# Global Journals LaTeX JournalKaleidoscope<sup>TM</sup>

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.

# Quality Work-Life as Predictor to Organisational Commitment under Contrasting Leadership Styles: I.T Responses from Pakistan's Private Software Houses

Riffat Faizan<sup>1</sup> and Nasreen Zehra<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> ABMS - Open University of Switzerland

Received: 8 December 2015 Accepted: 1 January 2016 Published: 15 January 2016

#### Abstract

This study investigate the dimensions of organisational commitment under transformational and transactional leadership style while considering the attributes of Quality Work-life prevailing in Pakistan's private software houses. Theoretical framework is developed by considering studies commenced in last three decades on similar topic. This study considers positivist paradigm with objective epistemology, realism ontology, and hyopthetico-deductive quantitative method to explore the relationship between research variables. The sample size for study is 408 participants from 10 mega cities of Pakistan through combining probability and nonprobability sampling technique. Self-administered survey questionnaire was circulated through HR department of targeted firms.

*Index terms*— quality work-life; transformational leadership; transactional leadership; organisational commitment; job satisfaction.

#### 1 Introduction

.T sector of Pakistan has gained dramatic boom in recent years. According to the official statistics of SMEDA Pakistan (2014) after 2008 recession, I.T sector's contribution to GDP has increased by 13% while in GNP of country, it contributes 9% and the rate is inclining at a constant rate since last five years. According to Tribune National Newspaper (2015) small software houses have been consistently contributing to the economy of Pakistan. The survey have revealed that alone in Karachi, there are over 700 unregistered software houses that are operating but contributing to the domestic economy of Pakistan. Interestingly a press report issued by Pakistan Press Foundation (2013) revealed that 63% of I.T sector's contribution comes from telephone and software firms but despite heavy contribution there are delays in launching projects such as 3G, 4G, Data base collaboration, etc. One of the reasons for delays in project is credited to organisational functioning system. There are several other factors such as political uncertainty, lack of IT universities, high rate of illiteracy, etc however this research only focuses in the dimension of organisational functioning system to investigate the relationship between organisational commitment, quality work-life and leadership styles.

The reason behind it is that these software houses offers cheap services and develop projects for local, international and interlinked MNCs. Despite high contribution there is high employee turnover evident as 21% employee turnover is shown in the report of Pakistan Press Foundation in 2013. Since this sector is contributing to the economy of Pakistan, thus it is essential to evaluate employee's satisfaction working in these private software houses. According to Nanjundeswaraswamya and Swamy (2015) the employees' individual needs must not be overlooked at the expense of technological needs when designing jobs. Thus, it is essential for the management to design a job that is aligned with the human features including; satisfying them, their work and workplace environment ??Nanjundeswaraswamya & Swamy, 2015). Therefore, it is vital to construct job in a manner that it fulfils both; human as well technological needs.

The above argument emphasis that nowadays it is essential to enrich job design by moving away from traditional job designs where only organisational need was determine through effective and efficient use of technology. In other words, Quality of Work-Life (QWL) should be the focus of the organisations in modern era. The concept means developing an organisational support system that enables management to deal with their workforce as not just workers but resources to facilitate them in demonstrating high level of performance. Moreover, employee participation, I interpersonal relationship, empowerment, autonomy etc are new interventions to concept and scope of QWL by transforming from socio-technical system to versatile programme used to improve employees' commitment and work life experiences. The quality of workers tends to increase due to these features of QWL as it tends to improve their involvement in job at workplace. The organisational commitment include; affective, normative, and continuance commitment ?? Mowday et al., 1982; ?? llen and Meyer, 2001; Haque & Yamoah, 2014). The idea of developing organisational commitment among workers is to ensure that they work for attainment of organisational goal and remain motivated and directed to it. However, the organisational commitment is not just developed through organisational support system but there is a need of proper leadership at the organisation. Now it is vital to understand the concept of leadership before emphasising on its significance in creating organisational commitment. Leaders who are effective are individuals having the ability to influence and inspire others in certain ways to accomplish desired goals. The organisational performance and organisational commitment of employees are affected by various types of leadership styles (Nahavandi, 2006; Nanjundeswaraswamya & Swamy, 2015). According to Yair et al., ??2003), employees overall satisfaction and job related satisfaction is strongly predicted by transformational leadership style. Interestingly, ??gbonna and Harris (2002) argued that innovative and competitive culture significantly influences the organisational performances to large extent. In other words, organisational culture has an impact on the organisational performance whereas, leadership style influenced the organizational Culture and thus organisational performance is influenced by leadership style in organisational setting.

## 66 2 a) Aim

43

44

45

46 47

48

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

61

62

63

64

65

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

76

77

78

79

80

81 82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92 93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

Aim of this study is to increase understanding about linkage of leadership styles with QWL and Organisational commitment of employees in the I.T sector of Pakistan. In addition, investigating the relationship between leadership styles and QWL and organisational commitment respectively. Hence, in order to examine the relationship between variables, we undertake transformational and transactional leadership style based on the findings of Haque et al., (2015) to take that research further by exploring the elements of QWL and dimensions of organisational commitment.

# 3 b) Hypotheses

Following are the hypotheses: H1: QWL of employees is not significantly influenced by transformational leadership style.

# 4 Literature Review a) Quality of Work Life

Hackman and Oldham (1980) described QWL as the favourable working environment that supports and promoted satisfaction by providing employees with rewards, job security and career growth opportunities. The work environment enables to fulfill employees' personal needs is considered as an important factor to provide a positive interaction effect, which will lead to an excellent QWL. Cunningham and Eberle (1990) emphasized that the personal needs are satisfied when rewards from the organization, such as compensation, promotion, recognition and development meet their expectations. The elements that are relevant to an individual's QWL include the task, the physical and social work environment within the organization, organizational system and relationship between life on and off the job. Chan and Einstein (1990) explained QWL reflects as a concern for people's experience at work, their relationship with other people, their work setting and their effectiveness on the job. European Foundation for the Improvement of Living Conditions 2002 described that the QWL is a multidimensional construct, made up of a number of interrelated factors that need careful consideration to conceptualize and measure. QWL is associated with job satisfaction, job involvement, motivation, productivity, health, safety, job security, competence development and balance between work and nonwork life. Quality of work life is a process by which an organization responds to employee needs for developing mechanisms to allow them to share fully in making the decisions that design their lives at work. Saraji and Dargahi (2006) study explained QWL as a comprehensive, department wide program designated to improve employee satisfaction, strengthening workplace learning and helping employees had better manage, change and transition by conducting descriptive and analytical study. QWL programs will benefit both employees and management, by mutually solving work related problems, building cooperation, improving work environments, restructuring tasks carefully and fairly managing human resource outcomes and payoffs according to the study of Rose et al. (2006).

According to Rethinam and Ismail (2007), QWL is a multi-dimensional construct, made up of a number of interrelated factors that need careful consideration to conceptualize and measure. It is associated with job satisfaction, job involvement, motivation, productivity, health, safety and well-being, job security, competence development and balance between work and non-work life and also he concluded as QWL from the perspective of IT professionals is challenging both to the individuals and organizations. However, from the literature it can

be summarized that QWL may be viewed as a wide-ranging concept, which includes Haque & Yamoah, 2014). Furthermore, continuance commitment (CC) reflects employees' keen desire to remain with the same organisation (ibid). Now that, all three antecedents of OC have been understood, there is a need to evaluate it in relations with leadership style existing in Pakistan's I.T sector. Interestingly, the previous work of Haque et al., (2015) showed that female employees are motivated to perform well under transformational leadership style however the organisational commitment and QWL is still not explored in depth to generalize results. Moreover, the large number of studies is carried out in Western part of world thus this study examines the relationship in eastern context. In other words, there is a gap in literature that the previous findings are more generalised to western style of leadership and companies whereas both QWL and OC needs to be examined in relation with leadership styles in Eastern part of the world.

## 5 d) Leadership Styles

Leadership is a social influence process in which the leader seeks the voluntary participation of subordinates in an effort to reach organization goals (Omolayo, 2007). Today's organizations need effective leaders who understand the complexities of the rapidly changing global environment. If the task is highly structured and the leader has good relationship with the

## 6 Global Journal of Management and Business Research

Volume XVI Issue VI Version I Year () A employees, effectiveness will be high on the part of the employees (Smith, 1998). Smith's study further revealed that democratic leaders take great care to involve all members of the team in discussion, and can work with a small but highly motivated team. Barchiesi and La Bella (2007) measures the leadership effectiveness, leadership role and its influence on performance, leadership behaviors and attitudes. It was found that high leadership indexes are not related to past performance records, but it is associated with higher potentiality of enhanced performance and higher reputation of organization pointing in the direction of a meaningful influence of behavioral complexity and dynamics on the leadership perceived level. Voonl et al. (2011) used the factors like salaries, job autonomy, job security, workplace flexibility to investigate the relationship between leadership styles and employee job satisfaction, study revealed that transformational leadership style has a stronger relationship with job satisfaction.

Fang et al. (??009) identified that leadership style can affect organizational commitment, job satisfaction positively and job satisfaction intern can affect organizational commitment and performance. Leadership is largely culturally orientated, embracing traditional beliefs, norms and values, and a preoccupation (Prideaux & Be, 2007). According to Victor and Soutar (2005), leadership style is significantly influenced by the leader's immediate and extended family, clan, and tribe. This study finds the linkages between organizational leadership and business ethics, thereby making a contribution toward increasing the quality of organizational life which may have a positive influence on both members of the organization and the wider community. Transactional leadership is focused on staff's basic and external demand, the relationship between leaders and subordinates is based on the contract. They tend to attain organizational goal by pacific job roles and mission design, their basic purpose is to maintain a stable organization. However from the available literature it can summarize the different types of leadership styles and their effect on employee satisfaction, team work, organizational change and employee performance. From the literature review it is identified that these styles need to be evaluated with respect to the components of Quality of Work Life and organisational commitment, which are more appropriate for I.T Firms in Pakistan.

#### 7 III.

# 8 Research Methodology a) Research Paradigm and Philosophy

Research paradigm indicates intent, choice, and motivation of researcher by following a pattern associated with concepts and assumption through logical sequence of attaining knowledge and interpreting it (MacKenzie & Knipe, 2006). Mack (2010) explained paradigm is resultant of three main attributes including; ontology, epistemology, and methodology respectively. In this research we followed Mack (2010) explanation of paradigm for determining present research framework. Moreover, Easterby-Smith et al., (2015) identified positivist/scientific paradigm that consider quantitative methods whereas socioanthropological paradigm follows qualitative methods. This research comes under scientific/positivist paradigm due to quantitative nature.

The ontology for present study is "realism" as from the theoretical framework it has been confirmed that relationship between QWL, organisational commitment and style of leadership exist thus ontological stance is "reality exist out there in business world". Moreover, the epistemology is "objective" because the body of knowledge is extracted directly from the subjects in objective manner. Additionally, deductive approach is used to commence quantitative research in collecting and sorting data thus methodology include adoption if quantitative methods so that findings are supported through numerical significance. After careful determination of ontological, epistemological stance, and methodology we explored the axiological view by considering the criterion of inclusion of private business sector functioning in various cities of Pakistan. Additionally, the axiology also includes the notion that QWL's attribute play significant role in developing and strengthening organisational

## 9 b) Research Design and Approach

Longitudinal study takes more than year while cross-sectional study design tends to complete within one year (Sekaran & Bougie, 2012). In this study, researchers opted for cross-sectional research design as the study completed in less than year. Additionally, deductive approach is study in which data is deduced to reach conclusion by testing hypotheses constructed at the start of research and inductive approach induce data to reach conclusion (Sekaran & Bougie, 2012). In present study, researchers used hypothetico-deductive model to commence this research. Since, the approach was deductive therefore hypotheses were established in the start of research through theoretical framework in order to investigate the relationship between research variables.

# 10 c) Nature and Type of Research

The nature of present study is exploratory because this study attempts to explore the nature of relationship between variables at hand. Since, this research is based on the assumption to expand the body of knowledge about research variables existing in organisational setting therefore it is "basic" type of research. Moreover, the research is causal-effect as it seeks to investigate the causes and effects among research variables.

## 11 d) Sample size and technique

Sample is subset of population (Sekaran & Bougie, 2012). Since, it is difficult to include entire population therefore sample set is considered to study and generalise findings to population as sample is representative of population (Bryman, 2013). Thus, it is essential to determine the appropriate sample set. To determine sample size, we used statistical formula explained by Charan and Biswas (2013). Therefore, the minimum sample size required for this study is 185 private software houses operating in Pakistan. Moreover, through preliminary research, it is confirmed that in each targeted city of Pakistan there are 20 on average private software houses working thus 11 were minimum number of firms targeted as it constitute 55% representation. This was done to ensure more than 50% of firms are at least targeted from all cities of Pakistan. Approximately 200 software houses were approached and 189 responded while 11 did not participated however it was ensured that on average 2 participants are included from each organisation. According to Waliman (2001) probability and nonprobability are two basic types of sampling techniques. Moreover, Sekaran & Bougie (2012) explained that in probability sampling each event has equal chance of selection while in non-probability sampling technique each event's selection chances are unequal. The researchers have combined various probability and nonprobability (sampling technique) to reach targeted audience. Purposive, stratified, snowball, and convenience sampling is combined to reach targeted audience.

## 12 e) Data Collection

Data collection include primary source of extraction directly from respondents at first hand. Selfadministered survey questionnaire developed through TYPEFORM was used to collect information. Through HR department of each targeted firm was contacted through email by informing them about the purpose of research. With the consent of HR department, survey was circulated.

# 13 f) Data Analysis Tool

Since, this is quantitative research thus researchers used SPSS 23.0 for analysis of data. Data were coded and entered to SPSS (23.0) software to generate and quantify findings. The reason for opting to SPSS is because it is cost-effective in quantitative research. According to Prabhakar (2008) in social science researches, SPSS is cost-effective and userfriendly software package. Through 5 point Likert scale, responses related to QWL and organisational commitment under transactional and transformational leadership was examined. Through correlation and regression, the significance of relationship is measured and model summary, co-efficient standardised beta along with ANOVA containing R<sup>2</sup>, ?, and F to reflect the variation, strength, and acceptance of results.

# 14 g) Ethics, Reliability, Validity, and Credibility

According to Guillemin & Gillan (2004), ethical concerns and dilemmas are often faced by researchers during research phase. Since, this study involved humans therefore ethical considerations were made because any study involving human must maintain ethical standards to avoid long-term consequences. Since, HR department of targeted firms were informed about the purpose of research and each participant was prior to participation in research. Furthermore, participants were ensured that information shared by them will be kept confidential and information will only be used for academic purpose. Additionally, Mizayaki & Taylor (2008) stated that when researcher comes in direct contact with participants there are chances of biased responses. In order to avoid it, researchers used HR department so that no direct involvement creating biased responses emerge.

For the purpose of validity, researchers opted for 'content validity' by using the content of an instrument's appropriateness during and prior to research process. Adequate measures were considered by researchers to measure questions and flow of the question's structure is appropriately maintained. Moreover, in order to ensure credibility aspect is maintained, researchers considered all similar type of organisations and out of selected

organisation, opted for organisations that were linked with the nature of the study. Furthermore, objective of study, research instruments' copies along with cover letter explaining research purpose were sent to targeted organisations. Once permission was granted, HR department was approached to administer research instrument on behalf of researchers.

However, researchers could have used the Cornbach's alpha to measure the reliability of items in a questionnaire but since researchers adapted the scales used by early researchers such as Allen & Meyer, (1991); Bass and Avolio (1994) Full Range MLQ Model and WMS; Haque and Yamoah (2014) OSP model selfreported questionnaire for organisational commitment, transformational and transactional leadership, and QWL by considering 27-item reflecting that researchers used 'test-retest reliability' approach by measuring the consistency of items measured and evaluated over time again.

#### 15 IV.

## 16 Findings and Discussions

To explore the relationship between QWL among the employees of I.T software houses in Pakistan, the QWL mean score of employees for every single organisation was determined. In addition, the organisation's QWL was categorised through total grand mean score formed by all organisations. Thus, through comparison approach, mean score of each software house in every considered city of Pakistan was compared against grand mean and based on that two groups were formed, "satisfied group" and "not satisfied group" respectively based on QWL score. To further explain the comparative approach, QWL mean score was taken as par value and software houses scoring overall mean more than QWL mean score were categorised as satisfied group while software houses scoring overall mean lower than QWL mean score were put into not satisfied group. Additionally, both groups were ranged on (1-to-5) point scale on two intervals to determine the level of Quality Work-Life.

In each city of Pakistan, the total frequency of respondents' choice is summarised below in Table 1, reflecting the mean score for each city by classifying them under either group QWL satisfied or QWL not satisfied. The above analysis showed that 306 employees working in private software houses (75%) are satisfied with QWL whereas remaining 106 employees (25%) are not satisfied with existing QWL in this survey. Moreover, in terms of cities of Pakistan, software houses in Karachi have highest frequency (134), followed by Lahore (98), and Islamabad (56).

## 17 a) Leadership styles in Software houses

Two basic styles of leadership in this study include; transformational and transactional leadership respectively. The only two styles of leadership was based on preliminary research showing that transformational and transactional leadership styles are most widely evident in the software houses of Pakistan. Thus, employees' perspective was explored by asking them in survey 4 each set of questions related to both styles of leadership in order to attain their opinion regarding existing style of leadership in their respective organisations. The type of leadership style was determined through mean scores attained through testing set of interlinked variables based on 5 point LIKERT scale. From Table 4 it is evident that in sequential order Karachi, Lahore, and Islamabad have high frequency.

Interestingly, in all three cities transformational leadership is more preferred style of leadership among workforce. Moreover, the preferred leadership style in Pakistan's software houses in this study "transformational leadership" is more preferred with 57.4% whereas "transactional leadership" has been considered by 42.6% (Table 5). The descriptive statistic showed that Mean value of all three attributes of organisational commitment (AC, NC, & CC) is approximately close to each other and interestingly all three attributes standard deviations are close in numeric expression confirming that all items are consistent on scale. Transactional leadership style has positive correlation with affective commitment as sig value is lesser than alpha value at 90% confidence level (P=0.000 < 0.01). Thus, null hypothesis is rejected that employees' affective commitment is not significantly affected by transactional leadership style. However, the relationship established above is positive but this is weak as r=.247 is derived value indicating that it is below moderate par value (0.5). Moreover, there is weak negative relationship between transactional leadership style and normative commitment of employees in the I.T sectors of Pakistan. Moreover, the correlation is negative as sig value is greater than alpha value at 90% confidence level (P=0.125 > 0.01). Since, P value is greater than alpha value therefore we do not reject null hypothesis that normative commitment of employees are not significantly affected by transactional leadership style. Interestingly, the statistical test showed that there is strong positive correlation between transactional leadership style and continuance commitment of employees in I.T sector of Pakistan as r=0.775 which is moderately strong and positive. The P value is 0.000 which is lesser than alpha value 0.01 indicating that null hypothesis is rejected (P=0.000 < 0.01). In other words, the continuance commitment of employees is significantly affected by transactional leadership. Thus, it can be concluded from the analysis that, transactional leadership has positive correlation with affective commitment and continuance commitment whereas it has weak correlation with normative commitment in this study. Moreover, in this study, normative commitment is not significantly affected by transactional leadership style whereas both; affective commitment and normative commitment are significantly affected by transactional leadership style. Interestingly, despite correlation is established it is evident that only continuance commitment has strong relationship with transactional leadership

# 18 B) LEADERSHIP STYLES AND TYPE OF ORGANISATIONAL COMMITMENT

276

277

278 279

280

281

282

283

284 285

286

287

288

289

290

291

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317 318

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328 329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

style. Affective commitment has positive but weak while normative commitment has negative weak relationship with transactional leadership. The model summary above showed that R<sup>2</sup> is approximately 0.368 indicating that 36.8% variation in transactional leadership behaviour is due to variation in affective, normative, and continuance commitment of employees working under them. Furthermore, ANOVA model reflected that F=78.388 means that explanatory power is 78% thus making it highly acceptable. Three items are considered in coefficient table and in the above table standardized coefficient Beta Values are undertaken to measure the affect of variables on transactional leadership style. The regression analysis revealed that affective commitment affects leadership 0.11 positively when there is 1 standard deviation increase in affective commitment. Additionally, sig value is 0.000 lesser than alpha value (P=0.000 < ?=0.01) thus confirming that there is sufficient evidence against the null hypothesis that transactional leadership is not affected by affective commitment. Moving further, it is evident that normative commitment influenced transactional leadership 0.241 negatively due to increase in standard deviation by 1. Moreover, P=0.125 is greater than ? = 0.01 indicating that there is no strong evidence against null hypothesis stating normative commitment affects transactional leadership. In other words, we reject null hypothesis that transactional leadership is affected by normative commitment of employees. Lastly, regression table confirmed that, transactional leadership is affected by 0.704 positively due to variation in continuance commitment. In addition to that, the transactional leadership is affected 0.704 when 1 standard deviation increased is evident in continuance commitment of employees. Furthermore, P=0.000 is less than? = 0.01 therefore there is strong evidence against the null hypothesis that transactional leadership is not significantly linked with continuance commitment of employees. Interestingly, transformational leadership's mean value is higher in comparison to transactional leadership. Moreover, standard deviation is slightly higher than transactional leadership. Additionally, the mean and standard deviation for dimensions of organisational commitment is same. Considering 90% confidence level, the correlation between transformational leadership and organisational commitment's antecedents is evaluated and results showed that, transformational leadership has positive relationship with all three attributes of organisational commitment; affective commitment, normative commitment, and continuance commitment respectively. Transformational leadership is positively associated with affective commitment as r=.618 reflecting that there is moderate positive relationship. Moreover, the P value=0.000 lesser than ?=0.01 therefore in present study strong evidence is available to reject null hypothesis that employees' affective commitment is not significantly affected by transformational leadership. As (P=0.000 <?=0.01) is evident thus this study confirms that affective commitment of employees is linked with transformational leadership in Pakistan's I.T sector. Furthermore, transformational leadership has moderate positive relationship with normative commitment as confirmed by r=0.489 value driven from statistical test. In addition to that, P value=0.000 is greater than ?=0.01 (P=0.000 < ?=0.01) thus we reject null hypothesis. In other words, there is strong evidence against null hypothesis that normative commitment of employees is not affected by transformational leadership.

# 18 b) Leadership styles and Type of Organisational Commitment

Moreover, for linkage between transformational leadership and continuance commitment at 90% confidence interval the derived value r=.878 indicating there is strong positive correlation between them. Furthermore, the P value is lesser than ? value (P=0.000 < ?=0.01) reflecting that we reject null hypothesis. In other words, there is strong evidence against null hypothesis stating that continuance commitment of employees is not significantly affected by transformational leadership in this study.

From the above test results, it is confirmed that transformational leadership has positive relationship with all antecedents of organisational commitment; affective commitment, normative commitment, and continuance Additionally, there is strong evidence from statistical test confirming that transformational leadership is significantly associated with affective, normative, and continuance commitment of employees. Moreover, the results showed that in comparison to transactional leadership, transformational leadership is more strongly associated with the organisational commitment of employees. The above two tables reflect the variation and explanatory power related to items in this study. Since,  $\mathrm{R}^2$  is 0.241 reflecting that 24.1%variation in transformational leadership is due to change in organisational commitment; affective commitment, normative commitment, and continuance commitment in this study. Interestingly, transformational leadership has low R<sup>2</sup> in comparison to transactional leadership despite having strong positive correlation with antecedents of organisational commitment. This is a significant development in this study that though R<sup>2</sup> (variation) in transformational leadership is low comparing to transactional leader but the correlation is strong and positive among antecedents of organisational commitment with transformational leadership. Moreover, ANOVA model reflected F=82.754 indicating that 82.75% is explanatory power therefore the variation of items and correlation is highly acceptable. Moreover, 0.123 negatively variation is evident in transformational leadership when there is 1 standard deviation increase in the normative commitment of employees. Additionally, sig value is less than alpha value (P=0.000 < ?=0.01) therefore we reject null hypothesis of normative commitment is not significantly affected by transformational leadership style in Pakistan's I.T sector. Lastly, standardized coefficient showed that transformational leadership is affected by continuance commitment of employees by 0.557 positively when there is 1 standard deviation increase in the level of continuance commitment. Since, sig value=0.000 is lesser than alpha value=0.01 confirming (P=0.000 < ?=0.01) therefore we reject null hypothesis that continuance commitment of employees are not significantly affected by transformational leadership style. Additionally, through professional networking sites, the experts on the subject along with the participants from the targeted sector should also be interviewed to include their perspective.

## 19 c) Quality of Work-Life and style of Leadership



Figure 1:

1 2 3 4 5

342

337

338

339

340

341

 $<sup>^1 \</sup>odot$  2016 Global Journals Inc. (US) 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Quality Work-Life as Predictor to Organisational Commitment under Contrasting Leadership Styles: I.T Responses from Pakistan's Private Software Houses © 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Quality Work-Life as Predictor to Organisational Commitment under Contrasting Leadership Styles: I.T Responses from Pakistan's Private Software Houses commitment. Furthermore, specific style of leadership © 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US) 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>© 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Quality Work-Life as Predictor to Organisational Commitment under Contrasting Leadership Styles: I.T Responses from Pakistan's Private Software Houses

Quality Work-Life as Predictor to Organisational Commitment under Contrasting Leadership Styles: I.T Responses from Pakistan's Private Software Houses

came from Karachi, Lahore, and Islamabad due to high private software houses operating in these business-hub cities.

$$\begin{array}{l} [1.64^2 \times 0.90 \\ \times (1\text{-}0.90)] \\ 2.6896 \times 0.90 \\ \times (1\text{-}0.90) \\ 0.01^2 \ 0.0001 \\ 0.24206 \\ 0.0001 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 242 \\
 1 + & 242 -1
 \end{array}$$

$$Z^2 \times P \times (1 - P)$$

Figure 2:

1

## Case Processing Summary

|               |   |     |         |   | Cases   |     |         |
|---------------|---|-----|---------|---|---------|-----|---------|
|               |   |     | Valid   |   | Missing |     | Total   |
| City<br>QWL   | * | N   | Percent | N | Percent | N   | Percent |
| € 11 <u>L</u> |   | 408 | 100.0%  | 0 | 0.0%    | 408 | 100.0%  |

Figure 3: Table 1:

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

Frequency of level of satisfaction in cities of Pakistan

|                | City * QWL in Software houses |          |       |
|----------------|-------------------------------|----------|-------|
|                | Satisfied                     | not sat- | Total |
|                |                               | isfied   |       |
| CityFaisalabad | 12                            | 6        | 18    |
| Gujranwala     | 15                            | 2        | 17    |
| Hyderabad      | 16                            | 4        | 20    |
| Islamabad      | 44                            | 12       | 56    |
| Karachi        | 98                            | 36       | 134   |
| Lahore         | 69                            | 29       | 98    |
| Multan         | 15                            | 2        | 17    |
| Peshawar       | 15                            | 3        | 18    |
| Rawalpindi     | 11                            | 6        | 17    |
| Sialkot        | 11                            | 2        | 13    |
| Total          | 306                           | 102      | 408   |
|                |                               |          |       |

Figure 4: Table 2 :

3

The overall Quality Work-Life in Software houses in Pakistan

|                | Freque | ncyPercent | Valid Pe              | r- Percent |
|----------------|--------|------------|-----------------------|------------|
|                |        |            | $\operatorname{cent}$ |            |
| ValidSatisfied | 306    | 75.0       | 75.0                  | 75.0       |
| not satisfied  | 102    | 25.0       | 25.0                  | 100.0      |
| Total          | 408    | 100.0      | 100.0                 |            |

Figure 5: Table 3:

4

City wise employees' preferred leadership style

|                |        |             |         | Cumulative |
|----------------|--------|-------------|---------|------------|
|                | Freque | ncy Percent | Valid   | Percent    |
|                |        |             | Percent |            |
| ValiHaisalabad | 18     | 4.4         | 4.4     | 4.4        |
| Gujranwala     | 17     | 4.2         | 4.2     | 8.6        |
| Hyderabad      | 20     | 4.9         | 4.9     | 13.5       |
| Islamabad      | 56     | 13.7        | 13.7    | 27.2       |
| Karachi        | 134    | 32.8        | 32.8    | 60.0       |
| Lahore         | 98     | 24.0        | 24.0    | 84.1       |
| Multan         | 17     | 4.2         | 4.2     | 88.2       |
| Peshawar       | 18     | 4.4         | 4.4     | 92.6       |
| Rawalpindi     | 17     | 4.2         | 4.2     | 96.8       |
| Sialkot        | 13     | 3.2         | 3.2     | 100.0      |
| Total          | 408    | 100.0       | 100.0   |            |
|                |        |             |         |            |

Figure 6: Table 4:

**5** 

|                     |           |         |               | Cumulative |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|------------|
|                     | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Percent    |
| Valid Transactional | 174       | 42.6    | 42.6          | 42.6       |
| Transformational    | 234       | 57.4    | 57.4          | 100.0      |
| Total               | 408       | 100.0   | 100.0         |            |
|                     |           |         |               |            |

Figure 7: Table 5:

6

|               | commitment Descriptive Statistics |                |     |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----|
|               | Mean                              | Std. Deviation | N   |
| Transactional | 2.08                              | 1.180          | 408 |
| Leader.       |                                   |                |     |
| AC            | 11.4167                           | 2.35288        | 408 |
| NC            | 11.6422                           | 2.35736        | 408 |
| CC            | 10.8676                           | 2.42049        | 408 |

Figure 8: Table 6:

7

Transactional Leader.

AC NC CC

Figure 9: Table 7:

8

Model Summary

Figure 10: Table 8:

9

|   |                   |                    |    | ANOVA      | _              |     |             |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|----|------------|----------------|-----|-------------|
|   |                   |                    |    | a          |                |     |             |
|   | Model             | Sum<br>Squares     | of | df         | Mean<br>Square | F   | Sig.        |
| 1 | Regression        | 208.674            |    | 3          | 69.558         | 78. | 388000<br>b |
|   | Residual<br>Total | 358.492<br>567.167 |    | 404<br>407 | .887           |     |             |

a. Dependent Variable: Transactional Leader.

b. Predictors: (Constant), CC, NC, AC

Figure 11: Table 9:

10

Coefficients  ${\bf a}$ 

Figure 12: Table 10:

11

|                             | leadership |                |     |
|-----------------------------|------------|----------------|-----|
| Descriptive Statistics      |            |                |     |
|                             | Mean       | Std. Deviation | N   |
| Transformational Leadership | 2.137      | 1.1415         | 408 |
| AC                          | 11.4167    | 2.35288        | 408 |
| NC                          | 11.6422    | 2.35736        | 408 |
| CC                          | 10.8676    | 2.42049        | 408 |

Figure 13: Table 11:

**12** 

|  | Correlations        |         |         |         |         |
|--|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|  | Transformational    |         |         |         |         |
|  | Leadership          |         | AC      | NC      | CC      |
| Transformational Leadership Pearson Correlation  |                     | 1       | .618 ** | .479 ** | .878 ** |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed)     |         | .000    | .000    | .000    |
|  | N                   | 408     | 408     | 408     | 408     |
| AC   | Pearson Correlation | .618 ** | 1       | .742 ** | .589 ** |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000    |         | .000    | .000    |
|  | N                   | 408     | 408     | 408     | 408     |
| NC   | Pearson Correlation | .479 ** | .742 ** | 1       | .563 ** |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000    | .000    |         | .000    |
|  | N                   | 408     | 408     | 408     | 408     |
| CC   | Pearson Correlation | .878 ** | .589 ** | .563 ** | 1       |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .000    | .000    | .000    |         |
|  | N                   | 408     | 408     | 408     | 408     |
| and the second s |                     |         |         |         |         |

<sup>\*\*.</sup> Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Figure 14: Table 12:

**13** 

Model Summary

Figure 15: Table 13:

## C) QUALITY OF WORK-LIFE AND STYLE OF LEADERSHIP

**14** 

|   |            |                | ANOVA a |             |        |        |
|---|------------|----------------|---------|-------------|--------|--------|
|   | Model      | Sum of Squares | df      | Mean Square | F      | Sig.   |
| 1 | Regression | 127.792        | 3       | 42.597      | 82.754 | .000 b |
|   | Residual   | 402.521        | 404     | .996        |        |        |
|   | Total      | 530.314        | 407     |             |        |        |

Figure 16: Table 14:

**15** 

Coefficients a

[Note: a. Dependent Variable: Transformational Leadership b. Predictors: (Constant), CC, NC, AC]

Figure 17: Table 15:

16

|       |               | Transactiona | d Transformational |            |           |
|-------|---------------|--------------|--------------------|------------|-----------|
|       |               | Leadership   | Percei             | ntLeadersh | ipPercent |
| Valid | Satisfied     | 173          | 42.4               | 235        | 57.6      |
|       | not satisfied | 235          | 57.6               | 173        | 42.4      |
|       | Total         | 408          | 100.0              | 408        | 100.0     |

Earlier, it was evident that level of satisfaction regarding Quality of Work-Life was established. Now, moving forward under two considered styles of leadership;

transactional and transformational

leadership respectively the

Figure 18: Table 16:

**17** 

20

[Note: © 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US) 1]

Figure 19: Table 17:

in Pakistan. Interestingly, the study also evident that both transactional leadership as well transformational leadership plays visible role in developing organisational commitment of employees however there exist variation in both; QWL and organisational commitment under the considered leadership styles.

Additionally, transformational leadership is more preferred style in contrast to transactional leadership as it is more positively linked with all three attributes of organisational commitment; AC, NC, and CC respectively. Transactional leadership style has positive correlation with AC and CC whereas negative relationship with NC. Moreover, affective and normative commitment of employees is significantly affected by transactional leader whereas normative commitment is not influenced by transactional leadership style. Furthermore, continuance commitment of employees is most strongly influenced under transactional leadership style. Interestingly, continuance commitment is also strongly evident under transformational leadership style.

The elements of QWL considered in this study is also are evident to be creating a satisfaction among the workforce under transformational leaders (57.4%) in contrast to transactional leaders (42.4%). However, there has been a variation in some of the attributes in terms of percentages but to large extent employees are more satisfied with the existing QWL under transformational leadership. Thus, it is confirmed that most preferred and practiced style of leadership in private sectors is "transformational leadership".

The present study support partially the work of Hackman and Oldham (1980) regarding QWL creating the pleasant environment for employees however further findings oppose the work of Hackman and Oldham (1980) that QWL helps in promotion and career growth. Particularly, considering specific style of leadership it can be state that QWL's support for employees relies upon the style of leadership. Additionally, under transformational leadership, QWL is more effective in creating organisational commitment of employees.

This study strongly support the findings of Saraji and Dargahi (2006)

#### .1 Conclusion

 This study concludes that in Pakistan's private software houses both transformational and transactional leadership style exists however, under transformational leadership style employees organisational commitment is more evident and positively linked. Interestingly, all three dimensions of organisational commitment has been confirmed in this study to be significantly positively associated with transformational leadership whereas normative commitment is only dimension that is negatively linked with transactional leadership. Moreover, QWL is more effective for employees when working under transformational leadership style but under transactional leadership style also the considered elements of QWL are confirmed to be effective however the impact is fractionally low in contrast to transformational leadership. Lastly, under transformational leadership there is high employee job satisfaction leading to strengthen their organisational commitment in contrast to transactional leadership style where high level of dissatisfaction prevailed.

Despite various measure were taken but there are certain limitations to present study such as time constraint, research design, sample size, and research approach. Due to time constraint, each respondent could not be studied in two different intervals of time.

## .2 This page is intentionally left blank

[Sage Publication] , Ed Sage Publication .

[Cunningham and Eberle ()] 'A guide to job enrichment and redesign'. J B Cunningham , T Eberle . *Personnel* 1990. 67 (2) p. .

[Meyer ()] 'Affective, Continuance, and Normative Commitment to the Organization: An Examination of Construct Validity'. Allen N J Meyer, JP. Journal of Vocational Behaviour 1996. 49 (3) p. .

[Fang et al. (2009)] 'Applying Structural Equation Model to Study of the Relationship Model among leadership style, satisfaction, Organization commitment and Performance in hospital industry. E-Business and Information System Security'. C H Fang, S T Chang, G L Chen. EBISS'09. International Conference on, 2009. May. 2009. p. .

[Rethinam and Ismail ()] 'Constructs of quality of work life: A perspective of information and technology professionals'. G S Rethinam , M Ismail . European Journal of Social Sciences 2007. 7 (1) p. .

[Cooper and Schindler ()] R Cooper , P Schindler . Business research methods, (Boston) 1998. McGraw-Hill. (6th ed.)

[Bass and Avolio ()] 'Developing transformational leadership: 1992 and beyond'. B M Bass, B J Avolio . *Journal of European industrial training* 1990. (5) p. 14.

Easterby-Smith et al. ()] M Easterby-Smith , R Thorpe , P R Jackson . *Management and Business Research*, 2015. p. 5.

[Omolayo ()] 'Effect of leadership style on jobrelated tension and psychological sense of community in work organizations: A case study of four organizations in Lagos State'. B Omolayo . *Nigeria. Bangla. e-j. Soc* 2007. 4 (2) p. .

<sup>399</sup> [Haque and Yamoah ()] 'Gender Employment Longevity: I.T Staff Response to Organizational Support in Pakistan'. A U Haque , F Yamoah . *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences* 2014. 4 (12) p. .

#### 19 C) QUALITY OF WORK-LIFE AND STYLE OF LEADERSHIP

- [Berson et al. ()] 'going deeper into building a grounded theory approach: from verification to discovery'. Y
  Berson , B J Avolio , S Kahai . *Multi-Level Issues in Organizational Behavior and Strategy (Research in Multi-Level Issues*, Fred Dansereau, Francis J Yammarino (ed.) 2003. Emerald Group Publishing Limited. 2 p. .
- [Guillemin and Gillan ()] M Guillemin , L Gillan . Ethics, Reflexivity, and "Ethically Important Moments" in Research. Qualitative Inquiry, 2004. 10 p. .
- 407 [Charan and Biswas ()] 'How to Calculate Sample Size for Different Study Designs in Medical Research?'. J
  408 Charan , T Biswas . *Indian Journal of Psychological medicine* 2013. 35 (2) p. .
- 409 [Smeda ()] I.T sectors' contribution to Pakistan's GDP, Smeda . http://www.smeda.org/ 2014.
- 410 [IT sector should be government's top priority ()] IT sector should be govern-411 ment's top priority, http://www.pakistanpressfoundation.org/2013/06/ 412 it-sector-should-be-governments-top-priority/ 2013. Pakistan Press Foundation.
- [Mcneese-Smith ()] 'Job satisfaction, productivity, and organizational commitment: The result of leadership'. D Mcneese-Smith . *Journal of Nursing Administration* 1995. 25 (9) p. .
- 415 [Kothari ()] C R Kothari . Research methodology: methods and techniques. New Age International, 2004.
- 416 [Ogbonna and Harris ()] Leadership style, organizational culture and performance: empirical evidence from UK
  417 companies, E Ogbonna , L C Harris . 2000.
- [Nanjundeswaraswamy and Swamy ()] 'Leadership styles and quality of work life in SMEs'. T S Nanjundeswaraswamy , D R Swamy .  $Management\ Science\ Letters,\ 4\ 2015.\ p.$  .
- [Barchiesi and Bella (2007)] 'Leadership Styles of World's most Admired Companies A Holistic Approach to
   Measuring Leadership Effectiveness'. M A Barchiesi , A Bella . ICMSE 2007. International Conference on,
   2007. August. 2007. p. . (Management Science and Engineering)
- 423 [Smith ()] Leadership: Psychology, science and understanding, B D Smith . 1998. Ca: Addison-Wesley. p. .
- [Haque et al. ()] 'Leading Leadership Style to Motivate Cultural-Oriented Female Employees in the Developing Country: I.T Responses from Pakistan'. A U Haque, R Faizan, N Zehra, A Baloch, V Nadda, F Riaz. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences 2015. 5 (9) p. .
- 427 [Avolio and Bass ()] Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire: Sampler set, manual, forms, and scoring key, B J 428 Avolio , B M Bass . 2006. Menlo Park, CA: Mind Garden, Inc. (3rd ed.)
- 429 [Bartlett et al. ()] 'Organizational research: Determining appropriate sample size in survey research'. J E Bartlett
  430 , J W Kotrlik , C C Higgins . Information Technology, Learning, and Performance Journal 2001. 19 (1) p. .
- [Pakistan's IT exports exceed 2billion, saysPSEBchiefTribuneNew.Available()] 'Pakistan's IT exports exceed \$2 billion, says PSEB chief'. http://tribune.com.pk/story/995593/fiscal-year-2014-15-pakistans-it-exports-ex-ceed-2-billion-says-pseb-chief/

  Tribune New. Available 2015. Tribune National Newspaper
- [Hogg and Tanis ()] Probability and Statistical Inference, R V Hogg , E A Tanis . 1997. Prentice-Hall. (5th Edition)
- [Prabhakar ()] 'Projects and their management: A literature review'. G P Prabhakar . International Journal of Business and Management 1833-3850. 2008. (8) p. .
- [Hian and Einstein ()] 'Quality of Work Life (QWL): What can unions do'. C C Hian , W O Einstein . SAM Advanced Management Journal 1990. 55 (2) p. .
- [Rose et al. ()] 'Quality of work life: implications of career dimensions'. R C Rose , L Beh , J Uli , K Idris .

  Journal of Social Sciences 2006. 2 (2) p. 61.
- [Mackenzie and Knipe ()] 'Research dilemmas: Paradigms, methods and methodology'. N Mackenzie , S Knipe .

  \*Issues in Educational Research 2006. 16 (2) p. .
- [Sekaran and Bougie ()] 'Research methods for business: A skill building approach'. U Sekaran , R Bougie . West Sussex, (UK) 2012. John Wiley & Sons Ltd. (6th ed.)
- [Miyazaki and Taylor ()] 'Researcher Interaction Biases and Business Ethics Research: Respondent Reactions to Researcher Characteristics'. A D Miyazaki , K A Taylor . *Journal of Business Ethics* 2008. 81 p. .
- [Saunders et al. ()] M Saunders , P Lewis , A Thornhill . Research Methods for Business Students, (Harlow) 2012. Prentice Hall Financial Times. (6th ed)
- [Saraji and Dargahi ()] Study of quality of work life (QWL), G N Saraji , H Dargahi . 2006. 35 p. . (Iranian journal of public health)
- 453 [Nahavandi ()] The art and science of leadership, Ltd, A Nahavandi . 2006. Pearson Education.
- [Wang ()] 'The impact of transformational leadership style on organizational performance: the intermediary effects of leadermember exchange'. G Y X Z S Wang . Management Science 2008.

- [Voon et al. ()] 'The influence of leadership styles on employees' job satisfaction in public sector organizations in Malaysia'. M L Voon , M C Lo , K S Ngui , N B Ayob . *International Journal of Business* 2011. 2 (1) p. . (Management and Social Sciences)
- [Wang et al. (2009)] 'The Mechanism of Leadership Styles Affecting Team Innovation in the PRC'. D Wang , H Xue , J Xu . Management and Service Science, 2009. MASS'09. International Conference on, 2009. September. p. .
- 462 [Mack ()] 'The Philosophical Underpinnings of Educational Research'. L Mack . Polyglossia 2010. 19 p. .
- [Ye et al. (2011)] 'The relationships between leadership styles and organizational innovation climate: Cases of some high-tech enterprises in Hebei'. L Ye , D Junye , M Yan . Business Management and Electronic Information (BMEI), 2011 International Conference on, 2011. May. 2 p. .
- [Bartlett and Kang ()] 'Training in organizational commitment in response to industry and organizational change in New Zealand and the United States'. K R Bartlett , D S Kang . *Human Resource Development International* 2004. 7 (4) p. .
- [Podsakoff et al. ()] 'Transformational leader behaviors and their effects on followers' trust in leader, satisfaction, and organizational citizenship behaviors'. P M Podsakoff , S B Mackenzie , R H Moorman , R Fetter . The Leadership Quarterly 1990. 1 (2) p. .
- 472 [Prideaux and Beg ()] Uncovering leadership issues in Papua New Guinea, M Prideaux , R Beg . 2007.
- [Victor and Soutar ()] G Y S Victor , G N Soutar . The Role Of Ethical Behaviours In The Relations Between Leadership Styles And Job Performance, 2005.
- 475 [Hackman and Oldham ()] Work redesign, J R Hackman, G R Oldham . 1980.