

Impact of Women Behavior on Financial Decision Making

Muhammad Ibrahim¹, Sadique Hussain² and Waqas Balouch³

¹ Bahauddin Zakariya University Bahadur Campus Layyah, Pakistan

Received: 10 February 2015 Accepted: 3 March 2015 Published: 15 March 2015

5

Abstract

The intention of the research is to further investigate the impact of selfconfidence, traits and risk level regarding the impact of women behavior on financial decision making as examined a research gap in literature reviewed that women behavior has not been discussed for financial decision making.Design/methodology/approach the target population for this research is the working women of the different private and the public organization of following district of southern Punjab (layyah, D. G. khan, Rajan Pur, Muzaffar Garh). The questionnaires in 120 quantities were distributed to get the response. In order to revile the research results the correlation and regression analysis were made by using SPSS software.Research findings the research results showed that there is positive significant relation among all the research variables.

17

Index terms— risk level, self-confidence, traits, decision strategies.

1 I. Introduction

we make every types of decision with the help of our sense, knowledge and experience; we select the several alternative situations. Men and women have huge difference between themselves (Orasanu and Connolly, 1993). (Narayan and Corcoran-Perry, 1997) stated that the decision making process is considered as the interaction between a problem that needs to be solved a in the specific environment. For any individual's life financial decisions are very important for the purpose of living. According to the (Mohan and Chen, 2004; Levi and Zhang, 2008; Huang and Kisgen, 2013) that in view of the past, men as well as women make these decisions but the women decision making strategy is vary from the men decision strategy and it also strongly impact on the investment and financial decision. By seeing the corporate level we find out that the executives female are different from the male executives. (Powell and Ansic, 1997; ??orghans and Golsteyn et al. 2009) argue that naturally, the groups of the girls or women are relatively low risk taker as compared to men.

In the current area of global environment, we have to face the different problems and we try to find out their solution or decision to tackle it. The decision making is process of choosing from two or more alternatives. Decision making is a complex task as individual, group or team. It matters whether we are consumer or manufacturer and investor or producer even in every filed or professions, we need to make decision for survival of human being. As investor we make decision, where to invest? And how to invest? When to invest? And which gives us more return in shape of profit. These types of decision, making abilities may differ from gender to gender. For example female or male use different decision making strategies or have different traits, different level of risk taking and different confidence level. The research indicates female are less risk seeking then a male (Melanie & David, 1997).

The gender differences exist when decision making is financial and the personal decision making ??Johson & Powell, 1994). These studies provide the interesting facts such as women are more conscious, less ability to take decision on spot, less aggressive and can be easily to influence and not having the good leadership and intellectual abilities as compared to men. But the other studies indicate that female and male are equal to accomplished the task under the same level of uncertainty ??Hudgens & Fatkin, 1985 ?? Johson & Powell, 1994) and equal capability to handle the complex information and same response to given situation, (Stinerock et al, 1991, Hyde, 1990).

3 III. LITERATURE REVIEW A) RISK LEVEL

46 One study indicated that female are more risk averse than male (Hydgen and Fatin, 1985). Women have
47 little confidence when make critical decision regarding financial matter and seek more advice from others ??Estes
48 & Hosseini, 1988; ??tinne rock et al, 1991 ?? Zin-khen & Karade, 1991; ??aster, 1989).

49 In this paper we will explore about how women are different from men, when make critical decision regarding
50 financial matter. They are different on the basis of personality traits, preference for risk level and advice
51 from other, self-efficacy or confidence on their personal abilities and choice of decision making strategies as
52 compared to men. The psychologist indicated women differ from man on the contextual factor rather than
53 choose conservative investment strategies and low risk portfolio (N. Jiankoplos & A. Tversky, 1998).

54 Our research paper have different section first section is about current and past view of the different researcher
55 and second sections focus on methodology and theoretical framework, third section analysis and finding, last
56 section focus on conclusion and references.

57 The question which is the most important in the investment behavior of gender difference may also be
58 considered his background ??Jianakoplos and Bernasek, 1996 ?? Barsky et.al., 1997, but also against it
59 ??Schubert et.al., 1999) also an argue related by that is provided by the (Barber and Odean 2001) that women
60 on the average, are less confident than men.

61 Whenever we determine the differences of male and female then find out number of similarities as investors.
62 When the difference come up they emerge to be shaped social and demographic factors like education, employment
63 status and the condition of monetary. Barua, Davidson, Rama, Thiruvadi (2010), Peni & Vahamaa (2010) find
64 that Women are less confident in taking part in a best-interest decision making but when they make the decision
65 about the financial matter then they lose their confident and seek advice from the other and take the information
66 and also afraid they not be wrong.

67 The main purpose of this paper is to discover the role of female in financial decision making and also to measure
68 the way in which women show a common traits of risk-seeking less behave than men in the financial decision. We
69 provide evidence that the in practices of the financial reporting are differentiate in context of decision making
70 between men and women. Further we investigate that when the level of the risk are increase or decrease that
71 highly impact on the women decision strategies. Now a day, we can determine that there is differentiate gap
72 between the female and male regarding about the financial decision making and the capabilities of investment.
73 According to this research the risk aversion says that the female behave different from the males in the risk
74 handling and the risk taking investment. The orientations of the male and female are different outlooks.

75 2 II. Research Objectives

76 The main objectives of this research are to find out the impact of women behavior on the financial decision
77 making with specific intention of following aims. 1. To know the impact of Risk on the financial decision making.
78 2. To identify the impact of confidence level on financial decision making. 3. To find out how decision strategies
79 are impacting on the financial decision among the women .
80 4. To develop the theoretical framework from the literature reviewed.

81 3 III. Literature Review a) Risk level

82 A belief of stereotype that less risk-averse are found in the women because they discriminated against equally
83 to the men by seeing the jobs with concept of economic controlled conditions, risk decision less or more to gender
84 issue not related while having the decision edge itself (Schubert, Brown, Gysler, Brachinger 1999). According to
85 (Beyer and Bowden, 1997) that overconfident are less in women when domain males oriented are more& there
86 are more men in the financial market than women. Women are considered to be more conservative investors offer
87 by the broker investment which has less risk ??Wang, 1994). A supporting evidence provided by the (Grosmann
88 and Eckel, 2001) that women have the expectation of more risk averse by men. A significant differentiation found
89 in gender that the lower risks are supported by the women when asked about their attitude about financial risk
90 ??Barskey et.al., 1997).

91 It is stated both in business and general literature that female prefer the lower risk taking whenever they
92 make the decision (Estes and Hosseini, 1998; ??tinne rock et al., 1991; ??inkhan and karande, 1991; Masters,
93 1989). According to (Sexton and Bowman, 1990) that female entrepreneurs having the low score of energy and
94 risk level, while when they published the norms they have relatively high score. The evidence provided by the
95 (Diskson, 1982) that founding of the lower preference of risk in the female is more whenever they facing the
96 situations of losses than men and equal to the men whenever they are facing the gains.

97 H1: financial risk level has positive impact on the women financial decision making b) Confidence level By
98 seeing the financial decision-making confidence level received much attention in empirical and analytical studies
99 ; Gervais and Odean, 2001 ?? Daniel et. al., 1998). Bengtsson, et al (2004); Beyer and Bowden (1997) provided
100 evidence in the overconfidence gender difference occurs through the numerous studies and in the men and women
101 overconfidence is characteristics found in both but the less overconfidence are display by the women about
102 financial decision than do men. Confidence level of the men and women depend on the uncertainty perceived and
103 complexity of the task, can it her decrease or increase. In the life of the women whenever perceiving uncertainty
104 is high then decreasing overconfidence and increase with the complexity of task Dittrich et al (2001).

105 4 Global Journal of Management and Business Research

106 Volume XV Issue I Version I Year () According to the Graham et al (2002) the reason behind the less
107 overconfidence in the financial decision making of the women that is they are not like to involved in that issue
108 which is related to financial matter and women mostly postpone the financial planning. An evidence of the earlier
109 studies provided by the ??enney (1997) that according to the self-confidence the gender difference depend on the
110 decided and clear feedback and in the stock of market feedback is ambiguous. The expectation is that women are
111 to be less-confident than man regarding about their ability for the common stock investments decision making.

112 The powerful and simple justification for the trading which have high level on financial markets is argue by the
113 conceptual factor that is overconfidence. The determination by the psychologist that causes of overconfidence is
114 to underestimate their level of risks, overestimate their knowledge (Powell and Ansic, 1997). According to the
115 Estes & Hosseini(1998) that the confidence impacts on investment decision. About financial decision the women
116 are lower confidence after controlling for factor such as experience, age, education, knowledge, and asset holding.
117 According to the Stinerock et al. (1991) women become more nerves when they make the financial decision and
118 select the option which have the lower degree of the risk also using the financial advertiser for achieving the
119 strong desire. An evidence provided by j. ??layman et al (1999) that depending on the abilities, knowledge, and
120 their future prospects human become overconfident. H2: confident level has a negative impact on the women
121 financial decision making c) Traits An Evidence is provided by the Powell and Ansic (1998) that uncertainty
122 aversion are more in women than men irrespective of familiarity, costs or framing & sometime we conjecture
123 result within experiments of risk as well as between ambiguity and risk experiments may cause by second traits
124 that discriminate influence are found in men and women. It is described by the Jianakoplos and Bernasek (1998)
125 that declining in the risk aversion by the women is due to increasing of the wealth & also originate those women
126 aversion of risk related to wealth is not negatively. Also establish that leading the lower risky assets investment
127 than risk free assets investment high risk aversion are found in the women as compare to the men . By seeing the
128 earlier studies that women confident and risk aversion are less due to not clarification of difference and argue with
129 the help of selectivity model that the biases of the gender behavior regarding investment . They further explain
130 that men have less comprehensive about the information processing than women Graham, Stendar, Myers and
131 Graham (2002). They also describe that facing the task which have the lower competency male are efficient
132 regarding about the information processing while facing the high competency task women are more efficient in
133 dealing Graham, Stendar, Myers and Graham(2002). According to the Beckerman and Menkhoff (2008) that
134 women follow the less holistic process in information processing than men while on the other hand male not follow
135 the detailed information processing system. Women having the authority of the finance managers face less risk
136 aversion than man and regarding about her confidence level there is not any differentiation is found in the men
137 and women. Female fund managing authority avoid the competition, however the gender differences reduce the
138 financial expertise but does not whole decline it. An argument is provided by the w.G et al (1977) that women
139 spend less time and money on the security analysis, rely more on their brokers, entering the less transaction,
140 and also believe that the return will be less predictable, also with lower anticipated return than do man. So
141 in this way women behave less overconfident in investment than do man. According to the j. ??layman et al
142 (1999) that depending on the abilities, knowledge, and their future prospects human become overconfident but
143 also involvement of their knowledge and judgments regarding about the financial decision making. However the
144 confidence level exhibited by the women is different from the men investors. Barber and Odean (2001) say that
145 a person who trades more has the more confident than individuals with the financial decision making.

146 All those investors who are rational trades the transaction costs are less than expected gain. The precision of
147 information overestimate by the overconfident investor and so in this way they always the trading gain also when
148 the net gain becomes negative according to the true expectation they may even trade (Berggren, J. and Gonzalez,
149 R. (2010). In business decisions making the specific journalism on traits differences exist in management decision
150 involving of risk and explain women are less violent. Wary less confident, lower quality of the leadership, easier
151 to convince and problem solving abilities when making decision under risk compared to men, Johnson and Powell
152 (1994). By study of the various traits in men and women we examine that attitudes and behavior against risk
153 become vary and the freedom choice and financial decisions making (Bromiley and Curley 1992). In case of
154 the specific context there should not explanation of gender difference as general traits. By depending on the
155 numerical information male make the decision for the long time under the risk then the female, but by seeing
156 the visual patterns, also consisting of view female made decision. Superior numerical and verbal skills of man
157 and women are equal (Hudgens and Fatkin: 1995) H3: Personality traits have positive impact on the women
158 financial decision making According to the Orasanu and Connolly (1993) that decision making process is a series of
159 experience operations done with consciously, the elements that have role that is specific place and time. Narayan
160 and Corcoran-Perry (1997) state that the decision making is interaction between a person who wishes to solve
161 and a problem for need of solution with also specific environment &due to greater social sensitivity women are
162 perceived as great social risk takers and able to handle social uncertainty (Hoffman, Kessler, Eppel, Rukavina,
163 & Traue, 2010), these expressions are very briefly showed still (Hall & Matsumoto,2004).In the selection there
164 is present of gender difference between several risky prospects but the less risky prospects are indicate by the
165 women (eckal and Grossmann, 2001), but when asking the strategies and attitude towards financial risk, women
166 report show lower risk strategies than men ??Barsky et.al., 1997).

167 According to powell and Ansic (1998) that man have less uncertainty averse than women irrespective of

12 X. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

168 familiarity, framing or costs and their strategies are different from the women, environment affect are more on
169 the women in the decision making situation, women look for the more information, and dedicated more time
170 to process of decision making ((Gill, Stockard, Johnson, & Williams, 1987).men are more dominant, assertive,
171 objective and realistic in the decision strategies (Wood, 1990). Barber and odean (2001) say that a women who
172 did more trade have more strategies of decision making agaist other who have not practices

173 5 VII. Methodology

174 Our research paper focus on the different aspect of women behavior in different organization, but our target
175 population is private and public sector in the western Punjab (layyah, D. G. khan, Rajan Pur, Muzaffar Garh).
176 The sample size of our research paper is 120 were distributed to get the response, but correct 100 questionnaires
177 are received for putting of the data and all 100 respondent questionnaires are used for the analysis of the data.
178 The development of the questionnaire consists of the 31 different types of the questions on different behavioral
179 aspect of women, there are four variable in which one is the depended variable and three are the in-depended
180 variable include (risk level; which consists on the 9 different types of the questions, confidence; which consists
181 on the 9 different types of the questions , traits; which consists on the 7 different types of the question and the
182 decision strategies; which consists on the 8 different types of the question). We use the data collection by the
183 questionnaire at the spot filling, e-mail, posting, by source of friends to the different of the southern Punjab. For
184 data analysis and interpretation we use the SPSS-16 and use the correlation and regression analysis

185 6 VIII. Theoretical Framework

186 Theoretical framework is actually a propose research model which shows the relationship among the independent
187 and the dependent variables. In this theoretical framework risk level, confident level and traits are the independent
188 variables and the women financial decision making is the dependent variable. This model also indicates
189 hypothetical formulation among independent and the dependent variables.

190 7 Independent variables

191 Dependent variable H1: +ive H2: -ive H3: +ive

192 8 IX. Result Analysis

193 To attain the research results the correlation analysis was done. The table below shows the different relationships
194 among the dependent and dependent variables. The correlation analysis values among variables Risk level, self-
195 confidence, Traits and Decision strategies are given in above mention table 1. The significance value ranges from
196 0.05 to 0.01. The correlation results are analyzed according to formulation of hypothesis.

197 9 Correlation analysis

198 H1: financial risk level has positive impact on the women financial decision making
199 The correlation results according to table 1 show that is positive significant relationship between financial risk
200 and financial decision making. The correlation values are 0.763** at p= 0.000. This research result also satisfied
201 the research hypothesis that financial risk level has positive impact on the women financial decision making.

202 10 H2: confident level has a negative impact on the women 203 financial decision making

204 The correlation value 0. 898** at p= 0.000 clearly defines that there is positive significant relationship between
205 confident level and women financial decision making. The research result regarding the impact of confidence level
206 on women financial decision making does not satisfy the proposed research hypothesis which is as confident level
207 has a negative impact on the women financial decision making.

208 11 H3: Personality traits have positive impact on the women 209 financial decision making

210 There is positive significant correlation between personality traits and women financial decision making, as it is
211 indicated by correlation result which is 0. 438 ** at p= 0.000 mention in table 1. This result also satisfies the
212 research hypothesis which is Personality traits have positive impact on the women financial decision making.

213 12 X. Conclusion and Recommendations

214 To research the women behavior on financial decision making is quit new area of research in the field of economics
215 and finance. This research is at very early stage so lot of work remains to be done in future. Till dated no research
216 has given very clear understanding regarding the women behavior on financial decision making. So this research
217 will contribute for mangers and policy makers to motivate and create such strategies to improve the financial and
218 economic outcome of women. This research showed that only confidence level is the factor which is disturbing the

225 [] , 10.1111/j.14657295.1998.tb01740.x. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.14657295.1998.tb01740.x>

226 [] , 10.1111/j.1467-6435.2008.00406.x. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6435.2008.00406.x>

227 [Diskson ()] 'A comparison of attitudes towards risk among business manager'. G Diskson . *Geneva Papers on Risk and insurance* 1982. 7 p. .

228 [Jianakoplos and Bernasek ()] *Are women more risk averse?* *Economic inquiry*, N Jianakoplos , A Bernasek . 1998. 36 p. .

231 [Barber and Odean ()] 'Boys Will Be Boys: Gender, Overconfidence, and Common Stock Investment'. B Barber , T Odean . *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 2001. 116 (1) p. .

233 [Archer ()] 'comparing women and men: What is being compared and why'. J Archer . *American psychologist comment* 1996. 51 (2) p. .

235 [Johnson and Powell ()] 'Decision making, risk and gender: Are managers different?'. J Johnson , P Powell . *British Jouirnal of Management* 1994. 5 p. .

237 [Odean (1999)] 'Do Investors Trade Too Much?'. T Odean . *American Economic Review* 1999. December 1999. 89 p. .

239 [Odean (1999)] 'Do Investors Trade Too Much?'. T Odean . *American Economic Review* 1999. December 1999. 89 p. .

241 [Sexton and Bowman-Upton ()] 'Female and male entrepreneurs: Psychological characteristics and their role in gender related discrimination'. D Sexton , N Bowman-Upton . *Journal of business venturing* 1990. 5 (1) p. .

243 [Schubert ()] 'Gender and economic transactions -financial decision making: Are women really more riskaverse?'. R Schubert . *American Economic Review* 1999. 89 p. .

245 [Graham et al. ()] 'Gender differences in investment strategies: an information processing perspective'. J F Graham , E J Stenderdi , J K Myers , M J Graham . 10.1108/02652320210415953. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02652320210415953> *International journal of bank marketing* 2002. 20 (1) p. .

248 [Melaine and David ()] *Gender differences in risk behavior in financial decision making: an experimental analysis* leed LS2 9JT, P Melaine , & A David . 1997. UK.

250 [Powell and Ansic ()] 'Gender Differences in Risk Behavior in Financial Decision-Making: An Experimental Analysis'. M Powell , D Ansic . *Journal of Economic Psychology* 1997. 18 (6) p. .

252 [Powell and Ansic ()] 'Gender differences in risk behaviour in financial decision-making: An experimental analysis'. M Powell , & D Ansic . 0167-4870]. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 1997. 18 (6) p. .

254 [Beyer and Bowden ()] 'Gender Differences in Self-Perceptions: Convergent Evidence from three measures of accuracy and bias'. S Beyer , E Bowden . *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 1997. 23 p. .

256 [Radecki and Jaccard ()] 'Gender-role differences in decision-making orientations and dicision-making skill'. C Radecki , J Jaccard . *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 1996. 26 (1) p. .

258 [Wood ()] *Gendered lives: Communication, gender, and culture*, J T Wood . 1990. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.

259 [Graham ()] Graham . *Gender Differences in Investment Strategies: An Information Processing Perspective*, 2002. 20 p. .

261 [Bromiley and Curley ()] 'Individual difference in risk taking'. P Bromiley , S Curley . *Risk taking behavior*, J F Yates (ed.) (Chichester,UK) 1992. Wiley.

263 [Narayan and Corcoran-Perry ()] 'Line of reasoning as a representation of nurses' clinical decision making'. S M Narayan , S Corcoran-Perry . *Research in Nursing & Health* 1997. 20 p. .

265 [Hyde ()] 'Mata analysis and the psychology of gender differences'. J Hyde . *Signs; Journal of women in culture and society* 1990. 16 p. .

267 [Gill et al. ()] 'Measuring gender differences: The expressive dimension and critique of androgyny scales'. S Gill , J Stockard , M & Johnson , S Williams . *Sex Roles* 1987. 17 p. .

269 [Dittrich ()] *Overconfidence in Investment Decisions -An Experimental Approach*, Dittrich . CESifo München, 2001. (Max Planck Institut)

271 [Klayman ()] 'Overconfidence: It depends on how, what and whom you ask'. J Klayman . *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 1999. 79 p. .

273 [Barsky et al. ()] 'Preference Parameters and Behavioral Heterogeneity: An Experimental approach in the Health and Retirement Study'. R Barsky , F Juster , M Kimball , M Shapiro . *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 1997. 112 (2) p. .

276 [Stinerock et al. ()] 'Sex and Money: Gender differences in the sue of surrogate consumers for financial decision making'. R Stinerock , B Stem , M Solomen . *Journal of Professional Servies Marketing* 1991. 7 (2) p. .

278 [Eckel and Grossman ()] *Sex Differences and Stereotyping in Attitudes towards Financial Risks*, C Eckel , P Grossman . 2001. Virginia Tech, mimeo. (Working Paper)

12 X. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

280 [Hudgent and Fatkins ()] 'Sex differences in risk taking: Repeated sessions on a computer simulated task'. G
281 Hudgent , L Fatkins . *Journal of Psychology* 1885. 119 (3) p. .

282 [Masters ()] 'Study examining investor risk taking propensities'. R Masters . *Journal of financing planning* 1989.
283 2 (3) p. .

284 [Estes and Hosseini ()] 'The gender gap on wall street: on empirical analysis of confidence in investment decision
285 making'. R Estes , J Hosseini . *The Journal of psychology* 1998. 1 (2) p. .

286 [Orasanu and Connolly ()] *The reinvention of decision making*, J & Orasanu , T Connolly . 1993. Klein, J
287 Orasanu, R.

288 [Kruse and Thompson ()] 'Valuing Low Probability Risk: survey and experimental evidence'. J B Kruse , M A
289 Thompson . *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, forthcoming* 2003. 50 (4) .

290 [Beckmann and Menkhoff ()] 'Will Women Be Women? Analyzing the Gender Difference among financial
291 experts'. D Beckmann , L Menkhoff . *KYKLOS* 2008. (3) p. .

292 [Lenney ()] 'Women's Self-Confidence in Achievement Settings'. Ellen Lenney . *Psychological Bulletin, LXXXIV*
293 1977. p. .

294 [Lynch ()] *You and your Money. A Financial Handbook for Women Investors*, Merril Lynch . 1996. New York.