

1 Behavioral Factors and their Impact on Individual Investors'
2 Decision Making and Investment Performance: Empirical
3 Investigation from Pakistani Stock Market

4 Anum, Beenish Ameer¹ and Anum, Beenish Ameer²

5 ¹ Quaid-i-Azam university Islamabad

6 *Received: 9 December 2016 Accepted: 1 January 2017 Published: 15 January 2017*

7 **Abstract**

8 The purpose of research study is finding out the impact of behavioral factors over investors'
9 decision making and investment performance at Pakistan Stock Exchange. As there are a few
10 studies in Pakistan related to behavioral finance, so this study mainly contributes in the field
11 of behavioral finance in Pakistan. This study focus on existing theories of behavioral finance
12 which led to develop the hypothesis. Data is collected from investors via questionnaire from
13 Pakistan Stock Exchange, after successful collection of data SPSS software is used to analyze
14 and run the data. The findings and results of the research study show that the behavioral
15 factors including heuristic, prospect, market and herding have high impact on investors'
16 decision making in Pakistani stock markets.
17

18 *Index terms*— behavioral factors, behavioral finance, investment decisions, investment performance

19 **1 Introduction**

20 In traditional finance paradigm investors are considered as "rational" in the financial markets. There are various
21 traditional theories which consider investor as rational investor who makes decisions on the basis of risk and
22 return. Finance has evolved a lot in past years on the basis of some assumptions that investor make rational
23 decisions and they are unbiased in their decisions about the future (Nofsinger, 2001). An investor is rational
24 when he tries to update his profile with new information on frequent basis, and go for those choices which are
25 acceptable (Thaler, 2005).

26 Individuals reveal irrationality, incompetence and inconsistency in decision making particularly in those
27 situations where they are faced with uncertainty (Bernstein, 1998). Nofsinger (2001) reveals that various
28 psychologists have criticized the assumptions of unbiasedness and rationality. In this perspective psychologist
29 Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky contributed to the field of psychology and finance and characterized new
30 field as behavioral finance in 1980s and this field is basically about how various individuals behave in financial
31 markets or in financial settings. Basically behavioral finance is all about how the financial decisions of individuals
32 and corporation are affected by psychology in financial settings (Nofsinger, 2001).

33 **2 Investment decisions and Behavioral Aspects**

34 Many of the financial and economic theories assume that investors act rationally and take into consideration all
35 of the information in process of decision making. ??ilway (2009) states that market in efficiency comes when
36 individuals base their decisions over simple tools like heuristics or mental shortcuts rather than relying on basic
37 forecasting techniques or fundamentals. Field of behavioral finance reveals how the decision processes are affected
38 by psychological factor ??Oslon, 1998). Markets in which individuals make decisions are difficult to comprehend
39 because there is high level of complexity in real world ??Gwily, 2003). This issue has taken keen consideration
40

6 A) HEURISTIC VARIABLE

41 that whether manager works in rational market, whether irrational manager works in rational market or both
42 are there (Subrahmanyam, 2007).

43 Therefore behavioral finance work over those psychological factors which affect individual or groups while
44 they work as investor, Portfolio managers or analysts (Brown & Reilly, 2004). When people are in unclear or
45 uncertain situation they use heuristics or rule of thumbs against different alternatives, through this people are
46 able to reduce complexities and make decision making simpler (Raines & Leathers, 2011).

47 3 a) Problem Statement

48 As stock market is the financial market which positively influence the economy of any country, so if there is rise
49 in stock market it will surely influence health of the economy of a country in positive way. So the investment
50 decisions made by investors in stock market perform major role in identifying, defining and setting trends in stock
51 market that in turn influence the economy of the country. But some of the times investors make irrational and
52 illogical decisions based on their personal perception and preferences which sometimes The results of the research
53 study show that the behavioral factors including heuristic, prospect, market and herding have high impact on
54 investors' decision making in Pakistani stock market. Furthermore results show that three factors which includes
55 heuristic, market, and herding have positive impact over investment performance, however prospect is the only
56 factor that has negative impact on performance of the investment. The findings of this research can be used by
57 the security organizations to study the investors' Behavior.

58 lead towards the losses in real settings of financial market. Individuals reveal irrationality, incompetence and
59 inconsistency in decision making particularly in those situations where they are faced with uncertainty (Bernstein,
60 1998). Irrational behaviors of the investor makes the market inefficient because, rational investors are those who
61 value the stocks rationally by taking into consideration the risk and return of the investment and they do not
62 allow their subjective opinions to affect their decision making process (Shiller & Robert, 2002). Due to these
63 facts it is necessary to identify the factors which affect the investors regarding investment decision making at
64 Pakistan Stock Exchange and the degree to which these factors affect their investment performance.

65 4 II.

66 5 Literature Review

67 As most of the theories of economics and finance are based on the rational decision making processes. These
68 theories consider that investors are always rational in decision making and they consider all aspects while deciding
69 about anything (kim & Nofsinger, 2008). Generally financial behavior of investors is based on the intellectual
70 model which includes various factors related to psychology, sociology and finance. The agents of the behavioral
71 models are not considered as rational because the investor perception and preferences lead them to behave
72 irrationally (Farlin, 2006). Culture, religion, ideology and emotions are the basic factors which lead to the
73 irrational behavior of investor while taking decisions in different situations ??Macgoun, 1992). Although there
74 are many of studies in this area but most of the people are unaware of the concept of financial behavior and the
75 elements which lead toward the irrational behavior (Montier, 2002).

76 6 a) Heuristic variable

77 Heuristics makes the decision making easier because these are based on rule of thumb. But heuristics can also
78 lead towards the various biases when situations are changed. So in that case heuristics can lead towards the
79 decisions which are suboptimal (Ritter, 2003).These heuristics helps in decision making in those situations when
80 time is limited and decision is to be taken urgently (Waweru, Munyoki & Uliana, 2008).There are various biases
81 like representativeness bias, availability bias, anchoring bias and availability bias (Kengatharan & Kengatharan,
82 2014).

83 i. Representativeness Representativeness is the extent or degree to which the event is similar to its
84 parent event of population, it is also referred as degree of similarity or resemblance with population. In
85 representativeness bias sometimes sample size is neglected and this occurs when people refer to very few samples
86 (Luu, 2014). Representativeness is the degree by which the situations and instances resemble with the population
87 (DeBondt & Thaler, 1995). Representativeness can lead towards the biases in decision making because due to
88 representativeness people try to value more recent events and ignore long term events (Ritter, 2003). In situations
89 where, there is more uncertainty people make their decisions on the basis of its similarity with its parent population
90 and that event also has those characteristics from which it is generated. This leads the investors to analyze the
91 companies on the basis of its various characteristics like returns, publicity, products and mainly its management
92 and investment is mostly based on these characteristics if they are good (Onsomu, 2014).

93 ii. Overconfidence Overconfidence refers to the inappropriate belief regarding judgement, reasoning and the
94 cognitive abilities of the individual. This bias plays the major role in stock market business now a days, and the
95 psychological studies covers the impact of overconfidence over the behaviors studied (Sadi et al., 2011). Whenever
96 investor believe that he has much more knowledge than he actually needs and try to value more his personal
97 information it means that individual want to exaggerate his perceptions, predictions and judgement ??Razzi,

98 2008). Generally most of the individuals are overconfident about their abilities. Overconfidence gives its exposure
99 in many of the ways, like very little diversification due to the fact that investor iii. Anchoring
100 Anchoring bias occurs when people try to use initial values to make their decisions in particular situations.
101 In anchoring people are biased towards the initial values. In anchoring investor go through historical trends and
102 set range of prices and company's income which lead investors to underreact when any unexpected changes come
103 (Luu, 2014). Anchoring bias is also connected to representativeness because it also shows that the investors try
104 to focus more on experiences that are recent ones and they are more optimistic when there is rise in market and
105 more pessimistic when there is fall in market (Waweru et al., 2008). Anchoring is so defined as the tendency of
106 investors to refer their decisions to an irrelevant reference point regarding their investments (Pompian, 2006).

107 7 iv. Gamblers' fallacy

108 Gamblers' fallacy is the behavioral bias which occurs when individual believe that sample resembles its parent
109 population from which it is drawn out (Statman, 1999). This bias arises in stock market when investor
110 inaccurately predicts reverse points and those are considered as ends of the good or bad results. Investors
111 try to predict reversal in stock prices when they are suffering from this bias, because they think that trend will
112 be reversed (Waweru et al., 2008). In gamblers' fallacy investors think that random events in stock market are
113 self-correcting.

114 8 v. Availability Bias

115 Availability bias occurs when people use general rules or the mental shortcuts in order to predict the probability of
116 the results and the extent to which it occurs in their lives. In this situation people are more deviated towards the
117 easily recalled events rather than those which are hard to imagine or recall. It is based on the general tendency
118 of humans to recall recent and inspirational events very quickly. The process of evaluating the events which are
119 at the end of the month are easy to recall rather than those which are at beginning of the month, so recent events
120 affect perception more easily ??Sadi et al, 2010).

121 9 b) Prospect variable

122 Prospect theory and Expected Utility Theory (EUT) are the main important approaches in investor decision-
123 making process having different perspective. Prospect theory stress over the subjective kind of decisions of the
124 investors which are mainly influenced by investors' system of values, however expected utility theory focuses over
125 investor's rational expectations in decision making related to their investments (Filbeck, Hatfield & Horvath,
126 2005). The normative model of rational choice which reflects the economic behavior is main basis of EUT, it
127 involves analysis and evaluation of decisions through risk. Prospect theory shows the behavior of people in those
128 situations when they face uncertainty and risks. People generally prefer certainty, therefore they go for outcomes
129 that are more certain and probable in nature. (Waweru et al., 2008) explains prospect theory (i.e. loss aversion,
130 regret aversion, mental accounting) as major states of mind which significantly affect individual decision making
131 process.

132 10 i. Loss Aversion

133 Loss aversion is basically referred as the tendency of individuals for avoiding the losses as compare to gains.
134 Whenever any problem is framed in negative manner, the loss aversion will be more intense, so the individual
135 would go for different decision when he is faced with negatively framed problem. In that situation there will
136 be less negotiation whenever exposure of loss is stronger and powerful because individuals are not aware of that
137 loss (Chira, Adams, & Thornton, 2008). Loss aversion is also defined as the mental penalty the individuals place
138 on same amount of losses or gains (Barberis & Huang, 2001). Most of the people show more distress about the
139 prospect losses than the pleasure that they show on equivalent gains (Luu, 2014). Losses that occur after gains
140 are considered to be less painful than the losses that occur after prior losses (Barberis & Huang, 2001).

141 11 ii. Regret Aversion

142 As most of the people are less willing to admit and correct their mistakes on proper time, so this leads them to
143 losing positions. Regret aversion hinders entry of the investors into market whenever there is down trend which
144 shows that signals of ending (Bhatt & Chauhan, 2014). Along with financial loss pain it also includes regret for
145 poor decisions, by which loss were raised. Due to regret aversion investors try to hold poorly performing stocks.
146 Regret aversion also affects the decisions regarding new investments. Investors try to avoid those sectors which
147 performed poorly in the recent times and due to regret expectations they do not go for investment because they
148 think that if they go for investment they will incur losses ??Singh, 2012).

150 **12 . Mental Accounting**

151 The process with which individuals analyze and evaluate the transactions regarding their financial decisions
152 is referred as mental accounting (Barberis & Huang, 2001). Mental accounting leads the investors manage and
153 organize their investment portfolios in different accounts (Ritter, 2003).

154 **13 c) Herding variable**

155 Herding effect is the tendency of individual to follow the actions of others in stock market. Analysts carefully
156 go through the herding affect because investor try to rely more on information that is collective rather than
157 information that is private, this results in the deviation in the prices of stocks from their core or fundamental
158 value. Herding is considered as important factor because it majorly influence the risk and return characteristics
159 of securities (Tan, Chiang, Mason & Nelling, 2008). Herding can lead to various biases. Investors generally go for
160 herding because they think that it would help them to get useful information. Herding can greatly contribute for
161 analyzing and evaluating professional performance because individuals having low ability try to mimic behaviors of
162 individuals having high ability for developing their reputation (Kallinterakis, Munir & Markovic, 2010). In stock
163 markets investors make their investment decisions that are similar to masses regarding transactions of buying
164 and selling. However rational investors do not follow the flow of masses which is the main cause of efficient
165 markets. However herding makes the market inefficient which leads the market towards speculative bubbles in
166 market. Generally in herding the investors The psychological error that comes out of extra consideration or focus
167 on the emotions and feelings of regret in situations where decision is to be taken, it is mostly poor because other
168 outcomes seem to be more better to the investor. The cause of regret aversion bias is that individuals mostly do
169 not admit their mistakes. In this situation individuals try to avoid decision making due to the fear that whatever
170 the decision they would have that would be suboptimal.

171 behave in similar manner as prior man who was unaware of market and surrounding environment, they are
172 combined in groups in order to support their safety (Caparrelli, Arcangelis & Cassuto, 2004). Various factors
173 affect herding behavior of the investors which include investment volume, overconfidence etc. whenever investor
174 is more confident they try to rely more on information that is private or personal for their investment decisions,
175 here in this situation investors do not go for herding behavior. When investor invest huge amount of capital, then
176 they follow others to avoid risk of losses. Investor type also determines herding behavior, as individual investor
177 try to follow masses in decisions regarding their investment as compare to institutional investors (Goodfellow,
178 Bohl & Gebka, 2009). Herding drives up stock market and also bring momentum in stock market, but herding
179 can bring market down after certain level because costs of getting return increases. Investor investment decisions
180 that are influenced by others include, choice of stock, buying of stocks, selling of stocks, length of time and volume
181 of stocks (Waweru et al, 2008). Investor decisions of buying and selling are affected by decisions of others in
182 stock market and herding can lead investor towards regret aversion regarding their investment decisions. However
183 volume of stock, choice of stock and period of time for holding stock; these decisions are less influenced by herding
184 behavior. Individual and institutional investors behave differently due to the fact that individual follow herding
185 more as compare to institutional investors (Waweru et al, 2008).

186 **14 d) Market variable**

187 Investor behavior is the major factor that affect the financial markets. DeBondt and Thaler, (1995) explains that
188 investors exhibit different reaction whether that is over reaction or under reaction to the changes in price, any
189 news, prediction for future on the basis of past trends, less focus over fundamentals of stock; so these market
190 factors affect individual investor decision making in stock markets. Various factors that affect decision making
191 of investors in markets are market information, past trends of stocks, price changes, consumer preferences, over
192 reaction or under reaction to the changes in price of stocks and the fundamental of stocks (Waweru et al., 2008).
193 Generally changes in fundamentals of stocks, market price and market information stimulates over and under
194 reaction of investors to the changes in price. These fluctuations majorly affect decision making behaviors of
195 individuals. Over reaction of investors or under reaction of investors leads towards the different strategies which
196 in turn influence their decisions regarding investment. Decisions by investors are highly influenced by the market
197 information, due to this investors try to focus over those stocks which are popular and also focus over those events
198 that grab high attention in stock markets (Waweru et al., 2008). Various attention grabbing events influence
199 investors' decisions even though investor is unaware whether it would result in better future performance or not
200 ??Barber & Odean, 2000). Investors tend to rely on market information of stocks whenever they make decisions
201 of their investment. Price changes of stocks influence the investor behavior (Waweru et al., 2008). Investor go
202 for trading of those stocks that go through higher price fluctuations in the past years, so here changes in price
203 are considered as attention grabbing event in the market (Odean, 1999).

204 Caparrelli et al., ??2004) determined that investors try to move with the flow of others whenever there is
205 change in price. Due to this investors may incorrectly estimate the returns of stock which can significantly
206 influence their decisions related to stock investments (Waweru et al., 2008). Odean (1999) states investors go for
207 those stocks which attract them, but selection of stocks for investment is also influenced by investor perception
208 and preferences. Some of the investors go for those stocks which show satisfactory performance however most of
209 the rational investors try to sell out those stocks which incurred losses in the past which help investor to avoid

210 taxes. Past trends of the returns of the stocks also have influence over the decision making of investors (Waweru
211 et al., 2008). Technical analysis is one of the method through which past trends of stocks are analyzed. Generally
212 factors related to market are not the part of behavioral related factors, however these factors affect behavior of
213 rational investors in a way that influence their decision making in various ways, so that's why market factors
214 are included in variables that affect investor decision making process regarding their investments (Waweru et al.,
215 2008).

216 **15 e) Investment Decisions and Stock Performance**

217 Decision making includes the processes and steps for analysis of various options. The investor decisions are
218 based on the complex models related to traditional finance. These financial models have basis of expected return
219 and risk analysis related to the investment like (CAPM) capital asset pricing model (Bodie, Kane, & Marcus,
220 2008). But as matter of fact decisions may not be just based on complex financial models because these model
221 mostly ignore the situational factors faced by investors. Situational factors includes all the issues and problems
222 which faced by the investors and decision makers and the whole context which also includes environment in
223 which investor has to take decision. So for having appropriate decisions the cognitive psychology should also
224 be taken into consideration psychology (Kengatharan & Kengatharan, 2014). Investment decisions are very
225 much important to investors as investment is their commitment of resources and funds in order to get future
226 benefits (Bodie et al., 2008). Investors face difficulties in making decisions for many reasons like, lack of financial
227 information, shortsightedness, and insufficient selfregulation ??Winchester, Huston, &Fink, 2011). As matter of
228 fact that information changes from time to time and it loose its value as more and more time passes, so the decision
229 making in markets becomes more complicated ??Formlet, 2001). Huberman (2001) states that in decision making
230 generally investors show their preference for holding the stocks of those companies which are localized rather than
231 investing in stocks of other companies. However Grinblatt and Keloharju (2001) show that agents face more risk
232 for holding stocks of firms which are nearby the investor. Hong, Kubik, and Stein (2004) states that social
233 interaction affect the stock market participation, so the investors having more social interaction generally prefer
234 to invest their funds in stock market. Cohen (2005) states that some participants rely on earning per share for
235 their investment, but they exclude the important factors like firm's revenues and cash flows. Whenever investors
236 are having multiple information they just focus on that information which seems important to them. Individuals
237 leave most of the information unprocessed and fail to adjust it accurately (Hirshleifer 2011). Although there
238 are various factors which affect the investor decision making but previous literature review shows that investors'
239 decisions are majorly affected by the behavioral factors as these influence the perception of investor regarding
240 risk, which ultimately affect decisions of investors.

241 Regarding performance of the stocks some of the researchers of behavioral finance argue that poor performance
242 of stocks of the irrational investors could eliminate them from stock market, however some other researchers state
243 that investor who is overconfident having strong trading behavior could have good results ??Anderson, Henker,
244 & Owen, 2005). As overconfident individual has high subjective probability, so they trade more than rational
245 investors and expect higher return over their investments and hope for the good performance of their investment
246 (Kyle & Wang, 1997). ? H4: Herding variable highly impact the individual investors' decision making.

247 **16 f) Conceptual or Theoretical Framework**

248 ? H5: Heuristic variable positively impact the investment performance.

249 ? H6: Prospect variable positively impact the investment performance.

250 **17 Heuristic Variable**

251 **18 Prospect Variable**

252 **19 Market Variable**

253 Herding Variable ? H7: Market variable positively impact the investment performance. ? H8: Herding variable
254 positively impact the investment performance.

255 III.

256 **20 Research Methodology a) Research Design**

257 The cross-sectional design is used in this research. In the cross-sectional design, data is collected and analyzed
258 from more than one point at one single time. This research design is relevant to this research because it best
259 suits this type of research to show the common trends of the behavior of investors rather than a single specific
260 one. So the main reason is that the data in this study is collected at single point of time not the multiple stages
261 (Saunders et al., 2009).

262 **21 b) Data Analysis Method**

263 In order to run the data SPSS Software is used because data is cross sectional. Variouys tests are conducted
264 through SPSS like Cronbach's Alpha, Descriptive Statistics and Multiple regression model.

265 **22 IV.**

266 **23 Data Analysis and Results**

267 **24 a) Reliability Measurement**

268 Cronbach's Alpha (Measurement Reliability) impact level (Kengatharan, 2014).

269 investment decision making. So the hypothesis that market variable highly impact individual investors' decision
270 making is rejected. The mean for herding variable is 4.4735, which is greater than 4 and less than 5, so herding
271 has high impact over investment decision making. This result supports the hypothesis that herding variable
272 highly impact individual investors' decision making, so the hypothesis is accepted.

273 c) Impact of behavioral variables on investment performance ? H5: Heuristic variable positively impact
274 investment performance.

275 ? H6: Prospect variable positively impact investment performance. ? H7: Market variable positively impact
276 investment performance. ? H8: Herding variable positively impact investment performance. The value of ? 2 -
277 0.063 this shows that if prospect variable changes by 1%, there would be -6.3% change in investment performance,
278 by holding the other predictors constant.

279 **25 Summary of Regression Model**

280 The value of ? 3 0.12 which reveals that if prospect variable changes by 1%, there would be 12% change in
281 investment performance, by holding the other predictors constant.

282 The value of ? 4 0.448 this shows that if prospect variable changes by 1%, there would be 44.8% change in
283 investment performance, by holding the other predictors constant. From above regression equation it is very
284 much clear that herding variable has highest impact on investment performance, because it brings highest change
285 of 44.8% as compare to the other variables values in the equation.

286 The above table of regression analysis show that all variables have significant impact on investment performance
287 except prospect, which has no significant impact on the dependent variable (investment performance).

288 The p-value of heuristic is 0.015 which less than 0.05, so the hypothesis that heuristic variable positively
289 impact investment performance is accepted.

290 The p-value of prospect variable is 0.531 which is less than 0.05, so the hypothesis that prospect variable
291 positively impact investment performance is rejected. The p-value of market variable is 0.014 which is less than
292 0.05 so the hypothesis that market variable positively impact investment performance is accepted.

293 **26 Model**

294 **27 d) Conclusion**

295 This study accomplished answers of all those questions which were identified in the introduction. This study
296 identified the impact of several behavioral factors over investors' decision making in Pakistan. Research concluded
297 that most of the behavioral factors have high impact on investor decision making in Pakistan. As Pakistan stock
298 market is not much developed one, major portion of investors do not have financial expertise in order to evaluate
299 their investments, so they mostly focus on that information which is available in the market and make their
300 decisions on the basis of explicit outcomes. All the behavioral variables highly impact investors' decision making
301 which led to accept the all hypothesis related to impact level of behavioral factors on individual investors'
302 decision making. But among all those variables prospect highly impact investor decision making. It shows that
303 individual investors in Pakistani stock market mostly commit behavioral errors like loss aversion, regret aversion
304 and mental accounting biases while making their investment decisions. In relation to variables that impact
305 investment performance only three of the four variables have significant impact on investment performance.
306 Herding has the highest positive impact on investment performance, after that heuristic and market have high
307 positive impact investment performance. Results of all these variables are significant. However prospect variable
308 negatively

309 **28 e) Limitations of the Study**

310 In this research study data regarding behavioral factors is collected through questionnaires. But financial decision
311 making is very much complex process and investors encounter various situations where they irrationally make
312 decisions. But when investor provide data through questionnaires, they are somewhat relaxed so they answer
313 differently. To overcome this interviews with investors could have been done to obtain accurate data. In order
314 to enhance the accuracy of data related to performance, secondary data of stock return performance should have
315 been taken, because most of the investors do not exactly know the expected returns of their investments and the
316 average rate of return of the market so they just make random estimates. So secondary data of stock returns
317 may increase the accuracy of measurement.

Behavioral Factors and their Impact on
Individual Investors' Decision Making and
Investment Performance: Empirical Investigation
from Pakistani Stock Market
Anum

[Note: ? & Beenish Ameer ? Author ? ?: Quaid-i-Azam University Islamabad. e-mails: anum0804@gmail.com, beenishameer14@gmail.com]

Figure 1:

41

Figure 2: Table 4 . 1 :

Mean value	Impact level
Mean below 2	Very low impact
Mean exceeds 2 but less than 3	Low impact
Mean exceeds 3 but less than 4	Moderate impact
Mean exceeds 4 but less than 5	High impact
Mean exceeds 5	Very high impact

Figure 3: Table 4 . 2 :

42

66	
Cronbach's Alpha value	Number of Items
.808	41

Figure 4: Table 4 . 3 :

4

4: Summary of regression model Regression coefficient results

Table 4.5: Regression coefficient results

	Model	Coefficients (Unstandardized)		Coefficients (Stan- dard- ized)	t- value
		Beta (value)	Error (Std)		
1	(Constant)	1.280	.592		2.363 .0
	Heuristic	.177	.102	.129	1.733 .0
	Prospect	-.063	.100	.047	.628 .5
	Market	.12	.45	.19	2.263 .0
	Herding	.448	.082	.388	5.482 .0

F-Value=10.142

Investment performance = 1.280 + .177×Heuristic-.063×Prospect +.12×Mark

The above equation shows that value of

intercept ? α is 1.280, this value represents that if the values of all predictors are zero then value of investment performance would be 1.280.

The value of β_1 0.177 which reveals that if heuristic variable changes by 1%, there would be 17.7% change in investment performance, by holding the other predictors constant.

Figure 5: Table 4 .

318 29 Références

319 1 2

¹Behavioral Factors and their Impact on Individual Investors' Decision Making and Investment Performance: Empirical Investigation from Pakistani Stock Market
²() 2017 © 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US) 1

320 [PA] , PA 95 (8) p. . Wharton Financial Institutions Center. University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia
321 [Ritter ()] , J R Ritter . *Behavioral Finance. Pacific-Basin Finance Journal* 2003. 11 (4) p. .
322 [Bodie et al. ()] , Z Bodie , A Kane , A J Marcus . *Investments* 2008. McGraw-Hill. (4th Edition)
323 [Chira et al. ()] , I Chira , M Adams , B Thornton . 2008.
324 [Kim and Nofsinger ()] , K A Kim , J R Nofsinger . 10.1016/j.pacfin.2007.04.001. *Behavioral finance in Asia. Pacific-Basin Finance Journal* 2008. 16 (1) p. .
325 [Bryma and Bell ()] , A Bryma , E Bell . *Business Research Methods* 2011. Oxford University Press. (3rd Edition)
326 [Thaler and Richard ()] *Advances in Behavioral Finance*, Thaler , H Richard . 2005. USA: Princeton University
327 Press. II.
328 [Bernstein ()] *Against the Gods: The Remarkable Story of Risk*, P L Bernstein . 1996. New York: John Wiley
329 and Sons.
330 [Bernstein ()] *Against the Gods: The Remarkable Story of Risk*, P L Bernstein . 1998. USA: John Wiley & Sons
331 Inc.
332 [Farlin ()] *Antecedents and Consequences of Heuristic Biases: Evidence from Individual Investors and Small
333 Business Owners, Pro-Quest Information*, J D Farlin . 2006. Italy: Pearson Education Limited. (5th Edition)
334 [Cohen ()] 'Aristotle on Investment Decision Making'. A J Cohen . *Financial Analyst Journal* 2005. 61 p. .
335 [Luu ()] 'Behavior Pattern of Individual Investors in Stock Market'. T B N Luu . *International Journal of Business
336 and Management* 2014. 9 (1) p. .
337 [Rizzi ()] 'Behavioral Basis of the Financial Crisis'. J V Rizzi . *Journal of Applied Finance* 2008. 18 (2) p. .
338 [Behavioral Bias within the Decision making Process Journal of Business and Economics Research] 'Behavioral
339 Bias within the Decision making Process'. *Journal of Business and Economics Research* 6 (8) p. .
340 [Oisen ()] 'Behavioral Finance and its Implications for Stock-Price Volatility'. R A Oisen . *Financial Analyst
341 Journal* 1998. 54 (2) p. .
342 [Raines and Leathers ()] 'Behavioral Finance and Post Keynesian-Institutional Theories of Financial Markets'.
343 J P Raines , C G Leathers . *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics* 2011. 33 (4) p. .
344 [Pompian and Michael ()] *Behavioral Finance and Wealth Management*, Pompian , M Michael . 2006. USA: John
345 Wiley & Sons.
346 [Fromlet ()] 'Behavioral Finance-Theory and Practical Application'. H Fromlet . *Business Economics* 2001. p. .
347 [Bhatt and Chauhan ()] 'Behavioral Finance: A New Paradigm of Finance'. B K Bhatt , A A Chauhan .
348 *International Journal of Application or Innovation in Engineering and Management* 2014. 3 (2) p. .
349 [Subrahmanyam ()] 'Behavioral Finance: A Review and Synthesis'. A Subrahmanyam . *European Financial
350 Management* 2007. 14 p. .
351 [Statman ()] 'Behavioral Finance: Past Battles and Future Engagements. Association for Investment Manage-
352 ment and Research'. M Statman . *Financial Analyst Journal* 1999. 55 (6) p. .
353 [Sadi et al. ()] 'Behavioral Finance: The Explanation of Investors' Personality and Perceptual Biases Effects on
354 Financial Decisions'. R Sadi , H B Asl , M R Rostami , A Gholipour , F Gholipour . *International Journal of
355 Economics and Finance* 2011. 3 (5) p. .
356 [Montier ()] *Behavioral Investing a Practitioners Guide to Applying Behavioral Finance*, J Montier . 2002. John
357 Wiley & Sons.
358 [Shelby ()] *Beyond Cronbach's Alpha: Considering Confirmatory Factor Analysis and Segmentation. Human
359 Dimensions of Wildlife*, L B Shelby . 2011. 16 p. .
360 [Barber and Odean ()] 'Boys Will Be Boys: Gender, Overconfidence, and Common Stock Investment'. B M
361 Barber , T Odean . *Journal of Economics* 2001. 116 p. .
362 [Brown and Reilly ()] C K Brown , F K Reilly . *Analysis of Investment and Management of Portfolio: 9th
363 Edition. South Western: Cengage Learning*, 2004.
364 [Gwily ()] *Can behavioral finance model account for historical asset prices? UK: Cardiff Economics Working
365 Papers*, M R Gwily . 2009.
366 [Chandra ()] *Decision Making in the Stock Market: Incorporating Psychology with Finance*, A Chandra . 2008.
367 New Delhi. p. 110025.
368 [Liua and Salvend ()] 'Effects of measurement errors on psychometric measurements in ergonomics studies:
369 Implications for correlations, ANOVA, linear regression, factor analysis, and linear discriminant analysis'.
370 Y Liua , G Salvend . *Ergonomics* 2009. 52 (5) p. .
371 [O'brien ()] 'Factor Analysis: An Overview in the Field of Measurement'. K O'brien . *Physiotherapy Canada
372* 2007. 59 (2) p. .
373

374 [Huberman ()] 'Familiarity breeds investment'. G Huberman . *Review of Financial Studies* 2001. 14 p. .

375 [Debondt and Thaler ()] 'Financial Decision-Making in Markets and Firms: A Behavioral Perspective'. W F M
376 Debondt , R H Thaler . *Handbooks in Operations Research and Management Science* 1995. 9 (13) p. .

377 [Shiller and Robert ()] 'From Efficient Markets Theory to Behavioral Finance'. Shiller , J Robert . *Journal of
378 Economic Perspectives* 2002. 17 (1) p. .

379 [Kallinterakis et al. ()] 'Herd Behavior, Illiquidity, and Extreme Market States: Evidence from Banja Luka'. V
380 Kallinterakis , N Munir , M R Markovic . *Journal of Emerging Market Finance* 2010. 9 (3) p. .

381 [Tan et al. ()] 'Herding behavior in Chinese stock markets: An examination of A and B shares'. L Tan , T C
382 Chiang , J R Mason , E Nelling . *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal* 2008. 16 (1) p. .

383 [Caparrelli et al. ()] 'Herding in the Italian stock market: a case of behavioral finance'. F D Caparrelli , A
384 Arcangelis , A Cassuto . *Journal of Behavioral Finance* 2004. 5 (4) p. .

385 [Grinblatt and Keloharju ()] 'How distance, language and culture influence stockholdings and trades'. M Grin-
386 blatt , M Keloharju . *Journal of Finance* 2001. 56 (3) p. .

387 [Nofsinger ()] *Investment madness: how psychology affects your investing -and what to do about it*, John R
388 Nofsinger . 2001. USA: Pearson Education.

389 [Singh ()] 'Investor Irrationality and Self-Defeating Behavior: Insights from Behavioral Finance'. S Singh . *The
390 Journal of Global Business Management* 2014. 8 (1) p. .

391 [Winchester et al. (2011)] 'Investor Prudence and the Role of Financial Advice'. D D Winchester , S J Huston ,
392 M S Finke . *Journal of Financial Service Professionals* 2011. July 2011.

393 [Hirshleifer et al. ()] 'Limited investor attention and stock market miscreation to accounting information'. D
394 Hirshleifer , S S Lim , S H Teoh . *Review of Asset Pricing Studies* 2011. (1) p. 1.

395 [Barberis and Huang ()] 'Mental Accounting, Loss Aversion, and Individual Stock Returns'. N Barberis , M
396 Huang . *The Journal of Finance* 2001. 56 (4) p. .

397 [Hair et al. ()] *Multivariate data analysis*, J F Hair , B Black , B Babin , R E Anderson , R L Tatham . 1998.
398 Prentice-Hall, International, Inc.

399 [Mcgoun ()] 'On Knowledge of Finance'. E G Mcgoun . 10.1016/1057-5219. *International Review of Financial
400 Analysis* 1992. 1 (3) p. 90002.

401 [Onsomu ()] Z N Onsomu . *The Impact of Behavioral Biases on Investor Decisions in Kenya: Male Vs Female*,
402 2014. 2 p. . (Arts and Literature)

403 [Farber et al. ()] 'Policy Impacts on Vietnam Stock Market: A Case of Anomalies and Disequilibria'. A Farber ,
404 V H Nguyen , Q H Vuong . *CEB Working PaperN° 06/005*, 2006. 2000-2006. April. p. 25. Université Libre de
405 Bruxelles -Solvay Business School -Centre Emile Bernheim

406 [Saunders et al. ()] *Research methods for business students*, M Saunders , P Lewis , A Thornhill . 2009. Pearson
407 Education Limited. (5th Edition)

408 [Ghauri and Gronhaug ()] *Research methods in business studies*, P Ghauri , K Gronhaug . 2010. (4th edition)

409 [Fisher ()] *Researching and writing a dissertation, an essential guide for business students*, C Fisher . 2010.
410 Pearson Education Limited. (3rd Edition)

411 [Filbeck et al. ()] 'Risk aversion and personality type'. G Filbeck , P Hatfield , P Horvath . *Journal of Behavioral
412 Finance* 2005. 6 (4) p. .

413 [Robson ()] C Robson . *Real World Research*, (Oxford) 2002. Blackwell. (Second edition)

414 [Kyle and Wang ()] 'Speculation Duopoly with Agreement to Disagree: Can Overconfidence Survive the Market
415 Test'. A Kyle , F Wang . *Journal of Finance* 1997. 52 (5) p. .

416 [Leech et al. ()] *SPSS for intermediate statistics: use and interpretation*, N Leech , K Barrett , G Morgan . 2005.
417 New Jersey London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc. (2nd Edition)

418 [Anderson et al. ()] *Statistics for business and economics*, D Anderson , D Sweeney , T Williams . 2002. South-
419 Western: Thomson Learning.

420 [Waweru et al. ()] 'The effects of behavioral factors in investment decision-making: a survey of institutional
421 investors operating at the Nairobi Stock Exchange'. N M Waweru , E Munyoki , E Uliana . *International
422 Journal of Business and Emerging Markets* 2008. 1 (1) p. .

423 [Liu et al. ()] 'The Impact of Outliers on Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha Estimate of Reliability: Ordinal/Rating
424 Scale Item Responses'. Y Liu , A D Wu , B D Zumbo . *Educational and Psychological Measurement* 2010. 70
425 (1) p. .

426 [Kengatharan and Kengatharan ()] 'The Influence of Behavioral Factors in Making Investment Decisions and
427 Performance: Study on Investors of Colombo Stock Exchange, Sri Lanka'. L Kengatharan , N Kengatharan .
428 *Asian Journal of Finance and Accounting* 2014. 6 (1) p. .

429 [Herring and Santomero ()] *The role of the financial sector in economic performance*, J R Herring , M A
430 Santomero . 1991.

431 [Hong et al. ()] 'Thy neighbor's portfolio: Word-of-mouth effects in the holdings and trades of money managers'.
432 H Hong , J Kubik , J C Stein . *Journal of Finance* 2005. 60 p. .

433 [Goodfellow et al. ()] 'Together we invest? Individual and institutional investors' trading behaviour in Poland'.
434 C Goodfellow , M T Bohl , B Gebka . *International Review of Financial Analysis* 2009. 18 (4) p. .

435 [Helms et al. ()] 'Treating Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Coefficients as Data in Counseling Research'. J E Helms
436 , K T Henze , T L Sass , V A Mifsud . *The counseling psychologist* 2006. 34 (5) p. .