

# 1 Effect of Intellectual Capital on Return on Assets of Insurance 2 Firms in Nigeria

3 Ngozi Ben Anuonye<sup>1</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup> Babcock University, Ogun State, Nigeria

5 *Received: 16 December 2015 Accepted: 2 January 2016 Published: 15 January 2016*

6

---

## 7 **Abstract**

8 Intellectual capital represents the efforts of workers towards the growth of an organization.  
9 The inability of firms to measure and quantify intellectual capital has posed fundamental  
10 problems overtime in the value measurement of firms. The purpose of this study is to evaluate  
11 the effect of IC in the value creation of insurance firms in Nigeria using their ROA. Expost  
12 facto research design was adopted in the selection of data. Primary and secondary data were  
13 employed. The target population consisted of 150 workers in the 3 strategic departments of  
14 human resources, accounts and marketing of 18 insurance companies using the purposive  
15 sampling technique. 150 questionnaires were distributed and a response rate of 74

16

---

17 **Index terms**— intellectual capital, financial performance, insurance firms, value added.

## 18 **1 Introduction**

19 The return on assets (ROA) is a measurement of the earnings attributable to each naira of the asset owned in the  
20 organization during a given period. This ratio is usually used to measure the effective use of resources in the  
21 organization.

22 The return on assets (ROA) is calculated by dividing the net income of a company by its total assets. ROA  
23 is measured as net income or profit before interest and tax divided by the total assets of the company. Profit  
24 before tax is best suited for this measurement because taxes are not controllable by management and since firms'  
25 opportunities for availing tax incentives differ, it may be more prudent to use profit before tax to measure ROA  
26 (Pandey, 2010).

27 Although there are no fixed standards or benchmarks for ROA but the higher this ratio is the better it is for  
28 the company. A higher return on assets means that the company is using its assets efficiently and effectively.  
29 An increase in the ROA is an indication of improved profitability and improved performance of a company,  
30 (Flamholtz, 1999; ??agel, Brown & Davison, 2009).

31 Return on capital employed (de Pablos, 2003 & Bontis, 2004; Pandey, 2010; ??ires, 2012) can also be referred  
32 to as Return on Assets (ROA).

## 33 **2 II.**

## 34 **3 Review of Relevant Literature a) Conceptual framework of 35 intellectual capital**

36 Intellectual capital is one of the most important resources that can positively impact on a firm's profitability and  
37 efficiency. Flamholtz (1999) reiterates that the world economy has shifted from the industrial in which plant and  
38 equipment were the core assets to the post-industrial era in which intellectual capital is the core asset. While most  
39 firms in the industrial era by definition relied on manufacturing capabilities, companies in the post-industrial era  
40 now rely almost completely on knowledge and information for survival and profit.

## 8 C) EMPIRICAL FRAMEWORK

---

41 The basis for the above argument is corroborated by de Pablos ??2003) and Bontis (2004) who argue that  
42 a company will gain a competitive advantage if intellectual capital is effectively harnessed in the organisation.  
43 The drivers of this intellectual capital advantage (Pulic, 2004) may be found in all employees' as well as the  
44 organization's ability to create value under a market assessment. In other words, intellectual capital (Nielsen,  
45 Buhk, Mouritsen, Johansen & Gormsen, 2006) is represented by the company's stock, such as skilled employees,  
46 knowledge and management philosophy. The study and the measurement of intellectual capital on the profitability  
47 of insurance firms is a key challenge to managers towards the fulfilment of their stewardship obligation to investors  
48 who rely on the financial information of such firms in evaluating the performance of the sector in Nigeria. Most  
49 importantly, such a study is expected to help the industry to formulate and implement strategies that will help  
50 develop its intellectual capital and guide them to benchmark themselves in order to improve their value creation  
51 as argued by ??oh (2005). For example, the inclusion of intellectual capital contributions in the financial reports  
52 of early organisations such as R.G. Barry Corporation and Skandia Insurance Corporation (Edvinsson & Malone,  
53 1997) helped to pioneer and increase awareness in the concept of intellectual capital reporting. Intellectual capital  
54 can be categorised into:

### 55 4 i. Human Capital (HC)

56 This is the value of all the workers in the organization with all the attendant rewards attached to their utilization  
57 (Verguwen & Alem, 2005). These capabilities are peculiar to the workers (even though the organization invests  
58 in them) because they go away with them whenever they leave the organization (Roos & Roos, 1997).

59 Human capital is the generic term for the competences, skills, trainings and motivation of the employees. The  
60 human capital of the organisation comprises of all the qualities and professional skills the worker bring into the  
61 organisation. HC is owned by the worker and leaves along with him whenever he leaves the organisation. Human  
62 capital is the totality of all remunerations and rewards paid to the worker. Human capital (Namvar, Fathian,  
63 Gholamin, & Akhavan, 2011) is at the heart of intellectual capital measurement.

### 64 5 ii. Structural Capital (SC)

65 Structural capital is the supportive infrastructure that enables human capital to function in an organisation.  
66 Structural capital is owned by an organization and remains with it even when the worker leaves the organization.  
67 Structural capital consists of trademarks, patents, formulas, management style, company reputation, image,  
68 corporate culture, networking, mission, vision. It is the difference between non-thinking and thinking resources  
69 that use very different management methods such as culture, organizational processes, technology, absorptive  
70 capacity and information systems to achieve corporate goals (Namvar, Fathian, Gholamin, & Akhavan, 2011).

71 This form of capital is of strategic importance in the corporate planning and growth of any organization.  
72 Structural capital refers to all structures deployed by the workers to drive the business processes. Structural  
73 capital is owned by the enterprise and remains with it even when the worker leaves the company. This form  
74 of capital can be evaluated on how employees, organisational units and different hierarchy levels of workers  
75 exchange information and co-operate together on organisational projects. Corporate culture, which is enhanced  
76 by structural capital, comprises of all values and norms, knowledge transfer and the working manner which is  
77 peculiar to every business organisation. It also includes compliance to rules and the ability of the workers to  
78 handle failures corporately when they eventually occur. Structural capital is calculated as the difference between  
79 value added and human capital.

### 80 6 iii. Relational Capital

81 Relational capital is the inclination that the customers have over the goods and services of an organisation.  
82 It is the preference and loyalty that customers have over a company's brand over other products and services.  
83 Relational capital is the relationship which an organisation has with external groups and persons over time. This  
84 will include trade relationships with past, present and potential customers, suppliers, partners and the public at  
85 large. To maintain a high degree of relational capital, the organisation must exhibit a high sense of salesmanship  
86 and marketability with its sales team and open access to customers (Soumet, 2007).

## 87 7 b) Theoretical framework of intellectual capital

88 Over the years, a number of theories, models

### 89 8 c) Empirical framework

90 Despite the prominence given to the efforts of the workforce in the annual financial statements of companies  
91 in Nigeria, the measurement of intellectual capital in Nigeria is very shallow. It is true that human capital is  
92 acknowledged by the directors of companies, especially in the Chairman's Statement in the Annual Reports, yet  
93 such knowledge are not measured or articulated in the companies' financial reports. This means that the value of  
94 firms in Nigeria is underreported. Yuan (2001), whilst visualizing intellectual capital as an important component  
95 that reflects organizational capacity opined that intellectual capital is the potential ability of an organization and  
96 a carrier for knowledge application and skills innovation. This view was corroborated by ??ang and Xu (2002)

97 who identified intellectual capital as a wealth-creating instrument. They argue that capabilities and all kinds of  
98 knowledge elements were actually cited from intellectual capital.

99 In a study on the impact of investment in human resource training and development on employee effectiveness  
100 in Nigerian banks, Yahaya (2006) reiterates that an often repeated statement made by directors and chairmen of  
101 corporate organisations in their annual reports is "our main asset is our workers".

102 Yet, this 'main asset' is neither measured nor included in the financial report of the enterprise.

103 Using expenditures on the employee (salaries, wages and training costs) as well as other intrinsic values, there  
104 is a strong indication that human efforts in the organisation can actually be measured. In private organisations,  
105 intellectual capital measurement may be difficult because data for its measurement might be scanty or non-  
106 existent. In such types of organisations, very few employers of labour acknowledge the value of their employees.  
107 They fail to appreciate the fact that even physical and financial capital (capital employed) can only be productive  
108 through human efforts and manipulations. Beyond the inability of firms to measure intellectual capital, the  
109 general trend has been for management to recommend the layoff of the workforce as a way of reorganizational  
110 modification during periods of low profitability. This step or action on the workforce through layoffs may be  
111 counter-productive.

112 Since the measurement of intellectual capital is the process of evaluating human efforts in an analytical form,  
113 Flamholtz (1999) argues that neither financial nor managerial accounting has responded to current changes as  
114 evidenced in post-industrial economies. He realises that the accounting paradigm and related measurement  
115 technology have not been reconceptualised to account for this economic transformation. He maintains that the  
116 continued use of measurement tools that are no longer well suited to the current era, have therefore resulted in  
117 anomalies (Flamholtz, 1999).

118 In May, 1995, Skandia Corporation, the top insurance and finance enterprise in Sweden, issued the world's first  
119 public Intellectual Capital Annual report. This marked a shift from the previous annual reports which were only  
120 compiled for reference purposes in companies' reports. The result of this report was the formation of the Skandia  
121 Intellectual Capital Navigator, which not only measured intellectual capital, but also provided a framework for  
122 classification and a standard for the measurement of intellectual capital.

123 Since organizations acquire intellectual capital to generate future revenues, it is therefore most appropriate  
124 that such human resources be considered when valuing a company by capitalizing instead of expensing such  
125 expenditures in the current period. The significance of this argument is that intellectual capital should be  
126 treated like the other assets. Since all assets are reported on the balance sheet, these also should be reported  
127 along with physical assets.

128 The Value Added Intellectual Coefficient, VAIC (Baldini, Liberatore & Ridi 2011) approach is used to  
129 determine a firm's efficiency in using intellectual capital resources. The sample analysis used by these scholars  
130 consisted of financial sector companies listed on the Italian Stock Exchange for the period 2006-2008. Their  
131 findings fully confirm the existence of a positive relationship between accounting values and market values on  
132 the one hand and Intellectual Capital (IC) components as measured by VAIC and market value on the other.  
133 Results show that investors attach more value relevance to Human Capital Efficiency (HCE) than to Structural  
134 Capital Efficiency (SCE) and that HCE plays an indirect role in the relationship between IC and market value.

135 VAIC, as measured by Ante Pulic (Pulic, 1998(Pulic, , 2000(Pulic, , 2004) ) has been criticised as an invalid  
136 measure of intellectual capital. The argument was premised on the fact that VAIC indicates the efficiency of  
137 the company's labour and capital investments and has nothing to do with intellectual capital. The criticism was  
138 on the use of the measurement variables as being overlapping and the results obtained from the calculations not  
139 supporting the hypothesis which states that VAIC correlates with a company's stock market value.

140 According to Singh (2009) human resource costs can be categorized into Capital and Revenue Expenditure. He  
141 stated that Capital expenditure would include acquisition, development, retention, update, hiring, recruitment  
142 and training costs. Whereas Revenue expenditure would include wages, salaries, bonus, commission, perquisites,  
143 allowances, short-term motivation, efficiency and maintenance costs. He concludes that the value of human  
144 resources can be calculated either on the basis of Cost of Production approach or the Capitalized Earnings  
145 Approach. He affirmed that capital expenditures are written off over the expected life of the employees, while  
146 revenue expenditures are written off or charged into the company's Profit & Loss account of the current year.  
147 Rowbottom (1998) in his thesis on intangible asset accounting and accounting policy selection in the football  
148 industry in the United Kingdom corroborates this view thus, that intellectual expenditure can be segregated  
149 into capital and revenue forms. This segregation may not be clear-cut principally because of the problem of  
150 demarcation between the various costs.

151 In the insurance industry, intellectual capital measurement has not been widely adopted. Moslehi, Mohaghar,  
152 Badie & Lucas (2006) in their investigation of the intellectual capital measurement and management in the  
153 Iranian insurance industry concluded that in spite of the importance of intellectual capital measurement, the  
154 insurance industry in Iran largely ignores them. They concluded that the IC toolbox does not disclose the value  
155 of the firm's intellectual resources. However, Iswati & Anshori (2007) found that intellectual capital, though new  
156 in Indonesia, has influence on the insurance companies' performance in the Jarkata Stock Exchange.

157 In Nigeria, studies on the measurement of intellectual capital in insurance firms are currently not available.  
158 Onafalujo, Eke & Akinlabi (2011) observe though that accounting in insurance companies, using the new IFRS  
159 recommendations is relevant to the Nigerian financial environment but argue that the application of IFRS through

## 8 C) EMPIRICAL FRAMEWORK

---

160 the use of observable and unobservable market inputs as well as the experience variance of operators may be  
161 difficult in the short run but achievable in the long run. They identified that the inability of the workforce to  
162 uphold good ethical practices in insurance firms in Nigeria do negatively affect the practice of insurance. Though  
163 such unethical practices may work in the immediate and short run to reduce the number of claims payable, such  
164 practices will no doubt undermine the confidence of current and prospective clients and this would inevitably  
165 bring about adverse effects on the reputation and performance of the industry in the medium and long run. In  
166 a study on organisational knowledge management as a strategy for Nigerian insurance companies, Epetimehin  
167 & Ekundayo (2011) observe that intellectual capital, a vital corporate asset, will melt away unless companies do  
168 something to stop the brain drain and to retain critical knowledge. They opined that the survival of insurance  
169 companies in Nigeria is dependent upon the resolve of the workforce to eliminate unethical practices which are  
170 resorted to in avoiding liability under insurance policies. This assertion was collaborated by Alaka, Tijani &  
171 Abass (2011) when they identified the impact of strategic planning on the performance of the Nigerian insurance  
172 industry.

173 Furthermore, Appuhami (2007) concludes from his empirical study of the impact of intellectual capital on  
174 Thailand's financial sector that there is a significant positive relationship between investors' capital gain on  
175 shares and corporate intellectual capital.

176 Ong, Yeoh & Teh (2011) investigate the intellectual capital efficiency in 43 food and beverage companies listed  
177 on the Malaysian Stock Exchange between 2008 and 2010. Using the VAIC (HCE, SCE, CEE), the outcome  
178 of their study revealed that the beverage companies have greater VAIC and intellectual capital efficiency (ICE)  
179 when compared to food companies over the 3 years period.

180 In another study which evaluated the role of intellectual capital in the university efficiency system at Azad  
181 Islamic University in Iran; using synthetic model of genetic algorithm and decision trees, Modaresi, Rezaei &  
182 Javid (2012) observe that the development of intellectual capital affects university efficiency significantly.

183 Yahaya (2006) using the quantitative measure published by the Institute of Intellectual Capital Research and  
184 approved by the Saratoga Institute measured the impact of investment in human training and development on  
185 employees' effectiveness in Nigerian banks between 2001 and 2005. Her study confirmed that an assessment of  
186 the human resource effectiveness of 3 commercial banks (Zenith, First and Union bank) showed that Zenith bank  
187 with the best human resource management and accounting practice performed better than First Bank and Union  
188 bank. Tongo (2010) in his article on accounting for intellectual capital sets out to highlight the embedment  
189 of intellectual capital accounting within the confines of strategic management. He recommends that just as  
190 in traditional accounting where independent auditors are assigned to verify the accuracy of financial reports;  
191 independent strategic managers who are external to the firm should be employed to cross-check and perhaps  
192 correct whatever information that is being reported by the intellectual capital accountants, that is, strategic  
193 managers of individual companies. He concludes that this process would help to authenticate or disapprove the  
194 contents of intellectual capital reports which are actually meant to facilitate the long term decisions that business  
195 stakeholders may be making. investigates the relationship between intellectual capital and value creation criteria  
196 of 59 companies listed in Tehran Stock Exchange for a period of five years. The results indicate that there  
197 are significant relationships between the independent variables of intellectual capital and dependent variables of  
198 economic value added, cash value added, market value added, and refined economic value added.

199 Rahman (2012) gives a guide on the assessment of the value added impact of intellectual capital components,  
200 which are primarily human and structural capital, on measures of productivity, profitability and market value  
201 of a firm by employing the Value Added Intellectual Coefficient (VAIC) technique. Reviewing the intellectual  
202 capital components, he suggests measures that are of importance for improving a firm's efficiency and resources  
203 in the United Kingdom.

204 In a study of the effect of intellectual capital on organizational competitive advantage in Jordanian commercial  
205 banks in the Irbid district, Bataineh & Zoabi (2011) found that there were strong significant and positive influences  
206 between human and structural capital on competitive advantage, and moderate significant and positive influences  
207 with relational capital. These studies indicate that intellectual capital measurement and its effects on strategic  
208 business management are assuming a fundamental position in the contemporary business environment globally.

209 Henry (2013), in a qualitative investigation of intellectual capital in the engineering industry (with respect  
210 to SMEs) in the UK within the context of a recession, conducts ten interviews on the companies chosen. He  
211 concludes that there is a greater need to address the practical implications and barriers to the implementation  
212 of intellectual capital management through the Innovative Potential, Collaborative Potential and Operating  
213 Efficiency sectors in the industry.

214 To understand how the measurement of intellectual capital can favour intellectual capital mobilization,  
215 (Chiucchi, 2013) examines the role of those who design and implement intellectual capital practices. Using  
216 the Kolb's experiential learning theory model, she opines that actors must complete an experiential learning  
217 cycle so as to enable them appreciate fully the contribution of intellectual capital in their organisations. The  
218 experiential learning theory model is an alternative way of understanding how intellectual capital measurement  
219 produces effects and how such effects can contribute to the mobilisation of intellectual capital in the industry.  
220 In addition, Corcoles (2013) analyses the importance of intellectual capital management as instruments to face  
221 the new challenges in European universities by providing assistance in the process of developing their ability to  
222 identify, measure and manage their intangible assets. The study concludes that a basis for the understanding

223 of how European universities measure and manage their intellectual capital can now be assessed through the  
224 definition and diffusion of the organisation's strategic objectives by identifying the critical intangibles related to  
225 these objectives and the causal network of relationship among them.

226 Demartini and Paoloni (2013) analyse the transition from measurement to management in relation to  
227 intellectual capital with particular reference to operational activities, strategies and context. The study highlights  
228 the process leading to the implementation of intellectual capital framework in the electronic and defence industries  
229 and concludes that such a framework will impact positively and create value in the organisation.

## 230 **9 d) Empirical Framework of Performance**

231 Financial performance in relation to intellectual capital connotes notable actions or achievements which accrue  
232 to an enterprise as a result of intellectual capital measurement and application.

233 In an empirical study of intellectual capital performance and its impact on the financial performance of  
234 Pakistani insurance companies, Rehman, Ilyas & Rehman (2011) found that human capital efficiency (HCE)  
235 plays a significant role in IC performance of both life and non-life insurance sectors of Pakistan. They concluded  
236 that an insurance company with a high HCE and SCE invariably will have a better financial performance.

237 Using a sample of 32 audited financial statements of quoted companies in Nigeria, Uadiale & Uwugbe (2011)  
238 examine the impact of intellectual capital components on business performance measured with Return on Equity  
239 (ROE) and Return on Assets (ROA). Their results show that intellectual capital has a positive and significant  
240 relationship with the performance of business organizations in Nigeria.

241 Salman & Mahamad (2012) review some of the available measurement tools that can be applied to evaluate  
242 the knowledge-based assets using management model and market model in the Malaysian economy. They found  
243 and agreed that the motive behind the development of intellectual capital measurement is to allow managers to  
244 evaluate their investments in intellectual capital assets as well as their contribution to the company's performance.  
245 They discovered that most organizations have only a vague understanding of how much they have invested in  
246 intellectual capital let alone what they receive from those investments.

247 Using the VAIC model, Javornik, Tekavcic & Marc (2012) studied more than 12,000 Slovenian companies  
248 between 1995 and 2008 and found a high degree of correspondence between the improvement in the rank of a  
249 company's IC investment efficiency and the improvement in rank of its financial performance in the peer group.

250 Clarke, Seng & Whiting (2010) using Pulic's VAIC examine the effect of intellectual capital on firms'  
251 performance in Australian listed companies between 2004 and 2008. The results suggest that there is a direct  
252 relationship between IC and the performance of Australian publicly listed firms, particularly with capital employed  
253 efficiency and to a lesser extent, human capital efficiency. They further found a positive relationship between  
254 human and structural capital components in the prior and current years' performance of the firms. Their findings  
255 also suggest the possibility of a moderating relationship between IC components and physical and financial capital  
256 which impact on a firm's performance.

257 Using the Sveiby's Intangible Assets Monitor, Cuganesan, Carlin & Finch (2007) examine the reporting of  
258 human capital performance in the Australian banking sector. Their findings suggest that there is diversity  
259 in human capital reporting levels; the co-existence of intellectual capital and corporate social responsibility and  
260 stakeholder concerns in reporting on human capital as well as variations in the value creation-focus of organisations  
261 when reporting their performance in managing intellectual capital.

262 Using the Balanced Score Card (BSC) strategy, ??ose & Keith (2007), examine the development of a framework  
263 for the measurement of an organisation's performance. Measuring performance in relation to a major Australian  
264 company, they studied how the newly appointed CEO of the Fosters' Brewing Group reversed a decline in  
265 performance by adopting, among other initiatives, the balanced scorecard approach to management and turned  
266 the organisation's fortunes around.

267 Using 14 banks as sample size, Zou & Huan (2011) carried out a study on the impact of intellectual capital  
268 on the performance of listed banks in China. They opined that capital employed efficiency (CEE) and structural  
269 capital efficiency (SCE) have a negative correlation with the technical efficiency (TE) using the Data Envelopment  
270 Analysis (DEA), while human capital efficiency (HCE) has a positive correlation with TE.

## 271 **10 Global Journal of Management and Business Research**

272 Volume XVI Issue I Version I Year ( )

## 273 **11 2016**

## 274 **12 C**

275 Effect of Intellectual Capital on Return on Assets of Insurance Firms in Nigeria However, they conclude that the  
276 correlations between capital employed efficiency (CEE) and TE, human capital efficiency (HCE) and TE are not  
277 statistically significant.

278 Kamath, (2007) suggests a positive relationship between intellectual capital and performance. In a study of  
279 98 Indian banks, the result of his study showed that foreign banks out-performed the local banks because they  
280 made the best use of their intellectual capital in their operations.

281 Also, El-Bannany (2008) in his investigation of the determinants of intellectual capital performance in UK  
282 banks over the period 1999-2005, asserts that the standard variables of bank profitability and bank risk is  
283 important in the determination of banks' performance. The results also show that investment in information  
284 technology (IT) systems, bank efficiency, barriers to entry and efficiency of investment in intellectual capital  
285 variables, which have not been considered in previous studies, have a significant impact on intellectual capital  
286 performance.

287 According to the result of a study conducted by Kujansivu (2006) on 20,000 Finnish companies between 2001  
288 and 2003 using VAIC, companies in the electricity, gas and water supply sector were most efficient in utilising  
289 their intellectual capital. The study provides an empirical evidence of the implementation of intellectual capital  
290 management tools for the enhancement of performance in Finnish companies. The VAIC method used in the  
291 study was based on the premise that value creation is derived from physical and intellectual capital. Rafiei,  
292 Ghaffari & Parsapur (2012) investigate the role of intellectual capital in the improvement of the performance and  
293 social and technological economy of Iranian hospitals and concluded that there are some correlations between  
294 intellectual capital components and performance. In the empirical study of Mohammad & Ismail (2009) on the  
295 efficiency of intellectual capital (human capital, structural capital and capital employed) in the performance of  
296 18 listed financial companies in Malaysia also assert that the banking sector relied more on intellectual capital  
297 followed by insurance companies and brokerage firms. The findings of the study are consistent with that of Goh  
298 (2005), who examines the intellectual capital performance of commercial banks in Malaysia for the period 2001  
299 to 2003.

300 Rehman, Rehman & Zahid (2011) examine intellectual capital and its impact on corporate performance  
301 in 12 modaraba companies in Pakistan using the VAIC components of human capital, capital employed and  
302 structural capital. The empirical result showed that one of the most important components of intellectual capital  
303 performance is Human Capital Efficiency which helps to boost financial performance of firms. However, in a  
304 study of the relationship between intellectual capital and innovation capital with financial performance and value  
305 of companies in the Tehran Stock Exchange (Besharati, Kamali, Mazhari & Mahdavi, 2012) found that there is  
306 no significant relationship between intellectual capital and corporate value. But they observed that there seemed  
307 to be a significant relationship between intellectual capital and financial performance. Concluding, they remarked  
308 that there was a significant but negative relationship between innovation capital and financial performance in  
309 the listed companies.

310 In a related study of the effect of performance on listed Jordanian insurance companies, Almajali, Alamro & El-  
311 Soub (2012) found out that leverage, liquidity, size and management competence indices have a positive statistical  
312 effect on the financial performance of those insurance companies and suggested the need to have highly qualified  
313 employees in the top managerial staff. Confirming the positive relationship between intellectual capital and  
314 firm performance, Wang (2011) in his study of Taiwanese firms in 2001, using customer capital, human resource  
315 capital and structural capital as independent variables over return on asset, market price to book value and  
316 total productivity found that the relationship between structural capital and firm performance was insignificant.  
317 But the paper concludes that the firms achieve positive performance when they emphasise on human training,  
318 customer related management and research and development. Abdulai, Kwon & Moon (2012) investigate factors  
319 instrumental to the success of software industries in India, Ireland and Israel in relation to the performance of  
320 software firms in West Africa. Focusing on the influence of top management commitment and transformational  
321 leadership on intellectual capital and its relationship with firms' performance, they proposed a second level  
322 model on the software industry. To validate this model, they conducted a field survey involving 83 software  
323 firms in the West African region. The result of their investigation showed a significant relationship between the  
324 elements of intellectual capital and competitive capabilities of firms and between competitive capabilities and  
325 firm performance. Their study showed among other factors, that the intellectual capital of these nations is said  
326 to have contributed significantly to their success in the software industry. From their study, there is therefore a  
327 general consensus that management of intellectual capital constitutes the most important source of competitive  
328 advantage for organizations.

329 In a study to explore the relationships between intellectual capital and business performance in Iraqi industries,  
330 Ahmad & Mushraf (2011) investigate whether intellectual capital has a direct effect on business performance.  
331 They affirm that intellectual capital is becoming the pre-eminent resource for creating economic wealth. Tangible  
332 assets such as property, plants, and equipment continue to be important factors in the production of goods and  
333 services though Volkov & Garanina (2007) examine the importance of Intangible Assets in knowledge-based  
334 economy. They confirm the statement that the workforce is the main asset of a company and more so in  
335 knowledge-based companies. In their study of 43 Russian companies between 2001 to 2005 using econometric  
336 models, their surveys showed that the value of companies is now mostly generated by intangible assets. Brymer,  
337 Molloy & Gilbert (2014) highlight input, output and process contingencies as a pipeline hiring mechanism adopted  
338 by firms in the engagement of human capital in the modern economy. Pipelines, according to the authors, refer to  
339 repeated inter organizational hiring system and practice which firms use to differentially acquire and accumulate  
340 intellectual capital and mitigate intellectual capital risks particularly in the knowledge-based firms.

---

341 **13 III.**

342 **14 Methodology**

343 Ex-post facto research design was adopted in the selection of data modes. Primary and secondary data were  
344 employed. A targeted sample size of 150 workers was used. The target population consisted of 150 workers in  
345 the 3 strategic departments of human resources, accounts and marketing of the 18 insurance companies using  
346 the purposive sampling technique. 150 questionnaires were distributed to respondents and a response rate of  
347 74% was recorded. Face validity, content validity and pilot tests were used to validate the instruments. The  
348 Cronbach's Alpha reliability test gave a result of (r=0.806) and (r=0.800). Regression was used for data analyses  
349 at 5% levels of significance.

350 **15 b) Research Question**

351 How can Intellectual Capital measurement contribute positively to the Return on Assets performance of insurance  
352 firms? c) Research Hypothesis H 0 : There is no significant relationship between intellectual capital and the  
353 Return on Assets (ROA) of insurance firms.

354 **16 d) Test of Hypothesis**

355 A test of hypothesis was carried out in line with the research objective for the purpose of finding the relationship  
356 between intellectual capital and return on assets. The regression coefficient was given as:  $Y = a + ? 1 x 1 + ? 2 x$   
357  $2 + ? 3 x 3 + \mu$ . Where, Y = Return on Assets a = constant ? 1 = coefficient of human capital ? 2 = coefficient  
358 of structural capital ? 3 = coefficient of relational capital  $\mu$  = error level incorporating omitted variables .513  
359 Adj. R 2 .409 F-stat.

360 **17 P value (F-stat)**

361 .015

362 Significant at ? = 0.05 Source: Researcher's regression output (2014)

363 From table 4.1, the probability values were given as:  $P(x 1 = 0.014 < 0.05)$ ;  $P(x 2 = 0.495 > 0.05)$  and  $P(x 3$   
364  $= 0.021 > 0.05$ ) respectively. The results show that structural capital had statistically insignificant impact on  
365 return on assets of the insurance companies under review whereas human capital and relational capital had  
366 statistically significant impacts on the return on assets of the companies under review. The above result is in  
367 line with a priori expectation that intellectual capital will positively affect the financial performance of insurance  
368 firms.

369 From of the result displayed above, the probabilities connected with model x 2 is higher than the specified level  
370 of significance, that is,  $P(x 2 = 0.495 > 0.05)$ . Therefore null hypothesis is accepted for model x 2 which represents  
371 structural capital while null hypothesis for models x 1 ,  $P(x 1 = 0.014 < 0.05)$  and x 3 ,  $P(x 3 = 0.021 < 0.05)$  with  
372 lower P values than the stipulated level is rejected. The combined effect of the independent variables on ROA was  
373 however significant at ( $F = .015 < 0.05$ ). This implies that Research question is answered and its objective has been  
374 achieved. The R 2 (.513) which is a measure of good-fit shows the rate of change in the Return on Assets which  
375 was accounted for by insurance firms' ability to effectively apply human, structural and relational capital in their  
376 operations. This result indicates that a change in the firms' application of intellectual capital accounted for only  
377 51.3% of the change in the return on assets by the insurance companies under review. The slope coefficients of the  
378 B values of 0.008, 0.009 and 0.010 respectively from Table 4.1 for human capital, structural capital and relational  
379 capital when substituted for the original equation models of ?, ? 1 , ? 2 and ? 3 will give the following equations:  
380  $Y = -.139 + 0.008$  (human capital) + 0.009 (structural capital) + 0.010 (relational capital) +  $\mu$ . The result of  
381 table 4.1 also show that relational capital had a statistically significant impact at  $P = 0.021 < 0.05$ . This result shows  
382 that relational capital has the capacity to increase the financial performance of firms. Soumet (2007) affirms that  
383 a firm must exhibit a high sense of salesmanship and marketability with its sales team so as to positively impact  
384 on the financial performance of a firm. This same view was also confirmed through the works of Bataineh &  
385 Zoabi (2011).

386 V.

387 **18 Effect of Intellectual Capital on Return on Assets**

388 Primary data result indicated that human capital and relational capital had statistically insignificant effects on  
389 return on assets of the firms whereas structural capital had a significant effect on ROA. The null hypothesis was  
390 therefore accepted in respect of human capital and relational capital. The same null hypothesis was rejected in  
391 respect of structural capital because the effect was statistically significant on return on assets. Aggregate effect  
392 of HC, SC and RC was significant at ( $F = .000 < .05$ ). The hypothesis was rejected on this model. This position  
393 was in line with a study carried out by Soumet (2007); Namvar, Fathian, Gholamin, & Akhavan (2011). Their  
394 conclusion was that structural capital as a management method had the capacity to achieve corporate goals or  
395 positive financial performance

396 In another study on the effect of intellectual capital on organizational competitive advantage in Jordanian  
397 commercial banks in the Irbid district of Jordan carried out by Bataineh & Zoabi (2011) they further confirmed

398 that there was a strong significant and positive influence between structural capital and the competitive advantage  
399 of the organisations.

400 The secondary data analysis had a reverse effect on the relationship between the dependent and independent  
401 variables. Whereas human capital and relational capital had statistically significant effects on the return on assets,  
402 structural capital had statistically insignificant effect on return on assets. The null hypothesis was therefore  
403 rejected in respect of human capital and relational capital, and accepted in respect of structural capital. The  
404 f-statistic was significant at ( $F=.015 < .05$ ) as a result of the combined effect of the independent variables. The  
405 hypothesis was also rejected in this respect.

406 This result showed that relational capital had the capacity to increase the financial performance of firms.  
407 Soumet (2007); Bataineh & Zoabi (2011) affirm that firms must exhibit a high sense of salesmanship and  
marketability with its sales team so as to positively impact on the financial performance of a firm. <sup>1 2 3</sup>



Figure 1: Global 2016 C

4

1 : Hypothesis

Figure 2: Table 4 .

408

---

<sup>1</sup>© 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)

<sup>2</sup>© 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US) 1

<sup>3</sup>Costing & Accounting, 4 (1),11-25.

409 Appendix Key: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Fairly Disagree, 4=Fairly Agree, 5=Agree, 6=Strongly  
410 Agree 1 Benefits arising from the assets of the firm can be associated with the ability of the workers to come up  
411 with profitable investment plans in their organisations.

412 Return on Assets (ROA) 2 Management need not border about returns as long as adequate tangible assets  
413 have been invested in the company. 3

414 Prudent financial management by managers brings about high financial performance. 4 A company's financial  
415 growth may not necessarily be reflected in the fixed asset growth. 5

416 The financial intelligence of workers in an organisation does not contribute to a high return on assets.

417 [Modara Sector Of Pakistan] , Modara Sector Of Pakistan . *Australian Journal of Business and Management*  
418 *Research* 1 (5) p. .

419 [Pandey ()] , I M Pandey . <http://www.flipkart.com/financial-management-10th/p/itmtdytktxhkmh4dc> *Financial Management* 2010. (10) . (th edition)

420 [Almajali et al. ()] 'A Comparison of financial performance in the banking sector: Some evidence from Omani  
421 commercial banks'. A Y Almajali , S A Alamro , Y Z Soub . *M. Tarawneh. International Research Journal*  
422 *of Finance and Economics* 2012. 3 p. .

423 [El-Bannany ()] 'A study of determinants of intellectual capital performance in banks: The UK case'. M El-  
424 Bannany . *Journal of Intellectual Capital* 2008. 9 (3) p. .

425 [Zou ()] 'A study of the intellectual capital's impact on listed banks' performance in China'. X Zou , HuanT .  
426 *African Journal of Business Management* 2011. 5 (12) p. .

427 [Tongo ()] 'Accounting for intellectual capital: A 21 st century responsibility for strategic Managers'. C I Tongo  
428 . *Journal of Management Systems* 2010. 22 (1) p. .

429 [Besharati et al. ()] 'An investigation of relationship between intellectual capital and innovation capital with  
430 financial performance and value of companies accepted in Tehran Stock Exchange'. E Besharati , R H Mazhari  
431 , S Mahdavi . *Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research* 2012. 2 (2) p. .

432 [Verguwen ()] 'Annual report of IC disclosures in the Netherlands, France and Germany'. P G Verguwen , Alem  
433 , FJ . *Journal of Intellectual Capital* 2005. 6 (1) p. .

434 [Bose and Thomas ()] 'Applying the balanced scorecard for better performance of intellectual capital'. S Bose ,  
435 K Thomas . *Journal of Intellectual Capital* 2007. 8 (4) p. .

436 [Baldini et al. ()] 'Brand transaction announcements and stock price volatility'. M A Baldini , G Liberator , T  
437 Ridi . *Journal of Intellectual Capital* 2011. 12 (3) . (Retrieved from www.emeraldpublishing)

438 [Yuan ()] *Corporate intellectual capital management*, Q H Yuan . 2001. Beijing. Economic and Management  
439 Publishing House.

440 [Flamholtz ()] 'Current issues, recent advancements and future directions in human resource accounting'. E G  
441 Flamholtz . *Journal of Human Resource* 1999.

442 [Namvar et al. ()] 'Exploring the role of human capital on firm's structural capital in Iranian ebusiness industry'.  
443 M Namvar , M Fathian , M R Gholamin , P Akhavan . *rd International Conference on Information and*  
444 *Financial Engineering*, (Singapore) 2011. 2011. IACSI Press. 12.

445 [Almajali et al. ()] 'Factors affecting the financial performance of Jordanian insurance companies listed at  
446 Amman Stock Exchange'. A Y Almajali , S A Alamro , Y Z Soub . *Journal Management Research* 2012.  
447 4 (2) p. .

448 [Rehman ()] 'How to explore the value added impact of intellectual capital components on the productivity,  
449 profitability and market value of a firm'. S Rehman . *The International Research Journal of Science*  
450 *Management* 2012. (7) p. 1.

451 [Brymer et al. ()] 'Human capital pipelines: competitive implications of repeated inter-organisational hiring'. R  
452 A Brymer , J C Molloy , B A Gilbert . *Journal of Management* 2014. 40 (2) p. .

453 [Singh ()] 'Human resource accounting: Challenge for accountants'. M Singh . *International Research Journal*  
454 *2009*. 2 (5) p. .

455 [Rehman et al. ()] *IC performance and its impact on corporate performance: an empirical evidence from*, W  
456 Rehman , C A Rehman , H Rehman , A Zahid . 2011. 1997. Roos , G. & Roos, J.. 30 p. . (Measuring your  
457 company's intellectual performance. Long Range Planning)

458 [Onafalupo et al. ()] *Impact of international finance reporting standards on insurance management in Nigeria*, A  
459 K Onafalupo , P O Eke , B H Akinlabi . 2011. Euro-Journals Publishing, Inc. 12 p. .

460 [Yahaya ()] 'Impact of investment in human resource training and development on employee effectiveness in  
461 Nigerian banks'. K A Yahaya . *Journal of Social & Management Studies* 2006. 12 p. .

462 [Alaka et al. ()] 'Impact of strategic planning on the performance of insurance companies in Nigeria'. N S Alaka  
463 , A A Tijani , O A Abass . *European Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences* 2011. 5 (1) .

464

465 [Demartini and Paoloni ()] 'Implementing an intellectual capital framework in practice'. P Demartini , P Paoloni  
466 . doi: 10. 1108/14691931311289020. *Journal of Intellectual Capital* 2013. 4 (1) p. .

467 [Rowbottom ()] *Intangible asset accounting and accounting policy selection in the football industry (Doctoral*  
468 *dissertation)*, N Rowbottom . 1998. U.K. University of Birmingham

469 [Volkov and Garanina ()] 'Intangible Assets: Importance in the knowledge-based economy and the role in value  
470 creation of a company'. Volkov , T Garanina . *Electronic Journal Management* 2007. 5 (4) p. .

471 [Abdulai et al. ()] 'Intellectual capital & firm performance: An empirical study of software firms in West Africa'.  
472 M S Abdulai , Y Kwon , J Moon . *The African Journal of Information Systems* 2012. 4 (1) p. .

473 [Chiucchi ()] 'Intellectual capital accounting in action: Enhancing learning through interventionist research'. M  
474 S Chiucchi . *Journal of Intellectual Capital* 2013. 14 (1) p. .

475 [Uadiale and Uwueigbe ()] 'Intellectual capital and business performance: Evidence from Nigeria'. O M Uadiale ,  
476 U Uwueigbe . *Interdisciplinary Journal of Research in Business* 2011. 1 (10) p. .

477 [Wang ()] 'Intellectual capital and firm performance'. M S Wang . *Annual Conference on innovations in Business*  
478 *& Management* 2011.

479 [Clark et al. ()] *Intellectual capital and firm performance in Australia. Working paper series no*, M Clark , D  
480 Seng , R H Whiting . 2010. Department of Accountancy and Business Law

481 [Muhammad and Ismail ()] 'Intellectual capital efficiency and firm's performance: study on Malaysian financial  
482 sectors'. N M N Muhammad , M K A Ismail . *International Journal of economics and Finance* 2009. 1 (2) p.  
483 .

484 [Ong et al. ()] 'Intellectual capital efficiency in Malaysian food& beverage industry'. T S Ong , L Y Yeoh , B H  
485 The . *International Journal of Business and Behavioural Sciences* 2011. 1 (1) p. .

486 [Corcoles ()] *Intellectual capital management and reporting in European higher education institutions. Intangible*  
487 *Capital*, R Corcoles . 2013. p. 9.

488 [Salman and Mahamad ()] 'Intellectual capital measurement tools'. R T Salman , T B Mahamad . *International*  
489 *Journal on Social Science Economics & Arts* 2012. 2 (2) p. .

490 [Rehman et al. ()] 'Intellectual capital performance and its impact on financial returns of companies: An  
491 empirical study from insurance sector of Pakistan'. W Rehman , M Ilyas , H Rehman . *African Journal*  
492 *of Business Management* 2011. 5 (20) p. .

493 [Depablos ()] 'Intellectual capital reporting in Spain: A comparative view'. P Depablos . *Journal of Intellectual*  
494 *Capital* 2003. 4 (1) p. .

495 [Soumet (2007)] *Intellectual Capital Statement*, D Soumet . 2007. June. (Technical paper on Zone Industrielle  
496 Nord, VMI 86507 MONTAIGU)

497 [Nielsen et al. ()] 'Intellectual capital statements on their way to the stock exchange'. C Nielsen , P N Bukh , J  
498 Mouritzen , M R Johansen , P Gormsen . *Journal of Intellectual Capital* 2006. 7 (2) p. .

499 [Edvinsson and Malone ()] *Intellectual Capital: realising your company's true value by finding its hidden*  
500 *brainpower*, L Edvinsson , M S Malone . 1997. New York, USA: Harper Business Inc.

501 [Moslehi et al. ()] 'Introducing a toolbox for IC measurement in the Iran insurance industry'. A Moslehi , A  
502 Mohaghar , K Badie , C Lucas . *The Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management* 2006. 4 (2) p. .

503 [Asadi ()] *Investigating the effect of intellectual capital on the value creation of c companies listed in Tehran*  
504 *Stock Exchange*, L Asadi . 2012. Science Road Publishing Corporation. 2 p. 12.

505 [Pulic et al. ()] 'Investigating the impact of intellectual capital on organizational performance: A case study in  
506 an Iranian medical science university'. A Pulic , M Rafiei , H Ghaffari , R Parsapur . *African Journal of*  
507 *Business Management* 2004. 2012. 8 (1) p. . (Intellectual capital-does it create or destroy value? Measuring  
508 Business Excellence)

509 [Asadi ()] 'Measuring intellectual capital: a new model and empirical study'. L Asadi . *Journal of Intellectual*  
510 *Capital* J. Chen, Z. Zhu & H.Y. Xie (ed.) 2012. 5 (1) p. .

511 [Pulic ()] 'Measuring the performance of intellectual potential in knowledge economy. Paper presented at the 2  
512 nd McMaster World Congress'. A Pulic . *International Journal of Technology Management* 1998. 2000. 20 (5)  
513 p. . (VAIC -an accounting tool for IC management)

514 [Bontis ()] 'National intellectual capital index: A United Nations initiative for the Arab region'. N Bontis .  
515 *Journal of Intellectual Capital* 2004. 5 (1) p. .

516 [Epetimehin and Ekundayo ()] 'Organisational knowledge management: Survival strategy for Nigeria insurance  
517 industry'. F M Epetimehin , O Ekundayo . *retrievedfromwww.centresinpub.org Journal of Manage-*  
518 *ment and Corporate Governance* 2011. 3 p. .

519 [Almajali et al. ()] 'Ownership structure & financial performance: Evidence from Panel Data of South Korea'. A  
520 Y Almajali , S A Alamro , Y Z Al-Soub . *Corporate Ownership & Control* S. Lee (ed.) 2012. 6 (2) p. .

---

521 [Bataineh and Zoabi ()] 'The effect of intellectual capital on organisational competitive advantage: Jordanian  
522 commercial banks (Irbid District): An empirical study'. M Bataineh , M Zoabi . *International Bulletin of*  
523 *Business Administration* 2011. (10) p. . (Retrieved from [www.eurojournals .co](http://www.eurojournals.co))

524 [Appuhami ()] 'The impact of intellectual capital on investors' capital gains on shares: An empirical investigation  
525 of Thai Banking'. B A R Appuhami . *Finance & Insurance Sector. International Management Review* 2007.  
526 3 (2) p. .

527 [El-Bannany ()] 'The importance of intellectual capital and its effect on performance measurement systems'. M  
528 El-Bannany . *Managerial Auditing Journal* C. Ussoff, J. Thibodeau & P. Burnaby (ed.) 2008. 17 (1&2) p. .

529 [Cuganesan et al. ()] 'The practice of human capital reporting among Australian financial institutions'. S  
530 Cuganesan , T Carlin , N Finch . *Journal of Finance and Accountancy* 2007. (5) p. 1.

531 [Ahmad and Mushraf ()] 'The relationship between intellectual capital and Business performance: An empirical  
532 study in Iraqi industries'. S Ahmad , A M Mushraf . *International Conference on Management & Artificial*  
533 *Intelligence* 2011. 2011. 6 p. .