Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

1	Does Trade Openness Reduce Inflation? Empirical Evidence
2	from Ethiopia
3	Minyahil Alemu Haile ¹
4	¹ Jimma University
5	Received: 16 December 2016 Accepted: 2 January 2017 Published: 15 January 2017

7 Abstract

⁸ Of the most commonly celebrated propositions in international trade is the hypothesis that

⁹ trade liberalization is associated with declining prices, so that protectionism is inflationary.

¹⁰ The New Growth Theory is strongly in favor of this view. However, the ?Cost-push

advocators? claim for the existence of positive correlations between trade openness and

¹² inflation variables. Moreover, empirical studies have been confirming inconclusive results

¹³ regarding the nature of relationships between the two variables. These theoretical and

¹⁴ empirical departures are the principal motivations to the current study. This study is aimed

¹⁵ to test the relationship between inflation and trade openness variables in Ethiopia, using the

 $_{16}$ time series data set for the period serially ranging from 1976/77 to 2016/77. Augmented

¹⁷ Dickey Fuller and Phillips Perron approaches will be employed for testing the stationarity

¹⁸ properties of individual variables in the model and the Johnson?s maximum likely-hood

¹⁹ approach will be employed for cointegration tests.

20

Index terms— cointegration, ethiopia, inflation, openness, vector error correction model 1.

²² 1 Introduction

or the significantly celebrated benefit of international economic integration, no country can afford to isolate itself from the global economy. The highly significant role of this economic integration goes to developing economies as well. The possible economic gains from outward-looking development strategies have been extensively discussed in theoretical and empirical literatures in the world of economics.

The benefits of outward-looking policies have been believed to be realized from international trade and capital flows.

Following these hypothetical integration-growth ties, a great deal of world economies has resorted to opening up 29 their gates and, a considerable shift has been observed from a closed to open and more flexible economic structure 30 at around 1990s. The celebrated benefit of openness is that it boosts the level of real output. The associated 31 hypothesis has been also been that, through its positive effect on output higher openness has a reducing effect on 32 the rate of inflation. But, the issue follows that, "has globalization really changed inflation in the way expected?" 33 The issue remained a subject of debate for long in economic literatures. In most countries, even though the 34 35 relationship between openness and output operates as expected, but takes different forms with inflation due 36 to various structural and country specific factors. However, there is no unique agreement on the interaction 37 between higher trade openness and inflation. Rogoff argues that "globalization has played strong supporting role in the past decade's process of disinflation" (Rogoff, 2003). He evidenced the realized inverse correlation between 38 openness and inflation. However, contrary to ??ogoff, Ball (2006) claimed for the existence of only little, probably 39 insignificant impact of openness on inflation. While continuing his argued for the probable existence of only the 40 modest and little relationship between the two macroeconomic variables. Despite the existence of varying views 41 on these links, the pronounced phenomenon in economic theories has been to regard inflation and openness the 42 negatively varying variables. Surprisingly, but not impressive, this theoretical link between the openness and 43

44 inflation remained a bench mark in national policy setting in for a considerable number of economies even today.
 45 Ethiopia is not an exception to this.

Though regarded to serve positive role in rare case, inflation creates obvious costs to economic, social, political 46 47 and other aspects of the country. The higher rate of inflation has commonly recognized negative effects in any typical economy. It could lead to poor resource utilization by forcing inefficient transactions and speculations, 48 dampens the scope for rational economic decisions, and moreover creating a horrible situation by which the 49 government policies loss credibility. When monetary economy to the largest extent losses power in dealing with 50 macro wise economic aspects, good conditions are created to welcome hyper inflationary situations (see ??rugman, 51 1991). Moreover, with higher inflation rates the economic growth process is also distorted via its reducing effect 52 53 on domestic propensity to save. That means since inflation is meant to evaporate the purchasing power of money income, people's tendency to save part of their income for future consumption, of course it forms part of domestic 54 investments, diminishes; and hence, economic activities as well. 55 Whatever the relationship between openness and inflation is, stability in macroeconomic variables is a key for a 56 sustainable and real economic growth to take place. Inflation, hence, is among the main concern. Fischer (1993)

⁵⁷ sustainable and real economic growth to take place. Inflation, hence, is among the main concern. Fischer (1993)
⁵⁸ supports the view that a stable macroeconomic environment is conducive element to sustained economic growth.
⁵⁹ From his empirical observations we see that countries with low inflation have grown faster and vice versa. An
⁶⁰ important issue for the present analysis could be that stable and moderate growth rate of inflation is inevitable.
⁶¹ The present study is aimed to test the empirical correlation between inflation and openness in Ethiopia. Once
⁶² the significant role of stable inflation is recognized, there is a need to determine its link with other macroeconomic

variables; one is the trade openness variable. Hence, it is intended to test whether the two variables are operating
in line with the theoretical claims. From the past experience in the literatures of inflation, inflation has been
thought to be influenced by various monetary, fiscal and structural phenomenons; with an economics exposure to
international economic and political integration other factors with a potential of affecting home inflation could
be introduced. Hence, efforts will be made to incorporate the effects of both the internal and external influences

68 on the domestic price level.

69 **2** II.

70 3 Statement of the Problem

71 The hypothetical claim with the New Growth Theory on the link between inflation and openness has been an important point of macroeconomic debates. The claim with the theory is that higher openness reduces the rate 72 73 of inflation. In line with this theory (Romer, 1993) investigated a negative relationship between trade openness and inflation, using a large cross-section of 114 countries over the period 1973 to 1988. However, other views and 74 75 empirical findings exist in contrast to the above cases. For instance, the "Costpush myth" holds for inflation to 76 vary positively with the degree of openness (Mayer, 2003). The argument is that, an opened up economy is highly 77 subject to imported inflation and weekend domestic macroeconomic policies (particularly of monetary and fiscal policies) with the introduction of external shocks (like exchange rate conditions and other unfavorable happenings 78 79 in trading partners), see ??ron and Muellbaur (2007). Heavy reliance on import of manufactured and industrial goods and intermediate inputs by emerging economies will have higher possibility of importing foreign inflation 80 simultaneously, which can be reflected directly on domestic prices. Hence, given all these possibilities, the 'Cost-81 Push' advocators claimed that, it is the net effect that determines the level of output and, hence price level; but 82 not only the justified benefits of trade openness. Apart from this theoretical departure, there are also empirical 83 contradictions on the nature of correlations among the two variables. For instance, a study by Sanginabadi et al 84 85 (2011) and Zakaria (2010) have confirmed a positive and significant effect of trade openness on inflation in the 86 respective economies of Iran and Pakistan. Induced primarily by these theoretical and empirical contradictions regarding the link between inflation and trade openness variables, the present study will be directed to determine 87 the empirical relationship between the two variables; given that no previous empirical study has been undertaken 88 in the country in the sprit at hand. Hence, the motivation could be to which of the hypothetical claim explains 89 the case in Ethiopia; that is; "the New Growth Theory or the Cost Push Myth". 90 The notion that there are no or little previous studies in the country somewhere in this paper suggests for the 91 desirability of the present study. Therefore, the present study is expected to contribute significantly by adding 92 value to the countries inflation literatures, with the specific reference to openness to trade. In fact, one could 93 find similar previous works in Ethiopia, though are limited too in concerning availability as well as statistical 94 requirements. The only considerable study in the country in exactly similar issue could be a work of Meseret 95 96 (2014), which is unpublished graduate study. Her control variables include the money supply, gross fixed capital

formation as a share of GDP, per capita income and the government's consumption expenditure together with the openness variable (the principal element in the model). The study, even though, related is found to be limited

on a number of grounds. In the first place, the government expenditure takes many forms, not only consumption.

Government expenditure can be made for consumption of public goods and services, public investment activities and transfer payments. In all the cases, currency is being injected in to the economy thereby creating respective

effects on the economy. Hence, the current study will try to incorporate the full effect of government expenditure

103 on inflation model, which is to be discussed latter in this paper. Moreover, her analysis was limited to home

side factors except the openness variable, which is the principal variable in the model. Yet, with higher exposure

to international trade there could be a possibility that other external factors could have significant role in the domestic economy. For instance, in an opened up economies variables like imported inflation, exchange rates, balance of payments and possibly foreign interest rates affect domestic economy but ignored due consideration in the study by Meseret. Therefore, it will be tried to investigate the monetary, fiscal, structural and external variables in a relation to inflation in the present study.

Moreover, previous studies in Ethiopia have been focusing on the general cause-effect aspects of inflation 110 with no particular attention to money supply and inflation; as opposed to their share in inflation theories and 111 literatures. Even though, a little work has been done, they all commonly share serious limitations: variables 112 employed as well as the number of observations were of limited size. Besides, not a little of them were concerned 113 with food inflation alone. For example, a study by Josef et al (2008) has considered only the short run issues. 114 Demirew (1998) for example used only agricultural and money supply variables in a relation to inflation as cited 115 by; and Josef et al (2008) controlled only money supply, exchange rate, agricultural production shocks and foreign 116 price. This study is limited basically on three grounds; by employing small number of variables, observations and 117 considering the short run issue only. Other recent studies are also not out of this limitation: study by (Tsegay, 118 2014; Meseret, 2014) might exemplify it. Moreover, majority of them used only small size of observations. For 119 instance; Jema and Fekadu (2012); Josef et al (2008); Habtamu (2013) and Temesgen (2013) are mentioned 120 121 among others. Carrying out analysis in such a way leads to defective conclusions. The present study differs from 122 the previous once on a number of grounds. First, both the size of observations and variables are extended as 123 appropriate as the econometric models employed.

124 **4 III.**

¹²⁵ 5 Objectives of the Study

The present study is principally intended to empirically investigate the relationship between trade openness and inflation variables in Ethiopia using the time series data set for the period ranging from 1976 to 2016.

Towards attaining the set broad objective, the following specific objectives to be addressed in this study include; ? Empirically investigating the direction of causality between inflation and openness variables; ? Examining both the short and long run effects of trade openness on inflation and; ? Determining the relative magnitude of each exogenous variable employed in explaining the process of inflation in Ethiopia.

¹³² 6 IV. review of literatures a) Theoretical Literature i. New ¹³³ Growth Theory versus Cost-push Myth

The relationship between inflation and openness has been a subject of research, theoretical as well as empirical. 134 However, the literature on the subject is relatively scant. According to 'new growth theory', openness is likely 135 to affect inflation through its likely effect on output ??Jin, 2000). This link could be operating through: a) 136 increased efficiency which is likely to reduce cost through changes in composition of inputs procured domestically 137 and internationally, b) better allocation of resources, c) increased capacity utilization, d) rise in foreign investment 138 which can stimulate output growth and ease pressures on prices (Ashra, 2002). Okun ??1981) postulates that 139 the shocks to the domestic price level due to domestic output fluctuation are likely to ease as the economy opens 140 up. However, the "Costpush advocators" put the case differently. The "Costpush myth" holds for inflation 141 142 to vary positively with the degree of openness (Mayer, 2003). The argument is that, an opened up economy 143 is highly subject to imported inflation and weekend domestic macroeconomic policies (particularly of monetary and fiscal policies) with the introduction of external shocks (like exchange rate conditions and other unfavorable 144 happenings in trading partners), see ??ron and Muellbaur (2007). Heavy reliance onimport of manufactured and 145 industrial goods and intermediate inputs by emerging economies will have higher possibility of importing foreign 146 inflation simultaneously, which can be reflected directly on domestic prices. Hence, given all these possibilities, 147 the 'Cost-Push' advocators claimed that, it is the net effect that determines the level of output and, hence price 148 level; but not only the justified benefits of trade openness. 149

¹⁵⁰ 7 ii. The Classical Quantity Theory

The theory bases its analysis on the Fishers (1911) quantity equation given by (MV = PY): where, M (money supply); V (Velocity of money); P (general price) and Y (real GDP). Assuming V and Y to be constants in the model, the theory claims that (%M = %P), implying the existence of equi-proportional relationships between monetary growth and the rate of inflation. Therefore, inflation is always and everywhere onetary phenomenon and in that no other factor could have a role as money plays in the determination of inflation process; see (Johnson et al, 2000; ??etzel, 2007;Milton, 1971; ??elson, 2007 and ??ay and ??nderson, 2011).

¹⁵⁸ 8 . Keynesian Theories of Inflation

In contrast to the case with classical economists, money creates real impact where idle capacities are present for Keynes. He claimed in such an economy that, any additional money balance reduces the rate of interest,

increases investment and, hence, output. As a result the initial rise in price could be completely offset by the 161 latter reduced price, hence, no way forit to directly transmit to the general price level (Keynes, 1936). Keynes 162 identified three basic reasons why an economic agents demand money balance; the transaction demand (in line 163 with the traditional economists), the precautionary demand (for emergency cases) and the speculative demand 164 (money even as store of value); with the latter being the key tool in his attack against the QTM (Keynes, 1936). 165 He contained these three motives together in his money demand function given by and related money demand 166 positively to income and negatively to the level of interest rates: thereby recognizing the role of interest rate in 167 affecting the demand for money. Price being determined by the demand and supply for money, Keynes formulated 168 his own quantity equation given by Where; M is the nominal stock of exogenously determined money supply; D, 169 the demand for money and P is the general price level (Keynes, 1936). With the nominal interest rate included in 170 his money demand function, Keynes stressed that, changes in the quantity of money affect price level only after 171 impacting the level of interest rate, and hence investment, output and employment (Humphrey, 1974). So that, 172 the transmission mechanism between money and the price level is indirect. The immediate impact of change in 173 the quantity of money rests on the interest rate but not on price. It implies that when interest rate decreases 174 (following positive shock in the quantity of money), the level of investment responds by increasing. Hence, the 175 levels of output, income and employment increase also as well. The additional level of employment, in fact, 176 177 imposes additional pressure on aggregate demand, and that the rising wage and other costs together induce the 178 price level to rise. Here, the transmission of monetary impact on price is not only indirect, but the effect is not complete, since part of the money balance is held by the speculators (see Krusell P., 2004; ??elson, 2007). 179

Both versions of the quantity are, however, similar for an economy operating at its full capacity. For Keynes 180 money could impose even a higher than full inflationary effect in the long run being aggravated by inflationary 181 expectations. The Keynes's version reveals that the elasticity of price with respect to any monetary shock be 182 equal to zero (ep = 0) in an economy with idle resources to utilize. According to him, in such an economy, 183 monetary injections would enable utilize idle resources and employment which increases output in a proportion 184 to changing aggregate demand, hence there would be no impact on prices in the short run(see Kenneth and 185 Anthony, 2015). The elasticity becomes one, given the level of output and employment fixed at full capacity 186 and is 'True inflation' for Keynes. Any monetary growth while the economy is operating at full capacity induces 187 proportional change on price. 188

Secondly, the constant assumption of velocity was no more guaranteed in Keynes's version of QTM. In his 189 Tract, he claimed that velocity of money is rather procyclical (subjected to shocks) by considering the impact of 190 interest rate on demand for money. Capturing velocity by Keynes argued that velocity is a positive function of 191 interest rate. It works like this; when interest rate increases, money demand decreases and, as a result velocity 192 of money increases. The implication is that, increased interest rate induces cash holders to save more to gain 193 extra benefit from rising rates. So that, they put more of their balance at bank and remain with few and since 194 the amount of balance available in the economy is now less, it frequently changes hands to serve the remaining 195 unsatisfied motives for money. With unstable velocity, no way for money to directly transmit to price and vice 196 versa; i.e. any change in price or income would also be absorbed by the same process as a result no increasing 197 response from money supply (Snowdon and Vane, 2005). 198

¹⁹⁹ 9 iv. Demand-Pull Theory of Inflation

As the name implies this type of inflation is the result of excess demand in the economy. From the Keynesians traditional national income identity (Y = C + I + G), aggregate demand is a function of aggregate consumption (C), investment (I) and government expenditure (G). The demand pull inflation occurs when this sum exceeds the total level of supplies in the economy. Any factor causing aggregate demand to increase above its potential level would result in inflation. According to Oludele et al ??2002), Keynesians' had a simple and direct tool to deal with this type of inflation. Their advice is to absorb money back from the public sufficient enough in reducing the extra effective demand imposing adverse shock on the price level.

²⁰⁷ 10 v. The Cost-Push Fallacy

These types of inflation emerge from any negative shocks in the supply side of the economy. Following Lahari 208 (2011), the supply side of the general economy explains output, inflation and the economy's adjustment to 209 equilibrium at the potential level of output. The argument here is that, any factors contributing negatively to 210 211 the production side of the economy are all inflationary. For example, increasing raw material costs, rising labor 212 costs and indirect taxes could direct reflect in the form of increased prices or induce price to increase thereby 213 reducing outputs. It is frequently stated in theoretical literatures like, Batten (1981) and ??umphrey (1976), for 214 this type of inflation to take place in the following manner: to cope up with the rising living costs in a condition of rising aggregate prices, employees may bargain and form a union demanding additional wage income; rising 215 216 wages in turn can help drive inflation. This type of price surge also is regarded to spread in other sectors of the economy. It implies that, if a given production sector involves the input use of goods and services produced in 217 another sector for which the production costs are increasing; then the prices of the goods produced in the first 218 sector also increases. 219

²²⁰ 11 vi. The Structuralist's Explanation

This theory briefs the causes of inflation particularly in less developed economies by identifying structural 221 rigidities commonly underlying these economies. For instance, Ray and Anderson (2011) have identified three 222 structural factors commonly explaining inflation in under developed economies. These are inelastic supply of 223 agricultural products, implication with the first case is that, the unbalanced growth trends in agricultural sector 224 and urbanization could result in higher rate of inflation in most LDCs. That means agricultural productivity is 225 insufficient to meet its growing demand as urbanization is going ahead. Besides, due to weak domestic capacity 226 complemented with loss of trust by external lenders, most LDCs resort to monetization of their deficits which 227 is inflationary in practice in line with the traditional QTM. The structuralis' maintain that factors forcing 228 monetization of deficits in LDCs are accounted for this type of inflation but not money supply as it is induced by 229 those structural rigidities. Moreover, Donath and Dima (2000) and Jema and Fekadu (2012) also highly stress 230 the case in line with Olson (2010). Foreign exchange limitations and huge price differentials in the international 231 trade are also among the main headaches of underdeveloped economies. Finally, structuralists' have a message 232 to LDCs at least to minimize the effect of inflation resulting from structural rigidities. That is to develop any 233 optimum measure as well as capable institutions enough to avoid structural rigidness and imbalances in various 234 sectors of the developing economies and bring these changes in the economy. 235

²³⁶ 12 vii. Theoretical Link between Deficits and Inflation

Budget deficit is the second important variable in this study (next to money supply variable) because of its 237 238 theoretical link to monetary growth. Via the QTM approach, the monetarists argue that monetization of budget 239 deficit is inflationary. There are three ways to finance the public expenditures; borrowing from the public, borrowing from the central bank (Seigniorages) and external borrowing (Sargent and Wallace, 1976; ??ebecca, 240 2014). Relative to the other two methods, the central bank financed deficits impose higher inflationary pressures. 241 That is when money is created to fill deficits, the quantity of money in the economy increases and could result 242 in inflation. Budget deficit affects price only after affecting the level of nominal money growth in an economy. 243 It means, as long as the deficit is not monetized, no link exists between deficits and the price level. Sargent and 244 Wallace (1981) postulate that, following exogenous government spending and taxes, monetization of the deficits 245 would lead to monetary variable induced inflation in the long run. According to them, deficit cause money growth 246 and which in turn causes inflation. Besides, they argue in such a condition, for the existence of feedback effect 247 from inflation to budget deficits in the manner that inflation reduces the value of real revenue to the government, 248 leading to fiscal deficit in the long run. Sargent and Wallace maintain that if monetization of deficits could 249 result in growth of money supply and hence inflation, the situation would be termed as 'fiscal dominance,' due 250 to the fact that the whole process is forced by the initial shocks in the fiscal policy. Lags in the collection of 251 government's tax revenue adversely affect the government's fiscal position thereby reducing the real value of the 252 public's tax revenue; this might further induce monetary creation. 253

²⁵⁴ 13 b) Empirical Evidences

From early empirical discoveries, Triffin and Grudel (1962) tested the hypothesis that openness boosts 255 productivity and hence leads to cheaper availability of goods that are costly in the country otherwise and 256 confirmed an inverse relationship between openness and inflation variables in sample of 5 countries in European 257 Economic Community. It, hence, is in line with the claim of New Growth Theory and the Romer's hypothesis. 258 Romer (1993) Similarly, Batra (2001) argues that tariffs do not necessarily cause inflation, at least in the US. 259 ??ruben and Mcleod (2004) show that there does not exist any significant openness-inflation relationship among 260 OECD economies. ??im and Beladi (2004) have estimated a positive relationship between price level and trade 261 openness for some advanced economies, such as the US, Belgium, and Ireland, while for other countries, both 262 developed and developing, their finding is in line with Romer's (1993) argument. Finally, it is interesting to note 263 that Romer (1993) himself finds no significant openness-inflation relationship among OECD economies. 264 The country specific case is concerned; a study by Meseret (2014) could be primarily mentioned. She estimated 265

the negative but insignificant impact of trade openness on inflation in contrast to the theoretical claims. Minyahil (2016) has also estimated the dynamics of inflation in a relation with other macroeconomic variables by controlling the openness variable. His finding indicates that the relationship between the two variables is positive and highly significant both in the short and long run. He justified the case to the country specific conditions like rigid economic policies, the prolonged internal and external conflicts with a potential of blocking the suspected benefits of large openness.

272 14 Methodologies

The quality of any macroeconomic analysis can be determined by the accuracy, consistency and availability of any macroeconomic variables in question. The problem in Ethiopian case is the inconsistency of macroeconomic data from different sources: to cope up with this problem, money sources will be referred as possible. The study uses secondary time series data set for the period serially ranging from 1976/77 to 2016/17, which is for about 41 years. The data are to be sourced from both the domestic and external organizations. The potential domestic sources include; Ministry of Finance and Economic Cooperation (MoFEC), National Bank of Ethiopia (NBE), Central Statistics Agency (CSA) and the Ethiopian Economic Association (EEA).

External sources include; World Bank (WB) data base, International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the African
Development Bank (AfDB).

²⁸² 15 a) Econometric Model Specification

283 The inflation variable can be measured in either of the following ways;

284 ? GDP-Deflator: -computed as the ratio of nominal to real GDP. This ratio at any time (t) indicates the level 285 of inflation. ? Producer Price Index (PPI):-It measures the positive change in the average price of inputs or 286 raw materials used by producers. Its delinquency is that it considers only raw materials, not finished goods and 287 services. ? Consumer Price Index (CPI):-It is the change in the average price of consumable goods and services. 288 It measures the positive net change in the average price of consumer goods and services.

No doubt, higher proportion of income in Ethiopia is spent on consumption of final goods and services. 289 According to the Ethiopian 2014/15 third quarter economic report of UNDP, more than 56% of households 290 expenditure was made on food, beverages and other final consumable goods and services. Therefore, to use 291 CPI is more appropriate and contextual in case of Ethiopian economy. GDP-Deflator is inappropriate since it 292 excludes the impact of imported inflation on domestic prices owing to the definition of Gross Domestic Product. 293 On the other hand, Producer Price Index (PPI) is not effective in representing inflation in Ethiopia compared to 294 CPI, since the proportion of income spent on consumption of final goods and services exceeds spending on the 295 purchase of raw materials and other inputs. Hence, CPI is reasonably a good candidate to measure inflation in 296 Ethiopia, so that, it is a proxy to inflation variable (a response variable) in this study. Hereafter, while using 297 CPI anywhere in this paper, we are referring to the inflation variable in other way round. 298

Modeling inflation is among the complex phenomenon in Economies as it is subject to various influences. However, it can be possible to determine the key variables the process of inflation in the countries like Ethiopia. The most empirically popular way in examining the relationship between trade openness and inflation variables has been to employ the single equation model, treating openness as the exogenous variable and; obviously, inflation (CPI) is the endogenous variable the model setting.

Hence, based on Solomon (2004), Mukhtar (2010) and Aron and Muellbauer (2007), the dependent and independent variables employed in modeling inflation in the current study are functionally related as follows;

 $CPI t = f (BD t, GDP t, RER t, OT t, M2 t, GCF t) \dots (1)$ Where; CPI = Consumer Price Index306 which is a proxy to inflation variable; BD = Budget Deficit; It is the difference between government expenditure 307 and receipts for a given fiscal time period; GDP is Gross Domestic Product and RER is Real Exchange Rate. 308 OT is the openness to trade variable. It is equal to the sum of import and export values divided by nominal 309 GDP; thereby all the exports, imports and the GDP are measured in current price and current exchange rates. 310 311 M2 = Stands for the broad money supply in Ethiopia. It forms the definition of money supply in the operational 312 setting of National Bank of Ethiopia (the central banker of Ethiopia); and, GCF is Gross Capital Formation as 313 a share of GDP; and t, captures any time trend in each case.

Just, the intention here is to determine the elasticity of each of the predictor variables with respect to inflation; and, due to the fact that, not everything is controlled in the model, we need to adopt the econometric model incorporating the identified variables and also considering the effect of other variables not included in the model. The model is set as follows; $\ln CPI t = ? 0 + \ln BD t + \ln GDP t + \ln RER t + \ln OT t + \ln M2 t + \ln GCF t + u t$.

Where; In stands for the logarithmic form of each variable, and u is the stochastic white noise error term, distributed with zero mean and constant variance in different observations. The error term (ut) is assumed to have a normal distribution. i. The Unit Root Test Since most macroeconomic time series are variables are usually non-stationary (Harry, 2012; Lahari, 2011) and thus leads to spurious regression, the stationarity test will be undertaken at the outset of cointegration analysis, which will be briefed latter on. ^{1 2 3 4}

 $^{^1(}$) 2017 © 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US) 1 B
 Does Trade Openness Reduce Inflation? Empirical Evidence from Ethiopia

 $^{^{2}}$ © 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $^{^{3}}$ () 2017 B Does Trade Openness Reduce Inflation? Empirical Evidence from Ethiopia (== f (-i, +Y)),

 $^{^{4}(}$) 2017 B Does Trade Openness Reduce Inflation? Empirical Evidence from Ethiopia

Testing for unit roots is among the common statistical procedures, several testing procedures have been developed over the year. Many of the latter tests are designed to overcome the difficulties encountered in practice.

In this regard, the present study will use the Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) and Phillips Perron **??**1988) methods for stationarity purposes. The ADF procedure is based on the t-ratio of the parameter and, is conducted by extending all the equations under consideration by adding the lagged terms of the dependent variables, and requires estimation of the following regression.

(3) Where, ?t; is the usual pure white noise error term, ? = ?-1 and

, & the like. ? 0 is the intercept term, ? 1 is the trend coefficient, the time/trend variable and where; s, are the lag terms. For this test, the hypothesis would be; H 0 : ? = 0; there is unit root? (implying the time series is non-stationary).

H1: ? < 0; No unit root ? the time series is stationary Decision: reject the null hypothesis of (=0), he nce the time series is stationary; if the computed t-statistic (in absolute terms) exceed the ADF critical values; the variable under consideration is stationary.

On the other hand, the test regression for the Phillips-Perron (PP) unit root approach looks;

But, the error term (u t) is stationary at level, and may be heterosckedastic and serially correlated. However, the problems will be corrected in PP test by modifying the test statistics of t?=0 and T in the first regression. Based on Harry (2012); Sjo (2008), the new test statistics would be represented by Z t and Z ? as;

342 Where, and are the consistent variance estimates of the following respectively;

Under the null of ? = 0 (i.e. unit root exists), the Z t and Z ? statistics in the Phillips-Perron (PP) procedure above, assume similar asymptotic distribution as with the conventional DF t-statistic. The PP procedure is advantageous over the ADF mechanism on at least two grounds; 1 st , the PP is robust to general forms of heteroskedasticity in the error term; and, 2 nd , and it does not need specification of lag length for regression as it is adjusted at length three by default in econometric and statistical software.

ii. Lag Length Determination It is also essential at the onset of cointegration analysis that the problem of
 determining optimal lag length should be considered as multi-variate cointegration analysis is very sensitive to
 the lag length selection. The two most common way used to determine the optimum lag length are the one where
 Akaike information criterion (AIC) is minimum and one which is suggested by majority of the criteria.

352 iii

³⁵³ .1 . The Cointegration Test

The econometric framework to be used for analysis in this study is the Johanson (1998) maximum likelihood cointegration technique, which investigates both the existence and the number of cointegrating vectors. This multivariate cointegration test can be modeled as:

Where; Z t = (BD, GDP, RER, OT, M2, GCF) i.e. a 5 x 1 vector of variables that are integrated of order one i.e. I(1)]. is a vector of constant and, t is a vector of normally and independently distributed error term.

Equation (??) can be reformulated in a Vector Error Correction Model (VECM) as follows;

Where; $\hat{1}$?" $i = (I - A 1 - A 2 \dots A i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3\dots$ K-1 and $? = -(I - A 1 - A 2 \dots A i)$. The coefficient matrix ?, provides information about the long-run relationships among the variables in the model. ? can be factored into ??", where ? will include the speed of adjustment to the equilibrium coefficients while the ?' will be the long run matrix of coefficients. The presence of r cointegrating vectors between the elements of Z implies that ? is of the rank r, (0 < r < 5). To determine the

[Abate ()] 'A Cointegration Analysis of Money Supply and Price in Ethiopia'. Rao Abate . Research Article in Economics 2015. 16 (5) p. .

- [Milton ()] 'A Theoretical Frame Work for Monetary Analysis'. Milton . National Bureau of Economic Research
 1971. p. .
- [Dutta ()] An Aggregate Import Demand Function for Bangladesh: A Cointegration Approach, D Dutta , Ahmed
 , N . 1997. Working Paper, University of Sydney
- [Jin ()] 'Can Openness be an Engine of Sustained High Growth Rates and Inflation? Evidence from Japan
 andKorea'. C J Jin . International Review of Economics and Finance 2006. 15 p. .

[Song ()] China's Rapid Growth and Development: An Historical and International Context, Song . 2010. Beijing,
 China. p. . Conference Paper at Peking University

- [Temesgen ()] Determinants and Impacts of Dynamic Inflation in Ethiopia, Temesgen . 2013. Norway. MA Thesis
 in Economics, Norwegian University of Life Sciences
- [Jema ()] 'Determinants of Recent Souring Food Inflation in Ethiopia'. Fekadu Jema . Research Journals /2277.
 2012. 1 (8) p. .
- [Sachsida et al. ()] 'Does Greater Trade Openness Reduce Inflation? Further Evidence Using Panel Data
 Techniques'. A Sachsida , F Galrao , P R A Loureiro . *Economic Letters* 2003. 81 p. .
- [Mukhtar ()] 'Does Openness Reduce Inflation? Empirical Evidence from Pakistan'. Mukhtar . the Lahore Journal
 of Economics 2010. 15 (2) p. .

15 A) ECONOMETRIC MODEL SPECIFICATION

- [Kenneth ()] 'Dynamic Impact of Money Supply on Inflation: Evidence from ECOWAS Member States'. Anthony
 Kenneth . ISOR Journal of Economics and Finance 2015. 6 p. . Nnamdi Azikiwe University
- [Rogoff ()] Globalization and the Global Disinflation, K Rogoff . 2003. Jackson Hole Conference. (Paper presented to the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas)
- [Ashra ()] Inflation and Openness: A Case Study of Selected Developing Economies, S Ashra . 2002. (Working
 Paper 84, Indian Council of Research on International Economic Relations (ICRIER)

[Aron ()] Inflation Dynamics and Trade Openness: With an Application to South Africa, Aron, Muellbauer.
 2007. England. Research Article in Department of Economics of Oxford University

- ³⁹¹ [Lane ()] 'Inflation in Open Economies'. P R Lane . Journal of International Economics 1997. 42 p. .
- [Batten ()] 'Inflation: The Cost-Push Myth'. Batten . Economic Quarterly Review 1981. p. .
- ³⁹³ [Hideki ()] 'Inflationary Expectation in Ethiopia: Some Preliminary Results'. Rukmani Hideki , Josef . Working
 ³⁹⁴ Paper 2008. 8 (2) .
- [Kim and Beladi ()] 'Is Free Trade Deflationary?'. M Kim , H Beladi . Economic Letters 2005. 89 p. .
- ³⁹⁶ [Johnson ()] 'Keynes' Theory of Money and His Attack on the Classical Model'. Ley Johnson , Cate . International
 ³⁹⁷ Economic Conference Paper, (USA) 2000. Bemidji State University
- 398 [Krusell ()] P Krusell . Macroeconomics I, 2004. (Post Graduate Lecture Notes in Economics Department)
- [Terra ()] 'Openness and Inflation: A New Assessment'. C T Terra . Quarterly Journal of Economics 1998. (113)
 p. .
- [Romer ()] 'Openness and Inflation: Theory and Evidence'. D Romer . Quarterly Journal of Economics 1993.
 108 (4) p. .
- 403 [Sargent ()] 'Rational Expectations and the Theory of Economic Policy'. Wallace Sargent . Journal of Monetary
 404 Economics 1976. North-Holland Publishing. p. .
- [Kibrom ()] Sources of Recent Inflationary Experience in Ethiopia, Kibrom . 2008. Ethiopia. MA Research Paper
 in Economics Department of Addis Ababa University
- [Kibrom ()] Sources of Recent Inflationary Experience in Ethiopia, Kibrom . 2008. Ethiopia. MA Research Paper
 in Economics Department of Addis Ababa University
- ⁴⁰⁹ [Johansen ()] 'Statistical Analysis of Cointegration Vectors'. S Johansen . Journal of Economic Dynamics and
 ⁴¹⁰ Control 1988. 12 p. .
- [Boo ()] 'Testing for Unit Roots and Cointegration'. Sjo Boo . Modern Macroeconomics: Its Origins, Development
 and Current State, Snowdon, Vane (ed.) 2008. 2005. Edward Elgar Publishing Inc. USA. p. .
- [Solomon and De Wet ()] 'The Effect of a Budget Deficit on Inflation: The Case of Tanzania'. M Solomon , W
 A De Wet . South African Journal of Economic and Management Sciences 2004. 7 (1) p. .
- [Meseret ()] The Effect of Trade Openness on Inflation in Ethiopia, Meseret . 2014. Ethiopia. MA Research
 Paper in Economics Department of Addis Ababa University
- [Mayer ()] 'The Fallacy of Composition: A Review of the Literature'. Mayer . Discussion Paper of the United
 Nations Conference on Trade and Development, 2003.
- [Lahari ()] 'The General outline for PFTAC Central Bank Training'. Lahari . The General outline for PFTAC
 Central Bank Training, (Suva) 2011. 2011. 19. (Test for Cointegration)
- 421 [Keynes ()] The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money, Keynes . http://etext.library. 422 adelaide.edu.au/k/keynes/john_maynard/k44g/k44g.html/ 1936.
- [Humphrey ()] 'The Quantity Theory of Money: Its Historical Origin and Role in Policy Debates'. Humphrey .
 Economic Review 1974. p. .