

1 The Benefits of the Outsourcing Strategy as Perceived by the 2 Industrial Companies in Jordan

3 Dr. Bahjat Al-jawazneh¹ and Dr. Ziad Smadi²

4 ¹ Al Albeit University

5 *Received: 7 December 2015 Accepted: 31 December 2015 Published: 15 January 2016*

6

7 **Abstract**

8 This paper seeks to explore the benefits gained from the outsourcing strategy implemented by
9 industrial companies in Jordan in terms of cost reduction, focus on core competencies, access
10 to specialized resources, quality improvement, and the perceived benefits. This was achieved
11 by testing hypotheses based on the main objectives of the study. The population of the study
12 consisted of all of the manufacturing companies located at the Sahab industrial estate, Jordan,
13 while the respondents are made up of those who occupy managerial positions in the
14 aforementioned companies. A survey questionnaire was developed, relying mainly on the
15 study of Gewald et al. (2004), with contributions from the studies of Anderson (1997) and
16 Kotabe et al. (2008). The researchers distributed 130 questionnaires but only 122 were
17 retrieved, out of which 114 were considered valid for statistical treatment.

18

19 **Index terms**— outsourcing strategy, industrial companies, Jordan.

20 **1 Introduction**

21 The influence of economic globalization on the business environment has paved the way for organizations to search
22 for opportunities on the limitless global market available, in order to outsource some of their activities instead of
23 performing them on their own so as to increase their competitiveness and maintain their market position. Many
24 business organizations opted for different strategic techniques to attain said goals, one of which is the strategic
25 option of outsourcing. Outsourcing is a method that has been widely used, particularly in industrial countries
26 where the adaptation of outsourcing is easy to carry out than less developed ones.

27 In general, outsourcing means the procurement of some organizations' inputs from sources outside the firm.
28 These sources may include all types of raw materials, accounting and financial services, design and manufacturing,
29 and so on. It also shifts the type of cost that the firm normally shoulders, from fixed to variable cost. Nevertheless,
30 the decision to in source or outsource—that is, whether to make or buy from external sources—has never been an
31 easy task for those involved in the decision-making process (Yang & Huang, 2000) as such a decision involves
32 many risks ??Marcolin & McClellan, 1998).

33 Some executives resort to outsourcing as a last option when the adoption of backward and forward integration
34 is economically infeasible, and at the same time, to focus on their core competencies and processes. Outsourcing
35 can, of course, be implemented both in a firm's own country as well as abroad. This entails an organizational
36 restructuring of some activities. It is a conscious abdication of selected value chain activities to external providers
37 ??Farok J., et al., 2010).

38 Here in Jordan, outsourcing in the service sector tends to be easier to implement compared to the industrial
39 sector as the service sector uses more intangible and soft resources. Thus, tasks can be implemented and
40 transferred through electronic means. Meanwhile, in the industrial sector, dealing with the tangible resources is
41 a tough task that demands extra study and analysis, particularly in the case of an outsourcing decision.

42 In this study, the researchers try to evaluate the benefits of outsourcing strategies of select industrial firms
43 operating in an unindustrialized country, where the environment for adopting this kind of strategy is challenging,
44 as compared to developed nations.

8 RELATED LITERATURE AND STUDIES A) THE BEGINNING OF OUTSOURCING

45 2 II.

46 3 The Problem of the Study

47 The popularity and growth of outsourcing in terms of its importance to organizations and economies need
48 further studies and analysis. To assume that outsourcing leads to long-term benefits with respect to increasing
49 competitiveness and capabilities of subcontracting companies is probably valid for certain business organizations,
50 but others may experience the opposite.

51 Organizations outsource activities that do not pose a threat to them when exposed to others, or for which
52 they lack the capabilities and expertise to do themselves (Quinn & Hilmer, 1994). Nevertheless, there are times
53 when decision makers fail to identify which activities to subcontract and which to not. Then, problems related
54 to that decision begin to take effect and the outsourcing strategy becomes a failure and a big source of headache
55 to management.

56 On the other hand, some firms embraced outsourcing to the extent that they become "virtual"

57 4 Year ()

58 A manufacturers: owning designs for many products, but making almost nothing themselves (Abraham, et al.,
59 1996). Despite of that, managers often find that cost savings are in fact not attained through outsourcing
60 ventures. The switching costs incurred by the transition to an external provider, such as those associated with
61 supplier selection, negotiations, reorganization, and control, are high (Quélin & Duhamel, 2003).

62 The Jordan experience with outsourcing is still not adequately researched. As far as the researchers are
63 concerned, it is widely adopted by both private and public sectors, but no scientific evidence shows outcome in
64 terms of benefits, obstacles, and challenges. Therefore, this study aims to fill the gap and arrive at an answer to
65 the main research problem:

66 What are the outcomes of outsourcing strategy for manufacturing companies operating in Jordan in terms of
67 decrease in cost, concentration or focus on core competencies, access to specialized resources, quality improvement,
68 and perceived benefits?III.

69 5 Research Objectives

70 The paper seeks to explore the gained benefits of outsourcing strategy for industrial companies in Jordan in terms
71 of cost reduction, with focus on core competencies, access to specialized resources, quality improvement, and the
72 perceived benefits. At the same time, it offers a research-guided support to decision makers when formulating a
73 firm's level strategy, especially for those who are so enthusiastic about adopting any new management concept.

74 It also aims to provide enough understanding to the concept of outsourcing strategy that may aid in improving
75 Jordanian manufacturing companies' performance.

76 6 IV.

77 7 Importance of the Study

78 This study is a typical one as far as its methodology and approach is concerned, but its strength lies in the problem
79 it tackles that needs deeper studies and analysis, considering that Jordan is not branded as an industrialized
80 country and most of its industries rely mostly on international suppliers to provide them with the necessary
81 materials and manpower. Thus, the results of this study will serve as an assessment tool to decision makers to
82 evaluate the economic, technological, and human benefits of outsourcing.

83 Another significance is the wide spread of these management fads, which some companies adopt for the sake of
84 being considered up-to-date, and so as to gain the admiration of their customers and competitors, even if reality
85 proves that such a move is unnecessary and that results turn out to be disappointing. Therefore, this study tries
86 to explore the real status of outsourcing strategy at the researched industrial firms.

87 Further importance lies in this research's aim to enrich the local library with a study that is not fully covered,
88 and at the same time make it available to future scholars who wish to pursue a similar topic or build on the
89 results of the current one.

90 V.

91 8 Related Literature and Studies a) The beginning of outsourcing

92 Outsourcing as a concept can be traced as early as 1776 when Adam Smith wrote in The Wealth of Nations, "If
93 a foreign country can supply us with a commodity or service cheaper than we can ourselves make or provide it"
94 ??Smith, 2007). Rather than suffer scarcity, some nations during the late 1800s became nations of abundance.
95 These countries were able to provide different goods in huge quantities and at a lower price, which was made
96 possible due to a range of advancements in technology, beginning from railroad transport to telegraph as a form
97 of instant communication. The latter technology allowed businessmen to form easier and quicker contact with
98 their respective businesses ??Gonzales et al., 2014). The spread of both technologies encouraged firms to expand
99

100 and serve larger markets, while improvements in manufacturing created potential economies of scale (Porter, 101 2014). Therefore, improvement in technology brought higher potential for outsourcing adaptation.

102 Until 1989, outsourcing was hardly recognized as a business strategy (Mullin, 1996). Due to lack of 103 selfsufficiency, organizations had to resort to outsourcing functions that they lacked competency in internally. 104 For example, car manufacturers had to purchase leather, glass, tires, etc.

105 Said practice was thus considered as the baseline stage in outsourcing's evolution. During the 90s, as 106 organizations started to focus on saving on costs, they also started to outsource functions related to what makes 107 a company run, although not directly related to their core business. For example, managers started to employ 108 emerging service companies for accounting, human resources, and data processing needs, including internal mail 109 distribution, plant maintenance, and security, among other "housekeeping" necessities. Another stage to keep in 110 mind is when managers sought to improve their finances by outsourcing components to affect cost savings in key 111 functions. As of current, outsourcing is in a stage of evolution where strategic partnerships are being developed 112 ??Handfield, 2006).

113 **9 b) The concept of outsourcing**

114 The concept of outsourcing came from "outside resourcing," an American terminology, which means to obtain 115 resources from outside. Later on, the term was used in economic terminology to refer to the use of external 116 resources instead of internal, as was typical in

117 **10 Global Journal of Management and Business Research**

118 Volume XVI Issue IX Version I Year () the process of developing the business (Troac? & Bodislav, 2012).

119 "The outsourcing can be domestic or carried out in another country; in the latter case it becomes offshoring 120 (a term that is a mixture of offshore and outsourcing) if the country of the outsourcer is on another continent, or 121 in any event a considerable distance away from the out sourcee." (Mella and Pellicelli, 2012) "With the notable 122 decrease in transport costs and the development of the merchant marine and container ships, globalization has 123 begun to separate the "geography of production" from the "geography of consumption." (Mella, 2007) Firms often 124 compare capabilities against each other, mostly based on price and quality terms that their exchange partners 125 are willing to provide, when in the midst of deciding whether or not to carry out processes internally (Jacobides 126 & Winter, 2005).

127 Outsourcing is a choice that exists, not only in business strategy, but also in corporate policy as outsourcing 128 modifies a firm as a legal entity and typically involves top management's decision makers.

129 Making outsourcing decisions also often involve a number of divisions when it comes to large, diversified 130 companies, thus affecting company-wide allocation policies for resources, as well as asset management practices. 131 Such an example can be seen in the case of IT outsourcing operations (Quélin, & Duhamel, 2003).

132 **11 c) Benefits of outsourcing according to previous studies i.** **133 Outsourcing and cost advantages**

134 In his paper, "The Hidden Cost of Outsourcing," John Hendry (1995) concluded that any shift towards outsourcing 135 must be assessed "in terms of its impact on a range of organizational characteristics and on the dynamic balance 136 between these." Another question addressed by Lahiri (2015) on his paper, "Does Outsourcing Really Improve 137 Firm Performance," revealed that outsourcing can produce positive, negative, mixed, moderated, or even no 138 significant impact at all on the firm. In the last few years prior to Kakabadse and Kakabadse's research (2005), 139 outsourcing has become one of the most popular operations strategies, allowing companies to reduce capital costs 140 and focus on their strengths, while at the same time "being more responsive to changing market or customer 141 requirements in the global marketplace." Modarress et al. (2016) conducted a study to identify the risks, benefits, 142 and challenges, as well as motives of petroleum companies in the Persian Gulf toward outsourcing strategy. Their 143 research found that oil and gas exporters have mixed but broad positive views of outsourcing, and that although 144 outsourcing could cut and save costs across the entire supply chain, said strategy also generates a distracting 145 resistance. This resistance can be due to "the fear of unknown in a complex range of culture, infrastructures and 146 sequential processes that requires resiliency for continuity of operations" (Modarress et al., 2016). Wiengarten 147 et al. (2013) also provided additional research regarding the importance of contextual factors when it comes to 148 outsourcing contracts' success in the supply chain environment. In said study, the role of risk and complementary 149 practices showed that risk is a critical component in the success of outsourcing strategy. Legal risk inhibits 150 outsourcing performance on both cost and quality fronts, with supplier risk reducing outsourcing performance 151 on quality. Complete contracts and complementary practices help mitigate the aforementioned outcomes.

152 ii.

153 **12 Concentrating on core competencies**

154 The idea of outsourcing non-core activities has been welcomed by many as a means of performance improvement 155 strategy for more organizational efficiency. "The philosophy is that businesses must redirect attention to core 156 activities" (Leblanc & Bentz, 2004). The argument is that outsourcing frees up resources that can then be used

16 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY A) POPULATION AND SAMPLE OF THE STUDY

157 more productively in areas that create value for the company (Huber, 1993). The basic premise of outsourcing
158 concept is "that a specialist organization can perform a particular service more efficiently than what internal
159 operation can achieve because a specialist organization has an inherent advantage in producing and delivering
160 a service" (Quinn, 2000). Contributing to this perception may be superior technology, management skills, or
161 economic of scale.

162 The relationship between outsourcing and performance has been argued by Kotabe and Mol (2008) to take on
163 an inverted U-shape, suggesting an optimal degree of outsourcing, to which an extremely high degree may result
164 in high transaction costs, technological dependence, and external relational inefficiency.

165 iii. Access to specialized resources "Strategic outsourcing can buffer firms by providing access to resources"
166 (Miner et al., 1990). Firms continue to rely on a number of outside suppliers for parts, know-how, software, and
167 sales to sustain competitive advantages, and at the same time, gain access to valuable resources and external
168 capabilities ??Langlois, 1990). One of the outsourcing activities includes transfer of non-core activities to suppliers
169 in the aim of securing lower costs and specialized expertise. Manufacturers have been known to move their internal
170 manufacturing and operations to countries with lower costs, mostly due to outsourcing proving to be a "lowcost
171 strategy, its proximity to foreign markets, as well as easy access to innovative capabilities" ??Langlois, 1990).

172 The latest Bain survey yielded 77% of their research sample companies subscribe to an

173 13 Global Journal of Management and Business Research

174 Volume XVI Issue IX Version I Year () A outsourcing policy, but more than half of these companies have not
175 achieved the expected benefits from such a strategy. The risks involved in such a move include disruption of
176 internal activities, limited learning and innovation, loss of competitive base, opportunistic behaviors, and increase
177 in transaction and coordination costs, including procurement cost in relation to the fluctuation experienced by
178 currency exchange rates (Kotabe et al., 2008).

179 14 iv. Quality improvement

180 One of the most cited reasons for an organization's decision to shift to outsourcing is quality improvement (Lacity
181 et al., 2009). There exists a belief that outsourcing may contribute to an increase in the processes' efficiency and
182 effectiveness that such application services support (Lacity & Willcocks, 2009). Empirical studies that investigate
183 the adoption of the application provision model discovered that the main driver of such an adoption was improved
184 operational excellence. However, if a firm's quality is not held in high regard, outsourcing may be seen as a way
185 for improvement. Thus, quality is a relevant factor that can be considered either as a positive or negative influence
186 on outsourcing (Anderson, 1997).

187 Four major benefits of business process outsourcing (BPO) were investigated, including the related cost
188 advantages, the focus on core competencies, quality improvements, and access to specialized resources and came
189 to realize the following:

190 ? The most dominant BPO that benefits from an IT manager perspective are focused on core competencies
191 and quality improvements; ? Access to specialized resources does not have a significant impact.

192 Corbett (2003) confirmed earlier findings that there have been considerable improvements with regard to
193 quality obtained from outsourcing.

194 15 VI. Research Variables and Hypotheses a) The variables of 195 the study

196 The review of related literature and studies identified a common criteria identified and used by Gewald et al.
197 (??004), Anderson (1997), and Kotabe et al. (2008) to evaluate the outsourcing strategy outcome which the
198 researchers adopted, as shown in Figure 1. After a thorough review of the related literature concerning outsourcing
199 as a strategy and as a concept, the researchers believe the following hypotheses are appropriate for the study.
200 H01: The manufacturing companies do not benefit from outsourcing strategy implementation at ? ? 0.05

201 From the main hypothesis, the researchers drew the following sub-hypotheses:

202 ? H01a-The implementation of outsourcing strategy by manufacturing companies does not lead to cost
203 reduction at level ? ? 0.05.

204 ? H01b-Use of outsourcing strategy by manufacturing companies does not lead to focus on core competencies
205 at level ? ? 0.05.

206 16 Research Methodology a) Population and Sample of the 207 Study

208 The population of the study consisted of manufacturing companies at the Sahab industrial estate, Jordan.
209 Respondents of the study include those who are occupying managerial posts such as general manager, deputy
210 manager, operations head, and quality control head at the manufacturing companies involved the study.
211 Convenience sampling technique was employed because it is readily available and convenient, as researchers
212 are drawing on relationships or networks to which they have easy access to (Powell, 1997).

213 The number of targeted manufacturing companies for the purpose of the study was 32, but only 29 companies
214 accepted to take part in this research. A majority of them turned out to be in the food processing sector.
215 A hundred and thirty (130) questionnaires were distributed, but only 122 were retrieved, out of which 114
216 questionnaires were considered valid for statistical treatment.

217 **17 b) The research instrument**

218 The descriptive and analytical methods were used, and a survey questionnaire was developed, relying mainly on
219 the study of ??ewald et al. (2004) with contributions from the studies of Anderson (1997) and Kotabe et al.
220 (2008). The instrument consisted of the following parts:

221 ? The first part covers the demographic profile of the respondents and the industrial companies' characteristics.

222 ? The second part includes performance criteria of the outsourcing strategy. A nominal scale was used to
223 get the answers of the respondents regarding their demographic profile, while Likert scale was used to allow
224 respondents to rate their answers regarding the different benefits of outsourcing strategy, which ranged from
225 highly agree (the highest) to highly disagree (the lowest).

226 **18 c) Data Collection Method**

227 A secondary source of data such as references, and published and unpublished papers in the subject of outsourcing
228 theory, strategies, and implementation, contributed to the formulation of the theoretical framework and assisted
229 the researchers in identifying the outsourcing strategy performance criteria.

230 On the other hand, primary data were obtained through a questionnaire that was developed, wherein
231 respondents were instructed to answer using the fivepoint Likert scale ranging from strongly agree (5) as the
232 highest, to strongly disagree (1) as the lowest. For the sake of the interpretation of the arithmetic means of
233 respondents' answers, researchers relied on the following equation: Range = (the highest average value -the
234 lowest average value)/(number of levels): $(5 - 1) / (3) = 1.33$ Therefore the results will be as follows: 1. Results
235 between 1 and 2.33 represent a week average response rate. 2. Results between 2.34 and 3.67 represent a medium
236 average response rate. 3. Results more than 3.68 would be a high average response.

237 **19 d) Statistical Treatment**

238 Several statistical techniques were applied in this study:

239 1. Descriptive analysis such as averages and standard deviations. 2. One sample t-test was used to test
240 the main hypothesis. 3. One-way ANOVA to test the second main hypothesis and Pearson to measure the
241 intercorrelation between the factors of outsourcing benefits strategy adopted by manufacturing companies in
242 Jordan.

243 VIII.

244 **20 Limitations of the Study**

245 This research poses the following limitations:

246 ? Generalization of the study findings is potentially limited to the manufacturing companies at the Sahab
247 industrial estate in Jordan, though most of the industrial estates in Jordan work in similar business environments.

248 ? The convenience sampling method does not guarantee that one can contact the appropriate person with the
249 right information to fill out the research questionnaire. ? The study was conducted during the third quarter of
250 the year 2015/2016.

251 **21 IX. The Demographic Profile of Respondents and Compa- 252 nies' Characteristics**

253 Table ??: The distribution of the demographic profile of respondents and companies' characteristics Table ??
254 shows that 100% of the respondents of the study are males, which may be due to the nature and demands of
255 the managerial positions at the manufacturing companies that sometimes requires them to work overtime or all
256 night, which is harder for women to perform due to cultural and social factors. Their educational attainment
257 is considered average, with only 44.7% of them holding a bachelor's degree and above, but is possibly due to
258 some positions in management that prioritizes experience on higher education. When it comes to the company
259 age, most of the study's population turned out to be between 5 and 10 years, with a percentage of 78.2%, which
260 indicates the fitness of the companies to be involved in such a study.

261 The table also shows that most of the manufacturing companies are in the food sector, holding a 92.1% share.
262 This is a sector that is considered to be labor intensive and is likely the reason behind being the chosen country
263 as it is known for its cheap labor. The companies that took part in the study were depending mainly on the
264 international and global market with a percentage of 36.8% and 34.2%, respectively, to gain the benefits of the
265 economy of scale. The table also indicates that the manufacturing companies are mostly owned by foreigners and
266 sometimes take on the form of a partnership with local investors. The latter is due to the government's attractive
267 policies toward foreign investment.

268 **22 X. Data Analysis and Interpretation**

269 After collecting the primary data, it underwent statistical analysis using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social
270 Sciences) in order to arrive at answers to the problem of the study and test the hypothesis. Table 2 shows the
271 results of the internal consistency test, which is typically a measure based on the correlations between different
272 items on the same test. It is usually measured with Cronbach's alpha, a statistical tool calculated from the
273 pairwise correlations between items (Knapp, 1991). The internal consistency of all the variables of the study, as
274 shown in Table 2, is 66.8%, which is acceptable as it is more than the minimum required percentage of 60% for
275 social science research ??Cronbach, 1951).

276 **23 Global Journal of Management and Business**

277 **24 b) Answering the main research problem**

278 What are the outcomes of outsourcing strategy for manufacturing companies operating in Jordan in terms of
279 decrease in cost, concentration or focus on core competencies, access to specialized resources, quality improvement,
280 and perceived benefits?

281 To arrive at an answer for the main research problem, the arithmetic mean-together with standard deviation-of
282 all variables are calculated as shown in Table 3. Table 3 shows that most of the respondents rated the perceived
283 benefits of strategic outsourcing highly, with an average of (3.89), and that is because respondents believe that
284 outsourcing has a lot of advantages. At the same time, it is a useful instrument for management so as to handle
285 core processes better. Most of them also agree on the usefulness of outsourcing as a good strategic option. Next
286 to the perceived benefits is quality improvement, which enjoyed a high average (3.8) and that is in terms of quality
287 of the process itself, how it was improved, and the degree of accuracy and speed of their outsourced processes.

288 Access to specialized resources is made possible with outsourcing strategic option. It is given an average of
289 3.69. With this result, companies can access the necessary human and technological resources, which is difficult
290 to be available internally, particularly when outside suppliers can perform those processes more efficiently and
291 effectively.

292 No one can deny the importance of cost reduction as an indicator of good performance, but unfortunately,
293 cost reduction here is given a medium average of 3.6. It is a result that needs to be investigated and solved
294 by revisiting the selection process of the outside suppliers. The least average 3.48 is given to the focus on core
295 competencies advantage, which means manufacturing companies still need to work hard to be able to fully enjoy
296 the benefits of outsourcing through the enhancement of their distinguished capabilities to differentiate themselves
297 from competitors, and thus, translate their strategies to actions.

298 **25 c) Testing the research hypothesis i. H01**

299 Manufacturing companies do not benefit from outsourcing strategy implementation at ? ? 0.05, in relation to
300 cost reduction, focus on core competencies, access to specialized resources, quality improvements, and perceived
301 benefits. In the table above, the results of the t-test of the main research hypothesis shows that t-value is higher
302 than the tabulated value (9.5383 > 1.96) and that the level of significance is (0.001 < 0.05). Therefore, the null
303 hypothesis (H01) is rejected, and the alternative hypothesis (Ha1) is accepted, which means that the industrial
304 companies gain benefits from the outsourcing strategy. ii. Testing the sub-hypotheses of the study The table
305 above shows the results of t-test to all of the sub-hypotheses of the study, and based on these results it can be
306 concluded that all of these subhypothesis were rejected because their t-value was greater than tabulated t -value
307 1.96, and that the level of significance was less than 0.05. Therefore, the alternative hypothesis was accepted,
308 which means:

309 The industrial companies in Jordan benefited from outsourcing strategy in relation to cost reduction, focus on
310 core competencies, access to specialized resources, quality improvements and, perceived benefits.

311 iii. H02 There are no significant differences among the answers of the respondents regarding the outsourcing
312 strategy benefits at the significance level of ? ? 0.05 in relation to their demographic profile and companies'
313 characteristics.

314 In order to test the hypothesis, one-way ANOVA was used and the results are shown in the below. Table 6
315 shows the differences among the answers of the study's respondents in relation to their demographic profile and
316 companies' characteristics. It shows that the level of significance is more than 0.05, which indicates that there
317 is no difference found among the answers of the respondents regarding the benefits of outsourcing strategy in
318 relation to their demographic profile and companies' characteristics. The F value for all variables is lower than
319 1.96 (F value > 1.96), which means accept the second alternative hypothesis is acceptable.

320 **26 iv. Correlation between the Variables of the Study**

321 In order to know if there was a high or low correlation among the variables related to outsourcing strategy, the
322 Pearson correlation was then used to show this correlation as it is, below at Table ??.

323 Table ??: Correlation between all the variables of outsourcing strategy benefits Table ?? shows the correlation
324 among the different variables of the study. It is noted that almost all factors have very high correlation, except
325 the following:

326 ? First: Between the benefits related to focus on core competencies and the benefits related to access to
327 specialized resources with a low negative correlation of -0.066, and significant value of 0.483, which is higher than
328 0.05 (0.483 > 0.05).

329 ? Second: Between the benefits related to focus on core competencies and the benefits related to quality
330 improvement s with a very low positive correlation factor of 0.028, and significant value of 0.771, which is higher
331 than 0.05 (0.771 > 0.05).

332 ? Third: Between the benefits related to focus on core competencies and the benefits related to perceived
333 benefits with a very low positive correlation factor of 0.063, and significant value of 0.508, which is higher than
334 0.05 (0.508 > 0.05). ? Fourth: Between the benefits related to benefit related to quality improvement and the
335 benefits related to perceived benefits with a very low negative correlation factor of -0.056, and significant

336 27 XI. Results Discussion and Conclusion

337 The manufacturing companies in Jordan are adopting the outsourcing strategy and gaining the expected benefits
338 out of it. This result is somehow similar to the finding of Lahiri's (2015) study that revealed outsourcing can
339 produce either positive, negative, mixed, moderated or no significant impact on the firm, because what determines
340 the success of outsourcing is the degree of its suitability to a company's objectives, the timeliness of use, and
341 how it is being handled and managed.

342 Outsourcing strategy benefits turned out to be highly perceived by the manufacturing companies in Jordan.
343 This is evident in the study results because respondents consider outsourcing to be an advantageous strategy for
344 their respective companies as it allows them to handle their core processes in a better way to compete in the
345 market place. Therefore, companies were able to successfully identify what processes should be outsourced. This
346 confirms what John Hendry (1995) stressed, "any move towards outsourcing should be assessed in terms of its
347 impact on a number of organizational characteristics and on the dynamic alignment between these."

348 Quality improvement is one of the highly rated benefits, because when companies have enough time to
349 concentrate on their core activities and outsource the ones that do not pose a threat to them, customers will be
350 more satisfied with the product, and manufactured products will meet the exact and accurate specifications. Such
351 a result is similar to that of Corbett (2003) who confirms the earlier finding that there have been considerable
352 improvements in quality resulting from outsourcing.

353 One of the gained benefits of outsourcing, which was highly rated is the ability of the companies to access the
354 specialized resources that means applying the theory of comparative advantage, but in a different context. This
355 result contradicts the finding of Gewald et al. (2006) who found that access to specialized resources does not
356 have a significant impact on the BPO benefits. This may be due to the type of the population, which consisted
357 mostly of subjects from the banking industry that operate in a different business environment.

358 Though cost reduction is given higher weight when making the outsourcing decision, it did not get the expected
359 rating. A medium rating for such a factor needs to be studied and reviewed through many methods, but revisiting
360 the selection process of the outside suppliers is possibly the most suitable one, especially when pertaining to
361 locations and how they affect the transportation cost of outsourced materials, and by reviewing the switching
362 costs incurred by the transition to an external provider. Examples may include those associated with supplier
363 selection, negotiations, reorganization and control, as indicated by Quélin & Duhamel (2003).

364 Focusing on core competencies that differentiate the manufacturing companies in Jordan from their competitors
365 did not gain a high rating, but a medium rating instead. What seems to be disappointing and needs further
366 analysis to reap better benefits is the outcome that shows this finding contradicts the finding of Gewald et al.
367 (2006), whom in their study supports the benefit of focusing on core competencies.

368 28 XII.

369 29 Recommendations

370 Several recommendations should be noted for practice. 1. The Jordanian manufacturing companies must take
371 into account the transportation and the switching cost when resorting to outsourcing strategy as most of the
372 supporting industries are located out of the kingdom. 2. The management of these companies must thoroughly
373 analyze the benefits that they can gain from the outsourcing strategy, particularly the activities, which affect
374 their level of focus on their core competencies. 3. Companies must strengthen their competitive positions by
375 carefully reviewing each management trend or concept that they are willing to adopt, and ensure that each are
376 suitable to their local business environment.

377 The following recommendations should be addressed for future research. 1. Future researchers are advised to
378 conduct a similar study on the service sector in Jordan, specifically Information Technology. 2. Future studies
379 should test the causal relationship between outsourcing strategy and any of the organizational performance
380 measurement metrics to gain knowledge to factors that are mostly affected by the outsourcing strategic choice.



1

Figure 1: Figure 1 :




Figure 2:

2

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage%
Gender	Male Female	114 0	100 0
Total		114	100
Age	Less than 30 years	30	26.3
	From 30 to less than 40 years	42	36.8
	From 40 to less than 50 years	33	28.9
	50 years and above	9	7.9
Year	Total	16 114	21.1 100
	From 4 to less than 8 years	33	28.9
	Higher secondary school and lower than higher school	30	26.3
	Diploma and training courses		
	Bachelor level and above	51	44.7
Volum	Total	114 6	100 5.3 78.9
XVI	Age	90 18	15.8 100
Is-	Industrial company	114 105	92.1 7.9
sue	Total	9 114	100 28.9
IX	Type of manu-	33 42	36.8
Ver-	facturing		
sion	company		
I	Total		
	Company		
	markets		
)		Global	34.2
(Total	114	100
Resear	Ownership	Jordanian Non-Jordanian Both (partner-	32.5 43.9
		ship/Foreign and local)	23.6
	Total	114	100

Figure 3: Table 2 :

3

Cost reduction Q. No.

Figure 4: Table 3 :

4

?? 0.05 Test Value = 3

Figure 5: Table 4 :

29 RECOMMENDATIONS

Average						
Quality						
Improvements						
10	Our external resources supplier is capable of performing the outsourced process at a high level.					
11	Our external resources supplier is able to perform this process faster and/or at higher quality.					
12	By outsourcing, the quality of our outsourced process has improved.					
Average						
Perceived						
benefits						
13	Our outsourced processes have a lot of advantages.					
Year 14	Outsourcing part of our processes is a useful instrument for our company management.					
28 15	Generally, outsourcing business processes is a useful strategic option.					
Volume	Average Overall average for all variables					
XVI						
Issue						
IX						
Version						
I						
)						
(
Global	Benefits of outsourcing strategy	The first main-hypothesis H01	t	df	Mean	
Jour-			9.588	df		
nal of			(2-	fer-		
Man-			tailed)	nce		
age-			4	0.692		
ment						
and						
Busi-						
ness						
Re-						
search						

Figure 6:

5

?? 0.05 Test Value = 3

Figure 7: Table 5 :

6

Benefits of outsourcing strategy	t	df	Sig.(2-tailed)	Mean Difference	95%Conf
H01a-First sub-hypothesis					
Benefit related to cost reduction	14.00	2	.005	0.6067	0.4202
H01b-Second sub-hypothesis					
Benefit related to Focus on core competencies	6.857	2	.021	0.48	0.1788
H01c-Third sub-hypothesis					
Benefit related to Access to specialized resources	28.428	2	.001	0.6833	0.5799
H01d-Forth sub-hypothesis					
Benefit related to quality improvement	14.599	2	.005	0.7967	0.5619
H01e-Fifth sub-hypothesis					
Benefit related to perceived benefits	6.683	2	.002	0.89	0.3170
Category			Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square
Age	Between Groups	0.483	3	110	0.161
	Within Groups	21.89			0.199
	Total	22.372	113		
Leve of education	Between Groups	0.196	2	111	0.098
	Within Groups	22.177			0.200
	Total	22.372	113		
Age of industrial company	Between Groups	0.37	2		0.185
	Within Groups	22.002	111		0.198
	Total	22.372	113		
Type of manufacturing company	Between Groups	0.219	1		0.219
	Within Groups	22.153	111		0.198
	Total	22.372	113		
Company markets	Between Groups	0.515	2	111	0.258
	Within Groups	21.857			0.197
	Total	22.372	113		
Ownership	Between Groups	0.013	2		0.006
	Within Groups	22..36	111		0.201
	Total	22.372			

Figure 8: Table 6 :

29 RECOMMENDATIONS

		A- First Benefit		
Year		related to cost re- duc- tion		
30	A-First Benefit related to cost reduction	Pearson Correlation	1	
Vol-	B-Benefit related to Focus on core competencies	(2-tailed)	—	
ume	C-Benefit related to Access to specialized resources	Pearson Correlation	—	
XVI	D-Benefit related to quality improvement	(2-tailed)	—	
Issue		Pearson Correlation	—	
IX		(2-tailed)	—	
Ver-		Pearson Correlation	—	
sion I		(2-tailed)	—	
)				
(
Global	E-Benefit related to perceived benefits	**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).	Pearson Correlation	
Jour-		(2-tailed)		
nal of				
Man-				
age-				
ment				
and				
Busi-				
ness				
Re-				
search				

Figure 9:

¹© 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US) 1

²The Benefits of the Outsourcing Strategy as Perceived by the Industrial Companies in Jordan © 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)

³© 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)

383 [Yang and Huang ()] 'A decision model for IS outsourcing'. C Yang , J B Huang . *International Journal of*
384 *Information Management* 2000. 20 (1) p. .

385 [Anderson ()] 'A primer in measuring outsourcing results'. M C Anderson . *National Productivity Review* 1997.
386 17 (1) p. .

387 [Lacity et al. ()] 'A review of the IT outsourcing literature: Insights for practice'. M C Lacity , S A Khan , L P
388 Willcocks . *Journal of Strategic Information Systems* 2009. 18 (3) p. .

389 [Lacity and Willcocks ()] 'An empirical investigation of Information Technology sourcing practices: Lessons from
390 experience'. M C Lacity , L Willcocks . *MIS Quarterly* 1998. 22 (3) p. .

391 [Corbett ()] *An inside look at outsourcing*. *Fortune*, M F Corbett . 2003.

392 [Quélin and Duhamel ()] 'Bringing together strategic outsourcing and corporate strategy: outsourcing motives
393 and risks'. B Quélin , F Duhamel . *European management journal* 2003. 21 (5) p. .

394 [Knapp ()] 'Coefficient alpha: Conceptualizations and anomalies'. T R Knapp . *Research in Nursing & Health*
395 1991. 14 p. .

396 [Hendry ()] 'Culture, community and networks: the hidden cost of outsourcing'. J Hendry . *European manage-
397 ment journal* 1995. 13 (2) p. .

398 [Lahiri ()] 'Does Outsourcing Really Improve Firm Performance? Empirical Evidence and Research Agenda'. S
399 Lahiri . *International Journal of Management Reviews* 2015.

400 [Marcolin and Mcclellan ()] 'Effective IT outsourcing arrangements'. B Marcolin , K Mcclellan . *Proceedings of
401 the Thirty-First Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, VI, (the Thirty-First Annual
402 Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, VIlos Alamitos, California, US) 1998. IEEE Computer
403 Society. p. .

404 [Abraham and Taylor ()] 'Firm's Use of Outside Contractors: Theory and Evidence'. Katharine G Abraham ,
405 Susan K Taylor . *Journal of Labor Economics* 1996. 14 p. .

406 [Langlois and Robertson ()] 'Firms, Markets, and Economic Change: A Dynamic Theory of Business Institu-
407 tions'. R N Langlois , P L Robertson . *Routledge* 1995.

408 [Huber ()] 'How Continental Bank Outsourced its 'Crown Jewels''. R L Huber . *Harvard Business Review* 1993.
409 71 p. .

410 [Miner et al. ()] 'Inter-organizational linkages and populationdynamics: buffering and transformational shields'.
411 A S Miner , T L Amburgey , T M Stearns . *Administrative Science Quarterly* 1990. 35 (4) p. .

412 [Mullin ()] 'Managing the outsourced enterprise'. R Mullin . *Journal of Business Strategy* 1996. 17 (4) p. .

413 [Modarress et al. ()] 'Outsourcing in the Persian Gulf petroleum supply chain'. Batoul Modarress , Al Ansari ,
414 Emil Thies . *An International Journal* 2016. 9 (1) p. .

415 [Quinn ()] 'Outsourcing Innovation: The New Engine of Growth'. J Quinn . *Sloan Management Review* 2000. 41
416 (4) p. .

417 [Kotabe et al. ()] 'Outsourcing, performance, and the role of ecommerce: a dynamic perspective'. M Kotabe , M
418 J Mol , J Y Murray . *Industrial Marketing Management* 2008. 37 (1) p. .

419 [Troac? and Bodislav ()] 'Outsourcing. The Concept'. V A Troac? , D A Bodislav . *Theoretical and Applied
420 Economics* 2012. 6 (6) p. 51.

421 [Kakabadse and Kakabadse ()] 'Outsourcing: current and future trends. Thunderbird Int'. A Kakabadse , N
422 Kakabadse . *Bus. Rev* 2005. 47 (2) p. .

423 [Gonzales et al. ()] *Outsourcing: past, present and future*, A Gonzales , D Dorwin , D Gupta , K Kalyan , S
424 Schimler . 2004. (Unpublished paper)

425 [Powell ()] Ronald R Powell . *Basic Research Methods for Librarians*, 1997. p. 68. (3 ed.)

426 [Contractor et al. ()] 'Reconceptualizing the firm in a world of outsourcing and offshoring: The organizational
427 and geographical relocation of high-value company functions'. F J Contractor , V Kumar , S K Kundu , T
428 Pedersen . *Journal of Management Studies* 2010. 47 (8) p. .

429 [Mella ()] 'Selfish Orgonic Networks'. P Mella . *International Journal of Knowledge, Culture and Change
430 Management* 2007. 6 (7) p. . (Common Ground Publishing Pty Ltd)

431 [Quinn and Hilmer ()] 'Strategic outsourcing'. J B Quinn , F G Hilmer . *Sloan Management Review* 1994. 35 (4)
432 p. .

433 [Jacobides and Winter ()] 'The coevolution of capabilities and transaction costs: explaining the institutional
434 structure of production'. M G Jacobides , S G Winter . *Strategic Management Journal* 2005. 26 (5) p. .

435 [Wiengarten et al. ()] 'The importance of contextual factors in the success of outsourcing contracts in the supply
436 chain environment: the role of risk and complementary practices'. Frank Wiengarten , Mark Pagell , Brian
437 Fynes . *An International Journal* 2013. 18 (6) p. . (Supply Chain Management)

438 [Gewald et al. ()] 'The influence of perceived risks on banking managers' intention to outsource business processes
439 -A study of the German banking and finance industry'. H Gewald , K Wüllenweber , T Weitzel . *Journal of*
440 *Electronic Commerce Research* 2006. 7 (2) p. .

441 [Porter ()] *The rise of big business: 1860-1920*, G Porter . 2014. John Wiley & Sons. p. .

442 [Mella and Pellicelli ()] 'The Strategies of Outsourcing and Offshoring'. P Mella , M Pellicelli . *American*
443 *International Journal of Contemporary Research* 2162- 139X. 2012. p. .

444 [Leblanc and Bentz ()] 'The use of thirdparty logistics services by large American manufacturing: the 2003
445 survey'. R C Leblanc , B A Bentz . *Transportation Journal* 2004. 43 (3) p. .

446 [Adamsmith and Org ()] *The Wisdom of Adam Smith, Cyber point Limited*, Adamsmith , Org . <http://www.adamsmith.org/smith/quotes.htm> 1776. Adam Smith Institute.
447