital market can present appreciably in the rapid economic growth of a country. Due to the well-functioning of a capital market, the funds raised from people can easily mobilize for 46 further investments to others in the productive channels, therefore activates the unused monetary resources. It 47 also assists in capital formation, which refers the net accumulation to the existing quantity of stock of capital in 48 the country. Through the mobilization of such funds, it generates savings, which in turn, available in different 49 sectors of the economy such as agriculture and industry. In Pakistan, the capital market consists of the stock 50 market or equity market and debt market.

Based on the latest economic facts, Pakistan is considered an emerging global economy. The economic outcome depends on two most important factors; (i) the consistent political and macroeconomic stability on national levels, which provokes investor's confidence and create a center of attention for home and abroad capital, plus (ii) the current financial sector development and revolution. From the observation of emerging Asia, one of the changes such as the structural changes in the equity market has been noteworthy (Akhtar, 2006).

The equity market is chief in establishing the speed with which policy steps changes are spread out into the 56 whole country. This market is really sensitive to the changes in monetary policy tools through their handlings 57 of the levels of macroeconomic variables in the country. The stock market performance is one of the leading 58 dynamics which affects the economic progress of a nation and may have practical implications for macroeconomic 59 variables to accomplish the preferred outcomes. This market performance is highly influenced by many macro 60 variables, for instance, interest rate, exchange rate and inflation rate (Tripathi and Seth, 2014). The stock 61 62 market development level, domestic currency value and the interest rate level give details of the dynamics in the 63 development level of an economy.

64 The finance theory suggests that there is a relationship among these variables both in the short and long run. For instance, if the central bank increases the interest rate from its previous level, this policy step would 65 clue investors to find the money market for their investments, if other variables do not change. Conversely, if 66 the interest rate decreases by the central bank from its prior level, this change would be a signal for investors 67 to mobilize their funds into the stock markets giving a better reward. But this move in investments is possible 68 only if both the prescribed markets are perfectly close substitutes to each other in the long-run. A negative and 69 significant relation between interest rate and stock return has been found in the study conducted by Ahmad et 70 al. (2010). Similarly, the interest rate shows a negative and significant relationship with the stock prices in the 71

72 long-run (Mukit, 2012).

There are a wide range of economic factors which can influence the behavior of stock market volatility, but 73 the most important among all the factors is the exchange rates which have attracted the attention of not only 74 75 economists and policymakers, but the investment community as well for a long time (Kutty, 2010). The exchange 76 rates develop the underlying stock market performance (Abraham, 2011). The disorder in equity markets can be prohibited from maintaining a proper check on the existing exchange rates. Then again, if stock prices influence 77 the exchange rates of a country, in that case steps may be implemented to regularize the normal workings of 78 stock markets. Depreciation of currency in a country increases those firms competitiveness that are involved in 79 export business because the price of such stocks will pursue a rising trend and the anticipation is that overseas 80 investors are attracted to the domestic stock market. The exchange rate has a constructive influence on the stock 81 return in the long term (Mukit, 2012) and significant relation has been concluded for the same variables in the 82 study conducted by (Ahmad et al., 2010). Though, Aslam (2014) put forward that the correlation between stock 83 market performance and the domestic currency is negative. Whereas, the empirical study taken by Ihsan et al. 84 (2015) revealed no evidence for association between Fx rate and the market index for Pakistan. 85

Since the independence of Pakistan, the Pakistan's currency (PKR) remained related to the Pound Sterling up 86 to the month of September, 1971 and afterwards to the United States dollar (USD) till January, 1982 under the 87 fixed exchange rate system (Janjua, 2007). But this arrangement was changed to the managed floating exchange 88 rate system with effect from January 8, 1982 by the government of General Zia-ul-Haq with the aim of sustaining 89 a favourable balance of payment and to ensure export competitiveness (Janjua, 2007). Under this system, the 90 PKR value was settled on a daily basis relating to a basket of currencies of Pakistan's key trading competitors 91 and partners. Afterwards, when Pakistan becomes the 7 th atomic power of the globe and successfully conduct 92 nuclear detonation on May 8, 1998, then several economic sanctions were imposed on our homeland by its foremost 93 donors. Due to such reasons, the foreign exchange reserves of Pakistan fall sharply. After such nuclear explosions, 94 a two-tier or dual exchange rate regime (i-e. official exchange rate and floating interbank exchange rate) were 95 launched with effect from July 22, 1998. Nevertheless, effective from May 19, 1999, the exchange regime has been 96 integrated, and the marketbased floating exchange rate system was introduced. Under this system, the currency 97 rate is determined by the Dd-Ss forces in the Fx market. At present, Pakistan is prevailing floating rate, where 98 each commercial bank sets its personal exchange rates based on its time spanning positions. The State Bank 99 of Pakistan started making purchases in unofficial markets to divert the flow of foreign exchange from unofficial 100 markets to interbank markets (Hyder and Mahboob, 2006). While effective from July 20, 2000 to now, Pakistan 101 has adopted the free floating regime of exchange rate. Under this regime, the currency rate is decided by the 102 interactions of free market forces of demand and supply in an open market, and hence there is no role of the SPB 103 (Janjua, 2007). 104

The theoretical relationship between inflation rate and stock market performance has been empirically investigated by many financial economists across the globe. When the overall price level rises in the economy, each currency unit buys fewer commodities, so reducing the purchasing power of money income. The social effects of an inflationary trend of an economy are frequent and may be negative or positive, but largely negative

(Uwubanmwen and Eghosa, 2015). An unfavorable social cost of an anticipated inflation contains a fall in the real 109 money value and other monetary articles over a long time span. The unanticipated inflation may dampen savings 110 and investments, and also hurts citizens on fixed pensions. Likewise, if the inflation rate is very fast, scarcity 111 of products occurs in the market as households start hoarding due to which prices will begin to rise even more 112 in the future times. More specifically, the stock prices determine how efficient and effective the equity market 113 distributes shares and equities on the ground of inclination as well as the availability of market information. 114 Rise or fall in the price of stock builds ambiguity and uncertainty for those people who plan to invest and, in a 115 result, affect the demand and supply forces of stocks. Consequently, rises in the general prices may influence the 116 investment decision of a potential investor which has an adverse impact on the overall returns of stocks in the 117 stock market at large. 118

The economy of Pakistan is one of the essential regional economies. Though, uncertainty in the shape of wars, earthquakes, floods, shocks, etc. and frequent political instability has badly affected the economic performance of the economy in question over the last two to three decades. But no one can deny the fact that the Pakistan economy has tremendous capacity to achieve high levels of economic efficiency by way of equivalent development in major sectors. The verdicts may too be noticed on the currency, which has largely depreciated against the foreign currencies by a large margin in recent times with immediate effects on macroeconomic indicators used to assess the economic position and the market index is certainly one of them.

126 The present democratic government, which was elected in 2013 with the majority public mandate has dedicated 127 serious efforts to reform and in this respect, certain measures have been in action to stop the continuous declining rate of PKR/US\$ and encourage steps helpful to equity market development. Despite the pluses and minuses of 128 the current government interest, to a degree the currency value has appreciated against the USD for a shorter 129 time, but the major confront is whether a targeted point of currency parity will be sustained in the presence of the 130 immense challenges facing the economy of Pakistan today. And more essentially, the interlinked macroeconomic 131 effects may be checked on the stock market index, which is an eminent indicator and the investors use it as a 132 yardstick to invest their past and current savings in the stock market. This research study is also an endeavor 133 to empirically consider the effects of macroeconomic variables on the stock market volatility as measured by the 134 Karachi Stock Exchange (KSE-100) index. The empirical relations of these variables may present an idea to stock 135 market investors living anywhere and acts as a knowledge base for financial experts and concerned government 136 bodies to make profitable decisions which develop the significance of the current empirical work. 137

# <sup>138</sup> 2 b) Karachi Stock Exchange (KSE-100) Index

In this research work, we are going to examine the short and long term connection of macro variables on the 139 140 equity market performance by applying yearly dataset covering the 1991-2017 periods. On September 18, 1947, 141 the Karachi Stock Exchange (KSE) was introduced which was initially considered the biggest stock exchange 142 in the region on the basis of market capitalization indicator. At the start, a total number of five companies were registered and the KSE-50 index was set up to capture its performance, which was based on 50 financial 143 companies, and with times more companies were appearing in the list of the KSE due to the enhancement of 144 trading activities in volume. Hence, to better capture the stock market performance, the KSE-50 index was 145 changed into the PSX index in November 1991 with a reference value of 1000. The KSE-100 indicator consists 146 of top hundred listed firms selected from different sectors in terms of highest market capitalization. There are 147 many indices that are used in Pakistan for measuring the stock market volatility such as the KSE-30, KSE-50, 148 LSE 25, ISE 10, BR Index 30, BR Index 100, KMI 30 etc., but the most frequently quoted index is the KSE-100; 149 as it offers an excellent indication of how the Pakistan stock market is performing. It is the biggest market index, 150 151 which represents the sector-wise (34) performance of the biggest companies of Pakistan listed on the exchange market and works as a yardstick to evaluate stock prices. The KSE-100 index captures about 90% of the market 152 capitalization of listed companies (Ihsan et al., 2015). It is a value weighted index and is constituted after every 153 six months. The same index was renewed as a free float index on October 15, 2012 and in the same year, the KSE 154 was acknowledged as the best performing stock market globally. On March 6, 2015, there were 580 companies on 155 the KSE with entire market capitalization of 7, 625.837 billion rupees ??Pakistan Economic Survey, 2016-17). 156

With effect from 11 January, 2016, all the three equity markets 1 (PSX) which provides a sole platform to 157 foreign investors mostly. Latest statistics show that the PSX-100 index (or the KSE-100 index) was a top ranked 158 market in South Asia and stood in 5 th position as a finest performing stock market across the boundaries in 159 2016 by Bloomberg, providing a total return of 46% for the same year. And this return was also stood best in 160 MSCI 2 frontier markets. Over the last 10 years, the average gain of the PSX was 20% and over the last 20 161 years, this return was 24%. The same index gained a huge momentum in the fiscal year 2016. During the first 162 163 quarter of the financial year 2016, the PSX index showed a sluggish and sliding trend because of low oil prices in 164 the market. But during the 2016Q2, the stock market gained an upward trend and business investors remained confident and bullish even with tensions in political plus international boarder fronts. Some of the sectors which 165 have contributed to the PSX index include banking, refinery, pharmaceutical, cement, E & P, and so on. Starting 166 from July 1, 2016 up to May 8, 2017, the covered time span witnessed an upward trend for the market index. 167 The KSE-100 index showed marvelous performance of the PSX market over the stated period largely because of 168 reforms undertaken by SECP 3 169

#### <sup>170</sup> 3 c) Problem Statement

The stock market volatility and its impact on the macro economy is the core interest area of research for 171 economists, policymakers, financial analysts and business community experts due to its crucial role in the 172 economic development of a country. The finance theory suggests that variation of the stock market is extensively 173 correlated with different macroeconomic variables. Among the class of those macroeconomic variables, the 174 fluctuations in both interest rate and exchange rate are considered to be major variables which can exert a 175 momentous influence on the stock market volatility. A change in interest rate is an essential economic and 176 financial factor influencing the value of stocks and stock market return (Joseph and Vezos, 2006). The converse 177 relationship of interest rate with exchange rate can force the value of stock in either direction. Alternatively, 178 the level of inflation appears to affect the price of common stocks, but the relation between the two variables 179 is unclear. Some research studies like Schwert (1981) and Fama (1981) established a converse and significant 180 association between inflation and the equity market. Though, some empirical works such as Pearce and Roley 181 (1985) and Hardouvelis (1987) established no such type of relations between the said variables. And the same 182 kind of contradiction has also been found in the relationship between exchange rate and the stock market index. 183 Irrespective of the theoretical knowledge and the significance of the relationship between stock market volatility 184 and macroeconomic factors, the empirical evidence suggests that there exists a clear dichotomy about the 185 relationships between stock market return and macroeconomic variables. Minuscule idea about the actual 186 relationship of interest rate and exchange rate with stock market volatility is one of the main issues of investors, 187 which can adversely affect their future profitability. Since the association between stock market return and 188 macroeconomic factors is not obvious, it is obligatory for researchers to explore the existing behaviour of the 189 variables. But surprisingly, a dearth of empirical studies exists in Pakistan to explore the interactions between 190 stock market volatility and macroeconomic variables. Though some research studies are available on the same 191 concern, but mostly they have investigated the interactions between exchange rate and the KSE-100 index. 192 Therefore, this research study is an endeavour to fill the empirical research gap and to investigate the short-run 193 and longrun relationship of the stock market volatility with macroeconomic variables. To my knowledge, this 194 study is the opening of its nature at the countrywide level of Pakistan since the Asian crisis of 1997/98. 195

### <sup>196</sup> 4 d) Research Questions

Following are the few major research questions that we are going to address in this research study. After this introduction chapter, the remainder of the paper will proceed as follows. Section 2 reviews the existing literature on the study. Section 3 presents a detailed description of the data and data sources. Section 4 deals with the theoretical and empirical framework, including the ARDL estimation strategy.

Empirical results of the study are discussed in Section 5. Lastly, Section 6 concludes this study with some policy implications.

### 203 **5 II.**

#### 204 6 Literature Review

An extensive number of research articles can be found worldwide examining the relationship between stock market volatility and macroeconomic variables over the last half century. The economic and financial theory conceived that there is a possible and mixed association found between the stock market and macroeconomic variables. In recent times, a large number of empirical works focus on the dynamic relations between the stock market and interest rate and exchange rate.

The earlier studies such as Modigliani (1971) and Mishkin (1977) conclude that smaller interest rate rise stock 210 prices which sequentially lead to improved business investment activity. In general, a small interest rate directs 211 privileged capital flows to the equity market in anticipation for a higher profit where a high rate of interest 212 endorses more saving amounts in banking sector and accordingly lessens the capital flow to the open stock 213 markets. The empirical study conducted by Fama and Schwert (1977) concludes an inverse relationship between 214 stock returns and T-bills rates. Mukit (2012) investigated the effects of interest rate changes on the stock market 215 return by using annual time series data from 1991 to 2012. The Johansen cointegration procedure demonstrates 216 that the interest rate shows a negative and significant relationship with the stock prices in the long-run and also 217 there exist at least one cointegrating relation between the variables. The Granger causality test shows that there 218 219 exists a unidirectional causality from interest rates to stock prices.

220 A recent dynamic study analyzed by Muktadiral-Mukit (2013) examines the economic effects of interest rates on 221 stock market volatility by employing monthly data over the period of 1991 to 2012 for the Bangladesh's economy. 222 A cointegrating test exposes a significant and stable long-run connection between the selected variables. It has also been concluded that a 1% rise in interest rate causes a 13.20% reduction in market index in the long-run. 223 The ECT coefficient value shows that 0.12% variation of stock returns is corrected in the short term. The result 224 of the impulse response function also confirms the converse relationship between the variables included in the 225 model. And, the result of the Granger causality affirms the existence of a unidirectional causal relationship which 226 is from the interest rate to stock market index. 227

There have been a large number of research studies investigating the dynamic and causal relationship between 228 exchange rates and stock market volatility and all these empirical studies provide mixed results about the 229 relationship between the two variables. Kasman (2003) analyzed the linkage between exchange rate and stock 230 231 prices for the stock market of Turkey by using a high frequency data. The numerical results of Johansen approach provide empirical evidence that a long term connection exist between stock prices and exchange rate. What's 232 more, the findings of the Granger causality analysis signify a unidirectional causal relationship between the two 233 variables and hence, the causality relation exists from the exchange rate to industry sector index. For the stock 234 market of India, Nath and Samanta (2003) have inspected the dynamic and causal relationship of the exchange 235 rate with stock market return by using daily time series data covering the periods of March 1993 to December 236 2003. The outcomes prove that the casual linkage between the two markets is commonly absent, however, in 237 recent periods, a strong causal relationship has been found from stock market return to foreign exchange market 238 return. Similarly, Kutty (2010) studied the empirical relationship of the exchange rate with stock prices for 239 the Mexican's stock market while using the weekly data for the year of Jan-1989 to Dec-2006. The results of 240 cointegration test presents that there is no long time correlation exists between the examined data. While the 241 Granger causality test recommends for the short-run relationship between the explored data. 242

A same kind of analysis has been carried out by Ihsan et al. (2015) in Pakistan and checked for the relationship 243 244 between exchange rate and stock market index. Considering the daily time series data over the period of 245 September 2012 to May 2014, the results of the Johansen technique to cointegration report that there is no long-run involvement exists between currency rate and KSE-100 index. Whereas, the Granger causality test 246 concludes that the currency rate doesn't cause the PSX index. Employing the GARCH model, Mlambo et al. 247 (2013) assessed the economic effects of exchange rate changes on the stock exchange of Johannesburg (JSE) by 248 using monthly South African dataset over the 2000-2010 periods. After estimating the model, they found a very 249 weak linkage between exchange rate changes and the JSE market. Further, the impact of prime overdraft rate 250 plus total mining production on the market capitalization was found negative. But surprisingly, the impact of 251 interest rate of US on the market capitalization was found positive. It was suggested that government should 252 focus exchange 253

### $_{254}$ 7 B

Effects of Macroeconomic Variables on the Stock Market Volatility: The Pakistan Experience rate as a policy instrument to magnetize foreign portfolio investment. Using the ARCH family and Johansen cointegration models, Bhat and Shah (2015) investigated the relationship between stock market volatility and changes in exchange rate for Pakistan using a weekly time series for the 1997-2013 periods. The results of Johansen cointegration model conclude that both the examined variables are cointegrated with each other in the longrun. And the Granger causality verdicts confirm the bi-directional causal relationship between the two variables under examination.

A more recent study on the same concern carried out by Poornima and Ganeshwari (2016) tried to look at the dynamic attachment between NIFTY index and exchange rate by applying the data periods from July 2014 to July 2016 choosing daily closing indices. Analysis of the correlation between the mentioned variables shows a negative coefficient value. As well, findings of the Granger causality test highlight a unidirectional causal relationship between NIFTY returns and exchange rates.

The former empirical studies validated that when inflationary pressure happen in a country due to some socioeconomic reasons, surely it will influence the prevailing stock market performance as the stock exchange market plays a fundamental job in the economic boom and encouraging capital accumulation and supporting economic prosperity (Hamrita et al., 2009), the rationale is that on every occasion the price of consumer products changes it will surely affect the stock market profitability in either direction (that is, negatively, positively, or may not the case due to some responsible factors). Few of the important studies are reported as follows.

The former study carried out by Mukherjee and Naka (1995) examined the connection of stock prices in the 273 Tokyo stock market with a group of macroeconomic variables. Their empirical verdicts of the Error Correction 274 Model (ECM) report a positive relation of the stock price with exchange rate, money supply and industrial 275 production. Over the period starting from January 2000 to March 2010, Limpanithiwat and Rungsombudpornkul 276 (2010) have investigated the long-run association between stock prices and inflation in Thailand while introducing 277 the impacts of Tsunami and global economic recession on the underlying relationship. The results of the VAR 278 (Vector Autoregression) model display that volatility of stock prices is irrelevant to inflation. In addition, to 279 capture the effects of inflation on the equity value, a number of direct interviews have also been conducted to 280 281 assemble opinion of investors who investing in the stock market of Thailand. The results of interviewees also 282 support the stated conclusion.

While using the ARDL bounds test, Ibrahim and Agbaje (2013) have examined the long-run dynamic linkages between stock market returns and inflation in Nigeria using the monthly time series data from 1997 to 2010. The findings of the ARDL approach suggest that there exists a long-run co-integrating the relationship between stock returns and inflation. The results of the ECM show that the pace of convergence to equilibrium position is moderate which means that there is evidence of the short-run interactions between the two macro variables.

Using the quarterly data covering the January 1996 to December 2011 periods, Saleem et al. (2013) had examined the long-run co-integrating relationship between the KSE-100 index and inflation through the use of

Johansen techniques for Pakistan's economy. The evidence from Johansen procedure to cointegration yields an 290 inverse linkage between the selected market index return and inflation due to the reason that Pakistan is not a 291 developed country. When the inflation rate becomes high, it badly affects the economic performance, which in 292 293 turn affects the stock market profitability and the responsible reasons for this backwardness are the prevailing economic conditions, huge budget deficit and the remaining other important economic factors. The empirical 294 outcome of the Granger causality test indicates that there is no such evidence of a causal relationship between the 295 said variables in any direction. Solnik (1987) investigated the economic impact of macroeconomic factors such 296 as interest rate, exchange rate and inflation on stock prices for nine western economies, including France, UK, 297 Switzerland, Canada, US, Belgium, Netherlands, Germany and Japan by using monthly dataset. After empirical 298 investigation, he concludes depreciation to have a positive but insignificant effect on the United States equity 299 market as compared to change in change in inflationary prospect and interest rates. In the same way, Hasan 300 and Javed (2009) discovered the long-run relationship of the PSX with a set of macroeconomic variables such 301 as exchange rate, T-bill rate, money supply and inflation rate. The analysis of cointegration, Granger causality 302 and impulse response shows that there exists an inverse relationship of the stock market with the interest rate 303 and the exchange rate. Besides, Ahmad et al. (2010) examined the impact of interest rate and exchange rate 304 on the KSE-100 index of Pakistan uses the time series data covering the period 1998-2009 while applying the 305 306 multiple regression models. The outcomes of the model concluded that there exists a significant relationship of the 307 interest rate and exchange rate with the selected market index. The results also suggest a negative and significant 308 relationship among the included variables. procedure confirmed about the long-run relationships among variables under examination for the whole countries. While the findings of the ECM report the absence of the short-run 309 equilibrium relationship between market index and the rest of the variables for Malaysia and United States. 310 Though, China's ECM verdicts reveal that there is a short-term link exists between equity market in China and 311 anticipated inflation. And finally, Muktadir-al-Mukit (2012) evaluated the macroeconomic effects of the interest 312 rates and the exchange rates on stock market return by using monthly data for Bangladesh's economy, covering 313 the 1997-2010 periods. By applying the cointegration technique, it was observed that a 1% rise in interest rate 314 and exchange rate contributes 1.71% and 1.04% decline in stock market index, correspondingly. The estimated 315 ECT coefficient value shows that 7.8% variation of stock returns is corrected in the short term. And lastly, the 316 result of the Granger causality affirms the existence of a unidirectional causal relationship which is from the 317 market index to exchange rate plus from interest rates to a stock market index. 318

### 319 **8 III.**

## 320 9 Data Description

321 The macroeconomic variables included in this study consists of annual time series observations on stock market 322 performance, interest rate, exchange rate and inflation rate for Pakistan covering the periods starting from 1991 to 2017. The Karachi Stock Exchange Index or the KSE-100 Index (1991=1,000) has been used as a proxy for 323 324 measuring the stock market performance variable which captures the daily price movements of equities in the stock exchange market in Pakistan. This index was launched in November 1, 1991 having a base value of 1, 000 325 points. Data on the KSE-100 index is taken from the ??arachi Pakistan (2017). The inflation rate variable has 326 been proxy by the Consumer Price Index (CPI) which is the best statistic to measure the cost of living when 327 compared with other measures, for example, the Wholesale Price Index (WPI), the Sensitive Price Indicator 328 (SPI), the GDP deflator, and so forth. Note that the base year for measuring the inflation rate in Pakistan for 329 330 the fiscal year 2000 and onward is 1999-00=100 (PBS). As we deal with the annual data, therefore; the inflation 331 rate (Y-O-Y) measures the annual percentage change in the CPI series. More to the point, July 2007 inflation rate (CPI value) will be the percentage change in CPI from July 2006. Yearly events have a tendency to be 332 volatile in nature because they are largely affected by some major events, such as, stock market crash, natural 333 calamities, disasters, earth quacks, years with many workers on vacation, etc. To calculate the year-wise change, 334 the following formula has been suggested by the researchers. A few transformations have been made in the 335 original data to stay away from spurious and ambiguous empirical results in the study and finale to present an 336 effective set of policy suggestions. For instance, those variables that were originally available in the percentage 337 form have been converted to the decimal notation. In the same way, all included variables in the study are 338 changed to the natural logarithmic form to suit the operation of variance as one of the box-cox transformation. 339 One of the advantages of the natural log transformation is that we get a smaller value of the coefficients after 340 341 estimating them and hence, we can easily interpret the estimates for results.

342 Figure 1 plot all the variables over the selected time span, depicting more or less upward trend for exchange 343 rate and stock market index, whereas; the more or less downward trend have been found for inflation rate and 344 interest rate. The above four figures offer a glance at the historical background of the Pakistan economy. From the figure of inflationary trend (a), we can observe that inflation fluctuates considerably over time. The CPI 345 value edged up to some extent in fiscal year 2001 after noticing a three decade low preceding year. The inflation 346 rate stood at 4.67% in terms of the CPI in January FY01, while, based on the average rate of inflation, this 347 rate rose to 4.4% in fiscal year 2001 from 3.6% in the prior year. The responsible reasons behind the observed 348 inflation during FY01 were the oil price shocks 6 in the international market, the Pakistan's 349

### 350 **10 B**

Effects of Macroeconomic Variables on the Stock Market Volatility: The Pakistan Experience 6 The price of POL 351 increased currency depreciation against the US\$ 7, impermanent shortage of pulses and gram, rise in issue prices 352 of wheat 8 From the rising exchange rate scatter plot (b), one can clearly perceive the idea that the Pakistan's 353 currency has been quickly depreciated against the USD over the last two decades, hike in prices of specific fruits 354 and vegetables, etcetera. Recently, the inflation rate has been recorded about 3 or 4% per year on average basis, 355 signifying that prices have been somewhat stable from previous decades. A variety of responsible factors for such 356 a big achievement includes the low oil and product prices, smooth supply of stuff, stable currency, and monitoring 357 of prices at both national and provincial levels (Pakistan Economic Survey, 2016-17). 358

#### 359 **11 9**

. There are different reasons of currency depreciation in Pakistan which includes economic, political and corruption. The responsible interconnected economic reasons include the fiscal deficit, price shocks, faulty financial system, speculative pressure, expansionary fiscal plus monetary policy, real exchange rate, capital flight, low foreign direct investment, and so on. Despite the mentioned facts, the Pakistan rupee has remained stable at the rate Rs. 104/\$ in the open market roughly for the last two years due to the SBP's prudent management and effective monetary policy.

366 The figure (c) of interest rate variable illustrates the percentage change in the bank rate for each year since 367 1991 where the ups and downs can be clearly seen. It shows that initially this policy rate was set high at 13% in October FY91, where further increased at the rate has seen from the SBP's side and this rate reached to 14% in 368 January FY92. Furthermore, the central bank also increased the bank rate from 9% to 9.5% (Economic Survey, 369 2005-06), and such increase in rate has remained high during the end of FY12 10 . The 10 It was 12% in July 370 27, 2012. increase in policy rates was in accord with the foreign rising trends as well as such steps was also taken 371 to shorten the advancing ability of the banks to the private segment. This policy designed to curtail domestic 372 aggregate demand from the private sector that was one of the foremost driving forces for fuelling inflation. The 373 plot of exchange rate shows that the policy rate is continuously falling from its peak over the last few years. 374

To ensure the economic stability on the national level, the central bank kept the bank rate at 5.75% in FY17 and continued the same in the successive periods. 11 The scatter plot (d) of the stock market performance which is captured by the KSE-100 index signifies the upward trend over the sampling period. 11 It is the lowest policy rate by SBP since early 1970s.

During the start of FY01-02, the central bank stimulated toward a proactive monetary policy management 379 system. The central bank attained a degree of market calm and analysis for the future, making a 1% decrease in 380 the policy rate in July and August, 2001. The stock markets have continued floating during the FY02-03. The 381 KSE-100 index has observed an exceptional growth during the FY02, increasing from 1770.1 points in June-FY02 382 to 2902.4 points in Apr-FY03. Afterwards, the index reached to its magic high score of 3003.4 points during 383 the May FY03, yielding an increase of 69.7% during the time under review. This target was touched due to the 384 friendly macroeconomic policies of government, improvement in economic fundamentals, and assurance of local 385 as well as foreign investors on the KSE market. 12 During the FY17, the index reached at its highest position 386 of 50,935.91 points on 08 May, 2017, while its lowest level was 37,966.76 points on 04 July, 2016 (Pakistan 387 Economic Survey, 2016-17). 12 Other main factors include the declining returns on alternative investments, huge 388 foreign exchange reserves, expectations of early privatization (state enterprises and banks), liberalization and 389 deregulation, strong presence of energy stocks in the market, reforms by the SECP, etc. 390

IV. These listed studies estimated the structural model to empirically investigate the interactions between 391 stock market performance with an interest rate and exchange rate in the log transformed form. Additionally, 392 we introduce the Consumer Price Index (CPI) as variable to proxy for inflation rate, which turns the prices of a 393 fixed basket of commodities 13 The background theory suggests that the stock market performance in Pakistan 394 depends on interest rate, exchange rate and inflation rate, which can be written in the functional form in equation 395 1 as follows: into a single value (index) reflecting the general level of prices in the economy plus measures the 396 rise in the cost of living of citizens. This variable has got importance in the analysis especially after the global 397 financial crises of 2007/08. 398

### <sup>399</sup> 12 Theoretical and Modeling Framework

#### 400 **13 B**

We have converted the basic linear illustration of the model into the log-linear form 14 due to the reason that it gives more efficient results and avoid non-sense regression as compare to the original functional specification

408 (Cameron, 1994) and ??hrlich (1975 ??hrlich (, 1996)). Also, such sort of conversion in variables provides

The same functional relationship of the model can be symbolically written as given in equation 2:???? =  $\delta$  ??" $\delta$  ??"(????, ????, ?????)(2)

14 It is the model type where both the explained and explanatory variables appear in logarithmic form. The conventional finance theory implies that if the interest rate falls, the investments with fixed income turn out to be less competitive due to their lower returns, so consequently, stocks turn out to be more attractive.

Furthermore, there exists a clear dichotomy in the finance literature on the relationship of stock prices/returns with exchange rates. Some of the In opposition, when interest rate increases, the investments with fixed income turn out to be more competitive due to their higher returns, and as a consequence, stocks become less striking. This logic, meaning that there is an opposite relationship of the stock valuations with the interest rates. Therefore, we expect ?? ???? < 0.

research studies recommend that there exist a positive correspondence between the stock prices and the foreign 422 exchange rate, for instance, Aggarwal (1981), Smith (1992), Sabri (2004) At last, the effect of price hiking on 423 stock returns is totally based on the level (severity) of inflation. Earlier research attempts have suggested that 424 425 inflation and stock exchange markets are strongly correlated with the inflation rate affecting the stock exchange 426 market risk plus volatility. These financial markets encourage savings as well as investments by ensuring an 427 opportunity for portfolio diversification equally to clients plus corporate investors. The severity of the general 428 prices on the financial market performance significantly persuades the prices of financial assets which are basically determined by the net profits of a company and are therefore straightforwardly proportional to the behavior of 429 a company. So, an extremely inflationary situation, therefore negatively influences the stock prices and ultimate 430 stock returns. And so, we expect ?? ?????? < 0. 431

#### 432 14 a) Estimation Strategy

The technique for empirical investigation in this paper is the ARDL (Autoregressive Distributed Lag) or bound 433 cointegration approach originally developed by Pesaran and Pesaran (1997), Pesaran and Shin (1999) and Pesaran 434 et al. (2000Pesaran et al. (, 2001)) to explore the long run relations between stock market performance (market 435 index), interest rate, exchange rate and inflation rate over the sampling period. This cointegration model can 436 be used for analysis, exclusive of finding the order of integration. According to Haug (2002), this technique 437 to cointegration is best in providing the best outcomes for small datasets (and the same is the case here), in 438 contrast to other methodologies of cointegration 15 15 The other pioneering techniques include the Engle-Granger 439 methodology (1987), Johansen and Juselius (1990) methodology, Phillips and Hansen (1990) and Phillips and 440 441 Loretan (1991) works. found in the literature of applied Econometrics. Also, one feature of the ARDL approach 442 is that the unrestricted technique of the ECM has enough flexibility to include lags that captures the data 443 generating process in a general-to-specific structure of the arrangement (Laurenceson and Chai, 2003). As each of the variables included in the model appear as a sole equation, the problem of endogeneity is less crucial in 444 445 the bound testing approach as it is free of residual correlation (Nkoro and Uko, 2016). Additionally, one of the features of the ARDL technique lies in its pure identification of the cointegration vectors among the multiple 446 cointegrating vectors. As well, this approach has the ability of differentiation between explained and independent 447 variables when we are dealing with the single long run relationship. Specifically, this methodology supposes 448 that only a single reduced-form equation relationship exists between the explained variable and the exogenous 449 variables (Pesaran et al., 2001). 450

We can observe from the appearance of the general ARDL approach set in equation 4 above, that such specifications are illustrated by having lags of the explained variable, plus lags (and possibly the current value) of the independent variables. The conventional ECM or the unrestricted ARDL approach would be of the type:??? ?? = ?? 0 + ? ?? ?? ?? ?? ?? =1 ??? ????? + ? ?? ?? ?? 1 ?? =0 ??? 1????? + ? ?? ?? ?? ?? 2 ??=0 ??? 2????? +???? ???1 + ?? ??(5)

463 464 the existence of the steadystate equilibrium between variables. It has become a principal condition of every 465 economic model analyzing the non-stationary data. If the variables under consideration don't cointegrate with 466 each other, in that case we have the spurious regression problems and the regression results therefore become almost insignificant. Alternatively, if the examined variables do cointegrate with each other, in that case we 467 have a cointegration property (Nkoro and Uko, 2016). In order to find out the cointegration relations among 468 the examined variables under study through the ARDL methodology, the hypothesis can be tested with the 469 tabulated critical values given by Pesaran et al. (2001). As in typical cointegration testing, we set the null 470

hypothesis for the nonexistence of a long term equilibrium association between the examined data, in opposition
to the substitute assumption that there exists such kind of relationship between the variables. The null hypothesis
of no cointegration among the variables in the stated model can be written as: ?? 0 : ?? ???? = ?? ???? = ??
?????? = 0. The alternative hypothesis of cointegration among the variables under study can be written as: ??
1 : ?? ???? ? ?? ??????? ? 0.

The next step in such analysis is to conduct an F-test, where we compare the F-statistic calculated values 476 with the lower and upper critical bound values as given by Pesaran and Pesaran (1997) or Pesaran et al. (2001) 477 in their respective studies. However, there is a convenient complexity that has to be resolved when we perform 478 the F-test. The distribution of this statistic is entirely non-standard even if we have a substantially large sample 479 data. This is rather similar to the condition to the Wald-test when we check for Granger noncausality when we 480 have non-stationary series. Therefore, the issue is set on by using the Toda-Yamamoto method (1995), to make 481 certain that the Wald-test statistic is asymptotically chi-square. As suitable critical values for the F-statistic are 482 not exists for the mixed results of variables (i-e. I 0 and I 1). Though, Pesaran et al. (2001) provide bounds on 483 the critical values for the asymptotic distribution of the F-test. For different cases, they presented lower bounds 484 and upper bounds on the critical values. In each situation, the lower bound stand on the postulation that all 485 of the variables are stationary at levels  $(I \ 0)$ , and the upper bound stand on the postulation that all of the 486 487 variables having unit root (I 1). In reality, the fact may be someplace in between these two extreme cases. In 488 case of the small time series sample, Turner (2006) has collected critical bounds for the F-test that is appropriate 489 for the short hand data. If the Fstatistic value is more than the upper critical bound, we would establish that there is a cointegration among variables. If the F-statistic computed value falls below the lower critical bound, 490 we would establish that there is no cointegration among the variables, meaning that the variables are stationary 491 at level, i-e. I (0). Conclusively, if the F-statistic calculated value falls between the two polar bound, the result 492 or decision is inconclusive. In order to explore the long-run relations among variables in such cases, we depend 493 on the significance of the lagged error correction term (ECT) for cointegration. After confirming the long run 494 relations among included variables, the short term behavior of examined variables is explored in equation 8 by 495 496  $????? + ? ?? 4 \delta ??"\delta ??" ??=0 ?????????? ???? + \delta ??"\delta ??"?????? ???1 + ?? ??(8)$ 497

The presence of an ECT suggests the changes in explained variable (????????????????) which is a function of 498 the disequilibrium levels in the cointegration relations plus the changes in other independent variables under 499 consideration. This result shows the variations in explained variable from a short period of time to long run 500 501 equilibrium path (Masih and Masih, 1997). It demonstrates the magnitude to which any variability in the preceding time is being adjusted in dependent variable. Its positive coefficient point out a divergence whereas, a 502 negative value of its coefficient signifies convergence. If its estimated value equal to one, then a hundred percent of 503 the adjustment occurs within the period. If its estimated value equal to 0.5, then fifty percent of the adjustment 504 occurs each period. Lastly, if its value is zero, meaning that there is no adjustment occurs, also to argue that 505 there is a long-run relationship doesn't make any logic any longer. 506

507

ν.

# 508 15 Empirical Results and Discussion

In the views of Pesaran and Shin (1999) and Pesaran et al. (2001), the ARDL approach can be implemented in 509 finding the long run relations among variables having the mixed order of integration. This methodology can be 510 511 used for the purpose to integrate I (0) and I (1) series in the same estimation process. If variables included in the model are all stationary at level I (0), then the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) technique is best for estimation. 512 And, if all data series are nonstationary at level I (1), then the Johansen approach to cointegration is advisable 513 due to its simple estimation practice. Therefore, we cannot use the OLS technique on the series if one of them 514 or all of them is nonstationary due to the reason that these series will not act as constants anymore and some of 515 them are time variant. The OLS technique will by mistake give high tstatistic values and significant outcomes, 516 but in a real situation, it would be inflated due to the common time component 16. However, in different 517 relevant studies such as Muktadir-al-Mukit (2012, 2013), Ihsan et al. (2015) and Poornima and Ganeshwari 518 (2016), this rule has been violated 17 ARDL approach doesn't need pretest for unit roots. However, it may be 519 desirable to test for unit roots in variables, although not as a required condition. Subsequently, this approach to 520 521 cointegration is superior to others when involving with series that are integrated . 17 All these literatures have 522 used the OLS methodology, even after concluding that all of their series of data are non-stationary and having 523 unit roots, denoted by I (1). of a different order, I (0), I (1) or both types of combination. Though, this approach 524 will collapse in the incidence of the integrated stochastic trend of I (2) 18. Ouattara (2004) expressed that if any 525 series in the dataset is integrated at I (2), in that case the F-test calculation for cointegration becomes indecisive. The reason is that the critical bounds given by Pesaran et al. (2001) are completely relying on the hypothesis 526 that such a series of variables should be stationary at levels or stationary at their first difference. That is the 527 reason; we check unit root tests to certify that no series is integrated at their second difference I (2). 18 The 528 methodology to cointegration will remain invalidate for such kind of data. 529

#### <sup>530</sup> 16 a) Statistical Analysis

There are some preliminary steps which are needed to be carried out before going to an ARDL procedure to 531 cointegration. The whole dataset consists of twenty seven years of annual observations from 1991 to 2017. 532 Descriptive statistics provide simple summaries about the sample and about the observations that have been 533 made. The summary of descriptive statistics of the whole dataset is presented in table 2. The table 2 above 534 report's summary of the descriptive statistics, which illustrates that the average of market index points is 8.56 535 with a standard deviation of 1.29, the average of interest rate is -2.19 with a standard deviation of 0.35, the 536 average of Pakistani rupee against the US\$ is 4.08 with a standard deviation of 0.43 and the average of inflation 537 rate is -2.58 with a standard deviation of 0.52. All given variables of the model are negatively skewed except 538 the stock market index which is positively skewed. Furthermore, kurtosis statistic of the dataset showing that 539 the EX and IR variables are leptokurtic (high peak/long tailed) and CPI and MI variables are platykurtic (lower 540 peak/short tailed). As a final point, the Jarque-Bera statistic confirms that the disturbances are normally 541 distributed because the corresponding probability value for each variable is more than 5%, hence we accept the 542 null hypothesis meaning that the residuals are normally distributed 19 b) Correlation Analysis. In a compact 543 form, we can write this finding as: 544

#### <sup>545</sup> 17 Global Journal of Management and Business Research

#### 546 ?? ?? $\sim$ ??(0, ?? 2) or ?? ?? $\sim$ ?????? (0, ?? 2)

In order to check multicollinearity among variables under examination, a correlation analysis has been 547 suggested in the literature. Originally multicollinearity refers a situation in which two or more than two 548 independent variables in a multiple regression model are highly linearly related. Based on the rule of thumb, 549 if the intercorrelation between two independent variables is greater than 0.8, then we have strong or significant 550 multicollinearity in the data. Such kind of analysis measures the degree to which the two regressors move 551 552 together. The sign of the Pearson 19 H o : Residuals are normally distributed, and H 1 :Residuals are not normally distributed correlation coefficient (PCC) test 20 20 The sample Pearson correlation coefficient can be 553 554 ?? ?? ) 2 ??? ? ?? ?? 2 ?(? ?? ?? ) 2 555

decides about the nature of the relationship, whereas, its coefficients calculate strength of the relationship 556 between the pairwise correlations. Outcomes of the Pearson correlation test are reported in following table 3. 557 The above table 3 illustrates what is call correlation matrix. Analysis of the correlation matrix clearly depicts 558 that both interest rate and inflation rate are negatively correlated with the stock market index and the exchange 559 560 rate is positively correlated with the same market index. Also, some series of variables are negatively correlated 561 and others are positively correlated with each other. The interest rate variable is negatively correlated with all variables in the model, except for the inflation rate. Furthermore, the EX and CPI are negatively correlated 562 563 among themselves, whereas, the correlations between exchange rate and interest rate and inflation rate and interest rate were found negative and positive, respectively. And finally, intercorrelations also confirm that 564 565 inflation rate is positively and highly correlated with interest rate 21 c) The Unit Root Test . From the whole analysis of intercorrelations, we can conclude that our data has no issue of exact or perfect multicollinearity as 566 none of the PCC between explanatory variables is more than 0.8. 567

In order to escape from the nonsensical and meaningless results, the variables included in the economic model 568 must be stationary since it is not possible to obtain reliable estimates and making forecasting with a nonstationary 569 dataset. That's why; checking the stationary properties of a series is a natural begin of an empirical investigation 570 in almost every time series study. There are many tests in time series Econometrics for such purpose, but the 571 572 widely used and the most famous amongst them over the last numerous years are the unit root tests (Gujarati, 2004). For such a purpose, there are many tests in the literature such as the Dickey-Fuller (DF) test, Phillips-573 Perron (PP) unit root test, Ng-Perron test, Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test, and so forth. The most 574 frequently demonstrated test amongst all for checking stationarity on a set of data is the ADF test because of 575 its quality of relaxing the postulation of autocorrelation among residuals. If a series under analysis is found 576 stationary at level form, we say that it is integrated of order zero, denoted by I (0). Conversely, if a series of data 577 is found nonstationary at level form, but stationary at their first difference, we can call it integrated of order one 578 and is denoted by I (1). To find the long run relations among variables, the order of integration is significant in 579 deciding the appropriate procedure to cointegration. The ADF test detailed outcomes are given in table 4. In 580 table 4 above, column first represent variables names. Columns second and third give details of first and second 581 582 difference estimates of coefficients together with standard error and probability value. The order of stationary for 583 each variable is given in the last column of the table. Additionally, the stock market index variable has got unit 584 root at the level and its plot reveals = 0.37 < 1.9. Also, we then checked the unit root at level based on the trend 585 and intercept criteria and got the same result from the pvalue at 5% significance level. Now, at first difference, at intercept form, the estimated coefficient and standard error are, (-0.871829) and (0.199175), correspondingly, 586 giving the ADF test-statistic value of ??-4.38). At the present, t-statistic confirms significant results (as|4.38| > 587 1.9), which means that at first difference, we have no unit root in the dependent variable. The similar guideline 588 is followed for the rest of all explanatory variables. At the final note, results of the ADF test indicate that all of 589 the explanatory variables are stationary at their level because their plots have the mean reverting behavior. 590

## <sup>591</sup> 18 d) Optimal Lags Selection

The ARDL bounds test methodology as established by Pesaran at al. (2001) involves an appropriate lags length 592 in dataset to eliminate any serial correlation. Empirical results of long run relations among variables are highly 593 responsive to lag length specified in the econometric model (Bahmani-Oskooee and Bohl, 2000). The optimal 594 order of lag length has been chosen by determining the 1st difference of the conditional ECM of time series 595 ARDL. There are many criteria for selecting the order of adequate lag length, but the most important criteria 596 among them are the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and Schwarz Information criterion (SC). In this paper, 597 the optimal lag is selected based on the ground of minimum value of AIC. According to the AIC criterion, lower 598 the value of AIC, better the model. There is confirmation that the Fstatistic calculated value of the ARDL model 599 is very much sensitive to the choice of order of lag in the economic model. In the presence of adequate lag order, 600 the can get unbiased, efficient and reliable empirical verdicts. Detailed outcomes are listed in the following table 601 5. From the table 5 above, we can see that there are many criteria to choose the number of lags that can be used 602 in the system equation. According to the AIC criterion, 2 lags should be used in the dataset before for going 603 into the long run relationship among variables in the model. 604

### 605 19 e) Testing for Cointegration

A large number of procedures for testing cointegration among variables have been suggested in the econometrics literature. In order to check for the long-run relations among variables, we have calculated the test statistic of the F-test by the ARDL bounds test, applying the unrestricted OLS technique following equation 7. The following null hypothesis has been tested against the alternative hypothesis using the ARDL bounds test and its thorough results are given in table 6. Source: Outcome from EViews 9

Table 6 indicates the calculated F-statistic and its critical values at 1%, 2.5%, 5% and 10% significance level in both polar extremes, i-e. at level 1(0) and at first difference 1 (1). The empirics show that the F-statistic computed value (which is 12.51) falls above the upper bound I (1) and is more than 4.35 of the F-test critical value at 5% significance level given by Pesaran et al. (2001), and therefore we can reject the null hypothesis. This finding concludes the presence of cointegration among examined variables, i-e. the stock market index is cointegrated with interest rate, exchange rate and inflation rate when the KSE-100 index appears as a dependent

617 variable in the model.

### 618 20 f) Long Run ARDL

Cointegration of two or more than two time series variables implies that there exists an equilibrium or long-run 619 relationship between them. Table 7 reports the empirical verdicts of long-run relations among regressors of the 620 proposed ARDL model (1, 2, 0, 2) via SBC. The finding shows that an increase in interest rate reduces in stock 621 market index points. The effect of interest rate on the stock market performance of Pakistan is greatly significant 622 at the 1% level of significance. The estimate (-5.9) of lnIR indicates that a 1% increase in bank rate leads to an 623 about 6 percent (5.9%) fall in stock market index points in the long-run. Similarly, the inflation rate is another 624 highly significant time series to the stock market performance. At the 1% significance level, the effect of inflation 625 rate on the stock market index points is positive. The coefficient of lnCPI, which is 2.6 after being rounded-off, 626 shows that a 1% rise in inflation rate leads to an increase in market index points by 2.6% in the long-run. Finally, 627 the coefficient on the exchange rate (lnEX) signifies a positive impact on lnMI. That is, the coefficient of lnEX 628 on lnMI is positive, comparatively smaller and statistically insignificant. It means that if the exchange rate 629 depreciates by 1% results in a 0.33% rise in market index points 23 23 All of the estimated coefficients values in 630 the table are interpreted in the percent form because all the included variables in the ARDL model are in log 631 from. 632

633 . The results in this study support the findings of Solnik (1987) who conducted his study on the western 634 market economies. Basically, the cointegrating equation can be written as:

# <sup>637</sup> 21 g) Short Run Dynamics

The ECM proposed by Engle and Granger is a technique of analyzing the short term behavior of a variable 638 with its long term behavior over the time. If the economic variables are found to be cointegrated with each 639 other, the disturbances from the long-run regression can be applied to empirically estimate the error correction 640 641 model and to examine the long-run plus short-run effects of the economic variables and to notice the adjustment 642 coefficient. The numerical results of the error correction version of the proposed ARDL model by following 643 equation 8 are given below in table 8. From the above table, coefficients of economic variables with delta symbol 644 (?) indicate the short-run elasticity estimates or short-run coefficients. The estimated results show that in the 645 short-run IR t once more is the main significant economic variable responsible for the upward trend in the stock market performance. Evidence shows that a rise in interest rate in the short-run causes a decrease in market 646 index points. For instance, a 1% increase in interest rate decreases stock market index points by 0.61% at 10 647 percent significance level. On the other side, the impact of exchange rate depreciation is positive but statistically 648 insignificant at 10% significance level. It means that a one percent change in exchange rate will raise market 649

index return of 0.15%. And, the short-run impact of inflation rate on the KSE-100 index is although positive but statistically insignificant.

The last term in the above table (ECM t-1) is the one period lag error of ECM given in equation 8, and 652 is called the equilibrium residual of one period lag. This term is also known as the ECT that directs the 653 macroeconomic variables of the system to reinstate back to original equilibrium position, i-e. Actually, it corrects 654 the disequilibrium of the system. The sign before omega (ð ??"ð ??") or the sign of ECT term should be expected 655 negative after estimating equation 8. Its value tells us at what rate or speed it corrects the preceding period 656 deviation of the given system. When ?ð ??"ð ??"? is significant and having negative sign, it corroborates that 657 there exists a long-run equilibrium relations among all economic variables declared in equation 8. The result 658 confirms the negative sign of ECMt-1 (lagged ECM) and is found high statistically significant at the 1% level 659 of significance which gives validity to the existence of equilibrium linkage among the particular macro variables. 660 Nevertheless, the speed of adjustment from prior year's disequilibrium in stock market return is 46.53% annually. 661

### <sub>662</sub> 22 h) Recursive Residuals Testing

If the parameters remain constant, then the expected value of 'S' will be as, ??(????) = (?????) (???? 675 ??) ? which goes from 0 at t = k to one at t = T. Pesaran et al. (2000Pesaran et al. (, 2001)) advocated 676 that both statistics are suitable in checking for stability of parameters in such kind of models. The plot of both 677 CUSUM and CUSUMSQ is significant at 5% significance level indicating the parameter or variance stability. 678 679 These both stability diagnostic tests were used to check for the stability of short run and long run parameter estimates. For this reason, both the stability tests have been conducted and the outcomes are given in figure 680 ?? and figure ?? below. The plot of the CUSUM test shows that the residuals are beyond the red extreme 681 lines, signifying that our model is not stable which means that the dependent variable is not stable. Conversely, 682 the plot of the CUSUMSQ test stays within two polar bounds at the 5% significance level, confirming that the 683 selected time series model is stable structurally. The LM test verdicts are reported in table 9 which shows that 684 there exist serial correlations up to 2 lags, and hence we reject the null hypothesis of no autocorrelation at the 685 5% level of significance as the corresponding probability value of the observed R 2 is less than 5% (3.01%). ii. 686 Testing for Heteroskedasticity In the views of Stock and Watson (2003), the presence of heteroscedasticity is 687 more probable to happen within a cross-sectional data. But this conclusion doesn't mean that the problem of 688 heteroscedasticity in time series frameworks is not possible. There are many ways of detecting heteroskedasticity 689 such as the Breusch-Pagan LM test, the Glesjer LM test, the ARCH test, the Harvey-Godfrey LM test, etc., 690 but the most widely accepted one is the ?? hite's test (1980). This test has many advantages such as, it doesn't 691 presume any earlier determination of heteroscedasticity and it doesn't depend on the normality assumption. The 692 null hypothesis of heteroskedasticity against its alternative hypothesis is: The White's test results as shown in 693 table 10 demonstrates that the corresponding probability value of the observed R 2 is 39.33% > 5%, consequently 694 we can admit our H 0, meaning that the proposed model does not have the issue of heteroskedasticity which is 695 a good signal for the model adequacy. 696

#### <sup>697</sup> 23 iii. Testing for Normality

Testing of hypothesis assumes that the selected model for empirical investigation must be good in the sense 698 that it doesn't violate the basic assumptions of the CLRM 24. Therefore, one such test is the normality 24 699 700 CLRM stands for the Classical Linear Regression Model test which is used to investigate whether the residuals 701 (or the disturbances) follow normal distribution or not. Since in small sample sizes as the case here, the t, 702 F and chi-square tests necessitate the normality assumption, therefore it is required that this basic ?? 1: 703 checked formally. For this purpose, the Jarque-Bera test (1987) has been applied to check for the following null 704 hypothesis against the alternative hypothesis. In the figure 4, the x-axis plots the residuals and the y-axis plots 705 the number of observations taken for this particular study. Application of the J-B test illustrates that the J-B 706 statistic is 0.325768, and its corresponding p-value is 0.849690 (or 84.97%) which is more than 5%. Hence, we 707 are not in a position to reject the null hypothesis that the residuals are normally distributed which fulfills the 708

required assumption of a best regression model. And lastly, the statistics shows that the model specification iswell constructed which is a good sign for the regression model.

# 711 24 VI. Conclusion and Policy Implications

In this research paper, we explored empirically the relationship of stock market return with interest rate, exchange 712 rate and inflation rate in Pakistan uses the time series annual data for the period of 1991 to 2017 employing 713 various econometric frameworks. The test results of unit root, illustrate that the economic variables under 714 consideration are mixed stationary. The ARDL procedure to cointegration has been applied to find out the long-715 run relationship between all the variables that are included in this empirical study. The findings propose that 716 there exists a long-run correlation between interest rate, exchange rate, inflation rate and the KSE-100 index. 717 The results of the selected ARDL model expose that interest rate has a negative and inflation has a positive 718 influence on the PSX index in the long run, and coefficient estimates of both the regressors were found highly 719 statistically significant. Likewise, the exchange rate has a positive, but insignificant impact on the market index 720 in the long-run. 721

The estimated error correction coefficient of the ARDL procedure signifies that about 46.53% disequilibrium 722 of the KSE-100 index from its long-run equilibrium path is corrected per annum in the short-run. The results 723 of the CUSUMSQ test suggest that the incorporated model is stable structurally. Similarly, other diagnostics 724 passes the short run model as a best regression model. Based on the above empirical results, we recommend some 725 policy steps in order to boost the financial sector of Pakistan. The healthy stock market can be encouraged by 726 reducing further the bank rate by the regulatory authority of the monetary policy of the State Bank of Pakistan. 727 The use of bank rate in stimulating PSX market is not receptive to the inflation rate. High bank rates were 728 helpful in discouraging the higher economic growth, whereas the low bank rate would encourage stock market 729 financial activity. Similarly, the reduction in bank rate has a positive impact on the inflation rate of the country. 730 During the periods of higher bank rate, the inflation rate was also higher, and vice versa. Therefore, to curb 731 with the highest inflation rates in the future the monetary authorities need to reduce the bank rate. Besides, the 732 regulatory authorities need to prepare such policies which bring new investors into the stock market for business 733 and will have accordingly favorable effect on the PSX market. If the stock market becomes welldeveloped, the 734 depreciation of the exchange rate will be automatically stable. The results of this study also help foreign investors 735 to consider the volatility of the PSX market into concern while decision making to invest here since the stock 736 market volatility brings change in exchange rates so foreign investors can also foresee their exchange risk on 737 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 account of volatility of stock returns. 738

 $<sup>^{1}(</sup>$ ) 2017 © 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US) 1

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ © 20 17 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ This rate is formally known as the SBP 3-day repo rate which was renamed sinceAug 17, 2009. This is the rate at which domestic banks borrow from SBP on an overnight basis. Also, at this rate the banks deposit their end-of-day excess cash with SBP on an overnight basis. It remained as SBP Policy rate tillMay 24, 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The Pakistan's rupee was depreciated by 18.6% in terms of the USD8 By provincial governments9 Pakistan's rupee against the USD depreciated by about 17% both in the open market and inter-bank during the fiscal year 2000-01 (Economic Survey, 2000-01).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The Laspeyres index is the price index, which measures the general price level for a fixed basket of commodities (goods and services).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>In econometric theory, such results are known as meaningless regression results where R 2 becomes higher than the D-W statistic of the model and such results are undesirable for devising an effective economic policy. <sup>7</sup>This argument is based on only for the intercorrelations among independent variables.

 $<sup>^{8}</sup>$ It means that despite being in isolation nonstationary series, but its linear combination between or among time series variables can be stationary.<sup>©</sup> 20 17 Global Journals Inc. (US)



Figure 1: 2017 B  $\,$ 



Figure 2: B 1 ?



Figure 3: Figure 1 :



, better

1

Figure 5: Table 1 :

The

?

exchange rate is the price or purchasing power of the home currency in term of foreign currency. More

Figure 6:

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

Statistic Name	lnMI	lnIR	lnEX	lnCPI
Mean	8.559699	-2.191032	4.077736	-2.583671
Median	8.571526	-2.120264	4.096419	-2.453408
Maximum	10.83154	-1.609438	4.652054	-1.771957
Minimum	6.779490	-2.855970	3.226411	-3.575551
Standard Deviation	1.294407	0.349715	0.430395	0.516289
Skewness	0.299251	-0.236382	-0.391736	-0.488427
Kurtosis	1.710099	2.014896	2.193306	1.969984
Jar que -Bera	2.274806	1.343176	1.422655	2.267076
Probability	0.320651	0.510897	0.490992	0.321892
Observations (n)	27	27	27	27
			Source: Output from	n EViews 9

Figure 7: Table 2 :

3

Symbol	lnMI	$\ln$ IR	lnEX	$\ln CPI$		
lnMI	1.0000	-0.6487	0.8151	-0.1461		
lnIR	-0.6487	1.0000	-0.6473	0.7020		
lnEX	0.8151	-0.6473	1.0000	-0.3277		
lnCPI	-0.1461	0.7020	-0.3277	1.0000		
Note: All the tabulated values have been rounded-off to four decimal places.						

Source: Outcome from EViews 9

Figure 8: Table 3 :

 $\mathbf{4}$ 

	Variables	Coefficient	Intercept S.E	Prob.*	Trend and Int	ercept Coef	ficient S.E Prob. <sup>*</sup>
MI t	Level	0.019514	0.052154	0.9777	-0.472483	0.130747	0.0508
	1 st Dif- ference	- 0.871829	$0.199175 \ 0.0022^{\circ}$	**	-0.913384	0.210081	0.0106
IR t	Level	- 0.063451	0.108581	0.8575	-1.076173	0.248413 0	.0131**
	1 st Dif- ference	- 0.938961	0.204216	0.0013	-0.966608	0.210866	0.0063
EX t	Level	- 0.058675	0.30440	0.3152	-1.038871	0.236218 0	.0115**
	1 st Dif- ference	- 0.912187	0.209929	0.0023	-0.984336	0.213481	0.0059
CP t	Level	- 1.269603	0.293806 0.0034	**	-0.659489	0.189874	0.0674
	1 st Dif- ference	- 1.012695	0.214588	0.0010	-1.018417	0.220503	0.0058
*Mackinnon (1	996) one-side	h n-values	** denotes stati	onary i	n each variable		

\*Mackinnon (1996) one-sided p-values \*\* denotes stationary in each variable Note: All hypotheses are tested at 5% level of significance. Source: Outcome from EViews 9

Figure 9: Table 4 :

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

		VAR Lag Order Selection Criteria				
Lag	$\mathrm{LogL}$	LR	FPE	AIC	$\mathbf{SC}$	HQ
0	-	_	0.0005	50 <b>5</b> .762427	3.9574	4 <b>3</b> .816517
	43.030	034				
1	59.599	00 <b>3</b> 64.2070	5.06e-	-	-	-
			07	3.167922	2.1928	32 <b>2</b> :897471
2	83.659	01 <b>8</b> 0.79699*	2.97e-	-	-	-
			$07^{*}$	$3.812735^{*}$	2.0575	5 <b>3</b> .325922*
*indicates lag order selected by the $\cdot$	criterion	L				
LR: sequential modified LR test stat	tistic (ea	the test at $5\%$ level)				
FPE: Final Prediction error AIC:		Akaike Information c	riterion			
SC: Schwarz Information criterion		HQ: Hannan-Quinn i	nformat	ion criterion		

Figure 10: Table 5 :

6

Source: Outcome from EViews 9

Test Statistic	Value	k***	Conclusion
F-statistic	$12.51466^{*}$	3	
	Critical		
	Value		
	Bounds		
Significance	I(0) Bound	I(1)	
		Bound	
10%	2.72	3.77	Cointegration
			22
5%	3.23**	4.35**	
2.5%	3.69	4.89	
1%	4.29	5.61	
			_

Note: I (0) show lower critical bound value and I (1) represent upper critical bound value. \*specify significant at the 5% level

\*\*\*k is the number of independent variables for explained variable in ARDL model Note: \*\*

Figure 11: Table 6 :

	Dependent Variable = $\ln MI$ Selected Model: ARDL (1, 2, 0, 2)							
Variable/Regressor	Coefficient	Standard	t-statistic	Probability				
		Error						
Constant $(C)$	1.213949	1.275963	0.951398	0.3556				
lnIR t	-5.919177	0.763974	-7.747877*	0.000				
lnEX t	0.331953	0.421151	0.788204	0.4421				
lnCPI t	2.597753	0.401570	$6.468998^*$	0.000				
Note: *indicate significance level at the $1\%$								

Source: Outcome from EViews 9

Figure 12: Table 7 :

8

 $\mathbf{7}$ 

ECM Demonstration of the ARDL (1, 2, 0, 2) Model Explained Variable = Variable Coefficient Standard Error t-statistic Probability 0.3553400.068209 4.7697830.0001Constant (C)?lnIR t -0.613661 0.0677\*\* 0.313121-1.959500?lnEX t 0.1544500.2125710.7265810.4780?lnCPI t 0.0836370.1749870.4779630.6391ECM t-1 -0.4652750.091125 $0.0001^{*}$ -5.105890

Note: \* and \*\* shows significance at 1% and 10% level, respectively

Source: Outcome from EViews 9

Figure 13: Table 8 :

9

F-statistic		2.726027	Prob. 1	F(2, 14)	0.1000	Conclusion
$Obs^*$	R-	7.007042	Prob.	Chi-Square	0.0301	Serial Correlation
squared			(2)			
						Source: Outcome from EViews
						9

Figure 14: Table 9 :

10

		Heteroskedasticity T	est: Whit	te		
F-statistic		1.016188	Prob. F	(8, 16)	0.4623	Conclusion
Obs <sup>*</sup> squared	R-	8.422786	Prob. (8)	Chi-Square	0.3933	Homoskedasticity
Scaled explained SS		3.626357	Prob. (8)	Chi-Square	0.8892	

Source: Outcome from EViews 9

Figure 15: Table 10 :

- 739 [Granger et al. ()] A Bivariate Causality between Stock Prices and Exchange Rates: Evidence from the Recent
- Asia Flu, C W J Granger , B Huang , C W Yang . 1998. San Diego. Department of Economics, University of
   California
- [White ()] 'A Heteroscedasticity-Consistent Covariance Matrix Estimator and a Direct Test for Heteroscedastic ity'. H White . *Econometrica* 1980. 48 p. .
- [Cameron ()] 'A review of the econometric evidence on the effects of capital punishment'. S Cameron . Journal of Socio-Economics 1994. 23 (2) p. .
- [Jarque and Bera ()] 'A Test for Normality of Observations and Regression Residuals'. C M Jarque , A K Bera
   *International Statistical Review* 1987. 55 p. .
- [Soenen and Hennigar ()] 'An Analysis of Exchange Rates and Stock Prices: the U.S. Experience between 1980 and 1986'. L A Soenen , E S Hennigar . Akron Business and Economic Review 1988. 19 p. .
- Pesaran and Shin ()] 'An autoregressive distributed lag modelling approach to cointegration analysis'. M H
   Pesaran , Y ( Shin . Econometrics and Economic Theory in the 20th Century: The Ragner Frisch Centennial
   Symposium, (Cambridge) 1999. Cambridge University Press.
- [Fama and Schwert ()] 'Asset Returns and Inflation'. E F Fama , G W Schwert . Journal of Financial Economics
   1977. 5 p. .
- 755 [Gujarati ()] Basic Econometrics, D N Gujarati . 2004. The McGraw-Hill Companies. (4th Edition)
- [Pesaran et al. ()] 'Bound testing approaches to the analysis of level relationships'. M H Pesaran , Y Shin , R J
   Smith . Journal of Applied Econometrics 2001. 16 p. .
- 758 [Yusuf and Rahman ()] 'Causality Effect between Equity Market and Exchange Rate Volatility in Malaysia'. M
- M Yusuf, H A Rahman. International Proceedings of Economics Development and Research (IPEDR), Issue
   2012. 55 p. .
- [Ehrlish ()] 'Crime, Punishment and the Market for Offences'. I Ehrlish . Journal of Economic Perspectives 1996.
   10 (1) p. .
- [Ahmad et al. ()] 'Do Interest Rate, Exchange Rate effect Stock Returns? B Effects of Macroeconomic Variables
   on the Stock Market Volatility: The Pakistan Experience A Pakistani Perspective'. M I Ahmad, R Rehman
   A Raoof. International Research Journal of Finance and Economics 2010. 50 p.
- [Mukherjee and Naka ()] 'Dynamic Relations between Macroeconomic Variables and the Japanese Stock Market:
   An Application of a Vector Error Correction Model'. T K Mukherjee , A Naka . Journal of Financial Research
   1995. 18 (2) p. .
- [Mbulawa ()] 'Effect of Macroeconomic Variables on Economic Growth in Botswana'. S Mbulawa . Journal of
   *Economics and Sustainable Development* 2015. 6 p. .
- [Mlambo et al. ()] 'Effects of Exchange Rate Volatility on the Stock Market: A Case Study of South Africa'. C
   Mlambo , A Marezda , K Sibanda . *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences* 2013. 4 p. .
- [Sichoongwe ()] 'Effects of Exchange Volatility on the Stock Market: The Zambian Experience'. K Sichoongwe .
   Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development 2016. 7 p. .
- [Muktadir-Al-Mukit ()] 'Effects of Interest Rate and Exchange Rate on Volatility of Market Index at Dhaka
   Stock Exchange'. D Muktadir-Al-Mukit . Journal of Business and Technology (Dhaka) 2012. 7 (2) p.
- [Butt and Shah ()] Empirical Investigation of the Relationship between Exchange Rate Movements and Stock
   Market Volatility in the Context of Pakistan, K U Butt, S Z Shah. 2015. Pakistan Business Review. p. .
- [Hyder and Mahboob ()] 'Equilibrium Real Effective Exchange Rate and Exchange Rate Misalignment in
   Pakistan'. Z Hyder , A Mahboob . SBP's Research Bulletin 2006. 2 (1) p. .
- 781 [Nkoro and Uko ()] 'Exchange Rate and Inflation Volatility and Stock Prices Volatility: Evidence from Nigeria'.
- E Nkoro, A K Uko. Journal of Applied Finance and Banking 2016. 1986-2012. 6 (6) p. 4.
- [Kennedy and Nourizad ()] 'Exchange rate volatility and its effect on stock market volatility'. K Kennedy , F
   Nourizad . International Journal of Human Capital in Urban Management (IJHCUM) 2016. 1 (1) p. .
- [Aggarwal ()] 'Exchange Rates and Stock Prices: A Study of the U.S. Capital Markets under Floating Exchange
   Rates'. R Aggarwal . Akron Business and Economic Review 1981. 12 p. .
- [Laurenceson et al. ()] Financial Reforms and Economic Development in China, J Laurenceson, J C H Chai,
   U K Cheltenham, Edward Elgar. 2003. p. .
- [Horne and Wachowics ()] Fundamentals of Financial Management, J C V Horne, J J M Wachowics . 2008.
   England: Pearson Education Limited. (13 th Edition)
- [Bahmani-Oskooee and Bohl ()] 'German monetary unification and the stability of the German M3 money
   demand function'. M Bahmani-Oskooee , M T Bohl . *Economics Letters* 2000. 66 (2) p. .

#### 24 VI. CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

- [Pakistan] Government of (various issues) Pakistan Economic Survey, Ministry of Finance, Pakistan . Islamabad.
   Government of Pakistan
- [Ihsan et al. ()] A Ihsan , Q B Baloch , S J Kakakhel . Relationship between Exchange Rates and Stock Market
   Index: Evidence from the Pakistani Stock Market, 2015. 8 p. .
- [Rabia and Khakan ()] 'Impact of Macro Variables on Karachi Stock Exchange'. N Rabia , N Khakan . Journal of Tourism and Hospitality 2015. 4 p. .
- 799 [Pakistan and Stocks (2017)] Indices Achieves, KSE-100 Index, Pakistan Stock Exchange Limited (PSX), Khi
- Pakistan, Stocks.http://www.khistocks.com/data-archives/index-archives.html August 08,
   2017.
- [Uwubanmwen and Eghosa ()] 'Inflation Rate and Stock Returns: Evidence from the Nigerian Stock Market'. D
   A Uwubanmwen , I L Eghosa . International Journal of Business and Social Science 2015. 6 (11) p. .
- [Stock and Watson ()] Introduction to Econometrics, J H Stock , M W Watson . 2003. Boston, Mass, London:
   Addison Wesley. (Illustrated Edition)
- [Saleem et al. ()] 'Long Run Relationship between Inflation and Stock Return: Evidence from Pakistan'. F Saleem
   , L Zafar , B Rafique . Social Sciences and Humanities (Part-II), 2013. 4 p. .
- [Hasan and Javed ()] 'Macroeconomic Influences and Equity Market Returns: A Study of an Emerging Equity
   Market'. A Hasan , M T Javed . Journal of Economics and Economic Education Research 2009. 10 (2) p. .
- [Hardouvelis ()] 'Macroeconomic Information and Stock Prices'. G A Hardouvelis . Journal of Economics and
   Business 1987. 39 p. .
- 812 [Beirne et al. ()] 'Market, Interest Rate and Exchange Rate Risk Effects on Financial Stock Returns: A GARCH-
- M Approach'. J Beirne, G M Caporale, N Spagnolo. *Quantitative and Quantitative Analysis in Social Sciences*, 2009. 3 p. .
- [Modigliani (1971)] 'Monetary Policy and Consumption: Linkages via Interest Rate and Wealth Effects in the
   FMP Model, Consumer Spending and Monetary Policy: The Linkages'. F Modigliani . Federal Reserve Bank
   of Boston Conference Series, 1971. June 1971.
- [Ma and Kao ()] 'On Exchange and Stock Reactions'. C K Ma , G W Kao . Journal Business Finance and
   Accounting 1990. 17 p. .
- [Masih and Masih ()] 'On the temporal causal relationship between energy consumption, real income, and prices:
   Some new evidence from Asian-energy dependent NICs Based on a multivariate cointegration/vector error
   correction approach'. A Masih , R Masih . *Journal of Policy Modelling* 1997. 19 p. .
- Pakistan and Statistics ()] Price Pakistan , Statistics . Statistics House, (Islamabad, Government of Pakistan)
   2017. Pakistan Bureau of Statistics
- [Janjua ()] 'Pakistan's External Trade: Does Exchange Rate Misalignment Matter for Pakistan?'. M Janjua .
   *The Lahore Journal of Economics* 2007. 12 p. . (Issue Special Edition)
- [Akhtar ()] Pakistan's Financial Services Sector -A Future Prospective, D S Akhtar . 2006. p. . Statistics
   Department, State Bank of Pakistan
- [Nath and Samanta ()] Relationship Between Exchange Rate and Stock Prices in India -A Empirical Analysis,
   G C Nath , G P Samanta . 2003. p. .
- [Poornima and Ganeshwari ()] 'Relationship between Exchange Rates and Stock Market Index: Evidence from
   the Indian Stock Market'. D S Poornima , M Ganeshwari . International Journal of Science and Research
   (IJSR) 2016. 5 p. .
- [Limpanithiwat and Rungsombudpornkul ()] 'Relationship between Inflation and Stock Prices in Thailand'. K
   Limpanithiwat , L Rungsombudpornkul . *Master Thesis in Finance*, (Sweden) 2010. p. . Umea School of
   Business, UMEA University
- [Aslam ()] 'Relationship between Stock Market Volatility and Exchange Rate: A Study of KSE'. W Aslam .
   Journal of Public Administration 2014. (5) p. . (Finance and Law)
- [Turner ()] 'Response surfaces for an F-test for cointegration'. P Turner . Applied Economics Letters 2006. 13 p.
  .
- [Pakistan ()] Statistics and DWH Department & Domestic Markets and Monetary Management Department & External Relations Department & Monetary Policy Statement, State Bank of Pakistan, Pakistan . 2016, 2017.
   Islamabad.
- [Smith ()] 'Stock Market and Exchange Rate: A Multi-country Approach'. C Smith . Journal of Macroeconomics
   1992. 14 p. .
- [Tripathi and Seth ()] 'Stock Market Performance and Macroeconomic Factors: The Study of Indian Equity
   Market'. V Tripathi , R Seth . Global Business Review 2014. 15 (2) p. .

[Mbulawa ()] 'Stock Market Performance, Interest Rate and Exchange Rate Interactions in Zimbabwe: A
 Cointegration Approach'. S Mbulawa . International Journal of Economics 2015. 4 (2) p. . (Finance and

850 Management)

- [Abraham ()] 'Stock Market Reaction to Selected Macroeconomic Variables in the Nigerian Economy'. T W
   Abraham . CBN Journal of Applied Statistics 2011. 2 (1) p. .
- [Pearce and Roley ()] 'Stock Prices and Economic News'. D K Pearce , V V Roley . The Journal of Business
   1985. 58 (1) p. .
- [Sabri ()] 'Stock Return Volatility and Market Crisis in Emerging Economics'. N R Sabri . Review of Accounting
   and Finance 2004. 3 p. .
- [Fama ()] 'Stock returns, real activity, inflation and money'. E F Fama . American Economic Review 1981. 71 p.
  .
- [Pesaran et al. ()] 'Structural Analysis of vector error correction model with exogenous I (1) variables'. M H
   Pesaran , Y Shin , R J Smith . Journal of Econometrics 2000. 97 (2) p. .
- [Brown et al. ()] 'Techniques for Testing the Constancy of Regression Relationships over Time'. R L Brown, J
   Durbin, J M Evans. Journal of Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological) 1975. 37 (2) p.
- [Haug ()] 'Temporal Aggregation and the Power of Cointegration Tests: a Monte Carlo Study'. A A Haug .
   Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics 2002. 64 p. .
- [Breusch ()] 'Testing for Autocorrelation in Dynamic Linear Models'. T Breusch . Australian Economic Papers
   1978. 17 p. .
- <sup>867</sup> [Schwert ()] 'The Adjustment of Stock Prices to Information about Inflation'. G W Schwert . The Journal of
   <sup>868</sup> Finance 1981. 36 (1) p. .
- [Ehrlish ()] 'The deterrent effect of capital punishment -A question of life and death'. I Ehrlish . American
   *Economic Review* 1975. 65 p. .
- [Muktadir-Al-Mukit ()] 'The Effects of Interest Rates Volatility on Stock Returns: Evidence from Bangladesh'.
   D Muktadir-Al-Mukit . International Journal of Management and Business Research 2013. 3 p. .
- [Ouattara ()] The Impact of Project Aid and programme Aid Inflows on Domestic Savings: a Case Study of Cote
   d'Ivoire, B Ouattara . 2004. UK. p. . School of Economic Studies, University of Manchester
- [Hamrita et al. ()] The Multi-Scale Interaction between Interest Rate, Exchange Rate and Stock Price, M E
  Hamrita, N B Abdallah, S B Ammou. 2009. 8 p. (MPRA, Paper No. 18424)
- [Kasman ()] 'The Relationship Between Exchange Rates and Stock Prices: A Causality Analysis'. S Kasman .
   *DokuzEylul Institute for Social Sciences* 2003. 5 (2) p. .
- [Kutty ()] 'The Relationship between Exchange Rates and Stock Prices: The Case of Mexico'. G Kutty . North
   Americal Journal of Finance and Banking Research 2010. 4 p. .
- [Geetha et al. ()] 'The Relationship between Inflation and Stock Market: Evidence from Malaysia, United States
   and China'. C Geetha , R Mohidin , V V Chandran , V Chong . International Journal of Economics and
   Management Sciences 2011. 1 (2) p. .
- [Ibrahim and Agbaje ()] 'The Relationship between Stock Return and Inflation in Nigeria'. T M Ibrahim , O M
   Agbaje . European Scientific Journal 2013. 9 p. .
- [Joseph and Vezos ()] 'The Sensitivity of US Bank's Stock Returns to Interest Rate and Exchange Rate Changes'.
   N L Joseph , P Vezos . *Managerial Finance* 2006. 32 (2) p. .
- [Solnik ()] 'Using Financial Prices to Test Exchange Rate Models: A Note'. B Solnik . The Journal of Finance
   1987. 42 (1) p. .
- [Mishkin ()] 'What Depressed the Consumer? The Household Balance Sheet and the 1973-1975 Recession'. F
   Mishkin . Brookings Papers on Economic Activity 1977. 1 p. .
- [Pesaran and Pesaran ()] Working with Microfit 4.0: interactive Econometric Analysis, M H Pesaran , B Pesaran
   . 1997. 1997. Oxford: Oxford University Press.