

# Exchange Rate and Macroeconomic Performance in Nigeria: A Causal Post Structural Adjustment Programme Investigation

Tamunonimim A. Ngerebo-A<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Rivers State University of Science and Technology

*Received: 13 December 2012 Accepted: 4 January 2013 Published: 15 January 2013*

## Abstract

This paper investigates the causal relationship between exchange rate, balance of payment, external debt, external reserves, gross domestic product growth rate and inflation rate in Nigeria post Structural Adjustment Programme (SAP). Annual time series data 1987-2011 were used as the research sample period. The data were sourced from CBN Statistical Bulletin and Annual Reports of various years. We applied the ADF and PP unit root tests to check the stationarity of the variables. Gross domestic product growth rate and external reserve were stationary at both levels I (0) and I (1). The Johansen cointegration test, equation estimation and Granger causality tests were applied. Johansen cointegration result shows that there exists a long-run equilibrium relationship among the indicators. The Granger causality test between the dependent and independent variables shows a unidirectional causality from exchange rate to BOP, external reserves and gross domestic product growth rate. The independent variables indicate a unidirectional causality from gross domestic product growth rate to external reserve.

**Index terms** — Exchange Rate, Macroeconomic Indicators, Cointegration between the dependent and independent variables shows a unidirectional causality from exchange rate to BOP, external reserves and gross domestic product growth rate. The independent variables indicate a unidirectional causality from gross domestic product growth rate to external reserve. On the whole this paper has provided empirical evidence that there is a causal relationship between exchange rate and some macroeconomic indicators in Nigeria post SAP. These indicators however impact on the determination of exchange rate in Nigeria. Certain policy implications arise from this finding. It demonstrates the need for monetary authorities to learn from past exchange rate management and come up with a monetary policy framework that complies the existing exchange rate policy and ensures stability.

## 1 I.

## 2 Introduction

Exchange rate is the ratio between a unit of one currency and the amount of another currency for which that unit can be exchanged at a particular time. Exchange rate plays a vital role in a country's level of trade, which is critical for every free market economy in the world. It is therefore not surprising that, exchange rate is among the most watched, analyzed and government manipulated macroeconomic indicator. Most countries attempt to moderate their domestic currency fluctuations by imposing restrictions on exchange rate movements (Benita and Lauterbach, 2007). It is a key macroeconomic measure in the context of general economic reform programmes and because of its importance government takes active part in its determination. Specifically, it is important as the connection between the price systems of countries, as price in the allocation of real resources among tradable and non-tradable sectors, as a promoter or otherwise of imports and exports, and as an instrument in the design of the balance of payment programme of countries.

Economic history has shown that there are two common concepts of exchange rate namely nominal exchange rate and real exchange rate. The nominal exchange rate (NER) is a monetary concept which measures the relative price of two countries' moneys or currencies, e.g., naira in relation to the U.S. dollar (e.g., N1 28.00: US\$ 1.00) and vice versa. The monetary concept informs on how much the price level of international goods has risen/fallen relative to domestic prices as a result of changes in the exchange rate. Real exchange rate (RER), on the other hand, is the concept that measures the relative price of two goods -tradable goods (exports and imports) in relation to non-tradable goods (goods and services produced and consumed locally). There is a link between the two concepts in that changes in the NER can cause short-run changes in the RER. For example, a NER devaluation (depreciation will have the effect of depreciating the RER). It is important to note that since the introduction of the Second Tier Foreign Exchange Market (SFEM) under SAP in Nigeria in 1986, the first definition of exchange rate has been most pronouncedly used.

Analysis of Nigeria's exchange rate movement from 1970-2005 showed that there exists a causal relationship between the exchange rate movements and some macroeconomic indicators, though not directional. Consequently, it has been contentious to conclude that the depreciation in exchange rate predicts changes in other macroeconomic variables such as inflation, GDP growth, and fiscal deficit/GDP ratio, and vice versa.

This paper attempts to improve on existing literature by investigating empirically (1) Exchange rate policy in Nigeria has undergone substantial transformation since post-independence era when the country operated a fixed exchange rate system up to the early 1970s and then from 1986 when a market-based exchange rate system was introduced in the context of the Structural Adjustment Programme (SAP). Before 1973, Nigeria's exchange rate policy was in consonance with the IMF par value or fixed exchange system. The Nigerian currency had its exchange rate largely subjected to administrative management because it was not a traded currency. The exchange rate was dictated by the fortunes or otherwise of the British Pound Sterling up to 1967 when the pound was devalued and thereafter to the dollar. The naira was adjusted in relation to the dollar following the breakdown of the IMF par value system in December of 1971. In 1978, the naira was pegged to a basket of 12 currencies comprising Nigeria's major trading partners. This policy was abolished in 1985 in favour of quoting the naira against the dollar. The main objectives of exchange rate policy during this period were to: (a) equilibrate the balance of payments; (b) preserve the value of external reserves; and (c) maintain a stable exchange rate. Although, a number of ad-hoc measures were adopted to realize the policy objectives, it can be said that economic objectives played a major role in determining the exchange rate. Thus, throughout the 1970s, except 1976 and 1977, the nominal exchange rate appreciated every year. The policy encouraged heavy reliance on imports which ultimately led to balance of payments problems and depletion of external reserves. Nevertheless, up to the time of SAP, exchange rate policy encouraged the overvaluation of the naira as reflected in real exchange rate appreciation, particularly in the 1970s (Obadan, 1993b(Obadan, , 1994(Obadan, and 1995)). A major factor in the real exchange rate appreciation was the sharp increase in oil prices and foreign exchange inflows. The exchange rate generally mirrored movements in oil prices. The real appreciation of the exchange rate encouraged imports and capital flight, discouraged non-oil exports and helped to sustain the manufacturing sector's over dependence on imported inputs. The agricultural sector was seriously undermined. Annual production of major cash crops (cocoa, rubber, cotton, and groundnut) fell by 42, 29, 65 and 64 per cent, respectively, between 1970 and 1985 (Osaka, Masha, Adamgbe, 2003: 329).

### 3 b) Exchange Rate Management Post SAP

This can be examined from the perspective of exchange rate policy objectives, strategies and frameworks, movements and their effects. The core objectives of the adjustment and reform programme include the adoption of a realistic foreign exchange rate policy, stimulation of domestic production and broadening of the supply base of the economy, improved trade and payment liberalization and privatization of public sector enterprises among others (Soludo, 1993: 51). Under SAP, the exchange rate strategy was to float the naira and establish an institutional framework for its trading in a market-determined environment. SFEM was expected to evolve an effective mechanism for exchange rate determination and allocation of foreign exchange in order to guarantee short-term stability and long-term balance of payments equilibrium. SFEM began as a dual exchange rate system which produced the official first-tier exchange rate and the SFEM or market determined exchange rate.

The essence of the dual exchange rate system was to avoid a deliberate uniform and sizeable depreciation of the naira but to allow it to depreciate in the SFEM while at the same time the monetary authorities would continue a downward adjustment of the first-tier rate until the two rates converge to produce a realistic exchange rate. This convergence was achieved on July 2nd, 1987 at the rate of N3.74: \$1.00. But some analysts described it as forced (Ojameruaye, 1991). Essentially, the objectives of SFEM include the following: achievement of a realistic exchange rate determined by the market forces; more efficient resource allocation through the substantial reduction of fraudulent and wasteful transactions; stimulation of nonoil exports; encouragement of foreign exchange inflows and discouragement of outflows; enhanced revenue for government; redressing of the gross imbalances in rural-urban incomes and welfare; and elimination of currency trafficking and wiping out of unofficial parallel foreign exchange market. Thus, the ultimate expectation was that the exchange rate policy and management actions would lead to an improvement in the BOP position and ensure large degree of convertibility of the naira.

---

## 4 III.

### 5 Methodology a) Research Design

Our econometric model is with emphasis on six macroeconomic indicators, using ordinary least squares. Our choice of the OLS approach is premised on the Gauss-Markov theorem which postulates that the least squares technique is the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE), with which straight line trend equations could be estimated. The sample consists of 25 annual data from 1987 to 2011 obtained from CBN Statistical Bulletin of 2013 and Annual reports of various issues.

### 6 b) Model Specification

The functional form on which our econometric model is based is: To guard against spurious result arising from non-stationarity behavior from level form, this study cautiously checked the properties of the variables used in the model via the Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) test and the Philip-Perron (PP) test. As observed from both ADF and PP test results in table 4.1, all the estimating variables were stationary at their first difference. However, gross domestic product growth rate (GDPGR) and inflation rate (INFLR) were stationary at both level and first difference. Therefore, the null hypothesis of non-stationarity was rejected in all the series. Following our results, all the variables were used in the model at their first differences.

### 7 a) Johansen and Juselius Multivariate Cointegration Test

Given the default with the Engel and Granger (1987) cointegration test, this study adopted the

### 8 Johansen and Juselius (1990) multivariate cointegration technique.

There are two test statistics for cointegration under the Johansen approach and they are formulated as: 
$$-T \ln |1 - \lambda_i|$$
 and 
$$-T \ln |1 - \lambda_i|$$

### 9 Presentation of Results and Analyses

Source: Authors compilation from Eviews 7.0 printout where  $r$  is the number of cointegrating vectors under the null hypothesis and  $\lambda_i$  is the estimated value for the  $i$ th ordered eigenvalue from the  $\lambda$  matrix. Intuitively, the larger is  $-\ln |1 - \lambda_i|$ , the larger and more negative will  $\ln |1 - \lambda_i|$  be and hence the larger will be the test statistic.

$\lambda$  is a joint test where the null is that the number of cointegrating vectors is less than or equal to  $r$ . The Johansen cointegration test result is presented in table 4.2. The trace statistic either rejects or does not reject the null hypothesis of no cointegration among the variables. If Trace statistic  $>$  the critical value, then reject  $H_0$  and accept  $H_1$  (there are  $r+1$  cointegration vectors), where  $H_0: r=0, 1, 2, ?$ ; and the test conducted sequentially until the  $H_0$  is no longer rejected (Brooks, 2008:352). In our test  $H_0: r=0$ ,  $H_0: r=1$  and  $H_0: r=2$  are rejected at the 5% level of significance hence we find that our variables are not cointegrated. The final number of cointegrating equation with two lags is three. This result indicates that there exists a long run equilibrium relationship between exchange rate, balance of payments, external debt, external reserves, gross domestic product growth rate and inflation rate in Nigeria post SAP.  $\lambda$  conducts separate tests on each eigenvalue, and has its null hypothesis that the number of cointegrating vectors is  $r$  against an alternative of  $r + 1$ .

Where the eigenvalue statistics results of Trace and Maximum differ, the result of the Trace should be preferred (Alexander, 2001).

The result of our equation estimation after adjustment is presented in table 4.3. This result can also be used in determining the short-run relationship amongst the variables (Brooks, 2008: 43). Based on this result, the overall performance of the model after adjustment has a good fit. Both the R-squared (98.8 percent) and the adjusted R-squared (97.6 percent) were very much above average. The adjusted R-squared value suggests that the independent variables were able to explain 97.6 per cent of the variation in exchange rate (dependent variable). The Durbin-Watson statistics (2.075212) is a little higher than the traditional benchmark of 2.0 in the model so we don't have to worry about serial correlation problem. The F-stat (F-stat 85.56834,  $p=0.00000$ ) of the model is statistically highly significant suggesting that, collectively, all the variables have a significant impact on exchange rate. Inflation rate (INFLR), external reserves (EXTRE), balance of payment (BOP) had their expected signs while gross domestic product growth (GDPGR) and external debt (EXTDB) had negative and positive signs respectively contrary to their a priori expectation.

Also the coefficients of the independent variables were examined to determine the nature of their relationship with the dependent variable (Exchange rate). The coefficient of inflation rate is negative (-0.115135) and significant ( $p=0.0138$ ) in the short run. The coefficient of gross domestic product growth rate (-0.047854) is negative and insignificant ( $p=0.1631$ ) in the short run. External debt (EXTDB) is positive (0.324027) and significant ( $p=0.0061$ ) in the short run. External reserves (EXTRE) is positive (0.028418) and insignificant ( $p=0.7672$ ) in the short run while balance of payment (BOP) is positive (0.043038) and insignificant ( $p=0.1901$ )

in the short run also. The insignificant relationship between exchange rate, balance of payment, gross domestic product growth rate, and external reserve could be as a result of the length of time (long run) it takes for changes in these independent variables to reflect on the overall domestic economy.

### b) Granger Causality Test

Given the basis and the use of granger causality tests in the determination or classification of the variables into independent and dependent, based on the direction of flow of influence (Order & Fisher, 1993; Marin, 1992; Carville and Nnadozie, 1995; Darat, 1996; and Pomponio, 1996), as well as the result of the Pairwise Granger test as presented in Table 4.4, we reject the null hypothesis if the probability value is more than 5% otherwise we do not reject the null hypothesis if the probability value is less than 5%. The Granger causality test between the variables suggests a unidirectional causality from exchange rate to balance of payments, external reserves and gross domestic product growth rate. This shows that there is a causal relationship between the variables. Exchange rate follows its counterparts in the short run and there exists a lead-lag relationship between them. The causality test between the independent variables indicates bidirectional causality between external reserves and balance of payments implying that past values of both variables have predictive ability in determining their present values. A unidirectional causality between gross domestic product growth rate and external reserves implies that gross domestic product growth rate has a predictive ability on the present value of external reserves.

V.

## 11 Conclusion

It can be concluded that there is a causal relationship between exchange rate, balance of payment, external debt, external reserves, gross domestic product growth rate and inflation in Nigeria post-SAP. These indicators somehow impact exchange rate determination in Nigeria post-SAP. Constant changes in exchange rate framework, rather than foster a better market efficiency, has only succeeded in creating instability in the markets. The parallel foreign exchange market has not been eliminated. The poor exchange rate performance may not be unconnected with the unfulfilled expectations concerning the role of market mechanism in determining exchange rate in our type of environment, coupled with the absence of complimentary policies, e.g. monetary, fiscal and investment policies.

## 12 Recommendation

Certain policy implications arise from the findings. It demonstrates the need for a monetary policy framework that compliments the existing exchange rate policy. On the whole, this paper has provided empirical evidence of the relationship between exchange rate, balance of payment, external debt, external reserves, gross domestic product growth rate and inflation rate in Nigeria post SAP. The results suggest a causal and significant relationship between the variables. The Johansen cointegration result demonstrates that exchange rate and these variables are cointegrated. Given this, it is important for monetary authorities to learn from past exchange rate management in order to improve on the existing framework and ensure exchange rate stability in Nigeria. It is desirable to monitor the movements in the rates so as to foster competitiveness and improve the supply of our exports.



Figure 1:

Figure 2:

1

Figure 3: Table 1 :

INFLR) EXCHR (BOP, EXTDB, EXTRE, GDPGR,  
=

debt as a percentage of  
gross domestic product  
in per  
cent.

EXTRE = External re-  
serves represents annual  
external reserves as a  
percentage of gross do-  
mestic

product in per cent.

GDPGR = Gross domes-  
tic product growth in

(naira/US dollar) valued in rate and the dependent variable. Eq. 2 The following are a priori expectations of

BOP = Balance of payment represents the			
Variables	ADF	T-STATS	PP T-STATS
LOGEXCHR		-4.640537	-4.640862
1%		-3.752946	-3.752946
5%		-2.998064	-2.998064
LOGBOP		-5.562671	-14.00106
1%		-3.769597	-3.752946
5%		-3.004861	-2.998064
LOGEXTDB		-3.384258	-3.355161
1%		-3.752946	-3.752946
5%		-2.998064	-2.998064
LOGEXTRE 1%		-5.698587	-9.179070
		-3.769597	-3.752946
5%		-3.004861	-2.998064
LOGGDPGR		-5.602115	-17.21477
1%		-3.788030	-3.769597
5%		-3.012363	-3.004861
LOGINFLR		-7.216071	-11.04521
1%		-3.752946	-3.752946
5%		-2.998064	-2.998064

Figure 4:

2

	TRACE	CRITICAL VALUES (95%)	MAX EIGENVALUE STATISTIC	CRITICAL VALUES (95%)
HYPOTHESIS	STATISTIC			
$r = 0$	199.5669 (0.0000)	95.75366	90.86176 (0.0000)	40.07757
$r \leq 1$	108.7051 (0.0000)	69.81889	50.92612 (0.0000)	33.87687
$r \leq 2$	57.77899 (0.0045)	47.85613	28.21174 (0.0415)	27.58434
$r \leq 3$			22.09206 (0.0366)	21.13162

Figure 5: Table 2 :

3

2013

ear

Y

46

Note: Number of Cointegrating vectors: 3. Figures in parenthesis are probability Values (MacKinnon-Haug Michelis, 1999 p-values.

Source: Authors compilation from Eviews 7 result

( ) B

Dependent Variable: LOGEXCHR

Method: Least Squares

Date: 09/18/13 Time: 18:13

Sample (adjusted): 1989 2011

Included observations: 23 after adjustments

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	0.180253	0.356592	0.505488	0.6232
LOGBOP	0.043038	0.030819	1.396490	0.1901
LOGEXTDB	0.324027	0.095645	3.387816	0.0061
LOGEXTRE	0.028418	0.093652	0.303445	0.7672
LOGGDPGR	-0.047854	0.032018	-1.494606	0.1631
LOGINFLR	-0.115135	0.039380	-2.923709	0.0138
LOGEXCHR(-1)	0.708263	0.097918	7.233243	0.0000
LOGBOP(-1)	0.083903	0.031084	2.699238	0.0207
LOGEXTDB(-1)	-0.160066	0.085211	-1.878481	0.0871
LOGEXTRE(-1)	0.096676	0.079578	1.214863	0.2499
LOGGDPGR(-1)	-0.048882	0.033213	-1.471751	0.1691
LOGINFLR(-1)	0.023142	0.037962	0.609609	0.5545

Figure 6: Table 3 :

4

Figure 7: Table 4 :



- 
- 194 [Dickey and Fuller ()] , D Dickey , W Fuller . 1979.
- 195 [Pomponio ()] 'A Causality Analysis of Growth and Export Performance'. X Z Pomponio . *Atlantic Economic*  
196 *Journal* 1996. 24 p. .
- 197 [Ojameruaye (1991)] 'An Application of the Purchasing Power Parity to the Determination of the Realistic  
198 Exchange Rate of the Nigerian Currency'. E Ojameruaye . *Nigerian Financial Review* 1991. January March.  
199 3 (4) .
- 200 [Mc Carville and Nnadozie ()] 'Causality Test of Export=Led Growth: The Case of Mexico'. M Mc Carville , E  
201 Nnadozie . *Atlantic Economic Journal* 1995. 4 (12) p. .
- 202 [Engel and Granger ()] 'Cointegration and Error Correction: Representation, Estimation and Testing'. R F Engel  
203 , C W J Granger . *Econometrica* 1987. 55 p. .
- 204 [Obadan (1995)] 'Determinants of Real Exchange Rates in Nigeria: Some Preliminary Empirical Evidence'. M I  
205 Obadan . *Journal of Economic Management* 1995. October. 2 (2) .
- 206 [Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a Unit Root Journal of the American Statistical Association]  
207 'Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a Unit Root'. *Journal of the American*  
208 *Statistical Association* 74 (366) p. .
- 209 [Mordi (2003)] *Exchange Rate management: Concepts and Issues. Paper presented at a workshop on Foreign*  
210 *Exchange Management issues organized by the Trade and Exchange Department, CBN, at Gateway Hotel*  
211 *Abeokuta*, C N O Mordi . 2003. August 25-28. Nigeria.
- 212 [Jordaan and Eita ()] 'Export and Econ-omic Growth in Namibia: A Granger Causality Analysis'. A C Jordaan  
213 , J H Eita . *South African Journal of Economics* 2007. 75 p. .
- 214 [Order and Fisher ()] 'Financial deregulation and the dynamics of money, prices and output in New Zealand and  
215 Australia'. D Order , L Fisher . *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 1993. 25 (2) p. .
- 216 [Brooks ()] *Introductory Econometrics for Finance*, C Brooks . 2008. Cambridge University Press. (2nd Edition)
- 217 [Marin ()] 'Is the export-led Growth Hypothesis Valid for Industrialized Countries'. D Marin . *Review of Economic*  
218 *Statistics* 1992. 74 p. .
- 219 [Osaka et al. ()] 'Issues in Exchange Rate Policy: Economic and Political Considerations'. G C Osaka , I Masha  
220 , E Adamgbe . *Contemporary Economic Policy Issues in Nigeria* Nnana, O. J., S. O. Alade and F. O. Odoko  
221 (ed.) 2003.
- 222 [Hondroyannis and Papapetrou ()] 'Macroeconomic Influences on the Stock Market'. G Hondroyannis , E  
223 Papapetrou . *Journal of Economics and Finance* 2001. 25 (1) p. .
- 224 [Alexander ()] *Market models: guide to financial data analysis*, C Alexander . 2001. John Wiley and Sons Ltd.
- 225 [Johansen and Juselius ()] 'Maximum likelihood estimation and inference on cointegration with application to  
226 the demand for money'. S Johansen , K Juselius . *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* 1990. 52 (2)  
227 p. .
- 228 [Obadan (1993)] 'Overview of Nigeria's Exchange Rate Policy and Management in the Structural Adjustment  
229 Programme'. M I Obadan . *CBN Economic and Financial Review* 1993b. December. 31 (4) .
- 230 [Benita and Lauterbach ()] 'Policy factors and exchange rate volatility: Panel data versus a specific country  
231 analysis'. G Benita , B Lauterbach . *Journal of Finance and Economics* 2007. 7 p. .
- 232 [Obadan ()] 'Real Exchange Rates in Nigeria: A Preliminary Study'. M I Obadan . *NCEMA Monograph Series*  
233 1994. (6) .
- 234 [Maysami et al. ()] 'Relationship Between Macroeconomic variables and Stock Market Indices: Cointegration  
235 Evidence from Stock Exchange of Singapore's All Sector Indices'. R C Maysami , L C Howe , M A Hamza .  
236 *Journal of Pengurusan* 2004. 24 p. .
- 237 [Phillips and Perron ()] 'Testing for a Unit Root in time series regression'. P C Phillips , P Perron . *Biometrika*  
238 1988. 75 (2) p. .
- 239 [Soludo ()] 'Theoretical Basis for the Structural Adjustment Programme in Nigeria: Two Alternative Critiques'.  
240 C C Soludo . *The Nigerian Journal of Economic and Social Studies* 1993. 35 (1) p. .
- 241 [Darat ()] 'Trade and Development: The Asian Experience'. A E Darat . *Cato Journal* 1996. 6 p. 695.