Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.

## Dividend Policy and Firm Performance: Evidence from the 1 Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Colombo Stock 2 Exchange 3 Prof. T.Velnampy<sup>1</sup>, Mr. P.Nimalthasan<sup>2</sup> and Miss. K.Kalaiarasi<sup>3</sup> Δ <sup>1</sup> University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka 5 Received: 9 December 2013 Accepted: 3 January 2014 Published: 15 January 2014

#### Abstract 8

6

Purpose: The main thrust of this study is to find out the relationship between dividend policy 9 and firm performance of listed manufacturing companies in Sri Lanka. Design: A set of listed 10 manufacturing companies have been investigated to using the data representing the periods of 11 2008 â??" 2012. Returns on equity and return on assets were used as the determinants of firm 12 performance whereas dividend payout and earnings per share were used as the measures of 13 dividend policy. The statistical tests were used includes: descriptive statistics, correlation and 14 regression analyses. Findings: The study found that determinants of dividend policy are not 15 correlated to the firm performance measures of the organization. Regression model showed 16 that dividend policy don?t affect companies? ROE and ROA. Further recomm-endations are 17 also put forwarded in the research. Research Limitations: The study only used data from the 18 2008-2012 annual reports. However, the findings have highlighted the effects of the firm 19 performance and dividend policy. Originality: The study contributes to literature in Sri 20 Lanka. Furthermore, the finding of the paper can be considered as helpful for managers and 21 users that are anxious to develop financial description quality and practices of dividend policy. 22

23

Index terms— firm performance, returns on equity, return on assets, dividend policy, dividend payout, 24 earnings per share, sri lanka. 25

#### 1 Introduction 26

ividend Policy has attracted great interest over the past decade. The widely held view that dividend policy has 27 an impact on the firm performance has led to increasing global attention. Sri Lanka a developing economy is 28 not immune to these developments. Investment activity is an activity faced with various risks and uncertainty 29 condition which is mostly difficult to predict by investors. There is much information, not only achieved from the 30 performance of the company, but also other relevant information, such as economic condition and the political 31 situation in a country which are needed by investors to reduce the risks rate and any uncertainty that possibly 32 appears. Information which is achieved from a company is commonly based on the company's performance, 33 34 reflected from the financial report. Based on the report, investors Author??? ?: Faculty of Management 35 Studies & Commerce, University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka. e-mails: tvnampy@yahoo.co.in, pnthasan@gmail.com, 36 kkarasi4@gmail.com could understand the company's performance and its capability to raise profits.

37 Dividend represents a distribution of earnings to the shareholders of a company that are usually declared at Annual General Meetings and paid to shareholders of record. Dividend or profit allocation decision is one of 38 the four decision areas in finance. The other three are financing, investment, and working capital management 39 decisions. As noted by Ross, Westerfield and Jaffe (2002) companies view the dividend decision as quite important 40 because it determines what funds flow to investors and what funds are retained by the firm for investment. 41 Dividend policy can also provide information to stakeholders concerning the company's performance. 42

Generally, the main purpose of investors when investing their assets is to search for income or the rate of return. Dividend is one of the sources of income in such circumstances; each company is forced to operate with high efficiency in order to maintain the quality and capability of competing to raise a net income with the best result. Therefore, a company determines dividends policy to look forward the profit gained that will be allocated into two components: dividends and retained earnings.

### 48 **2** II.

#### <sup>49</sup> **3** Review of Literature

The dividend policy and firm performance theories mentioned in section, dividend policy has been analyzed 50 for many decades, but no universally accepted explanation for companies' observed dividend behavior has 51 been established ??Samuel & Edward,2011). The behavior of dividend policy is one most debatable issue in 52 the corporate finance literature and still keeps its prominent place both in developed and emerging markets 53 (Hafeez & Attiya, 2009). ??ruitt and Gutman (1991) found that the following factors are important influences in 54 the amount of dividends paid, current and past years' profits, the year to year variability of earnings, the growth 55 of earnings and prior years' dividends. Foong, et al (2007) observed that although firms do not have obligations 56 to declare dividends on common stock, they are normally reluctant to change their dividend rate policy every 57 year as the firms strive to meet stockholders' expectation, build a good image A among investors and to signal 58 that the firm has stable earnings to the public. Many researchers have tried to uncover issues regarding the 59 dividend dynamics and determinants of dividend policy but we still don't have an acceptable explanation for the 60 observed dividend behavior of firms (Black, 1976; ??realey & Myers 2005). 61

Rozeff ??1982) is one of the first to propose a role for dividends in reducing agency-related losses, substituting for other bonding and auditing costs incurred by the firm. He finds that ownership concentration is negatively related to payout, which is consistent with the argument that greater insider concentration results in better monitoring thus reducing the need to pay dividends.

Kale and Noe (1990) in a related study opined that a firm's dividend basically indicates the stability of the firm's future cash flows. A review of related prior studies shows further that the main factors that influence a firm's dividend decisions include cash flow considerations, investment returns, after tax earnings, liquidity, future earnings, past dividend practices, inflation, interest, legal requirements and the future growth projection.

70 Dividends are compensatory distribution to equity shareholders for both time and investment risks undertaken.

71 Such distributions are usually net of tax and obligatory payments under debt capital and they represent a 72 depletion of cash assets of the company (Lipson et al., 1998). Amidu (2007) Investigated that dividend policy 73 affects firm performance as measured by its profitability. The results showed a positive and significant relationship 74 between return on assets, return on equity, growth in sales and dividend policy.

Oskar, Ivan, Oleksandr, Diw (2007) pointed that two perspectives. First, explore the determinants of the dividend policy in Poland. Second, test whether corporate governance practices determine the dividend policy in the non-financial companies listed on Warsaw Stock Exchange. The findings are based on the period 1998-2004. Quantitative measures on the quality of the corporate governance for 110 non-financial listed companies.

These results suggest that dividends may signal the severity of conflicts between controlling owners and minority shareholders. Those dividends in Poland have less of a signaling role than in the developed capital markets.

281 Zeckhauser & Pound (1990) revealed that found out that there is no significant difference among divid-end 282 payouts with or without large block shareholders. Dividend policy is the regulations and guidelines that a 283 company uses to decide to make dividend payments to shareholders (Nissim & Ziv, 2001). ??iller and Modighani 284 (1961) were the first to demonstrate that under certain assumptions (perfect market conditions, rational behavior 285 and perfect certainty), the value of the firm is independent of the way the firm chooses to finance its investments 286 and that all that matters is the firm's investment opportunities.

#### 87 **4** III.

# **5** Objectives of the Study

89 The following objectives are taken for the study.

1. To determine the relationship between firm performance and dividend policy for manufacturing companies listed on CSE. 2. To determine the impact of firm performance on dividend policy of manufacturing companies

- 92 listed on CSE.
- 93 IV.

## 94 6 Research Question

95 ? Is there any relationship between firm performance and dividend policy of manufacturing companies listed on
 96 CSE? ? Does firm performance of manufacturing companies listed on CSE have an impact dividend policy?

97 V.

# 98 7 Data Collection

<sup>99</sup> Data on dividend policy and firm performances were collected from secondary sources as Annual reports of the <sup>100</sup> manufacturing companies, Colombo stock exchange publications and URL of the Colombo stock exchange for <sup>101</sup> the period of 2008 to 2012.

### 102 **8** VI.

## 103 9 Sampling

The Colombo Stock Exchange (CSE) has 287 companies representing 20 business sectors as at 31 st January 2013. Out of 37 Manufacturing companies 25 companies were selected for the present study.

## 106 **10 VII.**

## 107 11 Methodology

The following dividend policy and firm performance are taken into accounts which are given below. The purpose 108 is to describe the research methodology of this study. Since the aim of the study was to test the effect of dividend 109 payout on firm performance, the design of the methodology was based on prior research into these relationships. 110 This section describes the method of data collection, the variables used to test the hypothesis and statistical 111 techniques employed to report the results. The regression models utilized to test the relationship between the 112 determines dividend policy such as dividend payout (DIP) and earnings per share (EPS) and firm performance 113 such as return on equity (ROE), and return on assets (ROA) are as follows. ROE = ? o + ? 1DIP + ? 2 EPS +114  $\tilde{N}?"$  ROA = ? o + ? 1 DIP + ? 2 EPS +  $\tilde{N}?"$  VIII. 115

# 116 **12** Conceptual Frame Work

117 The following conceptual model was formulated through the extensive literature.

# 118 13 Figure 1 : Conceptualization Model

119 The above model shows the relationship between the determinants of the dividend policy and firm performance.

# 120 **14 IX**.

## 121 15 Hypotheses

The following are the hypotheses formulated; H 1 : There is a significant relationship between firm performance and dividend policy.

124 H 2 : There is a significant impact of dividend policy on firm's performance.

## 125 **16 X**.

# <sup>126</sup> 17 Analysis and Interpretation

Descriptive statistics were carried out to obtain sample characteristics. Output of the descriptive statistics is presented in table 02. According to the descriptive statistics in table 02 for the independent variables indicate that average debt equity ratio and debt assets ratio. The descriptive statistics, data are well set, further return on equity, return on assets, dividend payout and earnings per shareare in the same level approximately among all the listed manufacturing companies in Sri Lanka.

132 Correlation analysis was carried out to find out the relationship between determinants of dividend policy and 133 the measures of firm performance. \*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level ??2-tailed).

According to the correlation in table 03 shows that the determinants of firm performance such as return on equity, return on assets, are not significantly correlated with dividend payout and earnings per share as the measures of capital structure it means companies are still not properly practiced dividend policy guidelines.

The regression analysis was performed to recognize the impact of firm performanceon dividend policy. The results of the analysis are given in The specification of the two variables is earnings per share and dividend payout in the model revealed the ability to predict firm performance and dividend policy. R2 Value of 0.057, and 0.079 which are in the models denote that 5.7% and 7.9% of the observed variability in firm performance and dividend policy can be explained by the differences in both the independent variables namely earnings per share and dividend payout.

Remaining 94.3% and 92.1% of the variance in firm performance and dividend policy is related to other variable which is not explained, because they are not depicted in the model. R2 values of 5.7% and 7.9% indicate that there may be number of variables which can have an impact on firm performance and dividend policy that need to be studied. Hence this area is indicated as a scope for future research. The results of the regression analysis in table 05 show that the coefficient for all two variables such as earnings per share and dividend payout is not significant. Further t values for all two variables of dividend policy are insignificant event at 5% level. It means that these variables are not contributing to the firm performance freturn on equity and return on assets.

# <sup>150</sup> 18 XII. Conclusion and Recommendation

The research aims to explore the relationship between dividend policy and firm performance of manufacturing companies listed on Colombo Stock Excha-nge. Out of 37 Manufacturing companies 25 companies were used for the period 2008-2012. The statistical tests were used includes: descriptive statistics, correlation and regression analyses.

<sup>155</sup> To conclude, listed companies under the Colombo stock exchange (CSE) are practicing dividend policy system.

<sup>156</sup> The results of the study provide evidence that the dividend policy measures are not significantly correlated

with earnings per share and dividend payout as dividend policy, return on equity and return on assets as firm

performancemeasures. So that hypotheses are rejected. R2 Value of liquidity and corporate governance 0.057, and 0.079 which are in the models denote that 5.7% and 7.9% of the observed variability in liquidity can be

and 0.079 which are in the models denote that 5.7% and 7.9% of the observed variability in liquidity can be explained by the differences in both the independent variables namely earnings per share and dividend payout.

Further dividend policy did not contribute tofirm performance of earnings per share and dividend payout.



Figure 1: 2 Global

161

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ © 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US)

1

Year	
2	
Global Journal of Management	Dividend Policy
and Business Research A Volume	
XIV Issue VI Version I ()	
Dividend Payout	Dividend Per Share (DPS) / Earning Per Share
	(EPS)*100
Earnings per Share	Net Income-Dividends on Preferred
	Stock/Average Outstanding Shares
	Firm Performance
Return on Equity	Net Income /Shareholders fund * 100
Return on Assets	Net Income /Total Assets*100

Figure 2: Table 1 :

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

	Ν	Range	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Return on Equity	25	106.65	-47.25	59.40	8.9894	18.55674
Return on Assets	25	97.01	-8.25	88.76	14.3020	18.58527
Dividend Payout	25	663.29	-144.47	518.82	38.1600	108.26356
Earnings per Share	25	81.91	-3.71	78.20	7.7017	15.53814

Figure 3: Table 2 :

3

	Return on Equity	Return on As- sets	Dividend Payout	Earnings per Share
Return on Eq- uity	1	.480 *	069	.235
,		(.015)	(.744)	(.259)
Return on Assets		1	200 (.337)	.220 (.291)

Figure 4: Table 3 :

#### $\mathbf{4}$

XI.

Regression Analysis

a) Impact of dividend policyon firm performance

Figure 5: Table 4 :

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

	Unstandardized Coefficients			Standa	ardized Coefficients				
Model	В		Std.	Error	Beta		$\mathbf{t}$		
DV	ROE ROA ROE			ROA	ROE	ROA	ROE	ROA	ROE I
(Constant)	$7.154 \ 13.628 \ 4.506$			4.459			1.588	3.056	.12'
Dividend Payout	007	-	.036	.035	042	-	203	861	.841
		.030				.177			
Earnings per Share	.274	.238	.249	.246	.230	.199	1.102	.968	.282
a. Dependent Variable	: ROE, ROA								

Figure 6: Table 5 :

- [Zeckhouser and Pound ()] 'Are large shareholders effective monitors? An investigation of share ownership
   and corporate performance'. R J Zeckhouser, J Pound . Asymmetric information, corporate finance and
   *investment*, R G Hubbard (ed.) (Chicago) 1990. The University of Chicago Press.
- [Kajananthan and Nimalthasan ()] 'Capital Structure and its impact on Firm Performance: A Study on Sri
   Lankan Listed Manufacturing Companies'. R Kajananthan , P Nimalthasan . Journal of Business and
   Management 2013. 1 (2) .
- [Velnampy ()] 'Corporate Governance and Firm Performance: A Study of Sri Lankan Manufacturing Companies'.
   T Velnampy . Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development 2013. 4 (3) p. .
- [Kajananthan ()] 'Corporate Governance Practices and Its Impact on Firm Performance: Special Reference to
   Listed Banking Institutions in Sri Lanka'. R Kajananthan . Global Journal of Management and Business
   Research 2012. (21) p. 12.
- [Velnampy et al. ()] 'Corporate Governance Practices and Liquidity Management: Special Reference of Selected
   Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Colombo Stock Exchange'. T Velnampy , P Nimalthasan , K
   Kalaiarasi . International Conference on Contemporary Managaement 2014. 1 p. .
- [Velnampy and Nimalthasan ()] 'Corporate Governance Practices, Capital Structure and Their Impact on Firm
   Performance: A Study on Sri Lankan Listed Manufacturing Companies'. T Velnampy , P Nimalthasan .
   Journal of Finance and Accounting 2013. (18) p. .
- [Nissim and Ziv ()] 'Dividend changes and future profitability'. D Nissim , D Ziv . Journal of Finance 2001. 56
  (6) p. .
- [Lipson et al. ()] 'Dividend initiations and Earnings surprises'. M Lipson , C P Maquieira , W Megginson .
   *Financial Management* 1998. 24 p. .
- [Samuel and Edward ()] 'Dividend Policy and Bank Performance in Ghana'. K A Samuel , M Y Edward .
   *International Journal of Economics and Finance* 2011. 3.
- [Miller and Modigliani ()] 'Dividend Policy, Growth, and the Valuation of Shares'. M H Miller , F Modigliani .
   *Journal of Business* 1961. 34 p. .
- [Kale and Thomas ()] 'Dividends, uncertainty and underwriting costs under asymmetric information'. J R Kale
   , H N Thomas . The Journal of Financial Research 1990. 13 p. .
- [Oskar et al. (2007)] Does Corporate Governance Affect Dividend Policy? Evidence from Poland, K Oskar , S
   Ivan , Oleksandr , B Diw . 2007. July, 2007.
- [Foong et al. ()] 'Firm Performance and Dividend-Related Factors: The Case of Malaysia'. S S Foong , N B
   Zakaria , H B Tan . Labuan Bulletin of International Business & Finance 2007. 5 p. .
- [Velnampy ()] 'Firm size on profitability'. T Velnampy . Global journal of management and business research,
   2010. 10.
- [Velnampy and Nimalathasan ()] 'Firm size on profitability'. T Velnampy , B Nimalathasan . Global journal of
   management and business research, 2010. 10.
- [Ross et al. ()] S A Ross , R W Westerfield , J Jaffe . Corporate Finance, 2002. McGraw-Hill Companies. (6th
   ed.)
- [Hafeez and Attiya ()] 'The Determinants of Dividend Policy in Pakistan'. A Hafeez , Y J Attiya . International
   *Research Journal of Finance Economics* 2009. 25 p. .
- 201 [Black ()] 'The Dividend puzzle'. F Black . The Journal of Portfolio Management 1976. 2 p. .
- [Pruitt and Gitman ()] 'The interactions between the investment, financing, and dividend decisions of major US
   firms'. S W Pruitt , L W Gitman . *Financial Review* 1991. 26 (33) p. .