Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

-	Jausai neiation between Stock neturn and Exchange nate.
2	Evidence from India
3	Dr. Nishi Sharma <sup>1</sup>
4	<sup>1</sup> Panjab University, Chandigarh, India
5	Received: 8 February 2015 Accepted: 2 March 2015 Published: 15 March 2015

### 7 Abstract

The dynamic linkage between exchange rate and stock returns has been subjected to 8 considerable attention from researchers worldwide. However the relationship of exchange rate 9 with returns of different industrial sectors has not been much examined. In this reference the 10 present paper investigates the causal relationship of Indian sector based daily returns with 11 Indian rupee-US Dollar Exchange Rates for a period from January, 2007 to March, 2015. The 12 study observed absence of normal distribution, unit root as well as co-integration in the data. 13 Correlation between returns and Exchange Rates was found to be negative. Granger Causality 14 test highlighted bidirectional causal relationship between the exchange rate and stock return 15 for each sector except for Pharmaceutical and Media. Pharmaceutical index reported 16 unidirectional relation running from exchange rate to the industry. In case of Media sector 17 return and exchange rates a unidirectional relation running from the former towards the latter 18 has been observed. 19

20

21 Index terms— causal relationship, co-integration, economic sectors, exchange rate and stock return.

# 22 1 Introduction

23 he study of causal linkage between stock prices and macro economic variables has always been a matter of great 24 concern to researchers. The relationship between stock returns and exchange rate has also been investigated in different economies. Initially the linkage of exchange rates with stock prices was found to be more significant 25 in context to the developed nations having great exposure to foreign currency. But since last few decades the 26 27 relationship is observed to be significant for developing and emerging economies also. Sabri (2004) also reported a strong positive correlation amid volatility in the stock market and exchange rate movements in the emerging 28 stock markets. Pan, Fok & Lui (1999) also pointed out that the causal relationship has been stronger after the 29 Asian crisis. The linkage has become more apparent and stronger primarily owing to the increased liberal and 30 deregulatory reforms in these countries. In India also issuance of American Depository Receipts and General 31 Depository Receipts facilitated the trading of foreign securities at different platforms. The liberalization reforms 32 have also bought the exposure of exchange rate fluctuations over different sectors of emerging economies. 33 34 Initially the primary focus of researchers for causality examination was limited to leading stock return. But

recently some studies analysed the linkage of exchange rate at micro level like industry specific indices. These studies highlighted a vivid spectrum of influence. Like study by Chamberlain, Howe, and Popper (1997) found that the U.S. banking stock returns are very sensitive to exchange rate movements, but Japanese banking firms are not. Griffin and Stulz's (2001) also analysed the relationship of exchange rate shocks with the industry indexes across the world. Aydemir and Demirhan (2009) investigate the causal relationship of exchange rates with different stock price indices like national 100, services, financial, industrial, and technology. In this context the present study examines the causal linkage of India's leading index Nifty as well as 11 sector specific indices

42 with the exchange rate in terms of US dollars.

# 43 **2** II.

# 44 **3** Literature Review

Considerable amount of research have been conducted to examine the causal relationship between stock returns 45 and exchange rate. Some studies rejected the possibility of any kind of significant causal relationship between the 46 two variables. Whereas some others have established either unidirectional or bidirectional relationship between 47 exchange rate and stock prices (returns). These studies may be summarised as follows: a) Absence of Linkage 48 Jorion (1990Jorion (, 1991)), Bodnar and Gentry (1993), and Bartov and Bodnar (1994) rejected the possibility 49 of any kind of significant relation between simultaneous dollar movements and stock returns for U.S. firms. 50 Similarly studying 171 Japanese multinationals, He and Ng (1998) also found that only about 25 percent of their 51 sample has significant exchange rate exposure on stock returns. The investigation of weekly return by Griffin and 52 Stulz's (2001) found that exchange rate shocks have a negligible impact on the value of industry indexes across 53 the world. Muhammad and Rasheed (2002) investigated the causal relationship between exchange rate and stock 54 market index in South Asian Countries. The results suggested absence of causal relationship between Exchange 55 Rates and Stock Prices. Desislava (2005) also found no existence of causality between exchange rates and stock 56 prices for UK and US for the period 1990-2004. An investigation of US data by Ozair (2006) established no 57 causal linkage and no Cointegration between these two financial variables. 58

# <sup>59</sup> 4 b) Unidirectional Causality

Examining the relationship between the two variables for developing countries Abdalla and Murinde (1997) found that exchange rates Granger cause stock prices in Korea, Pakistan, and India. However in case of Philippines stock prices observed to Granger cause exchange rates. Ajayi et al. (1998) investigated the causal relations for a period from 1985 to 1991. The study covered seven advanced markets and eight Asian emerging markets. The study reported unidirectional causality in all the advanced economies but no consistent causal relations in the emerging economies. The results were supported by the unique structure and characteristics of their financial markets.

Maysami and Koh (2000) also observed exchange rate as one of the determinants in the stock prices. Smyth and 67 Nandha proved no long run relationship between the two variables for Pakistan, India, Bangladesh and Sri Lanka 68 over the period 1995-2001. However, the study supported the unidirectional causality running from exchange 69 rates to stock prices for India and Sri Lanka. Agus and Carl (2004) examined the statistical relationship between 70 71 stock prices and exchange rates in four SEAN countries (Indonesia, Philippines, Singapore and Thailand). The study noted that all stock prices and exchange rates are cointegrated and the causality runs from exchange rate 72 to stock prices. The impact of exchange rate over Japanese stock prices was observed by Kurihara (2006) for the 73 74 period March 2001-September 2005.

# <sup>75</sup> 5 c) Bidirectional Causality

Bahmani-Oskooee and Sohrabian (1992) also pointed out that bidirectional Granger causality between the U.S.
stock market and the exchange rates. However they rejected the possibility of any long-term relationship between
the two. Mok (1993) asserted that the relationship between stock returns and exchange rates are bidirectional in
nature for Hong Kong. Pan, Fok & Lui (1999) found that the exchange rates Granger-cause stock prices with less
significant causal relations from stock prices to exchange rate. The study reported that the causal relationship
have been stronger after the Asian crisis. Ibrahim (2000) witnessed the bidirectional causality for Malaysia for a
period from January 1979 and June 1996.

Sevuktekin and Nargelecekenler (2007) proved bidirectional causality between the two financial variables in
Turkey, using monthly data from 1986 to 2006. Pekkaya and Bayramoglu (2008) In all countries except for
Thailand, stock returns were significantly negatively correlated with the simultaneous changes in exchange rates,
which meant for the authors that currency depreciations are usually accompanied by the falls in stock prices.

# <sup>87</sup> 6 III.

# **7** Research Methodology

Most of the above researchers have used cointegration and Granger test to examine the causal linkage. However, 89 the notion of using Granger causality to investigate the causal linkage has been criticised by some researchers like 90 91 Kennedy (2003) asserts that Granger causality just provides information about "precedence" rather than about 92 causality. Similarly Kleinbaum, et al. (1998) asserted that statistical analytical tools cannot be used to identify 93 the causality as regression or multivariate methods are not deterministic models. But still Co-integration and 94 Granger causality test form integral part of methodology adopted by researchers across the globe (Kumar 2009). In the present paper the co-integration and Granger test has been applied to check the causal linkage between 95 the two variables. The study covers a period from January 2007 to March 2015. The daily indices have been used 96 to calculate logarithm return of eleven sector specific indices namely Automobiles, Banking, Energy, Finance, 97 FMCG, IT, Media, Metal, Pharmaceutical, Public Sector Undertakings and Realty. The relationship has also 98 been examined for Nifty: the leading index of India. 99

At the outset, the normality of the distribution has been examined through Jarque Berra test. The correlation 100 of different returns has been observed with respect to exchange rate. As the selection of any statistical test to 101 examine co-integration or causality linkage depends upon the possibility of presence/ 102

#### 8 Global Journal of Management and Business Research 103

Volume XV Issue XI Version I Year ()C 104

absence of unit root in data, therefore Unit root has been tested for the sample data. The data has been found 105 to be stationary at the original level. The absence of any co-integrated equation recommended the use of vector 106 auto-regressive (VAR) model to determine the optimum lag length. Finally the Granger Causality Test has been 107 applied to examine the causal linkage between the stock returns and exchange rate. 108 IV.

109

#### Finding and Analysis 9 110

At the outset the distribution pattern has been tested through Jarque berra (JB) test. The test examines the null 111 hypothesis of normal distribution of data. Descriptive statistics of all variables i.e. return from twelve indices and 112 exchange rate has been revealed through table ??. JB statistics rejects any possibility to accept null hypothesis. 113 Thus we may conclude that the dataset does not follow normal distribution. As this is an expected result for 114 financial series, we may proceed to examine the correlation of return with exchange rate. All the returns found to 115 be negatively correlated with exchange rate (table 2). The result indicates negative relation between return and 116 117 exchange rate. But it doesn't indicate that whether decline in domestic currency stimulates economic activities 118 or increase (decrease) in stock prices causes negative impact upon currency value. Any conclusion in this context may be taken only after examining the causality results. 119

The study applies Granger causality test to investigate the causal relationship between returns and exchange 120 rate. However, Granger causality test may report positive results even without any presence of true relationship 121 between the variables (driven by a common third process) with a different lag. In such a case the results have 122 spurious influence due to unit root or cointegration between different variables. To rule out any such possibility 123 unit root test and Johansen cointegration test have been conducted. Unit root test examines the null hypothesis 124 of presence of unit root in the variable. The t-statistics rejects all the possibility to accept null hypothesis. 125 Therefore we may infer that data doesn't have unit root and is stationary at the original level. Johansen co-126 integration test rejects all the possibility of presence of any co-integration between return and exchange rate. 127 The results of Johansen test recommend the use of VAR model to determine the optimum lag length for the 128 inference of Granger-causality between stock return and exchange rate. The lag length for the test has been 129 130 decided to be three on the basis of Akaike Information Criterion. Granger causality test has been conducted to examine the direction of causal relationship between stock return and exchange rate. Table ?? shows the results 131 of Granger Causality Test. Table ?? reports bidirectional causal relationship between exchange rate and return 132 from all indices (except for pharmaceutical and media). Pharmaceutical industry revealed unidirectional relation 133 with exchange rate running from exchange rate to Pharmaceutical sector. In case of media a unidirectional 134 relationship has been found to run from media to exchange rate. But the reverse was found not to be true. 135 V. 136

#### Conclusion 10 137

The present paper sheds lights on the causal linkage between Exchange Rate and the Returns in Indian stock 138 market. Daily data has been examined for a period from January 2007 to March 2015 for Nifty, eleven industry 139 specific sector indices and exchange rate. All the series found to be not normally distributed and returns have 140 shown negative correlation with exchange rate. To avoid any possibility of spurious results due to unit root or 141 co-integration between different variables at the outset unit root test and Johansen co-integration test have been 142 conducted. The results reported absence of unit root as well as cointegration between the variables and therefore 143 we determine the optimum lag length of the bivariate VAR proceeding to test for inference of Granger-causality. 144 The results established bidirectional causal relationship between exchange rate and return from all indices 145 (except for pharmaceutical and media). The results are in consensus with the previous studies (like ??brahim 146 147 conducted for other economies. Unidirectional relationship was found for Media sector running to exchange rate 148 from the sector and also for Pharmaceutical running from exchange rate to the sector but the reverse was not 149 found to be true. Investing return, volatility and risk spillover Kumar and Maheshwaran (2013) also observed 150 significant volatility spillover and downside risk spillover from exchange rate to the pharmaceutical sector.

Though the results are subject to the limitation of limited data yet the findings have policy implications. The 151 results are expected to be of great use for policy makers as well as portfolio managers for taking asset allocation 152 decisions. The bi-directional causality between stock return and exchange rate suggests that government should 153 be cautious while making any changes in exchange rate policy as well as industrial policy. Further there is also 154 a possibility to use information of the one variable to predict about the other. 155



Figure 1:

# Figure 2:

### $\mathbf{4}$

1 : Descriptive Statistics

Figure 3: Table 4 .

# $\mathbf{2}$

Year 2015 Volume XV Issue XI Version I ( ) Global Journal of Management and Business Research

[Note: C]

Figure 4: Table 2

### $\mathbf{42}$

	Correlation with	Unit Root	
Sector	Exchange Rate	t-Statistic	Probability
Nifty	-0.2769	-42.5823	0.0000
Automobile	-0.2531	-38.8211	0.0000
Bank	-0.2733	-39.8867	0.0000
Energy	-0.2535	-42.6971	0.0000
Finance	-0.2826	-39.9795	0.0000
FMCG	-0.1928	-44.7806	0.0001
IT	-0.1304	-33.6098	0.0000
Media	-0.1890	-40.816	0.0000
Metal	-0.2444	-40.4157	0.0000
Pharmaceutical	-0.1645	-43.7213	0.0001
PSU	-0.2388	-39.8845	0.0000
Realty	-0.2296	-40.1203	0.0000
Exchange Rate	1.0000	-41.128	0.0000
Source: Author's			
Calculation			

Figure 5: Table 4 . 2 :

# **43**

30

Figure 6: Table 4 . 3 :

18.	XR does not Granger Cause IT	16.9404	7.00E-11	Rejected
19.	XR does not Granger Cause MEDIA	2.0281	0.1079	Accepted
20.	XR does not Granger Cause METAL	5.9392	0.0005	Rejected
21.	XR does not Granger Cause NIFTY	15.0773	1.00E-09	Rejected
22.	XR does not Granger Cause PHARMA	16.7910	9.00E-11	Rejected
23.	XR does not Granger Cause PSU	5.42059	0.001	Rejected
24.	XR does not Granger Cause REALTY	6.81514	0.0001	Rejected
				Year 2015
				( )

Figure 7: C

### Global Journal of 1 2 3

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ © 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US) 1 $^{2}$ © 20<br/> 15 Global Journals Inc. (US)  $^{3}$ © 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

- [Bahmani-Oskooee and Sohrabian ()], M Bahmani-Oskooee, A Sohrabian. 1992. 158
- [Kennedy ()] A Guide to Econometrics, P Kennedy . 2003. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press. 159
- [Kleinbaum et al. ()] Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, D G Kleinbaum, L L Kupper 160 , K E Muller, A Nizam. 1998. Pacific Grove: Duxbury Press. 161
- [Smyth and Nandha ()] 'Bivariate causality between exchange rates and stock prices in South Asia'. R Smyth , 162 M Nandha . Applied Economics Letters 2003. 10 p. . 163
- [Ozair ()] Causality between Stock prices and Exchange Rates: A Case of The United States, A Ozair . 2006. 164
- [Mok ()] 'Causality of interest rate, exchange rate and stock prices at stock market open and close in Hong Kong'. 165 H M K Mok . Asia Pacific Journal of Management 1993. 10 p. . 166
- [Pekkaya and Bayramoglu ()] 'Causality Test between Exchange Rate and Stock Prices: An Analysis on 167 USD/YTL, ISE 100 and S&P 500'. M Pekkaya, M F Bayramoglu . J. MUFAD 2008. 38 p. . 168
- [Ibrahim ()] 'Cointegration and Granger causality tests of stock price and exchange rate interactions in Malaysia'. 169 M H Ibrahim . ASEAN Economic Bulletin 2000. 17 (1) p. . 170
- [Sevuktekin and Nargelecekenler ()] Determining of the Dynamic Relationship between IMKB and Exchange 171 Rates in Turkey. 8th Econometrics and Statistics Congress of Turkey. 24-25 May, M Sevuktekin, M 172 Nargelecekenler . 2007. Malatya, Turkey. Inonu University 173
- [Pan ()] Dynamic Linkages Between Exchange Rates and Stock Prices: Evidence from Pacific Rim Countries, 174 Fok Pan, Lui. 1999. Shippensburg University Working Papers 175
- [Abdalla and Murinde ()] 'Exchange Rate and Stock Price Interactions in Emerging Financial Markets: Evidence 176 on India'. I S A Abdalla, V Murinde . Applied Financial Economics 1997. 7 p. . 177
- [Bodnar and Gentry ()] 'Exchange Rate Exposure and Industry Characteristics: Evidence from Canada, Japan, 178
- and the USA'. G M Bodnar, W M Gentry. Journal of International Money and Finance 1993. 12 p. . 179
- [Bartov and Bodnar ()] 'Firm Valuation, Earnings Expectations, and the Exchange Rate Exposure Effect'. E 180 Bartov, G M Bodnar. Journal of Finance 1994. 49 p. . 181
- [Bekaert and Harvey ()] 'Foreign Speculators and the Emerging Equity Markets'. G Bekaert, C R Harvey. 182 Journal of Finance 2000. 55 p. 183
- [Kumar ()] Investigating causal relationship between stock return with respect to exchange rate and FII: evidence 184 from India, S Kumar . https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/15793/ 2009. 185
- [Ajayi et al. ()] 'On the Relationship between Stock Returns and Exchange Rates: Tests of Granger Causality'. 186 R A Ajayi, J Friedman, S M Mehdian. Global Finance Journal 1998. 9 p. . 187
- [Kumar and Maheswaran ()] 'Return, Volatility and Risk Spillover from Oil Prices and the US Dollar Exchange 188 Rate to the Indian Industrial Sectors'. D Kumar, S Maheswaran . http://mar.sagepub.com/content/ 189 7/1/61.full.pdf+html Margin-The Journal of Applied Economic Research 2013. 7 (1) p. . 190
- [Agus and Carl ()] 'Stock price and exchange rate causality: the case of four ASEAN'. D Agus, B Carl. Countries 191 Applied Financial Economics 2004. 6 p. . 192
- [Umoru and Asekome ()] 'Stock Prices and Exchange Rate Variability in Nigeria Econometric Analysis of the 193 Evidence'. D Umoru, M O Asekome. http://eujournal.org/index.php/esj/article/viewFile/ 194 1771/1760 European Scientific Journal 2013. 9. 195
- [Rjoub ()] 'Stock prices and exchange rates dynamics: Evidence from emerging markets'. H Rjoub . http: 196 //academicjournals.org/article/article1380639906\_Rjoub.pdf African Journal of Business 197 Management 2012. 6 (13) p. . 198
- [Muhammad and Rasheed ()] 'Stock Prices and Exchange Rates: Are they Related? Evidence from South Asian 199 Countries'. N Muhammad, A Rasheed. The Pakistan Development Review 2002. 41 (4) p. . 200
- [Stock Prices and the Effective Exchange Rate of the Dollar Applied Economics] 'Stock Prices and the Effective 201 Exchange Rate of the Dollar'. Applied Economics 24 p. . 202
- [Sabri ()] 'Stock Return Volatility and Market Crisis in Emerging Economies'. N Sabri . Review of Accounting 203 and Finance 2004. 3 p. . 204
- 205 [Doong et al. ()] 'The Dynamic Relationship and Pricing of Stocks and Exchange Rates: Empirical Evidence 206 from Asian Emerging Markets'. S C Doong, Y Sheng-Yung, A T Wang. Journal of American Academy of 207 Business, Cambridge 2005. 7 (1) p. .
- [Jorion ()] 'The Exchange Rate Exposure of'. P Jorion . Journal of Business 1990. 63 p. . 208
- [Chamberlain et al. ()] 'The Exchange Rate Exposure of U.S. and Japanese Banking Institutions'. S Chamberlain 209 , J S Howe, H Popper. Journal of Banking and Finance 1997. 21 p. . 210
- [He and Ng ()] 'The Foreign Exchange Exposure of Japanese Multinational Corporations'. J He, L K Ng. The 211 212
  - Journal of Finance 1998. 53 p. .

- [Jorion ()] 'The Pricing of Exchange Rate Risk in the Stock Market'. P Jorion . Journal of Financial and
   Quantitative Analysis 1991. 26 p. .
- [Dimitrova ()] 'The Relation between Exchange Rates and Stock Prices: Studied in a Multivariate Model'. D
   Dimitrova . Issues in Political Economy 2005. 14 p. .
- [Kurihara ()] 'The Relationship between Exchange Rate and Stock Prices during the Quantitative Easing Policy
   in Japan'. Y Kurihara . International Journal of Business 2006. 11 (4) p. .
- 219 [Aydemir and Demirhan ()] 'The Relationship between Stock Prices and Exchange Rates Evidence From Turkey'.
- 220 O Aydemir, E Demirhan. International Research Journal of Finance and Economics 2009. 23 p. .