

¹ Sensitivity of Non-Performing Loan to Macroeconomic Variables: ² Empirical Evidence from Banking Industry of Bangladesh

³ Tandra Mondal¹

⁴ ¹ University of Barisal

⁵ *Received: 13 December 2015 Accepted: 5 January 2016 Published: 15 January 2016*

⁶

⁷ **Abstract**

⁸ This paper attempts to examine the potential effect of macroeconomic variables on the
⁹ downfall of loans. The data used in this study range from 2005 to 2014 and cover 22
¹⁰ commercial banks operating in Bangladesh. Failure of credit policy is measured with the rate
¹¹ of nonperforming loan (NPL) which indicates vulnerability of credit system in banking and
¹² financial industry. Several researches have been conducted in many countries where mix
¹³ pattern of relationships has been found. In this research paper, four macroeconomic variables
¹⁴ named GDP growth rate, inflation rate, interest rate spread of banking sector and rate of
¹⁵ unemployment are tested with NPL ratio in order to ascertain significant relationship for
¹⁶ commercial banks of Bangladesh. The result of econometric analysis revealed that NPL is
¹⁷ negatively sensitive to inflation rate and interest rate spread and positively sensitive to GDP
¹⁸ and unemployment rate.

¹⁹

²⁰ **Index terms**— non-performing loan, GDP, inflation, interest rate spread, unemployment, banks.
²¹ nomic variables are external forces of determinants of credit assets quality and banks specific policies, staff
²² quality, morale, asset management mechanism so on are internal drivers of banking performance. In Bangladesh,
²³ NPLs are investments of banks and financial institutions which are not repaid by borrowers have to keep
²⁴ provisioning set aside from their earnings according to regulation of central bank which hits negatively on their
²⁵ profitability.

²⁶ The lofty height of NPL has enforced the banking industry to lessen new borrowers. In the last few years as
²⁷ NPL has wounded up banks, management committee of banks should be vigilant in paying more attention in
²⁸ credit assessment system and smart collection strategy from borrowers. This is needed for regaining complete
²⁹ confidence of depositors on their banks side a side boosting sustainable growth of the country's economic activities.

³⁰ As already mentioned macroeconomic variables and bank specific indicators are assumed to be responsible for
³¹ NPLs. Several researches already have come into light with a conclusion of having significant relationships of
³² NPL with those factors. This paper attempts to assess the sensitivity of macro variables such as GDP growth
³³ rate, inflation, unemployment rate, etc with Non-performing loan ratio of commercial banks of Bangladesh since
³⁴ banking industry is susceptible to total economic activities of the country. This study is found to be important
³⁵ as the NPL gets down confidence of investors in banking framework, drains out productive scant resources and
³⁶ threatens efficient resource distribution procedure.

³⁷ **1 II.**

³⁸ **2 Literature Review**

³⁹ Non-performing loan is like bug of any bank which creates discomforts in every action of financial system of
⁴⁰ a country. It wastes management valuation time, bank's profitability, depositor's confidence index and harms
⁴¹ country's financial systems as well.

3 INTRODUCTION

42 Many authors conducted various researches to find out the determinants of NPL. It remained difficult to
43 state one exact relationship between them as different studies contain different determinants of NPL and those
44 variables have shown different relationship with NPL.

45 3 Introduction

46 banks and financial institutions in a country act as intermediary between supply side and demand side of fund.
47 At present, 56 scheduled banks and 31 financial institutions are actively working at Bangladesh. Total credit
48 provided in Bangladesh as on November 19, 2015 is BDT 5,721,461 and NPL ratio to total loan was 9.7% (up
49 to June 2015) accordingly. Total assets of banking industry in 2014 reached at BDT 9,143 billion from BDT
50 8,000 billion in 2013 but NPL amount also increased to BDT 501.6 billion from BDT 226.2 billion in 2013 (net
51 increase of BDT 275.4 billion in one year). This situation is raising a red flag for efficiency and effectiveness of
52 banking system. There is clear evidence that banking industry is persisting excess liquidity as the total liquidity
53 stood at BDT 6,965.1 billion in 2014 from BDT 6,273.0 billion in 2013. Conversely, rate of industrialization
54 has been declining due to paucity in investor confidence, political instability, degrowth in real estate sector etc.
55 Consequently, surfeit liquidity leads ambitious business projections of the banks subsequently pouring money in
56 non-productive sectors mostly by taking over of other banks' customers by extending facility limit.

57 In general, banking sector performance is affected by both internal and external forces. Macroeco-B for more
58 than 90 days. Banks and financial institutions NPLs of banks depend on both bank-specific and macro-economic
59 variables in Sri Lanka. They regressed nine variables to be statistically significant with NPL. The empirical
60 results reveal that efficiency and size of the bank is also having explanatory power over NPLs. In line with
61 previous research, this study discloses that when efficiency of the bank increases NPLs reduce. Size of the bank
62 has inverse relationship with NPLs. Macroeconomic variables GDP growth rate and inflation have recorded a
63 significant inverse relationship while lending rate has recorded a significant positive influence.

64 From the view of Vasiliki Makri, Athanasios Tsagkanos and Athanasios Bellas (2014), using aggregate data on
65 a panel of 14 countries for the period 2000-2008 and applying the difference GMM estimation, strong correlations
66 between NPL and various macroeconomic and bank-specific factors are consecutively instituted.

67 (2014) originated both exogenous determinants (macroeconomic indicators) and exogenous determinants
68 (specific for the banking activity) are responsible for NPL. In Estonia, the NPL has proved to be strongly
69 influenced by the unemployment rate. As for the influence of the other determinants, a significant, but negative
70 influence has the decreasing growth rate of the GDP that is impacting on the banking sector by increasing NPLs
71 ratio. Latvia exhibits a somewhat similar situation to that of Estonia's showing an increased rate of bad loans.
72 In this case, the drop of the GDP had a significant impact, followed by the unemployment rate.

73 Ahlem Selma Messai et al (2013) depicted variables that can affect and influence doubtful accounts at credit
74 institutions for a sample of European banks. The results showed that GDP growth and return on assets of credit
75 institutions have a negative impact on NPLs. The unemployment rate and the real interest rate affect impaired
76 loans positively. Furthermore, it was found that the provisions of banks increase with the NPLs.

77 In evaluating NPLs Sensitivity to macro variables for Malaysian Commercial Banks, Mohammadreza Alizadeh
78 Janvisloot et al (2013) found strong confirmation of cyclical sensitivity of asset quality in commercial banks
79 of Malaysia. Their result showed FDI-net outflow (%GDP) are the most effective factors on NPL ratio with
80 simultaneous positive effects and a reverse effect with one-year delay. Also there is a robust negative relationship
81 between NPL and GDP growth with the effects operating with up to two year lags. Inflation and domestic credit
82 growth have positive and negative effects respectively and their effects last for up to two years, but a mild.

83 Bruna ?KARICA (2013) outlined determinants of the changes in the non-performing loan (NPL) ratio in
84 selected European emerging markets. Bruna ?KARICA performed the study into single economy analysis and
85 panel analysis. Real GDP growth rate was the main driver of the increase of the NPL ratio during the past 5
86 years in CEE countries.

87 Ali Shingjergji (2013) said that there exists positive relationship between the GDP growth and the NPLs ratio
88 that is contrary to international evidence. According to international evidence the inflation rate is negatively
89 related with NPLs ratio even in the Albanian banking system.

90 Wondimagegnehu Negera (2012), in assessing determinants of NPLs in Ethiopia, depicted that poor credit
91 assessment, failed loan monitoring, underdeveloped credit culture, lenient credit terms and conditions, aggressive
92 lending, compromised integrity, weak institutional capacity, unfair competition among banks, willful default by
93 borrowers and their knowledge limitation, fund diversion for unintended purpose, over/under financing by banks
94 ascribe to the causes of loan default. The study indicated that poor credit assessment ascribing to capacity
95 limitation of credit operators, institutional capacity drawbacks and unavailability of national data for project
96 financing that had also led to setting terms and conditions that were not practical and/or not properly discussed
97 with borrowers had been the cause for occurrences of loan default.

98 Mamun, Yasmeen, Mehjabeen (2012) examined factors responsible for lending decision by Bangladeshi banks
99 using a set of decision variables available in the standard loan application process. Among the variables examined
100 investment type, investment risk grading score and borrower's previous transaction record have been identified
101 as the most important determinant for loan approval probabilities.

102 Mabvure Tendai Joseph et al (2012) conducted a study in order to determine the causes of NPLs of Zimbabwe.
103 They found internal factors such as poor credit policy, weak credit analysis, poor credit monitoring, inadequate

104 risk management and insider loans have a limited influence towards non performing loans. Factors namely
105 natural disaster, government policy and the integrity of the borrower were the major factors that caused NPLs.
106 Findings indicated that there is an upward trend in NPLs since the adoption of multicurrency in 2009. The
107 agricultural sector has not been performing well owing to climate changes and expensive costs related with
108 farming in Zimbabwe. ??rum Berger and DeYoung (1997) draw heavily on the relationships between the specific
109 characteristics of banks, the efficiency indicators and bad loans. According to them, possible mechanisms are
110 worth formulating. More specifically, they maintained that 'bad luck', 'bad management', 'skimping', 'moral
111 hazard', and 'capital adequacy' are all contributing factors leading to problem loans. Working on a sample of
112 US commercial banks over the period 1985-1994, Berger and DeYoung (1997), ??illiams (2004) found out that
113 decrease in measured cost efficiency generally led to increased future bad debts.

114 Keaton and Morris (1987) investigated of 2,500 banks in the USA. They found that a substantial part of the
115 variation in loan losses was due to differences in local economic conditions and to unusually poor performance in
116 particular industries like agriculture and energy.

117 **4 III.**

118 **5 Objectives**

119 The primary objectives of this research study are:

- 120 1. To explore the sensitivity of NPL to macroeconomic variables.
- 121 2. To find out the current situation of NPLs of Bangladesh banking industry.
- 122 3. To investigate the factors affecting the NPL in the banking industry of Bangladesh other than the bank
123 specific variables.
- 124 4. To formulate an empirical relationship between NPL and four macroeconomic variables over a period of
125 ten years for banks operating in Bangladesh.

126 IV.

127 **6 Research Methodology a) Data Collection**

128 The study relates to the period of most recent ten years for twenty two sample banks starting from 2005 and
129 ending on 2014. For the purpose of this study, only secondary data have been used as information related to
130 credit risk, credit policy, NPLs, loan recovery system, default rate are very much confidential to any lending
131 institution specially a bank. The study employed the use of secondary data obtained from the audited balance
132 sheets and profit & loss accounts and also the annual reports of the respective banks. The reason for choosing
133 this source is primarily due to the better reliability of the audited financial statements. Data were obtained from
134 the Dhaka Stock Exchange Library, past publications and official websites of Bangladesh Bank, World Bank and
135 the banks incorporated in the study.

136 **7 b) Variables of Study**

137 The research takes into account the key variables that possibly can affect and has influence on NPL. Choice and
138 selection of variables is influenced by the past research and different study conducted by different researchers
139 on credit risk and NPL. All the variables (dependent and independent) have been used to test and examine the
140 sensitivity of NPL to different macroeconomic variables. The independent variables selected are annual GDP
141 growth rate, inflation rate, interest rate spread and unemployment rate. The dependent variable is NPL ratio.
142 Hence all the data of this study are in relative form. Indicators have been selected by reviewing the literature
143 to represent variables that are most suited for the country's financial system. NPL is denoted as the ratio of
144 classified loans to total loans for bank. The annual growth rate in GDP is also considered. The annual percentage
145 change of the Consumer Price Index (CPI) value is taken as the indicator of country's inflation growth rate. The
146 gap between average lending and deposit rate has been considered as the interest rate spread in the economy.
147 Unemployment rate is measured as a percentage of the labor force without jobs from total labor force in the
148 country.

149 **8 c) Hypotheses Formulation**

150 Based on the early literature and variables of study following hypotheses are formulated: 1. There is significant
151 relationship between the GDP growth rate and NPLs' rate. Where, NPL is the proxy used for banks NPLs' rate,
152 GDP for annual GDP growth rate, IFR is inflation

153 **9 e) Methods of Data Analysis**

154 For the study, entire analysis is done by personal computer. Microsoft excels as well as a well known statistical
155 package named EVViews were used in order to analyze the data. This study makes the use of statistical tools
156 for both its descriptive and quantitative analysis. In the descriptive sector of analysis, data were analyzed only
157 to find out the general statistics. On the other hand, in quantitative analysis portion, data were analyzed by
158 employing Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) V.

159 **10 Analysis and Findings a) Descriptive Statistics**

160 Descriptive statistics presents the general statistics of the variables. The statistics gives the mean value, median
161 value, standard deviation value, maximum and minimum value of the variables of interest in the study over the
162 10 years.

163 **11 Source: Compiled by the author**

164 Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics of dependent and independent variables in the study. The mean NPL of
165 all the 22 banks over the ten years is 7.3478. This suggests that banks could not recover 7.35 percent of every
166 loan provided to the borrowers. The highest NPL is 44.59 while the lowest is 0.00. Among the macro-economic
167 variables the mean GDP growth rate over the test period is 6.20 percent, with the highest growth in 2007 of 7.10
168 percent and the lowest growth of 5.00 percent in 2009. The highest inflation growth of 7 percent was recorded in
169 2014. The correspondent minimum and mean recorded values for inflation are 5.74 and 6.29 percent. The mean
170 value of interest rate spread is 4.83 percent with a standard deviation of 1.96 meaning that interest rate spread
171 can vary from the mean value to both sides by 1.96 percent. The maximum value for that interest rate spread is
172 6.82 percent in a year while the minimum is 1.42 percent. The mean rate of unemployment is 4.45 percent with
173 a low standard deviation of 0.21143. The lowest and highest unemployment rate of 4.20 and 5.00 percent were
174 recorded in 2006 and 2009 respectively.

175 **12 b) Quantitative Analysis i. Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF)
176 Unit Root Test**

177 All the variables under the study must be stationary otherwise spurious regression may be found. Henceforth,
178 Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) Unit Root Test has been implemented to ensure that all the variables in the
179 regression equation are stationary. The result is shown below: As all the series are not stationary, first differences
180 of the non-stationary variables are taken. Three new variables are found named DNPL (1 st difference of NPL),
181 DGDP (1 st difference of GDP) and DUNEMP (1 st difference of UNEMP). Again the test is done on the new
182 three variables. All the series are now stationary. The results of ADF test with the three new variables are as
183 follows: Results of the Correlation analysis between DGDP and DNPL depict a positive coefficient of 0.044387.
184 It denotes that if GDP increases it will have a positive impact on the NPL. The test result shows a negative
185 relationship between inflation rate (IFR) and DNPL. It indicates that if the IFR increases it will have a negative
186 impact on the NPL. The same relationship is found between the interest rate spread (IRS) and DNPL. The
187 correlation between unemployment rate (UNEMP) and DNPL is 0.011516. It implies that NPL will be increased
188 with increase of the unemployment rate. No significant strong relationship is found among the exogenous variables
189 in the matrix. So it can be assumed that the data set is free from Multicollinearity problem.

190 **13 iii. Granger Causality Test**

191 The simple correlation does not imply anything regarding the causality amongst the variables. To find out the
192 causal relationship between two variables Engle-Granger (1969) causality model is implemented between each
193 exogenous variable and dependent variable.

194 The result presented in table 5 shows that there is no bilateral directional relationship between DGDP and
195 DNPL, IFR and DNPL, IRS and DNPL, and even DUNEMP and DNPL at 5% significance level. The test results
196 are tabulated below:

197 **14 iv. Regression Analysis**

198 The regression equation gives an estimation of the linear relationship between a dependent and one or more
199 independent variables. The four explanatory variables named DGDP, IFR, IRS and DUNEMP are regressed on
200 the one and only dependant variable DNPL to test the multiple regression of the selected empirical model. The
201 coefficients of determination (R-square) represents a value of 0.042459 which means that the explanatory power
202 of the four independent variables (DGDP, IFR, IRS and DUNEMP) of this model is very low to explain the
203 variation in the dependent variable (DNPL). Here, the intercept term of the equation is 12.37451 but it is not
204 statistically significant. The regression coefficient of DGDP is 0.938095 which affects the NPL positively though
205 the result is not statistically significant at 5% significance level. The regression coefficients of IFR and IRS are
206 -1.656781 and 0.419316 respectively and both of them are statistically significant at 5% significance level. This
207 result implies that NPL is significantly sensitive to the increase or decrease in inflation rate and interest rate
208 spread. The last regression coefficient of this model is 1.181748. This indicates that as the unemployment rate
209 increases by 1% the NPL will increase by 1.18% although the result is statistically insignificant.

210 **15 VI.**

211 **16 Concluding Remarks**

212 Non-performing loan is considered to be one of the most perilous factors of any economy since this is derived
213 from inefficiency, hinders growth and proficient resource allocation. With the growth of the economy, NPL has

214 to be reduced to a level so that it cannot be headache of any economic escalation aspect. This research paper
215 analyzed selected macro economic variables namely GDP growth rate, interest rate spread, inflation rate and
216 unemployment rate with relation to non-performing loan ratio.

217 Findings of this research concluded that inflation rate has 1.656 points negative relationship with NPL
218 considering other factors constant. Inflationary effects increase repayment capacity because it seems to be less
219 costly of the borrowings in highly inflationary economic provision thus decreases non-performing loan ratio. Side a
220 side, interest rate spread has 0.4193 points positive relationship with NPL ratio considering other things constant.
221 This relationship makes sense in practice as higher the interest rate spread, higher the cost of borrowings which
222 leads to lower debt servicing capacity of the borrowers thus increase non-performing loan ratio.

223 Nonetheless, other two factors; GDP growth rate and unemployment rate are statistically insignificant
224 according to the results of the analysis. Practically, GDP growth rate and unemployment rates are stirring
225 factors to the increase of economic activity and non-performing loan ratio should have relationship with those
226 variables. In this research paper it is found that there is a positive relationship between GDP growth rate
227 and NPL ratio. This relationship is questionable in practice as higher GDP growth will lead to higher earning
228 capacity of borrowers which will eventually help the economy to get a lower NPL ratio. On the contrary, as the
229 unemployment rate increases NPL also increases. This is true because unemployed borrowers cannot repay their
230 debts as they have limited purchasing power to fulfill their financial obligations.

231 This paper only took into account of four macroeconomic variables to find out the sensitivity of NPL. Other
232 microeconomic factors, such as banks internal management, credit assessment criteria,

233 **17 Global Journal of Management and Business Research**

234 Volume XVI Issue IV Version I Year () lending policy, borrowers' demographic factors, receivable collection
235 strategy, equity base, profitability, C operating efficiency etc., have not been considered in the analysis. Therefore,
236 the whole analysis of this paper is limited to macroeconomic variables only. It is expected that further studies
237 will be carried out incorporating bank specific variables also known as micro variables along with macroeconomic
238 variables. ^{1 2}

¹©2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)

²© 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US) 1

Sensitivity of Non-Performing Loan to Macroeconomic Variables: Empirical Evidence from Banking Industry of Bangladesh

Ekanayake E.M.N.N et al (2015) suggested that Interest rate and Real GDP per capita with the NPLs rate.

Sofoklis D. Vogiazas and Eftychia Nikolaïdou

(2011) applied time series modeling techniques to investigate the deterministic factors of NPLs in the financial system; a system dominated by foreign-owned commercial banks. They suggested those macroeconomic variables, specifically the construction and investment expenditure, the inflation and the unemployment rate, and the country's external debt to

In a study on Growth Rate and Non Performing Retail Loans, Sedat Mahmudi (2013) investigated that retail credit loan follows the business cycle and also has a positive relationship with growth of real GDP. Gross national product (GNP) also has direct sensitivity with NPLs of a bank.

GDP and M2 together influence the credit risk of the

2016 Year 22 banking system. Dash and Kabra (2010) researched NPLs in Indian banking sector and found that both bank-level and macroeconomic-level data provided evidence of importance of loans growth, loans to assets ratio, economic growth, and exchange rate for loan losses.

In an empirical study of Liliana DONATH et al In assessing relationship of NPLs with macro economic variables, Khemraj Is- and Pasha (2009) established that GDP growth rate has inverse relationship with NPLs where exchange rate has significant IV positive force with that NPL. Glogowski (2008) investigated set Ver- of macroeconomic variables such as GDP growth, real interest sion rates and unemployment in relation to NPL for 108 Polish banks.

I

)

C

(

Global

Jour-

nal

of

Man-

age-

1

	NPL	GDP	IFR	IRS	UNEMP
Mean	7.347818	6.202000	6.297000	4.832000	4.450000
Median	4.210000	6.310000	6.210000	5.885000	4.450000
Maximum	44.59000	7.100000	7.000000	6.820000	5.000000
Minimum	0.000000	5.000000	5.740000	1.420000	4.200000
Std. Dev.	8.179807	0.568423	0.370574	1.960507	0.211431
Observations	220	220	220	220	220

Figure 2: Table 1 :

2

Variables	Probability	Findings
NPL	0.1123	Non-stationary
GDP	0.1533	Non-stationary
IFR	0.0000	Stationary
IRS	0.0000	Stationary
UNEMP	0.1756	Non-stationary

[Note: Source: Compiled by the author]

Figure 3: Table 2 :

3

Variables	Probability	Findings
DNPL	0.0025	Stationary
DGDP	0.0434	Stationary
IFR	0.0000	Stationary
IRS	0.0000	Stationary
DUNEMP	0.0129	Stationary

Source: Compiled by the author

ii. Pearson Correlation Analysis

The Pearson correlation test reveals the correlation among the variables. It indicates how the

variables are related with each other and also to what extent.

Figure 4: Table 3 :

4

	DNPL	DGDP	IFR	IRS	DUNEMP
DNPL	1.000000	0.044387	-0.067582	-0.158227	0.011516
DGDP	0.044387	1.000000	0.487664	-0.129348	-0.616200
IFR	-0.067582	0.487664	1.000000	-0.156869	-0.407353
IRS	-0.158227	-0.129348	-0.156869	1.000000	0.168328
DUNEMP	0.011516	-0.616200	-0.407353	0.168328	1.000000

Source: Compiled by the author

Figure 5: Table 4 :

5

Null Hypothesis:	Obs	F-Statistic	Probability
DGDP does not Granger Cause DNPL	217	3.88952	0.02193
DNPL does not Granger Cause DGDP		2.07150	0.12854
IFR does not Granger Cause DNPL	217	8.02152	0.00044
DNPL does not Granger Cause IFR		2.60243	0.07646
IRS does not Granger Cause DNPL	217	8.93290	0.00019
DNPL does not Granger Cause IRS		2.42981	0.09050
DUNEMP does not Granger Cause DNPL	217	6.79722	0.00138
DNPL does not Granger Cause DUNEMP		0.53738	0.58507

Source: Compiled by the author

Figure 6: Table 5 :

6

Dependent Variable: DNPL

Method: Least Squares

Sample(adjusted): 2 220

DNPL=C(1)+C(2)*DGDP+C(3)*IFR+C(4)*IRS+C(5)*DUNEMP

	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C(1)	12.37451	6.419712	1.927580	0.0552
C(2)	0.938095	0.674145	1.391534	0.1655
C(3)	-1.656781	0.998430	-	0.0485
			1.659387	
C(4)	0.419316	0.165006	2.541214	0.0118
C(5)	1.181748	1.567125	0.754086	0.4516
R-squared	0.042459	Mean dependent var	-	0.086484
Adjusted R-squared	0.024561	S.D. dependent var	4.751687	
S.E. of regression	4.692970	Akaike info criterion	5.952575	
Sum squared resid	4713.130	Schwarz criterion	6.029951	
Log likelihood	-646.8069	Durbin-Watson stat	2.295605	

Source: Compiled by the author

The regression equation can be written as follows:

DNPL=12.37451+0.938095*DGDP-1.6567816*IFR+0.419316*IRS+1.181748*DUNEMP

Figure 7: Table 6 :

239 [Ekanayake and Azeez ()] , E M N Ekanayake , A A Azeez . 2015.

240 [Mamun ()] 'A Logit Analysis of Loan Decision in Bangladeshi Banks'. Yasmeen Mamun , Mehjabeen . *Journal
241 of Applied Research in business Administration & Economics* 2012. 01 p. 3.

242 [Klein ()] *Bad Loans in CESEE: Determinants and Macroeconomic Performance*, IMF Working Paper, N Klein
243 . WP/13/72. 2013. Washington D.C.: International Monetary Fund.

244 [Gujarati ()] *Basic Econometrics*, D N Gujarati . 2003. New York: McGraw-Hill International publishers. (4 th
245 edition)

246 [Mahmudi (2013)] 'Correlation between Growth Rate and Non Performing Retail Loans in the Republic of
247 Macedonia'. S Mahmudi . *International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting* 2013. July. 3 (3) p.
248 .

249 [Saba et al. ()] *Determinants of Non Performing Loans: Case of US Banking Sector*, I Saba , R Kouser , M
250 Azeem . 2012.

251 [Negera ()] *Determinants of Non Performing Loans: The case of Ethiopian Banks*, W Negera . 2012. W. N.
252 Geletta Research Report.

253 [?karica ()] 'Determinants of non-performing loans in Central and Eastern European countries'. B ?karica .
254 *Financial theory and practice*, 2013. 2014. 38 p. .

255 [Determinants of Non-Performing Loans in Licensed Commercial Banks: Evidence from Sri Lanka Asian Economic and Financial
256 'Determinants of Non-Performing Loans in Licensed Commercial Banks: Evidence from Sri Lanka'. *Asian
257 Economic and Financial Review* 2015. 5 (6) p. .

258 [Makri et al. ()] *Determinants of Non-Performing Loans: The Case of Eurozone*, V Makri , A Tsagkanos , A
259 Bellas . 2014.

260 [Hasan and Wall ()] 'Determinants of the loan loss allowance: some cross-country comparison'. I Hasan , L D
261 Wall . *The Financial Review* 2004. 39 (1) p. .

262 [Abdel et al. ()] *Does bank supervision impact nonperforming loans-crosscountry determinants using aggregate
263 data?*, K B Abdel , B T Neila , J Sana . 2007.

264 [Schall and Halley ()] *Introduction to Financial Management*, C D Schall , C Halley . 1980. New York: McGraw
265 Hill Book Company. p. 494.

266 [Sofoklis et al. ()] 'Investigating the Determinants of Nonperforming Loans in the Romanian Banking System:
267 An Empirical Study with Reference to the Greek Crisis'. D Sofoklis , Eftychia Vogiazas , Nikolaïdou .
268 *Economics Research* 2011. Hindawi Publishing Corporation. (International Volume)

269 [Donath et al. ()] *Macroeconomic Determinants of Bad Loans in Baltic Countries and Romania*, L Donath , V
270 Cerna , M Oprea , L , M . 2014. II. (SEA -Practical Application of Science)

271 [Bofondi and Ropele ()] *Macroeconomic determinants of bad loans: evidence from Italian banks*, M Bofondi , T
272 Ropele . 2011. p. 89.

273 [G?ogowski (2008)] *Macroeconomic determinants of Polish banks' loan losses -results of a panel data study*, A
274 G?ogowski . 2008. November. Warsaw.

275 [Mileris ()] *Macroeconomic Factors of Non-Performing Loans in Commercial Banks*, R Mileris . 2014.

276 [Messai and Jouini ()] 'Micro and Macro Determinants of Non-Performing Loans'. A Messai , F Jouini .
277 *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues* 2013. 3 (4) p. .

278 [Joseph et al. (2012)] 'Non Performing loans in Commercial Banks: A case of CBZ Bank Limited in Zimbabwe'.
279 M T Joseph , G Edson , F Manuere , M Clifford , K Michael . *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary
280 Research in Business* 2012. November.

281 [Rajan and Sarat ()] *Non-performing Loans and Terms of Credit of Public Sector Banks in India: An Empirical
282 Assessment*, R Rajan , C D Sarat . 2003. 24 p. .

283 [Fofack (2005)] 'Non-performing Loans in Sub-Saharan Africa: Causal Analysis and Macroeconomic Implications'. H Fofack . *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 3769*, 2005. November.

284 [Janvisloo and Muhammad ()] 'Non-Performing Loans Sensitivity to Macro Variables: Panel Evidence from
285 Malaysian Commercial Banks'. Alizadeh Janvisloo , M Muhammad , J . *American Journal of Economics*
286 2013. 3 (5C) p. .

287 [Nkusu ()] *Nonperforming Loans and Macrofinancial Vulnerabilities in Advanced Economies*, M Nkusu . 11/161.
288 2011. Washington D.C.: International Monetary Fund. (IMF Working Paper)

289 [Adhikary ()] *Nonperforming Loans in the Banking Sector of Bangladesh: Realities and Challenges*, B K Adhikary
290 . 2005. Bangladesh Institute of Bank Management

291 [Espinoza and Prasad ()] *Nonperforming Loans in the GCC Banking Systems and their Macroeconomic Effects*,
292 R Espinoza , A Prasad . 2010. Washington: International Monetary Fund. (IMF Working Paper 10/224)

294 [Hu et al. ()] 'Ownership and Non-Performing Loans: Evidence from Taiwan's Banks'. J Hu , Y Li , Y Chiu .
295 *The Developing Countries, XLII-3*, 2004. p. .

296 [Berger et al. ()] 'Problem Loans and Cost Efficiency in Commercial Banks'. A N Berger , R Deyoung ,
297 *Forthcoming . Journal of Banking and Finance* 1997. 21.

298 [Wallich ()] *Status of Non-performing Loans in Banking Sector in Bangladesh*, C I Wallich . 2006. (1st Edition)

299 [Myers ()] 'The Determinants of Corporate Borrowing'. S Myers . *Journal of Financial Economics* 1977. (5) .

300 [Dash and Kabra ()] 'The determinants of non-performing assets in Indian commercial bank: An econometric
301 study'. M Dash , G Kabra . *Middle Eastern Finance and Economics*, 2010. 7 p. 106.

302 [Dash ()] *The Determinants of Non-Performing Assets in Indian Commercial Bank: An Econometric Study*, M
303 K Dash . 2010.

304 [Khemraj and Pasha ()] *The determinants of non-performing loans: An econometric case study of Guyana*". *The
305 Caribbean Centre for Banking and Finance Bi-annual Conference on Banking and Finance*, T Khemraj , S
306 Pasha . 2009. St. Augustine, Trinidad.

307 [Shingjergji ()] 'The Impact of Macroeconomic Variables on the Non Performing Loans in the Albanian Banking
308 System during'. A Shingjergji . *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies* 2013. 2005 -2012. October. 2
309 (9) .

310 [Tracey and Trinidad (2011)] *The Impact of Nonperforming Loans on Loan Growth: an econometric case*, M
311 Tracey , Tobago Trinidad . September (2011).

312 [Hou ()] 'The Non-performing Loans: Some Bank-level Evidences'. Yixin Hou . *Journal of Banking and finance*
313 2001. p. .

314 [Keeton and Morris ()] 'Why do banks' loan losses differ'. W Keeton , C S Morris . *Federal Reserve Bank of
315 Kansas City, Economic Review* 1987. 72 (3) p. .