

Can Substitution and Signaling Theories Explain the Relationship between External Audit Fees and the Effectiveness of Internal Corporate Governance?

Kamal Naser

Received: 7 December 2012 Accepted: 4 January 2013 Published: 15 January 2013

Abstract

Abstract- The aim of this study is to investigate the relationship between external audit fees and the effectiveness of internal corporate governance of non-financial companies listed on Abu Dhabi Securities Exchange (ADX) by testing substitution and signaling theories. To reach this aim, data were collected from the annual reports of all non-financial companies listed on the exchange for the year 2012. The result of the analysis showed partial support to substitution theory. Effective internal audit employed by nonfinancial Emirati companies listed on ADX appeared to be negatively related to external audit fees. The relations was, however, marginally significant.

Index terms— substitution theory, signaling theory, internal governance, audit fees

1 Introduction

The economies of the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries are mainly dependent on oil exports. This exposes their economies to fluctuations in oil prices. To minimize the effect of this problem, GCC countries adopted a new strategy leads to diversifying their economies. In this context, Abu Dhabi witnessed similarities with countries like Norway, where both are small in size and have huge oil reserves. Yet, the economy of Norway is more diversified. Abu Dhabi Economic vision 2030 focuses on economic diversification in line with the Norway model. Hence, Abu Dhabi Authorities are developing the infrastructure of the country and creating environment that laid down the basis to become the financial center of the region. To assure investors, the authorities introduced in the last few years important measures to promote best corporate governance practices across industries. In 2009, the Securities and Commodities Authorities (SCA) introduced new Corporate Governance Code applied to all publicly-owned non-financial companies listed on Abu Dhabi Securities Exchange (ADX) with effect from 30 April 2010. The code is in line with the international corporate governance standards. As a result of this, non-financial companies listed on ADX started to publish governance reports that contain, among other information, audit fees in their 2011 annual reports. Hence, investigating the relationship between external audit fees and the effectiveness of internal corporate governance of non-financial companies listed on ADX will be an important study since the listed companies never published governance reports before and corporate governance regulations vary across countries. In addition, limited number of companies are listed on ADX coincides with a number of local, regional and large international audit firms created imbalance in the supply and demand for external audit services. Furthermore, audit fees in the Arab Gulf region are influenced by personal relations. More importantly, even though an intensive research has been undertaken in the area of audit fees, research in exploring the relationship between external audit fees and corporate governance is still limited (Hay et al. 2006) and available research provides inconsistent evidence about the direction of the relationship. Hence, this study is expected to add a new dimension to the literature of the relationship between external audit fees and internal corporate governance.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Previous related studies and hypotheses development are offered in the following section. Section three explains data collection and study methodology. While the findings are presented in the fourth section, the conclusion is summarized in the last section.

2 II.

Y 2013 ear () D about the level of commitment reached by management to professional rules of good governance, transparency and accountability, and about existing measures to curb corruption. Hence, corporate governance assists in increasing the attractiveness of the economy for local and foreign investments and in ensuring competitiveness.

The effectiveness of internal corporate governance is related to external audit since the latter is viewed as being an important element of corporate governance. Knechel and Willekens (2006) noticed that the demand for external audit is influenced by risks faced by corporate shareholders and mechanisms employed to minimize these risks.

Effective corporate governance aims at improving quality of corporate reporting and audit. It promotes transparency and reliability of corporate reporting and this would minimize external audit risk. Effective corporate governance is further expected to enhance external audit independence since the governance committee appoints external audit firm and negotiates its fees (Zaman et al., 2011). Effective internal governance reduces external auditor's risk. This requires less effort by external auditor and in turn lower fees. Consequently, corporate governance is expected to impact the quality of external audit as well as the paid fees.

Although numerous studies explored factors influencing external audit fees, attention has been recently paid to the relationship between audit fees and internal corporate governance. As mentioned earlier, external audit is viewed as a sort of external governance and according to Fan and Wong (2005) it assists in mitigating agency conflict between shareholders and management and it reduces agency cost. Hence, management has incentive to appoint a well-established external auditor to assure shareholders, minimize agency cost and increase corporate value.

The relationship between internal corporate governance and audit fees can be explained by substitution and signaling theories (Wu, 2012).

According to substitution theory, a significant part of external audit is substituted by the work of internal corporate governance that results in high transparency and produces reliable and high quality financial statements. This is expected to reduce external auditor's risk of providing inaccurate audit opinion (McElveen, 2002; ?aman, 2004, 2007; Beasley et al., 2009 and Krishnan and Visvanathan, 2009). Carcello et al. (2002) indicated that effective internal corporate governance is associated with low audit risk. This reduces external auditor's effort and cost. Thus, effective internal corporate governance is expected to reduce agency cost and minimizes external audit's risk. As a consequence, effective internal corporate governance results in less external audit fees. Hence, an inverse relationship exists between effective internal corporate governance and external audit fees.

Empirical evidence on the direction of the relationship between effective internal corporate governance and external audit fees is inconsistent. Gul et al. (1998) reported negative association between effective internal corporate governance represented by the number of independent board of directors and external audit fees. Carcello et al. (2002) found that effective internal corporate governance results in less external audit risk and less external audit fees. Li and Wang (2006) pointed to significant negative relationship between the board of director characteristics and audit fees. They found external audit fees to be related to the number of independent members of the board directors. They, however, found insignificant relationship between external audit fees and the effectiveness of internal corporate governance represented by frequent number of meeting of the board of directors and the existence of the audit committee. Researchers such as Gregory and Collier (1996) and O'Sullivan (1999) found no relation between the effectiveness of internal corporate governance and external audit fees. On the other hand, Stewart and Munro (2007) In addition to the main independent variable the effectiveness of internal corporate governance, three explanatory variables were used in the current study as a control factors: corporate size measured by natural logarithm of total assets (LnAssets), level of leverage measured by long-term liabilities to total assets (Leverage) and the percentage of shares owned by institutional investors (PSOII).

Quality and quantity of audit required by small companies are different than that demanded by large companies due to the degree of complexity of their operations and transactions. More audit services and time are needed to audit larger companies and they are expected to pay higher fees than small ones (Palmrose, 1986; Carson, et al., 2004).

The second control variable used in the current study is leverage as a proxy of corporate risk. Level of corporate risk is considered as one of the main determinants of audit fees. External auditors make extra efforts with risky companies since such companies are subjected to close monitoring from shareholders as well as lenders. External auditors also devote extra effort when auditing highly leveraged companies to avoid lawsuits against them. This reality will be reflected in external audit fees.

The third control variable adopted in the current study is the percentage of shares owned by institutional investors. Existence of institutional ownership enhances the monitoring mechanism employed to scrutinize management behavior since they usually acquire the required qualifications and expertise to assess and observe management decisions. Hence, in addition to effective corporate governance, ownership structure plays an important role reducing agency cost. Large institutional shareholders might have a strong incentive than small investors to benefit from monitoring management (Aljifri and Moustafa, 2007; Ping and Wing, 2011). Companies with high percentage of shares held by institutional shareholders are more likely to perform well to assure those investors and meet their expectations, and thus, reduce agency costs (Barako et al., 2006; Naser et al., 2006). They also have stronger incentive to monitor management behavior more than board members who may have little or

no investment in the firm (Shleifer and Vishny, 1986). Hence, institutional ownership is expected to impact audit fees.

III.

4 Data Collection and Study Methodology

As mentioned earlier, non-financial companies listed on ADX are covered in this study. The number of Emirati companies listed on the exchange at the end of 2012 was 67. Out of this number, 32 companies were classified as being non-financial. In line with previous studies in this area of research, the focus will be on nonfinancial companies since the nature of these companies together with their external audit fees structure are different than that of the financial companies (Simunic, 1980; Basioudis and Fifi, 2004; attempt to improve the quality of the external audit by extending the scope the external auditor's work and this Turley and Zaman, 2007; and Beasley et al., 2009). They (Cameran, 2005). Thus, the 2012 annual reports together with the governance reports for all non-financial companies listed on ADX are used in this study.

After deciding on the target group of companies to be covered in the current study, it is important to define the effectiveness of internal corporate proxies have been employed to measure the governance. It is not easy to define effectiveness in terms of corporate governance. In the literature, different

5 Internal governance effectiveness index (IGEI)

was then developed by adding the score of the four features of the audit committee for all nonfinancial companies listed on ADX and covered in the current study.

6 $IGEI = ACS + ACI + ACFM + ACFE$

The dependent and independent variables put together in two regression models. While, in the first model the four features of the audit committee that represent corporate effectiveness were entered individually, in the second model the four individual features of governance effectiveness were replaced by IGEI. The two regression models are presented below.

7 $LnAF = ?$

8 Findings a) Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics concerning dependent and independent variables used in the regression models are summarized in table 1. It is evident from the table that Emirati nonfinancial companies listed on ADX vary in their characteristics. Audit fees paid by the companies range from 11.16 to 14.97 in logarithms with a mean of 12.48. Companies' sizes measured by the natural logarithm of the company's total assets also varied from 18.62 to 25.11 in logarithm terms, with a mean of AED 21.29. Furthermore, the level of leverage measured by long-term total liabilities to total assets ranged from zero to 0.75 with a mean of 0.16. This indicates that the Emirati companies do not rely on long-term borrowings to finance their activities and reflects the Islamic culture of the country that prohibits dealing with riba (paying or receiving interest).

Another important feature of the Emirati nonfinancial companies listed on ADX and appeared in table 1 is that significant proportion of companies shares are owned by institutional investors as reflected by the mean. The highly reported standard deviation of the percentage of shares owned by institutional investors points to a significant variations among the companies.

(2011) used four features of the audit committee to proxy effectiveness: audit committee size, audit effectiveness of corporate governance. Zaman et al.

9 b) Correlation

Pearson correlation has been utilized to identify the extent of association between the variables used in the regression model. The results are shown in Table ???. The Table ??? points to a number of significant correlations among the variables. Significant and positive associations detected between external audit fees and each of corporate size and the frequency of audit committee meetings. Significant and positive association has been also detected between corporate size and the frequency of audit committee meetings, between the effectiveness of the internal governance index and each of the audit committee and frequency of the audit committee's meetings. On the other hand, table ??? showed negative and significant association between the size of the audit committee and financial experience of the audit committee members.

The largest reported correlation value among the variables as appeared in Table ??? was between the size of the audit committee and the financial expertise of the audit committee members (-0.709). This value is, however, lower than the critical value of 0.80 (Judge et al., 1988; Ryman and Cramer, 2005). This gives indication that multicollinearity does not seem to be a serious problem. In addition, the Variance Inflation Factors (VIFs) reported in Tables 3 and 4 were all well below the critical value of 10 used to assess the strength of collinearity. Neter et al. (1989)

10 c) Regression Analysis

Two regression models are estimated. In the first one, the four features of audit committee that represent effectiveness entered separately as independent variables. In the second model, all four features were combined together in an effectiveness index (IGEI) as one independent variable. The results of the two regressions are summarized in tables 3 and 4. It is obvious from tables 3 and 4 that the two regression models are powerful as reflected by the reported F-values. However, in the second model, where the effectiveness index replaced individual features of the effectiveness of the audit committee, F-value came higher than the first model. This indicates that the second model is more significant than the first model. An additional important point to notice in Tables 3 and 4 is that adjusted R² for the second model (0.661) is higher than that related to the first model (0.559). This means that the explanatory variables used in the second model explain 66% of the variations in the dependent variable, whereas explanatory variables employed in the first model only explain 56% of the variations in dependent variable. In the two regression models corporate size measured by the total assets and the percentage of shares owned institutional investors appeared to be positively and significantly associated with external audit fees of the nonfinancial companies listed on ADX. While positive relationship appeared in the two tables between external audit fees and level of corporate leverage, the relationship was statistically significant only in the second model. As for the four effectiveness features of the audit committee reported in table 3, negative association reported between Y 2013 ear () D all of them with the external audit fees except for the frequency of the meetings of the audit committee where the sign of the relation was positive. On the other hand, table 4 pointed to a negative association between external audit fees and governance effectiveness measured by the index. The relationship is, however, marginally significant. Negative relationship between the effectiveness of internal governance measured by four features of the audit committee individually and external audit fees except for the frequency of audit committee's meeting is in line with previous research (Gul et al., 1998; Carcello et al., 2002; Li and Wang, 2006). Positive association between the effectiveness of corporate internal governance represented by the frequency of the audit committee meetings and external audit fees is also found by (Goodwin- Stewart and Kent, 2006; Stewart and Munro, 2007). This result may reflect the nature of the Arab culture. It's very likely that the members of the committees in the Arab Gulf region are paid for attending the meetings. In addition, expenses incurred by committee members are covered by the company. Auditing committee members' remunerations together with their expenses may require extra work by the external auditor. On the other hand, when the internal governance effectiveness index (IGEI) was used to explain variations in audit fees, negative relation emerged between it and external audit fees. The fact that the resulted negative relation was marginally significant is due to the fact that corporate governance is recently adopted by Emirati nonfinancial companies listed on ADX and companies have limited experience in this field. The effectiveness of internal corporate governance is expected to improve by time. It is, therefore, worthwhile to conduct the same study in 3 years times to identify possible improvement in the level of internal corporate governance in the UAE and relate the resulted level with external audit fees.

V.

11 Conclusion

This study is set out to investigate the relationship between external audit fees and the effectiveness of internal corporate governance of nonfinancial companies listed on Abu Dhabi Securities Exchange (ADX) by testing substitution and signaling theories. According to substitution theory, effective internal corporate governance, represented by the audit committee, substitutes external auditor's work. This reduces external auditor's risk and hence reduces its fees. On the other hand, signaling theory is related to agency theory in suggesting that management would use internal audit effectiveness to recruit external auditor who subjects corporate management to rigorous and intensive audit to signal to the stakeholders that management works to their interest. To reach this aim, data were collected from the annual reports of all nonfinancial companies listed on the exchange for the year 2012. result of the analysis showed partial support to substitution theory. Effective internal audit employed by nonfinancial Emirati companies listed on ADX appeared to be negatively related to external audit fees. The relations is, however, marginally significant.

12 Bibliography

¹© 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)

²2 of these companies were non-Emirati companies and excluded from the sample employed in this study.



Figure 1: 0 +? 1

in turn increase audit fees (Collier and Gregory, 1996; Abbott et al., 2003; and Turley and Zaman, 2004). In this respect, Zaman et al. (2011) contended that firms with effective internal governance devote more time to monitor external audit more effectively than firms with low quality internal governance to minimize potential risk litigation and maintain their reputation. This would increase the scope of external audit to ensure its quality.

As a consequence, external audit fees will be high. In other words, external audit fees are positively related to the level of internal corporate governance. This move by management results in more trust in the company and allows the company easy access to various sources of fund at a lower cost.

The results of the empirical testing of signaling theory is not clear. In this respect, Gul et al. (1998) investigated the relationship between agency costs and external audit fees and reported a positive association between the two variables. Carcello et al. (2002) investigate the association between board of director characteristics and external audit fees using Fortune 1000 data. They reported a significant positive relationship between audit fees and board independence, expertise and diligence. Similarly, Goodwin-Stewart and Kent (2006) examined the effect of the existence of audit committee and audit committee

effectiveness on audit fees in a sample of Australian companies and found that the existence of an audit

committee, more frequent committee meetings and increased use of internal audits to be associated with high audit fees. Knechel and Willekens (2006) found companies with audit committees and larger proportion of independent board members tend to pay high audit fees. On the other hand, O'Sullivan (1999) found no significant association between external audit fees and the board of directors and the characteristics of audit committee. It is therefore hypothesized that: Hypothesis 2: External Audit Fees are Directly Related to Good Internal Corporate Governance

2013

Y
earVolume
XIII
Is-
sue
V
Ver-
sion
I()
D

Hypothesis Global
1: Ex- Jour-
ternal nal
Audit of
Fees Man-
are In- age-
versely ment
Related and
to Ef- Busi-
fective ness
Internal Re-
Cor- search
porate
Govern-
ance
Under
sig-
naling
theory,
man-
age-
ment
of com-
panies
with
agency
prob-
lems
have
incen-

1

	Mean	Median	Std. Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Lnaudit	12.4774	12.509	0.85972	11.16	14.97
Lnassets	21.2855 .1592	21.1444	1.38473 .17751	18.62	25.11
Leverage		.1038		00	0.75
PSOII	43.1123	42.74	27.84393	0	97.78
IGEI	1.9667	2	0.80872	1	4
ACFM	0.4667	0	0.50742	0	1
ACFE	0.6333	1	0.49013	0	1
ACI	0.5667	1	0.50401	0	1
ACS	0.3	0	0.46609	0	1

Figure 3: Table 1 :

Talbe 2 : Correlations Between Variables Employed in

Lnaudit	Lnassets	Lnaudit	Lnassets	Leverage	PSOII	IGEI	1.000	.703 **	1.000	.000	.281	-.136	1.000	.132	.47
Leverage	PSOII														
IGEI	ACS	ACI													
ACFM															

	.001		.006	.020553.000
ACFE	-.332		-.294	.045 .142
				.007
	.073		.115	.813973.454

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Figure 4:

3

Variables	R 2 = 0.665	Adj. R 2 = 0.559	F = 6.249	Sig. F = 0.000
	Beta	T	Sig.	VIF
(Constant)		1.844	.079	
Lnassets	.629	4.117	.000	1.532
Leverage	.161	.852	.403	2.357
PSOII	.247	1.917	.068	1.091
ACS	-.117-	-.578-	.569	2.685
ACI	-.121-	-.838-	.411	1.380
ACFM	.394	.144	.617	1.380
ACFE	-.169-	-.874-	.392	2.460

Figure 5: Table 3 :

4

Variables	R 2 = 0.708	Adj. R 2 = 0.661	F = 15.153	Sig. F = 0.000
	Beta	T	Sig.	VIF
(Constant)		.797	.433	
Lnassets	.832	7.242	.000	1.130
Leverage	.402	3.355	.003	1.227
PSOII	.237	2.110	.045	1.077
IGEI	-.167-	-1.392-	.176	1.235

Figure 6: Table 4 :

- [Bahrain] , Bahrain . *International journal of auditing* 4 (2) p. .
- [Joshi and Al-Bastaki ()] , P Joshi , H Al-Bastaki . 2000. (Determinants of audit fees: evidence from the companies listed in)
- [Abbott et al. ()] L J Abbott , S Parker , G F Peters , K &raghunandan . *The association between audit committee characteristics and audit fees*, 2003.
- [Gregory and Collier ()] 'Audit fees and auditor change; an investigation of the persistence of fee reduction by type of change'. A Gregory , P Collier . *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting* 1996. 23 (1) p. .
- [Palmrose ()] 'Audit fees and auditor size: Further evidence'. Z V Palmrose . *Journal of Accounting Research* 1986. 24 (1) p. .
- [Carson et al. ()] 'Audit fees and market segmentationfurther evidence on how client size matters within the context of audit fee models'. E Carson , N Fargher , D T Simon , M H Taylor . *International Journal of Auditing* 2004. 8 (1) p. .
- [Cameran ()] 'Audit fees and the large auditor premium in the Italian market'. M Cameran . *International Journal of Auditing* 2005. 9 (2) p. .
- [Vermeer et al. ()] 'Audit fees at US non-profit organizations'. T E Vermeer , K Raghunandan , D A &forgione . *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory* 2009. 28 (2) p. .
- [Hay et al. ()] 'Audit fees: A Meta-analysis of the effect of supply and demand attributes'. D C Hay , W R Knechel , N Wong . *Contemporary Accounting Research* 2006. 23 (1) p. .
- [Choi et al. ()] 'Audit office size, audit quality, and audit pricing'. J.-H Choi , C Kim , J.-B Kim , Y &zang . *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory* 2010. 29 (1) p. .
- [Wang et al. ()] 'Audit pricing and auditor industry specialization in an emerging market: Evidence from China'. K Wang , O Sewon , Z Iqbal . *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation* 2009. 18 p. .
- [Verbruggen et al. ()] *Audit pricing in a reformed nonprofit market*, S Verbruggen , J Christiaens , A M Reheul , T Van Caneghem . 2011. HUB Research Papers.
- [Huang et al. ()] 'Auditor industry specialization, client bargaining power, and audit fees: Further evidence'. H.-W Huang , L.-L Liu , K Raghunandan , D V Rama . *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory* 2007. 26 (1) p. .
- [Wang et al. ()] 'Auditor Market Share and Industry Specialization of Non-Big 4 Firms'. K Wang , O Sewon , Z Iqbal , L M Smith . *Journal of Accounting and Finance* 2011. 11 (2) p. .
- [Wang and Zhou ()] 'Auditor's choice by China's listed companies and its governance role'. P Wang , L A Zhou . *China Accounting Review* 2006. 2 p. .
- [Carcello et al. ()] 'Board Characteristics and Audit Fees'. J Carcello , D Hermanson , T Neal , R RileyJr . *Contemporary Accounting Research* 2002. 9 (3) p. .
- [O'sullivan ()] 'Board Characteristics and Audit Pricing Post-Cadbury: A Research Note'. N O'sullivan . *European Accounting Review* 1999. 8 p. .
- [Li and Wang ()] 'Board characteristics and ratios of audit fee to asset'. B X Li , P X Wang . *China Accounting Review* 2006. 1 p. .
- [Glaum and Street ()] 'Compliance with the disclosure requirements of Germany's new market: IAS versus US GAAP'. M Glaum , D L Street . *Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting* 2003. 14 p. .
- [Wang ()] 'Control chain, agency conflicts and auditor choice'. Y Wang . *Accounting Research (in Chinese)* 2009. 6 p. .
- [Wu ()] 'Corporate governance and audit fees: Evidence from companies listed on the Shanghai Stock Exchange'. X Wu . *China Journal of Accounting Research* 2012. 5 p. .
- [Lifschutz ()] 'Corporate Governance Characteristics and External Audit Fees: A Study of Large Public Companies in Israel'. S Lifschutz . *International Journal of Business and Management* 2010. 5 (3) p. .
- [Liu and Hu ()] 'Corporate governance, agency costs and audit pricing: an empirical analysis on Chinese A-stock market panel data from'. M H Liu , B Hu . *Research on Financial and Economic Issues* 2006. 2001 to 2003. 2 p. .
- [Ping and Wing ()] 'Corporate governance: A summary review on different theory approaches'. Z Ping , C Wing . *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics* 2011. 68 p. .
- [Haniffa and Cooke ()] 'Culture, governance and disclosure in Malaysian corporations'. R M Haniffa , T E Cooke . *Abacus* 2002. 38 (3) p. .
- [Gonthier-Besacier and schatt ()] 'Determinants of audit fees for French quoted firms'. N Gonthier-Besacier , A &schatt . *Managerial Auditing Journal* 2007. 22 (2) p. .

- [Naser and nuseibeh ()] 'Determinants of audit fees: empirical evidence from an emerging economy'. K Naser , R &nuseibeh . *International Journal of Commerce and Management* 2008. 17 (3) p. .
- [Thinggaard and kiertzner ()] 'Determinants of audit fees: evidence from a small capital market with a joint audit requirement'. F Thinggaard , L &kiertzner . *International Journal of Auditing* 2008. 12 (2) p. .
- [Hassan and Naser ()] 'Determinants of Audit Fees: Evidence from an Emerging Economy'. Hassan , K Naser . *International Business Research* 2013. 6 (8) p. .
- [El-Gammal ()] 'Determinants of audit fees: Evidence from Lebanon'. W El-Gammal . *International Business Research* 2012. 5 (11) p. .
- [Naser et al. ()] 'Determinants of corporate social disclosure in developing countries: the case of Qatar'. K Naser , A Al-Hussaini , D Al-Kwari , R &nuseibeh . *Advances in International Accounting* 2006. 19 p. .
- [Krishnan and Visvanathan ()] 'Do Auditors Price Audit Committee's Expertise? The Case of Accounting versus Non-Accounting Financial Experts'. J Krishnan , G Visvanathan . *Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance* 2009. 24 (1) p. .
- [Hay et al. ()] 'Evidence on the Impact of Internal Control and Corporate Governance on Audit Fees'. D Hay , W R Knechel , H Ling . *International Journal of Auditing* 2008. 12 p. .
- [Larcker and Richardson ()] 'Fees paid to audit firms, accrual choices, and corporate governance'. D F Larcker , S A Richardson . *Journal of Accounting Research* 2004. 42 (3) p. .
- [Gul et al. ()] F A Gul , J S L Tsui , C J P Chen . *Agency Costs and Audit Pricing: Evidence on Discretionary Accruals*, 1998. (Working Paper)
- [Shleifer and Vishny ()] 'Large Shareholders and Corporate Control'. Andrei Shleifer , Robert W Vishny . *Journal of Political Economy* 1986. 94 (3) p. .
- [McElveen (2002)] M McElveen . *New Rules, New Challenges', Internal Auditor*, 2002. December. p. .
- [Wahab et al. ()] 'Political connections, corporate governance and audit fees in Malaysia'. Abdul Wahab , E A Zain , M M James , K . *Managerial Auditing Journal* 2011. 26 (5) p. .
- [El'fred and Divesh ()] 'The association between corporate governance and audit fees of bank holding companies'. B El'fred , S Divesh . *Corporate Governance* 2008. 8 (1) p. .
- [Beasley et al. ()] 'The Audit Committee Oversight Process'. M J Beasley , D Carcello , T Hermanson , Neal . *Contemporary Accounting Research* 2009. 26 (1) p. .
- [Turley and Zaman ()] 'The Corporate Governance Effects of Audit Committees'. S Turley , M Zaman . *Journal of Management and Governance* 2004. 8 p. .
- [Clatworthy and Peel ()] 'The effect of corporate status on external audit fees: Evidence from the UK'. M A Clatworthy , M J Peel . *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting* 2006. 1 (2) p. .
- [Stewart and Munro ()] 'The impact of audit committee existence and audit committee meeting frequency on the external audit: Perceptions of Australian auditors'. J Stewart , L Munro . *International Journal of Auditing* 2007. 11 (1) p. .
- [Aljifri and Moustafa ()] 'The impact of corporate governance mechanisms on the performance of UAE firms: an empirical analysis'. K Aljifri , M Moustafa . *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences* 2007. 23 (2) p. .
- [Basioudis and fifi ()] 'The market for professional services in Indonesia'. I G Basioudis , F &fifi . *International Journal of Auditing* 2004. 8 (2) p. .
- [Simunic ()] 'The pricing of audit services: Theory and evidence'. D A Simunic . *Journal of Accounting Research* 1980. 18 (1) p. .
- [Peel and Clatworthy ()] 'The Relationship Between Governance Structure and Audit Fees Pre-Cadbury: Some Empirical Findings'. M J Peel , M A Clatworthy . *Corporate Governance: An International Review* 2001. 9 (4) p. .
- [Knechel and Willekens ()] 'The Role of Risk Management and Governance in Determining Audit Demand'. W R Knechel , M Willekens . *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting* 2006. 33 p. .
- [Goodwin-Stewart and Kent ()] 'The use of internal audit by Australian companies'. J Goodwin-Stewart , P Kent . *Managerial Auditing Journal* 2006. 21 (1) p. 81.
- [Turley and Zaman ()] S Turley , M Zaman . *Audit Committee Effectiveness: Informal Processes and Behavioural Effects', Accounting*, 2007. 20 p. .
- [Tagesson et al. ()] *What explains the extent and content of social and environmental disclosures on corporate websites: a study of social and environmental reporting in Swedish listed corporations*, T Tagesson , V Blank , P Broberg , S O Collin . 2009.
- [Zaman et al. ()] M Zaman , M Hudaib , R Haniffa . *Corporate Governance, Audit Fees And Non-Audit Services*, 2011. 38 p. .