Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

1	An Investigation of Granger Causality Between Oil-Price, Inflation and Economic Growth in Jordan
3	Hussein Ali Al-Zeaud ¹
4	¹ Al al-Bayt university Mafraq-Jordan
5	Received: 16 December 2013 Accepted: 1 January 2014 Published: 15 January 2014
6	

7 Abstract

This paper is an empirical investigation on the directional causality between oil price (oil 8 imports cost), gross domestic product (GDP) and Inflation (consumer price index) for the 9 period 1990-2011 in Jordan. Using Johannes- Juseliusco-integration test, Granger-causality 10 test, and VECM to inspect the long-term relationship, the short-term relationship and the 11 speed of adjustment toward long-term equilibrium between the variables. The tests' results 12 indicate that there is a long-run equilibrium relationship between gross domestic product 13 these results indicate that there is a long-run equilibrium relationship between gross domestic 14 product (LGDP) and other variables oil cost (LOP) and inflation (LINF). The estimation of 15 the adjustment speed indicates that (58) 16

17

Index terms— oil price (cost), gross domestic product, Inflation, inflation, Granger causality test, Johannes Juseliusco-integration test, and VECM, speed of adju

20 1 Introduction

n Jordan like many countries, oil is one of the major factors of economic activity, due to it is the main source for
energy. Furthermore, oil has become a social issue as it affects everyone on a way or another. This implies that
there is a strong relationship between economic indicators of a country (growth, inflation, budget deficit, current
account deficit and ?.. etc.) and oil-price changes.

25 Accordingly, Many economists all over the world has attempted to investigate the relationship between economic indicators such as growth and inflation on one hand and oil-price fluctuations on the other hand 26 in order to explain, forecast and control the effects of these fluctuations. studies have revealed that oil-price 27 fluctuations have great effects on economic activities and indicators. These effects may vary from country to 28 another, depending on the ratio of dependency of the economic activities on oil hand whether the country is 29 importing or exporting oil. Therefore, basically oil price increase should be good news in oil exporting countries 30 and bad news in oil importing countries, and vice versa. Economic activity is affected by fluctuations of oil 31 price through both supply and demand channels. In The supply side and due to the fact that is a basic input 32 of production, so an increase in oil price will raise the production costs which make firms to reduce output. 33 On other hand, in the demand side oil prices changes affect consumption and investment. Consumption is 34 35 affected indirectly through its positive relation with disposable income. Likewise, investment is affected due 36 to an increase in oil price will rise firms' costs which reduces the return of investment and this will lessen the 37 investment. Furthermore, real economic activity will be affected indirectly by oil price fluctuations through its impact on exchange rate and inflation. 38 Given the World's high dependence on oil products which makes oil the largest internationally traded good 39

and its price more vital to today's world economy. Moreover, the prices of energy intensive goods and services
are linked to energy prices, of which oil makes up the single most important share. Finally, the price of oil is
linked to some extent to the price of other fuels (even though oil is not fully substitutable for natural gas, coal,
and electricity, particularly in the transportation sector). For these reasons, sudden fluctuations in the price of

oil have wide-ranging consequences. Thus, it is expected that inflation and economic growth rate have a strongrelationship with oilprice fluctuations.

In general, there is an interaction between economic growth and oil price. As World economic growth increases the demand for oil increases which pushes up oil prices. Oil prices then, tend to be volatile, at least partly due to variations in the business cycle.

While the increase in GDP growth and economic activity in general, has led to increase in energy demand, which in turn raise the oil price and this can lessen the economic growth due to its impact on economic activities. A glance over the figure below shows the close correlation in the timing of oil price hike and economic depressions; this emphasizes the serious negative effect of oil price hike on the economies. In case of oil, Demand function implies that quantity demanded will fall by a certain percentage for each percentage rise of price. Thus, large oil price hike will unavoidably cut oil demand and decrease economic growth.

All other factors remains constant, an oil price increase should be good for oil exporting countries and 55 negative for oil importing countries, while the opposite expected when the oil price decreases. In general, oil 56 as internationally traded good causes a transfer of income from importing to exporting countries depending on 57 terms of trade. The international demand effect would depend on how oil exporting and importing countries 58 would response for an increase of oil price. On one hand, Exporting countries have additional revenues, but these 59 60 countries used to save a fraction of their revenues for future funds, and their demand increase slowly in response 61 to these revenues. On other hand, importing countries have additional expenses, in response to this, they seek to 62 lessen their demand rapidly. so that net global demand tends to fall in the short term. Consequently, economic growth in exporting countries which induced by higher oil prices has always been less than economic decay in 63 importing countries, therefore, the net effect was negative. As a result, the growth of the world economy was 64 decaying after each oil price hike. 65

In case of oil importing countries, the increase in oil prices not only induces imported price push or cost push inflation but also demands pull inflation. So as worldwide oil prices rise, this brings domestic inflation in the economy that leads to decline in foreign exchange reserves. As foreign exchange become scarce in supply its value would increase while on the other hand local currency depreciates that brings rise in the import prices & would increase the import bills. It would also worsen the position of trade balance of the country. It would not only appreciate the private expenditures but also public expenditure, which would also increase the consumer price index. All these factors pushed the country to the paucity trap or poverty trap.

73 Reading the increasing oil costs as generalized price inflation may leads local authorities to adopt restrictive 74 policies which could slow the economy's growth. Excessively restrictive monetary and fiscal policies to deal with inflationary pressures could worsen the declining income and unemployment effects. However, expansionary 75 monetary and fiscal policies Also, in terms of the state of the economy, if the economy is already suffering from 76 high inflation and unemployment, then the oil price increases have the potential to cause severe damage by 77 limiting economic policy options and affect the overall economic impact of higher oil prices over the longer term. 78 Jordan's economy is among the smallest in the Middle East, with insufficient supplies of water, oil, and other 79 natural resources, underlying the government's heavy reliance on foreign assistance. Other economic challenges 80 for the government include chronic high rates of poverty, unemployment, inflation, and a large budget deficit. 81 The global economic slowdown and regional turmoil, however, have depressed Jordan's GDP growth, impacting 82

83 export-oriented sectors, construction, and tourism.

Unlike most of its neighbors, Jordan has no significant petroleum resources of its own and is heavily dependent 84 on oil imports to fulfill its domestic energy needs which Jordan Currently imports (96%) of it. So, energy is one 85 of the biggest challenges for continued growth for Jordan's economy. The Iraq invasion of 2003 disrupted Jordan's 86 primary oil supply route from its eastern neighbor, which under Saddam Hussein had provided the kingdom with 87 highly discounted crude oil. Since late 2003, Saudi Arabia has become Jordan's primary source of imported oil; 88 Kuwait and the United Arab Emirates (UAE) are secondary sources. Although not so heavily discounted as Iraqi 89 crude oil, supplies from Saudi Arabia and the UAE are subsidized to some extent. Spurred by the surge in the 90 price of oil to more than \$145 a barrel at its peak, the Jordanian government has responded with an ambitious 91 plan for the sector. The country's lack of domestic resources is being addressed via a \$14bn investment program 92 in the sector. The program aims to reduce reliance on imported products from the current level of 96%, with 93 renewable meeting 10% of energy demand by 2020 and nuclear energy meeting 60% of energy needs by 2035. The 94 government also announced in 2007 that it would scale back subsidies in several areas, including energy, where 95 there have historically been regressive subsidies for fuel and electricity. In another new step, the government is 96 opening up the sector to competition, and intends to offer all the planned new energy projects to international 97 tender. 98

⁹⁹ The figure below provides a starting point to the analysis of oil price behavior and Jordan economic growth ¹⁰⁰ relation over the last two decades. The graph shows annually oil cost and Jordan gross domestic product have ¹⁰¹ experienced an upward trends.

¹⁰² 2 Global Journal of Management and Business Research

103 Volume XIV Issue VI Version I Year 2014 ()

104 **3 B**

In 2011 Jordan's finances have been strained by a series of natural gas pipeline attacks in Egypt, causing Jordan to substitute more expensive heavy fuel oils to generate electricity. An influx of foreign aid, especially from Gulf countries, has helped to somewhat offset these extra budgetary expenditures, but the budget deficit is likely to remain high, at nearly 10% of GDP excluding grants. Jordan likely continues to depend heavily on foreign assistance to finance the deficit in 2012.

This study investigates the causality between crude oil prices at international market and the inflation rate (CPI) and economic growth (GDP) of Jordan. We begin by analyzing the impact of an oil price changes on the economy, followed by an explanation of what tests have revealed about the relation between oil price and economic growth. The paper is organized as follows: section 2 presents the related literature review. Section 3 shows a model of the study. Section 4clarifies the econometric methodology, section 5 offers and analyzes the empirical results . Finally conclude in section 6.

116 **4 II.**

117 5 Literature Review

World's high dependency on oil products, the relation between oil prices and economic growth has encouraged 118 119 many economists over the years to carry out studies in order to investigate these relations, and there is a rich spectrum of literature on various aspects of the subject. Following some of these studies:-Farhani (2012) 120 estimated simple linear regression model (SLRM), dynamic regression model (DRM) and VAR model to evaluate 121 the impact of oil price increases on the U.S economic growth. The results indicate strong weaknesses on the 122 relation between these two factors in what way that the relation has had allow significant effect caused by the 123 existence of breakpoints and the asymmetric effects of the oil price variations. Bouzid (2012) investigated the 124 causal relationship between oil prices and economic growth in Tunisia which is not oil producing rather oil-125 126 importing country over a period from 1960 to 2009. The study analyzed that, how change in real crude oil price effects the real GDP of Tunisia negatively and many other factors differently. The results show the existence of a 127 long-term relationship between energy prices and economic growth and Granger pair wise causality test revealed 128 unidirectional causality from real GDP to oil prices. Chou and Tseng (2011) studied The Shocks in global oil 129 prices have always been most important concern in market fluctuations. The discussion about pass-through 130 impact of oil price fluctuation on domestic inflation (consumer price index) helps domestic policy decisions that 131 could inhibit disruptions to the economy caused by oil price shocks. They researched the short run and long-run 132 pass through impact crude oil price on Taiwan's inflation from 1982 to 2010, using the CPI index, core index, 133 and different necessary sub-indices for estimation. The findings expressed that there is a significant and long 134 135 run pass through impact of crude oil prices on Taiwan's inflation, although the short run pass through impact is 136 not significant. This study applied both recursive regression and rolling regression methods to compare variation 137 in the short term bypass through effects of oil prices and determined that in short term pass through effects inflation rates did not change with the fluctuation in global oil prices in Taiwan. Moreover, since the Consumer 138 Price index comprises on everyday necessities, global oil prices do not cause significant in short term .Berument, 139 Ceylan and Dogan (2010) examined how oil price shocks affect the output growth of selected MENA countries 140 that are considered either net exporters or net importers of this commodity, but are too small to affect oil 141 prices. That an individual country's economic performance does not affect world oil prices is imposed on the 142 Vector Autoregressive setting as an identifying restriction. The estimates suggest that oil price increases have 143 a statistically significant and positive effect on the outputs of Algeria, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Libya, Oman, Qatar, 144 Syria, and the United Arab Emirates. However, oil price shocks do not appear to have a statistically significant 145 146 effect on the outputs of Bahrain, Djibouti, Egypt, Israel, Jordan, Morocco, and Tunisia. When they further decomposed positive oil shocks such as oil demand and oil supply for the latter set of countries, oil supply shocks 147 are associated with lower output growth but the effect of oil demand shocks on output remain positive. Abdul 148 Jalil, Ghani and Duasa(2009) studied the impact of oil prices on GDP in Malaysia. In particular, three types 149 of oil prices; world oil price (PW), world oil price in domestic currency (PWD), and domestic oil price (PD) are 150 tested against the GDP within VAR frame work. Based on the findings, change in PD oil price appears to have 151 the most pronounced effect to the GDP. It is because, significant results of PD analysis are documented both 152 in short-run and long-run tests. In the asymmetric test, significant result is documented in PD analysis only. 153 The finding signifies the presence of asymmetric relationship between oil price changes and the economy. Kumar 154 (2009) assessed the oil prices-macro economy relationship by means of multivariate VAR using both linear and 155 non-linear specifications. Scaled oil prices model outperforms other models used in the study. He studied the 156 157 impacts of oil price shocks on the growth of industrial production for Indian economy over the period 1975Q1-158 2004Q3. It is found that oil prices Granger cause macroeconomic activities. Evidence of asymmetric impact of oil 159 price shocks on industrial growth is found. Oil price shocks negatively affect the growth of industrial production and it is found that an hundred percent increase in oil prices lowers the growth of industrial production by one 160 percent. Moreover, the variance decomposition analysis while putting the study in perspective finds that the 161 oil price shocks combined with the monetary shocks are the largest source of variation in industrial production 162 growth other than the variable itself .Kiptui (2009) estimated a conventional Phillips curve to obtain estimation 163 of oil price through to inflation for Kenya. Result indicated inflation being correlated with oil prices, in the 164

early 90's correlation appear to have declined but begun to boost after trade liberalization. The result showed 165 that oil price fluctuations have had significant impact on inflation. Other outcomes are that changes in exchange 166 rate and aggregate demand have had significant influence on inflation. The measure of oil price pass-through 167

is discovered to be 0.10 in the long-run and 0.05 in the short-run to inflation, much lower while comparing to 168

exchange rate pass-through which is 0.64 in the long-run and 0.32 in the short-run. It means that 10% risein 169

prices of oil leads to 1% increase in inflation in the long-term and 0.5% in the short-term. Therefore Oil price 170

passthrough is incomplete and low in both cases. Meanwhile, Cologni and Matteo (2008) anticipated a vector 171

autoregressive form for the G-7 nations to confirm whether the oil price fluctuation throughoutpast20 years have 172 been affecting the monetary policy action. It was deduced that majority of the countries under examination, 173

an unanticipated oil price fluctuation is ensued by a rise in inflation rate and also a decrease output increase. 174

Moreover, the findings suggested that 1990's impact oil price shocks indicate there was a major element of the 175

impact of the oil price variation was roughly resulted in the aftermath of fiscal policy. 176

III. Model Specifications for the Study 6 177

Using annual data from CBJ's database and IMF's database the present paper examines the relationship between 178 oil price, inflation and economic growth in Jordan, while our model will be:GDP t = ? + ? 1 OP t + ? 2 INF t 179 180 + U t ???(1)

Where GDP t is real gross domestic product, OP t is oil imports cost and INF t is inflation which is measured 181 by consumer price index (CPI t) while ? and ? s are the coefficient to be estimated and the U t is error term. 182 This can be reformulated to examine the link between each variables and other variables as follows:-INF t = ?183

+? 1 OP t +? 2 GDP t + U t ???(2) 184

Taking the logarithm form of the equation (1) will yield equation (3) below with "ln" standing for the natural 185 logarithmlnGDP t = ? + ? 1 lnOP t + ? 2 lnINF t + U t ???(3) 186 IV.

187

Econometric Methodology 7 188

The objective of this section is to examine the presence of interaction and the direction of causality between 189 economic growths, oil cost and inflation in Jordan. 190

In order to examine the relationship between economic growth, oil cost and inflation in Jordan, a twostep 191 procedure is adopted. The first step investigates the existence of a long-run relationship between the variables 192 through a co-integration analysis. The second step explores the causal relationship between the series. If the 193 series are non-stationary and the linear combination of them is non-stationary, then standard granger's causality 194 test should be employed. But, if the series are non-stationary and the linear combination of them is stationary, 195 Error Correction Method (ECM) should be adopted. For this reason, testing for cointegration is a necessary 196 prerequisite to implement the causality test. 197

a) long run relationship 8 198

We perform our investigation of existence of cointegration which clarifies the long run relationship between 199 variables in two steps. First, we test for unit root vs. stationary. Then we test for no co-integration vs. 200 cointegration. 201

9 i. Unit root test 202

The objective of unit root test to empirically examine whether a series contains a unit root. Since many 203 macroeconomic series are non-stationary (Nelson and Plosser 1982), unit root test are useful to determine the 204 order of integration of the variables and, therefore, to provide the time-series properties of data. If the series 205 contains a unit root, this means that the series is nonstationary. Otherwise, the series will be categorized as 206 stationary. In order to implement a more rigorous test to verify the presence of a unit root in the series, an 207 Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and Phillips-Perron (PP) test are employed. 208

ii. Co-integration test Johansen and Juselius procedure is applied to test for the existence of co-integration. 209 The Johansen technique enables us to test for the existence of nonunique co-integration relationships in more than 210 two variables cases. Through Johansen procedure of cointegration two tests statistics are suggested to determine 211 the number of co-integration vectors determined based on a likelihood ratio test (LR): the trace test (? trace) 212 and the maximum eigenvalues test statistics (? max). 213

b) Granger-causality test 10214

Pair wise causality relationship between variables should be tested through the implementation Granger causality 215 test; Granger (1969), the concept of "causality" assumes a different meaning with respect to the more common 216 use of the term. The statement(y) Granger causes (x) or vice versa, in fact, does not imply that (y) and (x) is 217 the effect or the result of (y) and (x), but represents how much of the current (y) and (x) can be explained by the 218 past values of (y) and (x) and whether adding lagged values of (y and x) can improve the explanation. For this 219 reason, the causality relationship between (y and x) can be evaluated by estimating the following regressions: i 220

221 tiititXYYnimi????+?+?+=?????==21011iitiititXYXmini????+?+ 222 ?+=?????==21011

Where (m and n) represents the lag length and should set equal to the longest time over which one series could reasonable help to predict the other.

Following this approach, the null hypothesis that (x) does not granger cause (y) in regression (4) and that (y)does not Granger cause (y) in regression (??) can be tested through the implementation of a simple F-test for the joint significance of, respectively, the parameters ? 1i and ? 2i . Following the equations (??) and (5) were estimated using four lags of each variable which should represent and adequate lag-length over which one series could help to predict the other.

The results of stationary and co-integration tests determine how Granger-causality test should be applied, as follows:

If the variables (y) and (x) are stationary, the standard Granger-causality test should be carried out by estimating the following regressions:-? ??? +???? = = + + = i t i i t i t X Y Y n i m i 2 1 1 1 (6) i i t i i t i t X Y X m i n i ???? +???? = = + + = 2 1 1 1

If the variables (y) and (x) are non-stationary and integrated of order (1), but, they are not cointegrated, the Granger-causality test could be carried out by estimating regression models (4 and 5) using the first difference series of both variables (Yoo and Kwak, 2004). In general, if the origin series of both variables are non-stationary and the variables are not cointegrated, the Granger-causality test could be performed by using the same order of integration for both series, and reforming model (5 and 6) to suit the order of difference series.

In model (4 and 6), (Y) is caused by past values of both (Y) and (X). Likewise, in model (5 and 7), (X) is caused by past values of the two variables. According to Granger, (X) causes (Y) in model (4 and 6) if (?2i) is significant from zero, and that (Y) causes (X) in model (5 and 7) if (?1i) is significant from zero. On other hand, (X) does not cause (Y) if (?2i) in model (?? and 6) is insignificant from zero, and that (Y) does not cause (X) if (?1i) in model (5 and 7) is insignificant from zero. These hypotheses can be verified depending on the joint significance of the parameters (?1i, ?2i) which can be tested through the implementation of a simple F-test.

251 Where (1 ? t ?) is error-correction term. The error correction term (1 ? t ?)

is the lagged value of the residuals from the OLS regression of equation (8), and the lagged value of the residuals from the OLS regression of equation (9). In (8) According to Granger (1969;1988), in a cointegrated system expressed by ECM representation causality must run in at least one way. Within the ECM equation (??), (X 1t or X 2t) does not Granger cause (Y t) if all ?s =0. Equivalently, in equation (??) (Y t or X 2t) does not Granger cause (X 1t) if all ? s = 0. Also, (? 4s) the parameters of the error correction term indicate the speed of adjustment of any short-run disequilibrium towards a long-run equilibrium between the variables.

The Granger-causality could be claimed if the parameters (? 2i ,? 3i and ? 4) in (8) and, or (? 2i ,? 3i and ? 4) in (??) are jointly significant from zero which can be tested by a simple F-test. Similarly, Long-run causality could be claimed if (? 4) the parameter of the error correction term in (8 or 9) is statistically significant which can be tested by t-test.

What have been mentioned above clarifies that testing of stationary then co-integration are an essential requirements which determine how we do Grangercausality test.

Thus, once the variables in a VAR system are co-integrated, we can use a vector error-correction models (VECM) depending on the equations ??8 and 9).in which a restricted VAR is used in order to assess the direction of Granger causality and to estimate the speed of adjustment to the deviation from the long-run equilibrium between variables.

Otherwise, unrestricted VAR model could be used to assess the relationship between the variables. This 268 excludes Error Correction Term from equations (8 and 9). Then we simulate the impulse responses for the 269 variables. The impulse response analysis quantifies the reaction of every single variable in the model on an 270 exogenous shock to the model. The reaction is measured for every variable a certain time after shocking the 271 system. The impulse response analysis is therefore a tool for inspecting the inter-relation of the model variables. 272 Finally, as co-integration, causality tests and VAR model are sensitive to lag length (m) the choice of the 273 number of lag actually employed was assigned toLR: sequential modified LR test statistic (each test at 5% level). 274 ν. 275

²⁷⁶ 11 Estimation and Interpretation of Results

277 This study uses annual observations for the period 1990-2011 for three variables: government expenditure (G),

278 money supply (M2) and inflation (consumer price index (CPI)) in order to analyze the possibility of co-integration 279 and causality relationship among them.

²⁸⁰ 12 a) unit root test

The first step in analysis is to test the unit roots in each variable. Consequently, we apply Phillips-Perron test to check for unit root vs stationary on logarithms of GDP, OP and INF (LGDP, LOP and LINF). From the results of the PP test presented in Table 1. As a sum up, (LGDP, LOP and LINF) are stationaries in the first difference. This implies that all the series are integrated of order one I(1). Thus, cointegration tests is relevant. b) Testing Co-integration and Error Correction mechanism The null hypothesis of no Co-integration (r=0) based on both the trace test (? trace) and the maximum eigenvalues test (? max) between variables (LGDP, LOP and LINF) is rejected at (5%) level of significance. However, the null hypothesis that (r ? 1 and r ? 2) could not be rejected. The estimated tests indicate that

However, the null hypothesis that (r? 1 and r? 2) could not be rejected. The estimated tests indicate that there is only one Co-integration vector between the variables.

²⁹⁰ 13 c) Causality & VECM tests

Now we can turn our attention to the question of direction of causality. It contains three elements: (a) does oil cost cause gross domestic product, or does oil cost cause gross domestic product? (b) Does oil cost cause inflation, or does inflation cause oil cost? And (c) does gross domestic product cause inflation, or does inflation cause gross domestic product?

As the variables (LGDP, LOP and LINF) are non-stationary at level, integrated of the same order (d), and cointegrated, the Granger-causality test is carried out through estimating Vector Error Correction Model (VECM). Table ?? shows the findings of VECM for the variables:- Since the first difference series are stationary, Let us examine the existence of co-integration between variables. Johansen-Juselius procedure is used to test for cointegration between variables. Tables 3 reports the results obtained from the co-integrationtests and presents the result of the trace test (? trace)) and maximum eigenvalues test (max) statistics for the existence of long run equilibrium between the variables: ^{1 2 3}

1

Series	With intercept With intercept		Decision	Order of
		and trend		integration
Levels	PP	PP		
LGDP	-0.103971	-1.643065	Not stationary	-
	[-3.012363]	[-3.644963]		
LOP	1.203015	-1.952601	Not stationary	-
	[-3.012363]	[-3.644963]		
LINF	0.031585	-1.556921	Not stationary	-
	[-3.012363]	[-3.644963]		
First dif- ference				
?LGDP	-4.224322* [-3.020686]	-4.094895^{*} [-3.658446]	stationary	I(1)
?LOP	-4.171564* [-3.020686]	-4.926571* [-3.658446]	stationary	I(1)
Î?"LINF	[-3.020686] [-3.020686]	[-3.658446] [-3.658446]	stationary	I(1)

[Note: © 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US) -Note: * test critical values which denotes significant at 5% level. -The number in parenthesis is the [t] statistic value.]

Figure 1: Table 1 :

	: co-integration test		
Null Hypothesis	?	trace	max
r=0	62.20445	42.58433	
	[42.91525]	[25.82321]	
r ? 1	19.62012	15.34550	
	[25.87211]	[19.38704]	
r ? 2	4.274617	4.274617	
	[12.51798]	[12.51798]	
-*terms in [] indicates 5	5% level critical value		

Figure 2: Table 2

3

Regression CONSTANT	?LGDP 0.060945 [8.20618]	?LOP 0.343620 [2.32778]	?LINF 0.029088 [1.65349]
Error Correction	-0.586694 $[-8.03161]$	-0.562858 $[-0.38766]$	$-0.172740 \ [-0.99830]$
Term $(1?t?)$			
?LGDP -1	$-0.100507 \ [-0.90719]$	-1.276312 $[-0.57959]$	$0.269276 \ [1.02608]$
?LOP -1	$-0.046731 \ [-3.40564]$	$0.045134 \ [0.16548]$	$-0.024117 \ [-0.74198]$
?LINF -1	$0.085251 \ [0.85618]$	-3.871933 $[-1.95638]$	-0.155586 $[-0.65965]$
R 2	0.832267	0.220763	0.141612
S.E	0.012565	0.249755	0.029764
-*terms in [] are t			
-statistics			

[Note: \bigcirc 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US)]

Figure 3: Table 3 :

 $\mathbf{2}$

 $^{^1 \}odot$ 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US) may simply delay the fall in real income necessitated by the increase in oil prices, stoke up inflationary pressures and worsen the impact of higher prices in the long run. ²© 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US) An Investigation of Granger Causality between Oil-Price, Inflation and

Economic Growth in Jordan ³© 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US)

301 .1 ?Y

An Investigation of Granger Causality between Oil-Price, Inflation and Economic Growth in Jordan (7) (8) (9) (10) (11) As it is mentioned before, error correction term (1?t?) captures the short-run dynamics relationship among variables. The above VECM test results show that The lagged error term coefficient (

equation is negative and statistically significant. On other hand, although the lagged error term coefficients (305 1?t?) in (LOP and LINF) equations are positive but they are statistically insignificant. These results indicate 306 that there is a long-run equilibrium relationship between gross domestic product (LGDP) and other variables oil 307 cost (LOP) and inflation (LINF). The value of error term coefficient in (LGDP) indicates that adjustment process 308 is (58%) of the previous year's disequilibrium in gross domestic product (GDP) from its long-run equilibrium 309 path will be corrected in the current year. Furthermore, the estimates of the VECM does support the existence 310 of significant causation relationship in the short run between (GDP) and oil cost (OP) running from oil cost to 311 (GDP) but it is a negative (-0.046%) and low causation. Also, according to the results short-run elasticities of 312 gross domestic product, oil cost and inflation in the equation of (LGDP) are -0.10, -0.046 and 0.085 respectively. 313 It is that these elasticities are less than long run elasticity which is the value of error correction model (314

This paper is an empirical investigation on the directional causality between oil price (oil imports cost), 315 gross domestic product (GDP) and Inflation (consumer price index) for the period 1990-2011 in Jordan. Using 316 Johannes-Juseliusco-integration test, Granger-causality test, and VECM to inspect the long-term relationship, 317 the short-term relationship and the speed of adjustment toward long-term equilibrium between the variables. 318 The tests' results indicate that there is a long-run equilibrium relationship between gross domestic product 319 These results indicate that there is a long-run equilibrium relationship between gross domestic product (LGDP) 320 and other variables oil cost (LOP) and inflation (LINF). The estimation of the adjustment speed indicates that 321 (58%) of any previous year's deviation in gross domestic product (GDP) from its long-run equilibrium path will 322 be corrected in the current year. Furthermore, the VECM reveals the existence of a significant, negative and 323 weak (-0.046) causation relationship in the short run between (GDP) and oil cost (OP) running from oil cost to 324 325 (GDP).

Accordingly, the findings of this study suggest that an increase in oil cost today leads to a small decrease in gross domestic product. This consist with the basic hypothesis which proposes that an increase in oil price (cost) will be harm for economic growth in oilimporting countries like Jordan, but the effect size dose not consist

- with rate of dependency of economic activities in Jordan on oil. Thus, the study recommends investigating this inconsistent situation.
- [An Investigation of Granger Causality between Oil-Price, Inflation and Economic Growth in Jordan VI. Conclusion]
 An Investigation of Granger Causality between Oil-Price, Inflation and Economic Growth in Jordan VI.
 Conclusion.
- [Mork ()] 'Business Cycles and the Oil Market'. K A Mork . Energy Journal 1994. 15 p. 38.
- [Gisser and Goodwin ()] Crude Oil and the Macro economy, Tests of Some Popular, M Gisser, T H Goodwin.
 1986.
- (Dickey and Fuller ()) 'Distribution of the estimator for autoregressive time series with a unit root'. D A Dickey
 W A Fuller . Journal of the American Statistical Association 1979. 74 p. .
- [Michael and David ()] 'Do High Oil Prices Presage Inflation? The Evidence fromG-5 countries'. L Michael , C
 M David . Santa Cruz Center for International Economics, Department of Economics 2004.
- [Cunado and Perezde ()] 'Do oil price shocks matter? Evidence for some European countries'. J Cunado , G F
 Perezde . Energy Economics 2003. 25 (2) p. .
- ³⁴³ [Cashin et al. ()] 'How persistent are shocks to world Commodity prices?' P Cashin , H Liang , C J Mcdermott
 . www.cbj.gov.jo IMF Staff Papers 2000. 47 (2) p. . Central Bank of Jordan database
- [Farhani ()] 'Impact of Oil Price Increases on U.S. Economic Growth: Causality Analysis and Study of the
 Weakening Effects in Relationship'. Sahbi Farhani . International Journal of Energy © 2014 Global Journals
 Inc. (US) Economics and Policy 2012. 2012. 2 (3) p. .
- [Yoo and Kwak ()] 'Information technology and economic development in Korea: A causality study'. S H Yoo ,
 S J Kwak . International Journal of Technology Management 2004. 27 p. .
- [Daniel ()] 'International Interdependence of National Growth Rates: A structural Trends Analysis'. N C Daniel
 Journal of Monetary Economics 1997. 40 p. .
- [Granger (1969)] 'Investigating Causal Relations by Econometric Models and Crossspectral Methods'. C W
 Granger . *Econometrica* 1969. Aug., 1969. 37 (3) p. .
- [Mackinnon et al. ()] 'Numerical Distribution Functions of Likelihood Ratio Tests for Cointegration'. James G
 Mackinnon , A Alfred , Leo Haug , Michelis . Journal of Applied Econometrics 1999. 14 (5) p. .
- [Kiptui ()] 'Oil Price Pass through into Inflation in Kenya'. M Kiptui . Proceedings from 14 th Annual conference on econometric modeling for Africa, (from 14 th Annual conference on econometric modeling for Africa) 2009.
- зъв р. .

- 359 [Olomola and Adejumo ()] 'Oil Price Shock and Macroeconomic Activities in Nigeria'. P A Olomola , A V
- Adejumo . International Research Journal of Finance and Economics 2006. International Monetary Fund. 13.
 (IMF) database)
- [Jalil et al. (2009)] 'Oil Prices And The Malaysia Economy'. Abdul Jalil , Norasibah , Gairuzazmi Ghani , Mat
 Jarita Duasa . International Review of Business Research Papers 2009. June 2009. 5 (4) p. .
- [Cunado and gracia ()] 'Oil prices, economic activity and inflation: evidence for some Asian countries'. J Cunado
 , F P & gracia . The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance 2005. 45 p. .
- [Cologni and matteo ()] 'Oil Prices, Inflation and Interest Rates in a StructuralCo-integrated VAR Model for
 the G-7 Countries'. A Cologni , M &matteo . Energy economics 2008. 30 p. .
- [Chou and Tseng ()] 'Pass-Through of Oil Prices to CPI Inflation in Taiwan'. K W Chou , Y H Tseng .
 International Research Journal of Finance and Economics 2011. 69 p. .
- [Granger and New Bold ()] 'Spurious regressions in econometrics'. C Granger , P New Bold . Journal of
 Econometrics 1974. 2 p. .
- Johansen ()] 'Statistical Analysis of Cointegration Vectors'. Soren Johansen . Journal of Economics Dynamics
 and Control 1988. 12 (2-3) p. .
- [Berument et al. ()] 'The Impact of Oil Price Shocks on the Economic Growth of Selected MENA1 Countries'.
 M Berument , Hakan , Nildag Ceylan , Basak , Nukhet Dogan . The Quarterly Journal of the IAEE's Energy Economics Education Foundation 2010. 31.
- [Kumar ()] 'The Macroeconomic Effects of Oil Price Shocks: Empirical Evidence for India'. Surender Kumar .
 Economics Bulletin 2009. Oct 05 2008. 2009. 29 (1) p. . (Submitted. Published: February 04)
- 379 [Johansen and Juselius ()] 'The Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Inference on Cointegration -with Applica-
- tion to Demand for Money'. Soren Johansen, Katarina Juselius. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics
 1990. 52 (2) p. .
- [Bouzid (2012)] 'The Relationship of Oil Prices and Economic Growth in Tunisia: A Vector Error Correction
 Model Analysis'. Amaira Bouzid . The Romanian Economic Journal 2012. March 2012. (43) .
- [Kim and Loungani ()] 'The Role of Energy in Teal Business Cycles'. I M Kim , P Loungani . Journal of Monetary
 Economics 1992. 29 p. .
- [Hamilton ()] 'This is What Happened to the Oil-Price Macro economy Relationship'. J D Hamilton . Journal
 of Monetary Economics 1996. 38 p. .
- [Nelson and Plosser ()] 'Trends and Random Walks in Macroeconomic Time Series: Some Evidence and
 Implications'. Charles R Nelson , Charles I Plosser . Journal of Monetary Economics 1982. 10 (2) p. .
- ³⁹⁰ [Hooker ()] 'What Happened to the Oil Price Macro economy Relationship?'. M A Hooker . Journal of Monetary
 ³⁹¹ Economics 1996. 38 p. .