Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

1	Conditional CAPM using Expected Returns of Brazilian Market
2	from 1992 to 2013: a New Approach
3	Elmo Tambosi Filho <sup>1</sup>
4	<sup>1</sup> Methodist University
5	Received: 14 December 2013 Accepted: 2 January 2014 Published: 15 January 2014

### 7 Abstract

6

<sup>8</sup> In the last decades, CAPM model has been of great interest in the scientific scene. Despite all

<sup>9</sup> the criticism, the improvement of the static CAPM, which has generated new dynamic

 $_{10}$   $\,$  models, provided investors with stronger guarantee through financial transactions. The

<sup>11</sup> CAPM and its static version were and are still very important in the financial scene.

<sup>12</sup> Nowadays, more sophisticated adaptations of the CAPM are found, which allow us to explain

<sup>13</sup> some matters in finance that had remained unqualified for a couple of time. Considering such

discussion about the CAPM validity, this study aims to create a basis for reflection upon the
 conditional model, comparing it with the static one. In order to verify such facts, tests of

<sup>15</sup> conditional model, comparing it with the static one. In order to verify such facts, tests of <sup>16</sup> conditional models are examined (with beta varying throughout the exercise), something

<sup>17</sup> uncommonly studied in the literature. Such tests are suitable to incorporate variances and

18 covariance that change at long run. Methodological wise, the study tested the conditional

<sup>19</sup> CAPM model borrowing a leaf from Jagannathan and Wang (1996) using macroeconomics

<sup>20</sup> and financial variables from the Brazilian New Market. Based on our findings, there is

 $_{\rm 21}$   $\,$  evidence that the conditional CAPM of Jagannathan and Wang (1996) for the North

<sup>22</sup> American market is perfectly applicable to the Brazilian New Market.

23

24 Index terms— conditional CAPM, financial markets, portfolio.

## 25 1 Introduction

he last two decades witnessed a growth in numbers of empirical studies that examined the product capacity of the static version of Capital Asset Pricing Models (CAPM). Conclusions from these studies demonstrated that static CAPM was unable to give a reasonable explanation to cross-sectional variation of the average returns of the analyzed portfolios.

Costa Jr. ??1996) Emphasized this idea when he mentioned that an original version of CAPM of absolute 30 simplicity, recognized information of a greater relevance and applied it in a comprehensible manner. What 31 happens is that the hypothesis that surrounds this original version requires a market of a perfect competition, 32 which makes one to fear for lack of realism. Answers to this skeptism could be found in the empirical test done in 33 34 the current study, that is, what is important is not the realism of the hypothesis of startup, but, to know if it is 35 capable of concluding for the adjustment of the models to reality. Fama and French (1992) the ferrous critics of 36 CAPM performed multivariate tests (multiple regression) and found two variables that explain the greater part of cross-section variation of medium returns: Book Value/Market Value index have a positive correlation with 37 the returns of stocks while the variable as a whole is negative and significantly correlated and the beta appeared 38 insignificant in this test. Fama and French (1993) found in their model three statistically associated factors 39 that are significant as different from zero. This result suggests that the proxy of the factors associate's risks to 40 returns of the human capital and the betas are unstable. Notwithstanding, this model was able to explain the 41

42 cross-sectional of the expected returns.

The CAPM and it static version were and are of great importance in finance. Therefore, in today's applications we find complex adaptations of CAPM that enables one to envisage results for questions that are yet to be resolved in finance.

Based on this panorama therefore, and considering the whole scope of discussion that surrounds the validity of

CAPM, this study aims to present the advantages of the conditional or dynamic model (models that incorporate
 variances and covariances that changes during a space of time), in relation to a static model.

Therefore, we study the tests of conditional models (beta variance during a period) that are not commonly studied in literature. These tests are convenient in order to incorporate variances and covariances and changes in a future period. In the conditional model test, we highlight the studies of Jagannathan and Wang (1996), and Ferson and Harvey (1999). Bonomo (2002) mentioned yet, important studies about conditional CAPM among these, we cite Bodurtha and Mark (1991) where a beta of a group of assets is defined as a conditional covariance of error committed upon forecast of the returns on assets and the error on forecasted market returns. These models have various beta coefficients while the standard CAPM has only one.

Finally, this study is structured in five sections, firstly, being contemplation of introductory aspects of the study; the second section has the background of Conditional Capital Asset Pricing Model, thirdly, about the

58 methodological approach of Fama and MacBeth (1974).

# <sup>59</sup> 2 II. Background of Conditional Capital Asset Pricing Model

60 CAPM is defined as a model which relates an expected profitability of an asset in a certain market and equilibrium

61 with its undiversified risks, also known as beta. Besides Sharpe, other authors also formulate CAPM, in its static

<sup>62</sup> version. Among these authors are Lintner (1965), Mossin (1966) and Treynor. This version of static CAPM or <sup>63</sup> conditional has some consistent results when we perform empirical tests in order to verify the adherence capacity

of the model to the reality of some economies.

In all tests of non-conditional CAPM such as that of Fama and MacBeth (1974), Black, Jensen and Scholes (1972) it was supposed that beta would be static, that is, the assets systematic risk would not change.

Haugen ??1986) shows that Black, Jensen and Scholes consider that there is a positive linear relationship

between beta and the expected return. As a consequence of this fact, Black, Jensen and Scholes (1972) encounter

<sup>69</sup> in their test of CAPM a positive relationship between profitability and the beta. Merton (1973) shows that the <sup>70</sup> Consumption Capital Asset Pricing Model (ICAPM) had as an objective, generalize the CAPM model of Sharpe

70 Consumption Capital Asset Pricing Model (ICAPM) had as an objective, generalize the CAPM model of Sharpe 71 (1964) for an intertemporal context. The original ICAPM takes the hypothesis that the investors consumed all

the reaches after a period, such that the said reaches and the consumptions are confused.

The static CAPM of Sharpe-Lintner-Black, given as R i , which denotes the returns on, shares I and R m the portfolio market returns for all shares of the economy. The version of Black (1972) is: i R E ??? 1 0 ] [ + =(2.1)

where 0 ? and 1 ? are defined as expected market returns and risk Premium expected from the market respectively, and where i ? is defined as:i ? = i R Cov(, ] [ / ) m m R Var R , (2.2)

Fama and French (1992) followed Black (1972) and examined empirically the static CAPM, arriving at a
conclusion that, there is a weak relationship between medium return and the beta, and finding a strong evidence
against static CAPM.

Thus, Jagannathan and Wang (1996) developed a study which partially contradicts these evidences. In these same studies they observed that, upon application of CRSP index as a base for market portfolio, they found in their non-conditional model, implicit in the conditional CAPM, an explanation close to 30% of cross-sectional variation of the medium returns of 100 market portfolios, similar to that used by Fama and French (1992). For the implementation of CAPM therefore, is commonly used as proxy all the shares that are enlisted in the New York

Stock Exchange (NYSE) and the American Stock Exchange (AMEX), which could be considered as a reasonable proxy for the market returns on portfolio of all assets. However, Fama and French (1992) found that, upon usage

of that proxy, the same was not sufficient for a satisfactory analysis of the performance of CAPM.
As a result of this fact and in order to ameliorate the proxy, Jagannathan and Wang (1996) followed Mayers
(1972) and included in their models returns on human capital. When human capital is also included in the
portfolio of the market, the non-conditional model implicit in conditional CAPM conditional is then capable of

explaining more than 50% of the cross-sectional variation of the medium return. Besides this, the statistics tests
where unable to give answers as they reject the model.

# 94 **3 III.**

# 95 4 Methodology of Fama and

Macbeth (1974) Haugen ??1986) shows that Fama and MacBeth (1974) methodology introduced a significant
difference as related to the former tests, since they arrived at coherent results concerning fundamental forecasts
of CAPM ??Black, 1973 version).

Fama and MacBeth (1974) constituted 20 portfolios which contain shares enlisted in NYSE for the period of 1926 through 1929. Latter, they estimated the beta of each of the portfolios and highlighting the monthly returns of the market index for the period of 1930 through 1934. They used the betas of each of the portfolios of the

prior periods to forecast the monthly returns of the portfolios for the periods subsequent to 1935 through 1938.

The process estimating the market beta was repeated nine times until 360 estimations were ascertained which 103 was in the January 1935 through June of 1968. Haugen (1986) showed that in this case, Fama and MacBeth 104 adopted betas and returns from different periods. The estimated beta in a period is used to estimate interest 105 rate of returns for a future period. The results of these tests were very comforting, in that, CAPM gained the 106 107 supports of scientists after the publication of this study.

Even though the critics of the model are yet to find in various studies that takes it as literary support, amongst 108 these, one would observe the model produced by Jagannathan and Wang (1996) through Fama and MacBeth 109 (1974) that utilizes the same methodology. 110

#### IV. The Conditional capm Model 5 111

for Brazil using Returns of Brazilian Market 112

The selected variables (in the first place) are consisted of integral part of the Conditional CAPM Model for 113 Brazil. It refers to the portfolios constructed through the monthly share returns negotiated at the Stock Market 114 of São Paulo (Ibovespa), GDP of the market and, for the premium, the spread between Interfinances Operation 115 Deposit Index (DI), reported by the Central of Custody and Liquidation of Private Sector Papers (CETIP) and 116 the interest rate (Selic), that is aimed to serve as a forecast for the variations of the business cycle. 117

Using the approach cited above, seven portfolios were created for the Brazilian market, containing five shares 118 of Ibovespa during the period of jan/1992 through dec/2013. 119

The data were collected from the Central Bank of Brazil, and the Economática databases. 120

Following the steps above, Jagannathan and Wang (1996), used the returns of all the shares of NYSE and 121 AMEX and constituted 100 portfolios in function of size variable with monthly returns from July of 1963 to 122 December of 1990, summing 330 observations. For each portfolio one calculates a regression between shares that 123 compose the portfolio and the market indexes (NYSE and AMEX). 124

We created a time series of the monthly returns for each of the seven portfolios (Brazil). The model for the 125 moment is estimated using the method of generalized moment. Also, we used the average value of each of the 126 coefficients to determine their significance, and thus, the portfolios were gradually re-balanced annually. 127

According to Fama and MacBeth (1974) these portfolios were rebalanced period by period, before the 128 estimation of the beta attains the total of the estimation of the analyzed period. All the shares were attributed 129 the same weight in each portfolio. 130

An observation that confronts the literature review with the research deals with the prior decision as to selection 131 132 of the Brazilian index, as gearing the regional markets of the region. This implies an implicitly assumption that 133 the market is segmented. V.

134

#### Analysis of Results based on 6 135

Brazilian ibov espa 136

The regressions of the models are estimated using Fama & MacBeth (1974) methodology. The model was 137 estimated using the generalized model of the moments. Through the correction of the errors we verified that if 138 the residual variance has an effect on the price of the assets or the expected rate of returns and, base on the 139 results, there is no indication that the assets with residual variance greater than the average, produces rate of 140 return higher than the weighted average during the future period. Seven portfolios were constructed with five 141 shares in each one. The tested period ranged from january, 1992 through december 2013. For the Brazilian 142 143 market the premium is represented by spread between the interest rate of CETIP and that of SELIC. While in the human capital it is represented by the market Gross Domestic Product (GDP), the market proxy will be 144 Ibovespa. Thus, the equation that is being estimated for the Brazilian market would be as follows: -0,93 0,33 145 p-value: 0,00 0,00 Correction -t: 146

-0,28 0,09 Correction-p: 0,00 0,00 Estimate: 147

- -0,74 0,64 0,75 45,00 t-value: 148
- -0,46 0,16 4,80 p-value: 0,00 0,00 0,00 Correction -t: 149
- -0,35 0,67 2,66 Correction -p: 0,00 0,00 0,00 150

Results available in tables 5.1, above show that t value for C ibov is 0,33. The R 2 of the regression is only 151 8,50%. This means to say that the cross-sectional variance of the average returns is yet to be fully applied when 152 we use a static CAPM without the inclusion of the market GDP in the case of Brazil. 153

The model for the correction of errors as per estimation, is not significant. Thus, after correction of errors, 154 155 that treat the error of the model so that one would use this term to reflect on the behavior of the variables in 156 short run with its value a long run, that is, it is a means of reconciliation of the behavior in a short run of a variable with its behavior for a future period. The C ibov is not significantly different from zero. When the size 157 variable is introduced into the model, we found for C size a t-value of 4,80 and the R 2 rose to 45,00%. 158

Notwithstanding the increase of R 2 and the fact that the model did not present any significant changes after 159

the correction of the errors, the model appears inconsistent (because even after inclusion of the size variable, 160

for the Brazilian market, it does appears to not have been influenced as a result of the static model not absorb 161

the effects of this variable). Analysis of the Brazilian market appears to be in the same direction as conclusions 162 reached for the, the North American market. 163

The regressions of the models are estimated using Fama & MacBeth (1974) methodology. The model was 164 estimated using the generalized model of the moments. Through the correction of the errors we verified the if the 165 residual variance has an effect on the price of the assets or the expected rate of returns and, base on the results, 166 there is no indication that the assets with residual variance greater than the average, produces rate of return 167 higher than the weighted average during the future period. Seven portfolios were constructed with five shares 168 in each one. The tested period ranged from january, 1992 through December 2013. For the Brazilian market 169 the premium is represented by spread between CETIP and SELIC interests' rates, while the human capital is 170 represented by the GDP on the nation. The proxy of the market would be Ibovespa. The equation that is being 171 estimated for the Brazilian market is as follows: -0,99 -0,15 -0,80 p-value: 0,00 0,00 0,00 Correção -t: 172

-0,34 -0,22 -0,35 Correção -p: 0,00 0,01 0,00 Estimate: 173

-0,79 0,66 0,43 0,61 41,00 t-value: 174

-0,30 0,12 0,33 3,90 p-value: 0,00 0,18 0,32 0,00 Correção-t: 175

-0,24 0,03 0,26 2,50 Correção-p: 0,00 0,06 0,45 0,00 176

Results in table ??.2 above show that the estimated value for C premim , is not significantly different from 177 zero. The t-value for C premim is -0,80. The R 2 is only 11,20%. Note that the R 2 is similar to the result 178 encountered in the previous model. 179

When the model for the correction of errors is introduced the t-value for C premio becomes -0,45. When the 180 variable size is added to the model the t-value for C size comes to 3,90. And when one introduce the model for 181 correction of errors, the t-value for C size declines to 2,50 and R 2 grows to 41,00%. 182

The value of R 2 for the Brazilian market remained 41,00% (a value close to that found in the static CAPM) 183 and the estimated value for C premim , and after the correction of the errors, it became significantly different 184 from zero. This fact could be explained by noninclusion of market GDP. In this regards, the conditional model 185 appears to be more effective for the explanation of the cross-sectional variances average of the market returns for 186 Brazilian market. 187

The regressions of the models are estimated using Fama & MacBeth (1974) methodology. The model was 188 estimated using the generalized model of the moments. Through the correction of the errors we verified the if 189 the residual variance has an effect on the price of the assets or the expected rate of returns and, base on the 190 results, there is no indication that the assets with residual variance greater than the average, produces rate of 191 return higher than the weighted average during the future period. Seven portfolios were constructed with five 192 shares in each one. The tested period ranged from january, 1992 through december 2013. 193

For the Brazilian market the Premium is represented by spread between the CETIP and SELIC interest rates, 194 while the human capital is represented by the GDP of the Brazilian market. The market proxy would be Ibovespa. 195 The equation that is being estimated for the market is as follows: -0,95 0,25 -0,28 -0,51 p-value: 0,00 0,00 0,00 196 0,00 Correção -t: 197

-0,28 0,12 -0,19 -0,03 Correção-p: 0,00 0,03 0,18 0,12 Estimate: 198

-0,66 10,75 1,86 -1,28 0,71 53,00 t-value: 199

-0,34 0,31 0,18 -0,43 4,10 p-value: 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 Correção-t: 200

-0,11 0,02 0,10 -0,23 0,31 Correção-p: 0,23 0,12 0,56 0,01 0,00 201

Results showed by table ??.3 above show that the estimated value for C pib.mer, using Fama-MacBeth 202 methodology, is not significantly different from zero. The t-value is -0,51 and R 2 is 13,00%. 203

While in the Brazilian market, when one introduces a model for the correction of the errors tvalue for C 204 pib.merr drops to -0.23, the p-value goes to 0.31 and the coefficient C premio becomes significant. When the size 205 is added to the model, the t-value for C size becomes 4,10, and o R 2 rises to 53,00%. 206

The conditional CAPM with the inclusion of GDP of the Brazilian market appears to be closer in results at to 207 that of the United States. Besides that the C premio and C pib.mer variables have become significantly different 208 from zero after the correction of the errors, the consistence of the model does not seem to have been touched. 209 -0,89 0,80 -0,55 p-value: 0,00 0,00 0,00 Correção-t:

- 210
- -0,19 0,13 -0,03 Correção-p: 0,00 0,01 0,04 Estimate: 211
- -0,95 1,58 -1,35 0,78 52,00 t-value: 212
- -0,46 0,70 -0,66 3,80 p-value: 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 Correção-t: 213
- -0,12 0,02 -0,13 0,38 Correção-p: 0,02 0,03 0,15 0,00 214

Results found in tables 5.4 above show that the estimated value of C pib.merr , using Fama-MacBeth 215 methodology is not significantly different from zero. The t-value is -0.55 and R 2 is only 11.00%. However, 216 after the correction of the errors, we conclude that C lpib.mer becomes significantly different from zero as against 217 the North American market. 218

When we introduce the size variable, the t-value becomes 3,80 and R 2 grows to 52,00%. Besides the rise 219 of R 2 the model is not consistent. It is necessary to permit that beta varies at long run so that the expected 220 cross-sectional returns of the market would be explained. 221

## 222 **7** VI.

### 223 8 Final Comments

The static CAPM, without the inclusion of the human capital variable does not appear to satisfactorily explain the expected cross-sectional returns of the analyzed markets.

After inclusion of variable "size", the R 2 of all the models had an abrupt change. And besides this fact that the finding are being coherent with what is found in literature, we conclude that the models for the analyzed countries appears inconsistent for they did not present any changes in the parameters at long run.

The model did not appear to present satisfactorily the reality of the various economies. Firstly, because we know that business cycle is dynamic in most economy and as per models analyzed above this variable was not contemplated and secondly, because the market proxy would not just be enough to represent any economy.

The model needs to be ameliorated with the inclusion of new variables that better represent each market.

Therefore, we must not discard static CAPM, because it is capable of explaining the market for a determined space of time.

## <sup>235</sup> 9 Global Journal of Management and Business Research

236 Volume XIV Issue IV Version I Year 2014 ()

### 237 **10 C**

As different from the North American market, the Brazilian market have an increasing relations between the average returns of the portfolios and the size, thereby showing a substantially high returns for a bigger sized portfolio.

In relation to the conditional CAPM, without the inclusion of human capital variable we observed in the Brazilian case, the estimated value of C premim is not significantly different than zero for the new market shares However, when we introduce the model for the correction of errors variable C premim becomes significantly different from zero for the case of Brazil. In case of North America and even after adoption of the model for the correction of errors, the variable C premim continue to be significantly different from zero. This signifies that the risk premium drasticaly influenced the market analyzed. When the size variable is incremented to the model the R 2 rises proportionately for the Brazilian.

When the size variable is added to the model the R 2 suffers a considerable increase, even though the variable size presents some effects on the model. This means that the conditional CAPM, even without the inclusion of human capital, is able to explain the efficacy of the cross-sectional variance medium returns of the analyzed portfolios. This happens in that the size variable or size effect aggregately influenced the Brazilian Market.

In relation to the conditional model using New Market Ibovespa Portfolio we may conclude with no doubt that the power of explanation of the model increases reasonably for each one of the cases analyzed.

The model appears to be able to capture the effects of the dynamics of the economy. By introducing the size variable, the models have a considerable increase in their R 2, but note that this variable appear to be more significant in the Brazilian market as probably as a result of differences found in the composition of new market shares of these market.

Finally, there is evidence that the conditional CAPM of Jagannathan and Wang (1996) for the North American
 market is perfectly applicable to the Brazilian new market , Our finding in this study permits us to differentiate and also identify an important tool for the potential investor of these countries.

### $\mathbf{51}$

	Ε [	$\begin{array}{rrr} \mathrm{it} & ] & = & \mathrm{c} & 0 \\ \mathrm{R} & & \end{array}$	+ c si	ize $\log(i ME)$	) +	ibov c	?	+ c	premi
Coefficients:	C 0	C ib	DOV	C premi	0			C pib mer	
Estimate: t-value:	-2,47	1,30	)						

Figure 1: Table 5 . 1 :

260

 $<sup>^1 \</sup>rm Conditional$  CAPM Using Expected Returns of Brazilian Market from 1992 to 2013: A New Approach  $^2 \odot$  2014 Global Journals Inc. (US)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Conditional CAPM Using Expected Returns of Brazilian Market from 1992 to 2013: A New Approach © 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $\mathbf{52}$ 

	Ε [	it B	]	= c	+ c	size $\log(i ME)$	)	+ ibov	?	+ c	premio
Coeficientes:	C 0	10		0	C ibov	C prer	nio	C			C pib mer
Estimate: t-value:	-2,10				-1,19		-3,74				



53						
	Ε [	$ \begin{array}{ccc} \text{it} & ] & = c \\ \text{R} & & 0 \end{array} $	+ c	size log( i ) ME	+ ibov f	? + c premio
Coeficientes:	C 0		C ibov		C premio	C pib r
Estimate: t-value:	-1,01		2,65		2,60	-0,59

C pib mer



 $\mathbf{54}$ 

Ε [	it R	]	= c 0	+ size c	$\log($	i ) ME	+ ibov c	? + c	premið +
Coeficientes:		C 0	0	Ū.	C ibov		C premio		C pib mer
Estimate: t-value:		-1,43			$3,\!97$				-0,52

Figure 4: Table 5 . 4 :

- 261 [Berk ()] 'A critique of size-related anomalies'. Jonathan B Berk . Review of Financial Studies 1995. 8 p. .
- [Roll ()] 'A Critique of the asset pricing theory's tests'. Richard Roll . Journal of Financial Economics 1977. 4
   p. .
- [Merton ()] 'An intertemporal capital asset pricing model'. Robert C Merton . Econometrica 1973. 41 p. .
- [Kothari et al.] 'Another look at the cross-section of expected stock returns'. S P Kothari , Jay Shanken , Richard
   G Slo-An . Journal of Finance 50 p. .
- <sup>267</sup> [Black ()] 'Beta and return'. Fischer Black . Journal of Portfolio Management 1993. 20 p. .
- [Sharpe ()] 'Capital asset prices: A theory of market equilibrium under conditions of risk'. William F Sharpe .
   Journal of Finance 1964. 19 p. .
- [Black ()] 'Capital market equilibrium with restricted borrowing'. Fischer Black . Journal of Business 1972. 45
   p. .
- [Fama and French ()] 'Common risk factors in the returns on bonds and stocks'. Eugene F Fama , Kenneth R
   French . Journal of Financial Economics 1993. 33 p. .
- [Mossin (1966)] 'Equilibrium in a capital asset market'. J Mossin . Econometrica 1966. October.
- [Bonomo ()] Finanças Aplicadas ao Brasil, Marco Bonomo . 2002. São Paulo: FGV editora. p. .
- 276 [Haugen ()] R Haugen . Modern Investment Theory, (New Jersey) 1986. Prentice -Hall.
- [Bansal and Viswanayhan ()] 'No arbitrage pricing: A new approach'. Ravi S Bansal , Viswanayhan . Journal of
   *Finance* 1993. 48 p. .
- [Mayers ()] Nonmarketable assets and capital market equilibrium under uncertainty, David Mayers . 1972.
- [Fama and Macbeth ()] 'Risk, return and equilibrium. Empirical tests'. Eugene F Fama , James D Macbeth .
   Journal of Political Economy 1973. 81 p. .
- 282 [Costa and Da ()] Será que beta ainda é válido para explicar as variações nas rentabilidades médias das ações?
- 283 20º Encontro Anual da Associação Nacional dos Programas de Pós-graduação em Administração, N C A
   284 CostaJr , Da . 1996. Finanças. p. .
- [Michael and Jensen] Studies in the Theory of Capital Markets, C Michael , Jensen . p. .
- [Ribenboim ()] Testes de versões do modelo CAPM no Brasil. Finanças Aplicadas ao Brasil, Guilherme
   Ribenboim . 2002. São Paulo: FGV editora. p. .
- [Bodurtha and Jr ()] 'Testing the CAPM with time-varying risks and returns'. James N Bodurtha , Nelson C
   Mark Jr . Journal of Finance 1991. 46 p. .
- [Fama and Macbeth ()] 'Tests of The Multiperiod Two-Parameter Model'. Eugene F Fama , James D Macbeth
   Journal of Financial Economics 1974. 1 p. .
- [Black et al. ()] The capital asset pricing model: Some empirical tests, in Michael Jensen. Studies in the Theory
   of Capital Markets, Black , Michael C Fischer , Myron Jensen , Scholes . 1972. p. .
- [Jagannathan and Zhenyu ()] 'The CAPM is alive and well'. Ravi Jagannathan , Wang Zhenyu . Staff report
   1993. 165.
- [Jagannathan and Zhenyu (1996)] 'The Conditional CAPM and the Cross-Section of Expected Returns'. Ravi
   Jagannathan , Wang Zhenyu . Journal of Finance 1996. March. 51 p. .
- [Fama and French ()] 'The cross-section of expected stock returns'. Eugene F Fama , Kenneth R French . Journal
   of Finance 1992. 47 p. .
- [Ferson et al. ()] 'The risk and predictability of International equity returns'. Wayne E Ferson , R Campbell ,
   Harvey . *Review of Financial Studies* 1993. 6 p. .
- [Lintner ()] 'The valuation of risk assets and the selection of risk investments in stock portfolio and capital
   budgets'. John Lintner . *Review of Economics and Statistics* 1965. 47 p. .
- [Ferson and Harvey ()] 'The variation of economic risk premiums'. W E Ferson, C R Harvey. Journal of Political
   *Economy* 1999. 99 p. .