

Analyzing Organizational Structure Based on 7s Model of McKinsey

Mohammad Mehdi Ravanfar¹ and Mohammad Mehdi Ravanfar²

¹ Master Student , strategic management University of hormozgan

Received: 9 April 2015 Accepted: 4 May 2015 Published: 15 May 2015

Abstract

The aim of this descriptive-survey research was to investigate and analyze the organizational structure of Qeshm free zone based on 7 S of McKinsey. The research population included managers and experts of Qeshm free zone. Simple random sampling was used to select research population, and the number of population was decided according to Cochran formula, which was 84. The research was conducted in the form of questionnaires. The reliability and validity of the questions were tested by alpha Cronbach (0.848) and the use of experienced professors and experts respectively. To analyze research data Kolmogorov-Smirnov, T-test and Freedman test were used. The results of the research indicated that organizational structure based on 7-S McKinsey in Qeshm free zone is unfavorable, with common value, clerks and structure having the worst conditions. According to the research findings, it is recommended that managers of Qeshm free zone pay specific attention to internal environment of organization and ways to improve it.

Index terms— organizational structure, Qeshm, style, shared value, skills.

1 Introduction

Organizational structure is the way responsibility and power are allocated, and work procedures are carried out among organizational members (Blau, 1970; Dewar and Werbel, 1979; Germain, 1996; Gerwin and Kolodny, 1992; Ruekert et al., 1985; Walton, 1985). The literature suggests that the nature of organizational structure in industrial versus postindustrial firms could be distinguished as mechanistic (inorganic) versus organic (Daft, 1995; Lawrence and Lorsch, 1967; Nemetz and Fry, 1988; Parthasarthy and Sethi, 1992; Zammuto and O'Connor, 1992). Significant changes are occurring in organizations in response to changes in the society at large. The mechanistic paradigm is effective when environments have a high degree of certainty, technologies tend to be routine, organizations are designed for large-scale, and employees are treated as another resource. Internal structures tend to be vertical, functional, and bureaucratic. The organization uses rational analysis and is guided by parochial values reflected in the vertical hierarchy and superior-subordinate power distinctions. The organic paradigm recognizes the unstable, even chaotic nature of the external environment (i.e. post-industrial). Technologies are typically non-routine, and size is less important.

2 Author: Master Student, Strategic Management University of

Hormozgan. e-mail: Mohammad.ravanfar@gmail.com Organizations are based more on teamwork, face-to-face interactions, learning, and innovation. Qualities traditionally considered egalitarian such as equality, empowerment, horizontal relationships, and consensus building become more important (Daft, 1995; Burns and Stalker, 1961).

6 STEP 3. DECIDE WHERE AND WHAT CHANGES SHOULD BE MADE

Organizational structure is partly affected by the firm's external environment (Bourgeois et al., 1978; Duncan, 1972; Hrebiniak and Snow, 1980; Lawrence and Lorsch, 1967). Research suggests that firms organized to deal with reliable and stable markets may not be as effective in a complex, rapidly changing environment (Gordon and Narayanan, 1984; Spekman and Stern, 1979). The more certain the environment, the more likely the firm's organizational structure and procedures (Lawrence and Lorsch, 1967). Organizations that operate with a high degree of environmental uncertainty may decentralize decision-making (Ruekert et al., 1985), rely less on formal rules and policies (Jaworski, 1988), and flatten their hierarchies (Walton, 1985). ? To help implement new strategy.

? To identify how each area may change in the future.

? To facilitate the merger of organizations.

3 a) 7s factors

In McKinsey model, the seven areas of organization are divided into the 'soft' and 'hard' areas. Strategy, structure and systems are hard elements that are much easier to identify and manage when compared to soft elements. On the other hand, soft areas, although harder to manage, are the foundation of the organization and are more likely to create the sustained competitive advantage. analyzed alone. So the key in 7s model is not to look at your company to find the great strategy, structure, systems and etc. but to look if its aligned with other elements. For example, short-term strategy is usually a poor choice for a company but if its aligned with other 6 elements, then it may provide strong results.

4 Hard

? Structure represents the way business divisions and units are organized and includes the information of who is accountable to whom. In other words, structure is the organizational chart of the firm. It is also one of the most visible and easy to change elements of the framework.

? Systems are the processes and procedures of the company, which reveal business' daily activities and how decisions are made. Systems are the area of the firm that determines how business is done and it should be the main focus for managers during organizational change.

? Skills are the abilities that firm's employees perform very well. They also include capabilities and competences. During organizational change, the question often arises of what skills the company will really need to reinforce its new strategy or new structure.

? Staff element is concerned with what type and how many employees an organization will need and how they will be recruited, trained, motivated and rewarded.

? Style represents the way the company is managed by top-level managers, how they interact, what actions do they take and their symbolic value. In other words, it is the management style of company's leaders.

? Shared Values are at the core of McKinsey 7s model. They are the norms and standards that guide employee behavior and company actions and thus, are the foundation of every organization.

As we pointed out earlier, the McKinsey 7s framework is often used when organizational design and effectiveness are at question. It is easy to understand the model but much harder to apply it for your organization due to a common misunderstanding of what should a well-aligned elements be like. There is a useful paper from excellencegateway.org.uk, which provides examples showing how effective and ineffective elements look like. Yet, separate elements that are effective on their own do not necessarily lead to optimal organizational alignment.

We provide the following steps that should help you to apply this tool:

Step 1. Identify the areas that are not effectively aligned

During the first step, your aim is to look at the 7S elements and identify if they are effectively aligned with each other. Normally, you should already be aware of how 7 elements are aligned in your company, but if you are not, you can use the checklist from WhittBlog to do that. After you have answered the questions outlined there you should look for the gaps, inconsistencies and weaknesses between the relationships of the elements. For example, you designed the strategy that relies on quick product introduction but the matrix structure with conflicting relationships hinders that so there is a conflict that requires the change in strategy or structure.

5 Step 2. Determine the optimal organization design

With the help from top management, your second step is to find out what effective organizational design you want to achieve. By knowing the desired alignment you can set your goals and make the action plans much easier. This step is not as straightforward as identifying how seven areas are currently aligned in your organization for a few reasons. First, you need to find the best optimal alignment, which is not known to you at the moment, so it requires more than answering the questions or collecting data. Second, there are no templates or predetermined organizational designs that you could use and you will have to do a lot of research or benchmarking to find out how other similar organizations coped with organizational change or what organizational designs they are using.

6 Step 3. Decide where and what changes should be made

This is basically your action plan, which will detail the areas you want to realign and how would you like to do that. If you find that your firm's structure and management style are not aligned with company's values, you

should decide how to reorganize the reporting relationships and which top managers should the company let go or how to influence them to change their management style so the company could work more effectively.

7 Step 4. Make the necessary changes

The implementation is the most important stage in any process, change or analysis and only the wellimplemented changes have positive effects. Therefore, you should find the people in your company or hire consultants that are the best suited to implement the changes.

8 Step 5. Continuously review the 7s

The seven elements: strategy, structure, systems, skills, staff, style and values are dynamic and change constantly. A change in one element always has effects on the other elements and requires implementing new organizational design. Thus, continuous review of each area is very important. According to the table, skill factor represents the smallest gap (58.20 percentage); whereas, the largest gap belongs to the element of shared value (68 percentage).

9 Results of Research

IV.

10 Conclusion and Discussion

The results of that Qeshm free zone organizational structure based on 7-S McKinsey is unfavorable, with the elements of common value, clerks and structure having the worst conditions. According to the research findings, it is recommended that managers of Qeshm free zone pay more attention to internal environment of organization and ways to improve it.

V.

11 Recommendations of Research

Based on theoretical principles, stable and secure environments are more compatible with the machines; whereas, in insecure environments, organic structures can respond better to the needs of the environment. Moreover, the more complex an organization is vertically and horizontally, the more communication is needed. Formalizations undermine innovation and reduce communication. As organizations become more focused, decision making processes to respond appropriately to the environment take longer and organizational performance is flawed. Furthermore, the number of hierarchy levels should be reduced, and specific, repetitive decisions should be made by operational staff.

Based on the theoretical foundations, harmony and balance between strategy and organizational structure is essential. To implement the strategy successfully, specific structural features are required. For example in implementing prospective strategies, structural features such as low formalization and complexity as well as flexibility are needed. As the organization grows and develops, it may consider different strategies. So, for better implementation of these strategies all available resources should be used, one of which is the structural characteristics.

According to the Chandler theory, it is desirable to determine the appropriate strategy with regard to the environment, and later the organizational structure which is compatible with that strategy. Since Qeshm Free Zone Organization is highly formalized, some strategies like assigning and reducing the activities (outsourcing) can be applied to help the organizational structure become organic and flexible. In addition, the aims should be adjusted to the current situation. Each program in the organization should be performed in order to achieve the desired goal and departments should also adjust their plans with the strategies and goals of the organization. Opportunity to express opinions about the goals should be provided for all staff. The views other national free zone organizations should be considered in determining the goals of the organization.

Achieving an agile organizational structure is subject to mechanizing the organizational processes and elimination of time-consuming and repetitive tasks by the system. In addition, the software systems will help identifying weaknesses which results in the improvement of working processes. With the integrated systems, the communication between units would be more defined and arbitrary decisions are prevented. In addition, the resources and consumption rates of the organization can be well planed. Since problems in coordination, communication and control are due to high complexities, it is also recommended that the organization officials continuously record data through information technology to be able to control and supervise the organizational activities more precisely.

Given the role of organizational culture in the acceptance or rejection of any changes and new developments in the organization, it is recommended that before implementing any changes in the organizational structure, necessary cultural backgrounds with the use of methods such as training and recruitment of qualified human resources, setting laws and regulations be provided. These help increasing risk taking, fair distribution of power and to maintain and reinforce the collectivism in the organization. It is suggested that, the statement of organizational values and its behavioral examples be revised and informed to all interested parties.

Leadership is the basic process in any organization to which the success or failure of an organization is related; therefore, during the success or failure of an organization usually the leadership is considered. Appropriateness of the organizational structure with the type of leadership could enhance the performance of organizations. Therefore the researcher recommends to align the organizational structure with the leadership style. In case the mechanical structure is to be considered in the organization, an appropriate leadership style should be applied door system for better communication between employees and managers, and providing feedback systems to implement employees' ideas would be very effective.

Achieving organizational goals depends on the ability of the employees to perform their duties and adapt themselves to the changing environment. Educating and improving human resources would enable them to continue performing their tasks effectively and increase their efficiency. Therefore, to increase the knowledge and skills of managers and employees, it is recommended to sign contracts with the scientific and academic centers, and subscribe to the relevant professional journals to help make the information available to them.



Figure 1: ”



Figure 2:

¹© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US) 1

²© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)




Figure 3:



Figure 4:

1

Based on Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, distribution of data is normal. In order to test research hypothesis, T-test and Freedman test were used.
H1: The condition of organizational structure of Qeshm free zone is unfavorable based on 7s model of McKinsey.
Organizational Structure
7-S McKinsey
Based on the table, t is -33.146 and P-value is less than Therefore, that condition of organizational structure of Qeshm free zone is unfavorable based on 7s model of McKinsey.
H2:

on Kolmogorov-Smirnov test,

P-value	Mean	SD	t
0.000	1.94	0.2908	-33.146

Figure 5: Table 1 :

2

Factor	P-value	MeanSD	t
Structure	0.000	1.91	0.4514 22.116

Based on the table, t is -22.116 and P-value is less than 0.05. Therefore, the condition of structure of Qeshm free zone is unfavorable based on 7s model of McKinsey.

H3:

Figure 6: Table 2 :

3

Factor	P-value	MeanSD	t
Strategy	0.000	2.07	0.5209 16.232

Based on the table, t is -16.232 and P-value is less than 0.05. Thus, the condition of strategy of Qeshm free zone is unfavorable based on 7s model of McKinsey.

H4: The condition of system factor of Qeshm free zone is unfavorable based on 7s model of McKinsey.

Figure 7: Table 3 :

4

Factor	P-value	MeanSD	t
System	0.000	2.08	0.6031 13.899

Based on the table, t is -23.564 and P-value is less than 0.05. Therefore, the condition of system of Qeshm free zone is unfavorable based on 7s model of McKinsey.

Figure 8: Table 4 :

5

Factor	P-value	Mean	SD	t
Shared	0.000	1.60	0.4591	27.919

Value

Based on the table, t is -27.919 and P-value is less than 0.05. So, the condition of shared value of Qeshm free zone is unfavorable based on 7s model of McKinsey.

H6:

Figure 9: Table 5 :

6

Factor	P-value	Mean	SD	t
Staff	0.000	1.86	0.4398	-23.564

Figure 10: Table 6 :

7

Factor	P-value	Mean	SD	t
Styles	0.000	1.92	0.5705	17.243

Based on the table, t is -17.243 and P-value is less than 0.05, so it shows that the condition of style of Qeshm free zone is unfavorable based on 7s model of McKinsey.

H8: Table 8 : T-test of style

Factor	P-value	Mean	SD	t
Skills	0.000	2.09	0.5207	15.757

Based on the table, t is -15.757 and P-value is less than 0.05. Therefore, the condition of style of Qeshm free zone is unfavorable based on 7s model of McKinsey.

The following table ranks the 7 elements of McKinsey model for Qeshm free zone, based on Freedman test.

[Note: A]

Figure 11: Table 7 :

9

ranking of 7 elements of McKinsey model for Qeshm free zone		
7-S of McKinsey	Mean	Priorities
Systems	4.79	First
Skills	4.76	Second
Strategies	4.54	Third
Styles	4.01	Fourth
Structures	3.96	Fifth
Clerks	3.73	Sixth
Shared value	2.20	Seventh

Figure 12: Table 9 :

10

Row	Type	Point	Distance gap
1	Hard s	5	3.09
2		5	2.93
3		5	2.92
4		5	3.40
5 6	Soft s	5 5	3.13 3.08
7		5	2.91

Figure 13: Table 10 :

-
- [Lawrence and Lorsch ()] , P R Lawrence , J W Lorsch . *Organization and Environment* 1967.
- [Francis Gyepi-Garbrah and Binfor ()] ‘An Analysis of Internal Environment of a Commercial-oriented Research Organization: Using Mckinsey 7S Framework in a Ghanaian Context’. Theophilus Francis Gyepi-Garbrah , Frederick Binfor . *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences* 2222-6990. 2013. 3 (9) .
- [Zamani ()] ‘Assessing the readiness of Iranian insurance companies for successful implementation of BPM based on McKinsey 7S Model’. Zamani . <http://www.-sciroad.com/tss.html> *Science Road Publishing Corporation Trends in Social Science* 2251-967XTSS. 2014. 10 (1) p. . (Journal)
- [Blau ()] ‘Decentralization in bureaucracies’. P M Blau . *Power in Organisations*, M N Zald (ed.) (Nashville, TN) 1970. Vanderbilt University Press. p. .
- [Spekman and Stern ()] ‘Environmental uncertainty and buying group structure’. R E Spekman , L W Stern . *Journal of Marketing* 1979. 43 p. .
- [Nemetz and Fry ()] ‘Flexible manufacturing organizations: implication for strategy formulation and organization design’. P L Nemetz , L W Fry . *Academy of Management Review* 1988. 13 (4) p. .
- [Walton (ed.) ()] *From control to commitment: transforming work force management in the United States*, R E Walton . Clark, K., Hayes, R., Lorenz, C. (ed.) 1985. Boston: Harvard Business School Press. p. . (The Uneasy Alliance: Managing the Productivity-Technology Dilemma)
- [Zammuto and O’connor ()] ‘Gaining advanced manufacturing technologies’ benefits: the roles of organizational design and culture’. R F Zammuto , E J O’connor . *Academy of Management Review* 1992. 17 (4) p. .
- [Hrebiniak and Snow ()] ‘Industry differences in environmental uncertainty and organizational characteristics related to uncertainty’. L G Hrebiniak , C C Snow . *Academy of Management Journal* 1980. 23 p. .
- [Gordon and Narayanan ()] ‘Management accounting systems, perceived environmental uncertainty, and organizational structure: an empirical investigation’. L Gordon , V K Narayanan . *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 1984. 9 p. .
- [Gerwin and Kolodny ()] *Management of Advanced Manufacturing Technology: Strategy, Organization, and Innovation*, D Gerwin , H Kolodny . 1992. New York, NY: Wiley/Interscience.
- [Naipinit and Kojchavivong ()] ‘McKinsey 7S Model for Supply Chain Management of Local SMEs Construction Business in Upper Northeast Region of Thailand’. Thanaphan Naipinit , Somkier Kojchavivong . *Vorawit Kowittayakorn1 & Thongphon Promsaka NaSakolnakorn*, 2014. 10.
- [Daft ()] *Organization Theory and Design*, R L Daft . 1995. St. Paul, MN: West Publishing Company. (5th ed)
- [Waterman ()] ‘Structur is not Organization’. Piters R Waterman . *Business Horizons* 1980. 22 (3) p. .
- [Bourgeois et al. ()] ‘The effects of different organizational environments upon decisions about organization structure’. L J Bourgeois , D W Mcallister , T R Mitchell . *Academy of Management Journal* 1978. 21 p. .
- [Parthasarthy and Sethi ()] ‘The impact of flexible automation on business strategy and organizational structure’. R Parthasarthy , S P Sethi . *Academy of Management Review* 1992. 17 (1) p. .
- [Burns and Stalker ()] *The Management of Innovation*, T Burns , G M Stalker . 1961. Tavistock, London.
- [Ruekert et al. ()] ‘The organization of marketing activities: a contingency theory of structure and performance’. R W Ruekert , O C Walker Jr , K J Roering . *Journal of Marketing* 1985. 49 p. .
- [Duncan and Germain ()] ‘The role of context and structure in radical and incremental logistics innovation adoption’. R B Duncan , R Germain . *Administrative Science Quarterly* 1972. 1996. 17 p. . (Journal of Business Research)
- [Jaworski ()] ‘Toward a theory of marketing control: environmental context, control types, and consequences’. B J Jaworski . *Journal of Marketing* 1988. 52 p. .
- [Paul et al. ()] *Understanding and Leading Porous Network Organizations An Analysis Based on the 7S Model*, T Paul , Linton Bartone , I I Wells . 2009. Center for Technology and National Security Policy National Defense University
- [Dewar and Werbel ()] ‘Universalistic and contingency predictions of employee satisfaction and conflict’. R Dewar , J Werbel . *Administrative Science Quarterly* 1979. 24 p. .