Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

1 2	Employment Structure of Informal Construction Workers/Artisans in Nigeria
3	Sunday Julius Odediran ¹ and Mujidat Olubola Babalola ²
4	¹ Obafemi Awolowo University
5	Received: 14 December 2012 Accepted: 4 January 2013 Published: 15 January 2013

7 Abstract

Every employment has its mode(s) of engagement. The nature of activities within an 8 organization also determines the structure of engagement. Studies have described artisans? 9 employment in the construction industry as informal because employers fail to obey 10 employment regulations. The paper investigated the employment structure of the informal 11 workers/artisans in the Nigerian construction industry with a view to examine the informal 12 workers? means of engagement, types of employers, forms of employment and engagement 13 requirements. Study data were collected through a well structured questionnaire administered 14 on informal workers/artisans and contractors. Data were analyzed using both the descriptive 15 and inferential statistics. Cross-tabulation shows the relationship existing among variables of 16 employment while Chi-Square established the significance of these variables. The study found 17 out that informal workers are engaged through previous employers and contacts; however, 18 they often work for building owners and contractors. Building owners and the contractors 19 offer informal workers contract and temporary forms of employments respectively. Both the 20 informal workers (employees) and contractors (employers) confirmed that informal 21 workers/artisans are engaged based study further established that there was a significant 22 relationship between the informal workers? engagement by previous employers and their 23 previous work experience. 24

25

26 Index terms— employment, informal sector, construction artisans, construction employment, Nigeria.

27 1 Introduction

onstruction industry plays major and significance roles in employment creation and economic growth of many 28 nations. This is seen from the infrastructure deficits and huge amount of capital voted yearly for this purpose. 29 Output from the construction industry is a major and integral part of the national output, accounting for a sizeable 30 proportion in the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of both developed and underdeveloped countries ??Ganesan 31 1997, Crosthwaite, 2000). This was supported by Ogunsemi and Jagboro (2006) in Nigeria that construction 32 industry is significance and importance to employment generation and economic growth. Mitullah and Wachira 33 34 (2003) also stated that construction activities in Kenya play a vital role in the process of economic growth and 35 development, both through its products (infrastructure, buildings) and through the employment created in the 36 Brays (2005) reported that global construction is making contribution amounting to between 5 and 7 percent of GDP in most countries and accounts for a significant part of global gross capital formation which is a little 37 under one-third. The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP, 1996) noted that about one-tenth of the 38 global economy is dedicated to constructing and operating homes and offices. Lowe (2003) further stated that 39 the value added of construction is in the range of 7% to 10% for highly developed economies and around 3% to 40 6% for underdeveloped economies. The value added in the developing countries could be higher because figures 41 on the informal sector are mostly not included which could generate a significant casual employment in urban 42

and rural areas (Ganesan 2000). In United Kingdom, construction industry contributes about 8 to 10 per cent of
the GDP (BTEC's Own Resources, n.d.). Aganga (2010) in Nigeria established that the construction industry
contributes about 3 percent to the nation's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) which is below a range of 5 to 10
percent of GDP as envisaged by the United Nation and in developed nations like UK and America.

In other to meet this demand, Jinadu (2004) cited in Sanni and Alabi (2008) stated that availability of 47 manpower in both qualitative and quantitative terms is very crucial and constitutes the second largest single 48 component of resource input required by the construction industry. Manpower required for construction varies 49 from professionals like Architects, Builders, Engineers, Quantity Surveyors, Urban and Regional Planners, Estate 50 Managers to building artisans like bricklayers/masons, carpenters, welders/ironbenders, house painters, plumbers, 51 electricians and the like professions, and labour. Manpower costs constitute about 40% of the total housing 52 construction costs (Agbola, 1985). In most cases, the types of manpower usually needed in large quantity for 53 housing construction in Nigeria are artisans and labour (Sanni and Alabi, 2008) and this is equally applicable 54 globally. This shows that there are two major classes of manpower/players to the success of any nation 55 construction industry; and both the professionals and artisans/labour ensure According to United Nations Centre 56 for Human Settlement (UNCHS) ??1996), the construction industry can be divided into a "formal" and an 57 58 "informal" part. Oladapo (2006) affirmed that the construction industry in Nigeria is made up of an organized 59 formal sector and an unorganized informal sector. The formal sector in Nigeria comprises foreign and indigenous 60 companies, which are classified into small, medium and large scale according to their level of capitalization and annual turnover; while the study was silent about informal sector. The construction industry in developing 61 countries comprises a regulated formal part and unregulated informal part (Mlinga and Wells, 2001). The 62 major difference between the formal and the informal part is the extent to which government regulations are 63 observed. Mlinga and Wells (2001) further submitted that the formal construction industry is one in which all 64 the government regulations with regard to construction (licensing, registration, employment etc) are adhered to, 65 while the informal construction industry is that part of the industry where some or all of the regulations are not 66

67 complied with.

In general economy, formal sector by International Labour Organization (ILO) (2002) was described as the economy that is regular, stable and with protected employment and legally regulated enterprises. In term of workforce, it encompasses all jobs with normal hours and regular wages, and are recognized as income sources on which income taxes must be paid. In term of employment, it is the sector comprising 'proper' jobs that are usually permanent, with set hours of work, agreed level of pay, and sometimes pension and social security rights ??ILO, 2002).

Informal sector on the other hands was described as an economic activity that is neither taxed nor monitored 74 by a government, and is not included in that government's Gross National Product (GNP), as opposed to a 75 formal economy (Wikipedia, 2009). ILO (2002) described informal sector as the sum total of all income-earning 76 activities outside of legally regulated enterprises and employment relations. In construction sector, Uwakweh 77 (2000) described the informal sector as "that segment of firms or individuals that engages in construction or 78 other activities without obtaining the necessary designs, planning and construction documents". Informality in 79 construction sector could therefore be referred to a situation where an individual is engaged in a construction 80 enterprise or on a construction job and such individual has no regular working hour and wages; no permanent 81 employment; no social safety and welfare packages, no pension scheme, no job security and do not pay tax. In 82 a construction enterprise as well, informality refers to a situation when the engagement of construction workers 83 do not obey employment laws or follow due process. Jewell et al. (2005) stated that most construction sectors 84 around the world have a high percentage of output being produced informally. ??ogerson (1988) also described 85 construction industry as one of the largest employers of the informal sector workforce and Well (2007) affirmed 86 that there is absence of regulation in the terms and conditions of employment as well as in the construction 87 process of informal sector in developing economies. Mitullah and Wachira (2003) also reported that in some 88 low-income countries the vast majority of construction labourers have always been employed informally. Mlinga 89 and Wells (2001) also argued that the informal part of the construction industry is generally ignored and receives 90 little support from the government. They further retreated that policies to develop the construction industries of 91 developing countries should address the needs of the informal sector, where the bulk of the labour force is found 92 (Mlinga and Wells, 2001). 93

Hence, due to the level of employment provides by the informal sector to the general economy and Meagher and 94 Yunusa (1996) stated that Nigeria has the largest and arguably the most dynamic, informal sector in sub-Saharan 95 Africa; while ??LO (2002) further affirmed that informal sector in Sub-Saharan Africa is the largest concentration 96 of informality globally. This implies that informal sector (including construction) in Nigeria is significant both 97 in Africa and developing economies thereby necessitate a need for its investigation. Hence, effort gears towards 98 99 improving the informal sector activities will contribute to better performance of the construction industry. This paper therefore appraises the employment structure of informal workers/artisans in the Nigerian construction 100 industry with a view to improve their performance and operational/occupational conditions in the Nigerian 101 construction industry. The specific objectives examine the employment structure and engagement requirements 102 of informal workers/artisans in Osun state of Nigeria. 103

104 **2** II.

3 Review of Previous Studies

Every employment has the manner(s) and way(s) by which employees are engaged and treated. The type of 106 activities within an organization to be performed by an employee will also determine the structure of employment 107 although some fundamental principles are common to employment system as generally and globally accepted. 108 ??LO (2002) has classified any employment either to formal and informal. Formal sector was described as the 109 form of employment that is regular, stable and with protected against dismissal and legally regulated enterprises. 110 In term of workforce, it encompasses all jobs with normal hours and regular wages, and are recognized as income 111 sources on which income taxes must be paid. In term of employment, it is the sector comprising 'proper' jobs that 112 rights ??ILO, 2002). Informal sector on the other hands was described as an economic activity that is neither 113 taxed nor monitored by a government, and is not included in that government's Gross National Product (GNP), 114 as opposed to a formal economy (Wikipedia, 2009). ILO (2002) described informal sector as the sum total of 115 all income-earning activities outside of legally regulated enterprises and employment relations. In construction 116 sector, Uwakweh (2000) described the informal sector as "that segment of firms or individuals that engages in 117 construction or other activities without obtaining the necessary designs, planning and construction documents". 118 There are also forms of employment such as permanent, temporary, casual, shifting etc. Permanent 119 employment means engagement for a long period of time while temporary is for a limited period. Casual or 120 short-term employment means that there will be frequent changes of job. Most temporary contracts are for the 121 duration of a project. Hence, previous researches on informal workers' employment on construction sites show 122 123 that; study in Spain by Byrne and Van der Meer (2000) established that the average number of contracts per 124 worker per year was almost eight in 1998 and with this rate of turnover; it is almost inevitable that there will be 125 periods spent out of work. A research in the United Kingdom found much higher levels of unemployment amongst temporary workers than workers on permanent contracts ??Harvey, 2000). Harvey (2000) also established that 126 on a site employing 1,400 construction workers, there was a labour turnover of 200 per cent in six months 127 and workers have no protection from dismissal. The study further affirmed that workers affirmed insecurity 128 such as temporary nature of employment, the vulnerability to dismissal and the loss of workplace solidarity as 129 inhibiting factors. A survey of 2,600 construction workers in five towns by Vaid (1999) found that both the 130 skilled and unskilled workers were more or less fully employed; 80-90 per cent could find work for at least 25 131 days a month and for nine months of the year. Yuson (2001) study in Malaysia confirmed this and on the other 132 hand, underemployment is currently a major problem in Philippine. The outcome of the Trade union research in 133 Malaysia suggests that the average employment period for the eighty-five (85) per cent of construction workers 134 who are employed on temporary contracts in one year varies from four to six months (Yuson, 2001). Mitullah 135 and Wachira (2003) in Kenya established that most of the surveyed workers were working as employees with 136 minority working either as subcontractors or self employed. The result shows that employees are largely hired 137 by the owners of the development or by subcontractors. They further established that the informal nature of 138 doing business is revealed by the fact that the work agreement is not based on written contracts but on verbal 139 agreements. 140

There are very few working on some forms of written agreement with insignificant proportion had a standard written contract, as applicable in most formal

¹⁴³ 4 III. Statement of Problem of the Study

A major concern of stakeholders in the Nigerian emerging construction sector is how to improve service delivery. 144 Mitullah and Wachira (2003) also reported that the development of an efficient construction industry is an 145 146 objective of policy in most countries. In recent years, the informal construction sector has grown in size and importance in many African countries (Mlinga, 1998;Ngare, 1998;Wells, 2001). While small, unregistered 147 construction enterprises were previously involved in the building, maintenance and repair of individual residential 148 houses, they are now increasingly involved in the construction of complex and much larger commercial buildings 149 (Wells, 2001). At the same time, due to unpredictable workloads in the construction industry and high costs 150 involved in keeping idle labour, formal registered enterprises are resorting to subcontracting to the informal sector 151 (Wells, 2001). This affirmed the level of significance and relevance of informal sector to the construction industry 152 in African continent and effort directed towards improving informal sector will be a giant stride to construction 153 sector in an emerging economies. 154

Review of literature on the level of employment of construction workers show that in both the developed and developing countries, unemployment is very high to workers on temporary contracts than those on permanent contracts (Vaid, 1999; ??arvey, 2000;Yuson, 2001), also those on permanent or formal contracts earn far more than their counterparts on temporary or informal contracts (Allen, 1994;Saboia, 1997;Muteta, 1998;Vaid, 1999; ??ux & Fox, 2000; ??arvey, 2000;Yuson, 2001;Connolly, 2001).

In Nigeria, related studies on informal construction sector such as Oladapo (2006) undoubtedly confirmed the existence of informal construction sector. Fagbenle and Olawunmi (2010) and Oladapo (2001) emphasized the poor impact of informal sector on construction output. Adeyemi et al. (??006) also established that the vast majority of labourers of the informal sector in the Nigerian construction industry are female who act either as labourers or unskilled labour force. Wahab (2010) established that the stress factors attributed to artisans in the Nigerian construction industry include qualitative and quantitative workloads, tight-time frame of works and unstable working hour. ??waka (2009) emphasized on the need for the government (formal sector) to support informal sector equally submitted that the focus of research and technical assistance on informal construction sector to date has largely been upon the enterprises that comprise the sector -the contractors, subcontractors and consultants. Little attention has been paid to the labour force, about which often very little is known.

None of these studies in Nigeria has examined the employment structure of informal construction work-170 ers/artisans with respect to their medium of engagement, types of employers they work for and forms employment 171 they often get from various employers as well as the requirements for engagement. Equally, ILO (2002) had stated 172 that statistics on informal sector are needed as a tool for evidence-based policymaking and advocacy. Therefore, 173 in Nigeria such statistics are not available and where exist there are little research works that provide such 174 statistics about informal construction sector. This study therefore filled this identified gap by examining the 175 employment structure of informal construction workers/artisans in Osun state of Nigeria. 176 IV. 177

178 5 Research Methodology

This paper was a part of an outcome of research for Master of Science (M.Sc.) in Quantity Surveying on the 179 informal sector players of construction industry in Osun State conducted at Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife 180 Nigeria. The state consists of thirty Local Government Areas, the primary (third tier) unit of government in 181 Nigeria (Wikipedia, 2012) In other to obtain the population and sample size for this study, a preliminary survey 182 was conducted within the study areas to establish the sample frame for the study. The statistics on the informal 183 workers/artisans including masons, carpenters, iron benders, painters, plumbers and electricians were obtained 184 by contacting the leaders of their various associations eventhough opinions in terms of accuracy of the numbers 185 of their registered members vary with different zones. The figures obtained were harmonized and factored for 186 the purpose of this study. Primary data was elicited for the purpose of this study. The information obtained 187 served as the basis for the study population. The distribution of the informal workers within the study area is 188 shown in Table 1 below. The study population comprised 1190 masons/bricklayers, 2185 carpenters, 455 iron 189 benders, 291 painters, 375 plumbers and 705 electricians obtained from the preliminary survey conducted. The 190 list of active construction sites within the study area was also obtained for the purpose of comparing informal 191 workers' opinions on the subject of discussion with their employers' responses. A list of 80 active construction 192 sites was gotten. The sample size comprised 5% of informal workers in the study area comprising 60 masons, 109 193 carpenters, 23 iron benders, 15 painters, 19 plumbers and 15 electricians including 20 construction sites which 194 were randomly taken from the study population. This gave a sample size of 261 informal workers/artisans of 195 the construction industry as shown in Table 2 and 20 construction sites in the study area. Purposive sampling 196 technique was adopted in the administration of questionnaire to the respondents. 197

198

In other to collect relevant primary data for this study, a well structured multiple choice questionnaire was 199 designed and administered on informal workers. Most of these workers/artisans were contacted through The 200 first section identified the characteristics of the informal workers/artisans. These include their sex, age group, 201 marital status among others. The other sections of the questionnaire addressed the specific objectives of this 202 study. The data obtained were imported into Statistical Packages for Social Sciences (SPSS) and were analyzed 203 using descriptive (percentage and mean score), cross-tabulation and Chi-Square as applicable to this paper. The 204 percentage shows the ratio of the responses among the informal workers on variables of their characteristics while 205 mean score measures the average response to variables of means of engagement and engagement requirements of 206 the informal workers/artisans while Chi-Square established the level of significance of these variables. V. 207

²⁰⁸ 6 Results and Discussion

²⁰⁹ 7 a) Respondents' Information

This paper examined the employment structure of informal workers/artisans in the construction industry in 210 Osun state Nigeria. The paper further examined the respondents' information such their sex, age group; marital 211 status and academic qualification. The results obtained show that all the respondents were male and this agreed 212 with the work of Mitullah and Wachira (2003) in Kenya who established that construction sector was dominated 213 by the male gender. A survey of major employers by Mackenzie et al. (2000) also revealed a high level of 214 215 scepticism about the recruitment of women in the construction industry. A similar study from USA confirmed 216 the overt and covert discrimination against female gender among building trades (Eisenberg, 1998). This paper 217 was further supported the study of Eisenberg (1998) who reported cases of biasness against female gender in the 218 industry. The investigation of Swami Vivekananda Youth Movement (2011) further established that men workers primarily dominate the masonry trade. The study also revealed the age group of the informal workers/artisans 219 in the construction industry and found that 8.5% are less than 20 years of age while 63.6%, 26.1% and 1.8% are 220 of 21-40, 41-60 and above 60 years respectively. This supports the survey of construction labour in Kenya by 221 Mitullah and Wachira (2003) who found that the youngest construction workers was only 21 years old, the eldest 222 was 63 years and in general the sector accommodates a comparatively young workforce with a majority being 223

below 45 years of age. For the respondents marital status, 79.4% are married, 20.6% are single while none are widow.

The highest academic qualifications of the respondents shows that 29.7% are holders of primary school 226 certificate, 14.0% hold junior secondary certificate, 37.0%, 12.7%, 4.2%, 1.2% and 1.2% hold senior secondary 227 certificate, NABTEB Certificate/Trade test, OND/NCE, HND and other academic qualifications respectively. 228 With the senior secondary education being the highest, this study shows that the level of education of the informal 229 workers/artisans in the Nigerian construction industry is better when comparing with other developing countries 230 like India where education of construction workers was low and poor (Vaid, 1999 and Anand, 2000). Other 231 studies in Brazil, Malaysia and China reported that construction worker do not require schooling and education 232 (Zylberstajn, 1992; Abdul-Aziz, 2001 and respectively. This study was also supported by the work of Mitullah and 233 Wachira (2003) on construction labour in Kenya who established that the majority of informal worker/artisans 234 had primary and secondary education. This shows that informal workers/artisans in African continent have 235 higher educational qualifications than their counterparts in the Asian developing economies. But the view of 236 contractors shows that they often engage informal workers through previous contact with mean value of 2.86. 237 Others means of engagement are through sub-contractor, company register and labour market with mean values 238 of 2.50, 2.14 and 2.03 respectively. The least ranked mean of engagement is through personal search (1.03). The 239 240 common mean of engagement to both the informal workers and contractors (employers) is the previous employers 241 or contacts. This implies that previous works done, contacts made and work experience has lots of impact in 242 engaging informal workers or artisans on construction activities or sites in Nigeria. This opinion by the informal workers and their employers was in agreement with the study of ??arvey (2000) who submitted that the length 243 and frequency of unemployment depend primarily on demand and supply in the labour market and ultimately 244 on the state of the economy. The result shows that informal workers/artisans will not be engaged unless the 245 employers who have previously engaged them have contact or engagement from new employer(s) could pose a 246 serious impact on informal workers continuity in their work-life. It could be further inferred that establishing 247 a new employer(s) by informal workers may be tasking and since there are no permanent employment. It also 248 means that failure in losing any existing employer is equally detrimental to economic scale of the informal workers. 249

²⁵⁰ 8 ii. Types of the Employers

The Table 4 also shows the type of employers the informal workers often work for, the informal players ranked that they often work for building owner with mean value of 2.76. Others employers work for include contractor, subcontractor and foremen or other operatives with mean values of 2.44, 2.36 and 1.93 respectively. Although, all employer types were ranked high by the respondents. The result shows that most of them often work for building owners, contractors and sub-contractors in descending order. This was supported by the work of Harvey (2000) whose submitted that most of the informal workers often work for building owners and contractors.

257 iii. Forms of Employment Table 5 examined the form of employment often offered informal workers by the 258 both the building owners building owners often offer them is contract with mean value of 2.56. Others forms of employment often offer by their employers include temporary, permanent and casual with mean values of 2.43, 259 260 2.14 and 2.00 respectively. The least ranked type of employment is shifting with mean value of 1.14. This indicates that the informal workers are often offer contract employment by the building owners than any other forms of 261 employment. From the perception of the informal workers, the type of employment often offer by contractor is 262 contract with mean value of 2.57 which was in agreement with the type of employment they get from the building 263 owners. Other forms of employment from the contractors are permanent, temporary and casual with mean values 264 of 2.11, 2.08 and 1.79 respectively. The least ranked is shifting with mean value of 1.38. The perceptions of the 265 contractors show that they often offer informal workers a contract as form of employment with mean value of 2.56. 266 267 Other types of employment offer them by the contractor include temporary, permanent and casual with mean values 2.20, 2.09 and 1.87 respectively and the least rank type of employment offer informal workers was shifting. 268 This was supported by the work of Harvey (2000) whose submitted that the type of employment often offer 269 informal workers by their employers were contract and temporary and they never engaged in shifting work which 270 was in line with outcome of the researches of Vaid (1999) and Yuson (2001) who confirmed that unemployment 271 is very high to workers on temporary contracts than those on permanent contracts. 272

The result shows that from the informal workers perception, the forms of employment often offers by both the building owners and contractors is contract while the least is the shifting. But contractors' perception show that they often offer informal workers a temporary employment followed by permanent and contract. There was no agreement in the perception of both the contractors and informal workers because the contractors as an employer prefer to offer the informal workers temporary employment than contract. This could be as a result of workload of the contractor at a time while building owners would prefer to offer informal workers a contract due to his/her financial capacity at a time.

The ANOVA test conducted on the result (at 5% significance) shows that among the group of 8 mean of engagement, the most significance are through labour market, relatives/family members and personal search. This gives a different opinion except through labour market which forms part of highly ranked means of engagement. Also, among the types of employers the most significance is the sub-contractors which may be due to the fact that this type of employer may provide informal workers with a more close dealings and interaction between the informal workers and subcontractor which could lead to more performance on their job. On form of employment

with building owners, the most significance is permanent followed by contract, casual and shifting forms of 286 employment. This result also shows a difference from the highly ranked forms of employment with building 287 owners except contract form. The difference could be based on the fact that getting casual and shifting job could 288 reduce excess workloads of the informal workers and provide them room for diversification and opportunities 289 to work for more than an employer at the same time thereby increasing the informal workers' sources of job 290 opportunities. From the form of employment with contractors, the most significance are permanent, contract 291 and temporary which also agreed with highly ranked forms of employment. This reason could be the fact that 292 working with the contractors could emanate from a formal process which could be affected by lots of factors among 293 these are the type of client contractor an informal worker is working for, sources of finance and complexity of the 294 project among others. 295

²⁹⁶ 9 iv. Engagement Requirements

In Table 6, the informal workers' engagement requirements were examined. The mean values of informal workers' 297 responses and contractors are described in the table. From the informal workers' perception, previous work 298 experience was ranked highest as engagement requirement often adopted by their employers with mean value of 299 2.82. Others include competence and performance on past job, recommendation from previous employer, long 300 term relationship with employers and level of trade certification with mean values of 2.77, 2.77, 2.70 and 2.06 301 respectively which were equally ranked high with the mean rating of 3.00. The least ranked was the academic 302 qualification with mean score 1.55. Contractor's perception ranked previous work experience high as engagement 303 requirements often adopted in engaging informal workers with mean value of 3.00. Other requirements include 304 competence and performance on past job, long term relationship with employers, recommendation from previous 305 employers and level of trade certification with mean values of 2.93, 2.64, 2.57 and 2.51 respectively. The least 306 ranked was academic qualification with mean value of 2.43. The result indicates that the basic requirement for the 307 engagement of informal workers as often considered by their employers is previous work experience and academic 308 qualification is least considered as an engagement requirement. This implies that the previous work experience 309 of the informal workers determines the frequency of their engagement by the employers either as building owners 310 or contractors. The ANOVA test conducted on the result (at 5% significance) shows that among the group of 311 8 means of engagement, the most significance is academic qualification and this show a different opinion from 312 those ranked high by the informal workers and contractors. This means that academic qualification should be a 313 key requirement for the engagement of informal workers in the construction industry. This is because academic 314 experience/exposure and training will have significant influence in improving the technical skills and general 315 performance of the informal workers/artisans of the construction industry. 7 shows the relationship between the 316 informal workers/artisans' highest educational qualification and their perception on the job engagement through 317 previous employers to increase their accessibility to job. The result shows that the value of chi-square obtained 318 is 23.885 with p-value of 0.299. Since the p-value is greater than 0.05, it can be concluded that there is no 319 significant relationship between the informal workers/artisans' educational qualification and their perception 320 321 on the job engagement through previous employers as the most ranked mean of engagement. 8 shows the relationship between the informal workers/artisans' highest educational qualification and the perception on the 322 job engagement through their relatives to increase their accessibility to job. The result shows that the value of 323 chi-square obtained is 30.586 with p-value of 0.085. Since the pvalue is greater than 0.05, it can be concluded 324 that there is no significant relationship between the informal workers/artisans' educational qualification and 325 the perception on the job engagement through their relatives as a mean of engagement. Table ?? shows the 326 relationship between the informal workers/artisans' highest educational qualification and the perception on the 327 job engagement through their friends to increase their accessibility to job. The result shows that the value of 328 chi-square obtained is 15.961 with p-value of 0.316. Since the p-value is greater than 0.05, it can be concluded 329 that there is no significant relationship between the informal workers/artisans' educational qualification and the 330 perception on the job engagement through their friends as a mean of engagement. 331

332 10 Key to

333 11 Crosstab

Chi-Square Table 10 shows the relationship between the informal workers/artisans' highest educational qualification and the perception on the job engagement through other operatives/apprentices to increase their accessibility to job. The result shows that the value of chi-square obtained is 15.442 with p-value of 0.800.

337 Since the p-value is greater than 0.05, it can be concluded that there is no significant relationship between 338 the informal workers/artisans' educational qualification and the perception on the job engagement through 339 other operatives as a mean of engagement. From the result of the assessment of the significance of Informal 340 Workers' academic qualifications on their means of engagement, the result obtained shows that the academic 341 qualification of the informal workers is insignificant to their mean of engagement either through previous employers, relatives, other operatives etc. The result also supports the outcome of means score ranking which 342 ranked academic qualification of the informal workers as the least requirement considered by the employers 343 (building owners/contractors) for their engagement. The informal workers highest educational qualification was 344 senior secondary certificate. With the senior secondary education being the highest, this study shows that the 345

level of education of the informal workers/artisans in the Nigerian construction industry is better when comparing
with other developing countries like India where education of construction workers was low and poor (Vaid, 1999
andAnand, 2000). Other studies in Brazil, Malaysia and China reported that construction worker do not require
schooling and education (Zylberstajn, 1992;Abdul-Aziz, 2001 and respectively. This study was also supported
by the work of Mitullah and Wachira (2003) on construction labour in Kenya who established that the majority
of informal worker/artisans had primary and secondary education.

³⁵² 12 d) Significance of Informal Workers' Means of Engagement ³⁵³ on their Engagement Requirements

Table 11 indicates the relationship between the informal workers/artisans' engagement by previous employers 354 and their previous work experience to increase their accessibility to work or job opportunity. The result shows 355 that the value of chi-square obtained is 17.783 with p-value of 0.001. Since the p-value is less than 0.05, it can be 356 concluded that there is a significant relationship between the informal workers/artisans' engagement by previous 357 employers and their previous work experience in enhancing the informal workers accessibility to work. This 358 implies that previous employers engage informal workers to work for them because of their performance history 359 on previous works they have done for the employers. The level of the significance of informal workers' previous 360 work experience with their previous employer was equally ranked first by the informal workers and the contractor 361 as the mean of engagement of informal workers in the study area. This result was also in agreement with the study 362 of ?? arvey (2000) who submitted that the length and frequency of unemployment depend primarily on demand 363 and supply in the labour market and ultimately on the state of the economy. Table 12 indicates the relationship 364 between the informal workers/artisans' engagement by previous employers and their level of certification to 365 366 increase their accessibility to work. The result shows that the value of chi-square obtained is 3.038 with p-value 367 of 0.551. Since the p-value is greater than 0.05, it can be concluded that there is no significant relationship 368 between the informal workers/artisans' engagement by previous employers and their level of trade certification in enhancing the informal workers accessibility to work. This implies that informal workers' previous employers 369 do not take into consideration their level of certification before engaging them on construction activities. And as 370 previously confirmed, the employers only consider informal workers' previous work experience on the job before 371 engaging them. 372

373 13 Global Journal of

The level of the insignificance of informal workers' level of trade certification as a requirement for their engagement 374 with their previous employer was because it was ranked as the fifth by the informal workers and the contractor 375 376 as the requirement considered in the engagement of informal workers in the study area out of six requirements 377 highlighted by the study eventhough 66% and above of the informal workers surveyed were trade tested. This 378 agrees with the study of Mitullah and Wachira (2003) in Kenya established that 74 per cent of informal workers were skilled, 21 per cent semi-skilled while 5 per cent had no skills. In the Philippines, an estimated 95 per cent of 379 380 construction workers acquire their skills in traditional ways (Yuson, 2001). In Egypt 85 per cent of craftsmen are trained through traditional apprenticeships (Assaad, 1993). 13 indicates the relationship between the informal 381 workers/artisans' engagement by building owners and their previous work experience. The result shows that the 382 value of chi-square obtained is 26.917 with p-value of 0.000. Since the p-value is less than 0.05, it can be concluded 383 that there is a significant relationship between the informal workers/artisans' engagement by building owners 384 and their work experience in enhancing their accessibility to work. This implies that building owner as the most 385 ranked employer by the informal workers take into consideration the previous work experience of informal workers 386 387 before engaging them. Table 14 indicates the relationship between the informal workers/artisans' engagement by building owners and their level of certification. The result obtained shows that the value of chi-square obtained 388 is 13.086 with p-value of 0.011. Since the p-value is less than 0.05, it can be concluded that there is a significant 389 relationship between the informal workers/artisans' engagement by building owners and their level of certification 390 in enhancing their accessibility to work. This implies that building owner as the most ranked employer by the 391 informal workers take into consideration the level of certification of informal workers before engaging them. 392 Table 15 indicates the relationship between the informal workers/artisans' engagement by contractors and their 393 previous work experience. The result obtained shows that the value of chi-square obtained is 25.882 with p-value 394 of 0.000. Since the p-value is less than 0.05, it can be concluded that there is a significant relationship between 395 the informal workers/artisans' engagement by contractors and their previous work experience in enhancing their 396 397 accessibility to work. This implies that contractor as the second ranked employer of informal workers take into 398 consideration the previous work experience of informal workers before engaging them. Table 16 indicates the 399 relationship between the informal workers/artisans' engagement by contractors and their level of certification. 400 The result obtained shows that the value of chi-square obtained is 3.809 with pvalue of 0.432. Since the pvalue is greater than 0.05, it can be concluded that there is no significant relationship between the informal 401 workers/artisans' engagement by contractors and their level of certification in enhancing their accessibility to 402 work. This implies that contractor as the second ranked employer of informal workers take VI. Nigeria. However, 403 the informal workers in Osun state of Nigeria work for building owners and contractors. The assessment of 404 forms of employment from building owners and contractors who are the employers of informal workers shows 405

that building owners as employers offer them contract employment which means that they are paid according to the workdone per time. But the contractors as the informal workers' employers indicate that they offer them temporary employment. Both the informal workers (employees) and contractors (employers) confirmed that the engagement requirement of informal workers/artisans in the study area is previous work experience while education was considered as the least requirement in engaging informal workers.

411 14 Conclusion

The study shows that there was no significance relationship among the educational qualification of the informal 412 workers and their various means of engagement as sources of employment. But there was a significant relationship 413 between the informal workers/artisans' engagement by previous employers and their previous work experience 414 but such relationship do not exist between informal workers' previous work experience and level of certification 415 enhancing the informal workers accessibility to work. It was also established that types of employers of 416 informal workers' has a significance relationship with the engagement requirements, namely, there is a significant 417 relationship between building owner as employer and previous work experience and level of certification of informal 418 workers; but contractor as an employer only has a significant relationship with informal workers previous work 419





Figure 1:

420

 $^{^{1}}$ © 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $^{^2 \}rm Employment$ Structure of Informal Construction Workers/Artisans in Nigeria © 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)

Odo Otin lia Ifeda Ógo Op Helodyreotowaduro Undernoe Ejigbo Egbedore F Ede North Obokun Qia oluma two Ede South Ayedin mosa Westinad Atakuhmosa Eas iremole Ife East sokafiyedade 1

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

Figure 2: Figure 1 :

S/N		Osogbo &	Iwo Ayedire	&	Ife Central & Ife East	Total No
		Olorunda	LGAs		LGAs	
		LGAs				
1	Masons	460	320		410	1190
2	Carpenters	815	665		705	2185
3	Iron Benders	205	115		135	455
4	Painters	100	75		116	291
5	Plumbers	120	95		160	375
6	Electricians	270	205		230	705
	Total	1970	1475		1756	5201

Figure 3: Table 1 :

S/N		Osogbo &	Iwo &	Ife Central $\&$	Total No Re	esponse	%
		Olorunda LGAs	Ayedire LGAs	Ife East LGAs		Rate	Response
							Rate
1	Masons	25	15	30	70	56	33.9
2	Carpenters	35	29	45	109	52	31.5
3	Iron Benders	11	4	8	23	15	9.1
4	Painters	5	5	5	15	13	7.9
5	Plumbers	7	4	8	19	14	8.5
6	Electricians	9	7	9	25	15	9.1
	Total	92	64	105	261	165	100

Figure 4: Table 2 :

2	01	13
e	ar	•
Y	7	
()	А

Figure 5: Table 3 .

3

3

		Workers/Artisans	
K	ey	Engagement structure	
		Means of Engagement	
1		Through labour market	
2		Through company register	
3		Through previous employers/contact	
4		Through relatives/family members	
5		Through friends	
6	7	Through other operatives/apprentices Through Personal Search	2013
8		Through sub-contractors Type of Employers	ear
1		Building Owner	Υ
2		Contractor	
3		Subcontractor	
4		Foremen/other operatives/apprentices	
1	2	Form of Employment (Building owners) Permanent Contract Tempo-	Volume
3	4	rary Causal Shifting Form of Employment (Contractors) Permanent	XIII
5	1	Contract Temporary Causal Shifting	Issue
2	3		XI
4	5		Version
			Ι
K	ey	Keys to Table 6 : Engagement Requirements of Requirements Aca-	Global
1	2	demic qualification Level of trade certification Previous work expe-	Journal
3	4	riences Long term relationship with employer Competence and per-	of Man-
5	6	formance on the past job Recommendation from previous employer	age-
		Informal Workers/Artisans	ment
		,	and
			Busi-
			ness
			Re-
			search (
)

[Note: A]

Figure 6: Table 3 -

3

[Note: *: significant at 5% level]

Figure 7: Table 3 :

 $\mathbf{4}$

[Note: *: significant at 5% level]

Figure 8: Table 4 :

		-	-	
		-		
	c			
		-	-	

	Ke	yMason Mean	Rk	Carpenter Mean	Rl	Bender Mea R k	Painter Mea R k
	Me	ans of Engagement					
	1	2.22	4	2.14	4	1.348	1.898
	2	2.14	6	1.68	8	2.125	2.067
	3	2.81	1	2.62	1	2.871	2.861
	4	2.11	7	1.98	5	2.602	2.216
	5	2.43	2	2.18	3	2.204	2.364
	6	2.21	5	2.31	2	2.602	2.383
2013 ear	7 8	2.11 2.32	7	1.82 1.92	7 6	1.577 2 125	2.432
Car	0		0	1.04	0	2.120	2.210

Υ

Volume Key Mason Mean 1 2.74 2 2.52 3 2.25 4 2.31 Carpenter Rk Mean Rk Mean Bender Rk Mean Pa XIII Issue XI Version

Ι

Global	Key With Building Owners Mason Mean Rk Mean Carpenter Rk Mean Bender Rk Mean Painter
Jour-	
nal	
of	
Man-	
age-	
ment	
and	
Busi-	
ness	
Re-	
search	
()	
[Note: A]	

Figure 9: Table 5 :

6

*: significant at 5% levelc) Significance of Informal Workers' Academic Qualifications on their Means of Engagement Table

Figure 10: Table 6 :

$\mathbf{7}$

through Previous Employers

Figure 11: Table 7 :

8

Workers/Artisans through Relatives Crosstab

Means of Securing Job: through

2013 Y ear	Educational Qualification Others	never 0	relative rarebften			
	HND	1	$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}$			
Volume XIII	21 lationship between Highest Education	al Qualification and	d Job Engagement of Informal 30.586			

Issue XI	
Version	
Ι	

Highest

1		
Global	Highest Educational Qualification Others	Crosstab Means of Securing Job: through friends ne
Journal	HND OND/NCE NBT Cert./Trade Test	
of Man-	Sen. Sec. Cert Jun. Sec. Cert. Pry Sch.	
age-	Cert. 0 Total	
ment		
and		
Busi-		
ness		
Re-		
search (
)		

[Note: A]

Figure 12: Table 8 :

$\mathbf{10}$

Workers/Artisans through other operative/apprentice $% \left({{{\rm{A}}} \right)_{\rm{A}}} \right)$

	Crosstab					C	Chi-	
						\mathbf{S}	quare	Э
					Df	Х	Κ2	Р
Highest	Means of Securi	ng Job: t	hrough		Total			
Educational	other operative/	apprenti	ce					
Qualification	0	never	rarely	often				
Others	0	1	1	0	2			
HND	0	0	0	2	2			
OND/NCE	0	1	3	3	7	$21\ 1$	5.442	.800
NBT Cert./Trade Test	0	1	10	9	20			
Sen. Sec. Cert	1	6	23	28	58			
Jun. Sec. Cert.	0	1	13	7	21			
Pry Sch. Cert.	0	7	24	15	46			
0	0	0	1	0	1			
Total	1	17	75	64	157			

Figure 13: Table 10 :

11

2013 ear Y Volume XIII Issue XI Version I () Management and Business Research

[Note: A]

Figure 14: Table 11 :

12

		Cros	sstab					Chi Squ
Means of Securing Job: through				Cases				_
previous	employerts		Valid	Missing		Total	Df.	X 2
Engagement	Requirements :	Ν	PercentN	Percent	Ν	Percer	ıt	
level of trade certification		156	$94.5\% \ 9$	5.5%	165	5100.0%	64	3.03
e) Significance of Informal Workers' Types	of							
Employers on Engagement Requirements								
Table								

Figure 15: Table 12 :

13									
	Crosst	Experience						Chi-	
Type of Employers: building owner * Engagement Requirements: previous work	N 156	Valid Percent 94.5%	N 9	Cases Missing Percent 5.5% 165	7 N F 1	Fotal Percent .00.0%	Df 4	X 2 26.917	P .000
	Figu	ıre 16: Tal	ble 13	:					
14									
	Cro	Certification						Chi- Square	
Type of Employers: building owner * Engagement Requirements: level of trade certification	N 155	Valid Percen 93.9%	t N 10	Cases Missing Percent 6.1% 165	Ν	Total Percent 100.0%	Di 4	f X 2 13.086	P .011
	Figu	ıre 17: Tal	ble 14	:					

15

Type of Employers: contractors		Cases		Chi-			
				Square			
Engagement Requirements:	Valid	Missing	Total	Df X 2	Р		
previous work experience	N Percent	N Percent N	V Percent	4 25.882	.000		
	156 94.5%	$9 \qquad 5.5\% \ 165$	100.0%				

Figure 18: Table 15 :

16

Type of Employers: contractors				Cases			Chi-	
							Square	
Engagement Requirements:		Valid		Missing	Tota	l D	f X 2	Р
level of trade certification	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	N Perc	ent 4	3.809	.432
	156	594.5%	9	$5.5\% \ 165$	100.0	0%		

Figure 19: Table 16 :

14 CONCLUSION

- ⁴²¹ [Oladapo (2001)] 'A Framework for Cost Management of Low Cost Housing'. M A Oladapo . International
 ⁴²² Conference on Spatial Information for Sustainable Development, (Nairobi, Kenya) 2001. 2-5 October.
- 423 [Oladapo ()] 'An Investigation into the Use of ICT in the'. A A Oladapo . Nigerian Construction Industry. ITcon
 424 2006. 2007. 12 p. 261.
- [Agbola ()] 'Apprenticeship and Manpower Training Strategy in Nigeria Building Industry'. T Agbola . Quarterly
 Journal of the Local Self Government Institute 1985. (4222) p. .
- 427 [BTEC's own resources: Structure of the Construction Industry] BTEC's own resources: Structure of the Con 428 struction Industry,
- 429 [Fagbenle and Olawunmi (2010)] 'Building Failure and Collapse in Nigeria: the Influence of the Informal Sector'.
- O I Fagbenle, A O Olawunmi. Accessedatwww.ccsenet.org/jsd Journal of Sustainable Development
 1913-9063 E- 1913-907. 2010. December 2010 268. 3 (4).
- [Mlinga and Wells ()] 'Collaboration between formal and informal enterprises in the Construction sector in
 Tanzania'. R S Mlinga , J Wells . *Habitat International* 2001. 2002. 26 p. .
- [Mlinga and Wells ()] 'Collaboration between Formal and Informal Enterprises in the Construction Sector in
 Tanzania'. R S Mlinga , J Wells . *Habitat International* 2002. 26 (2) p. .
- [Uwakweh (2000)] 'Conceptual Framework for Motivating Construction Workers in Developing Countries'. B O
 Uwakweh . http://buildnet.csir.co.za/cdcproc/docs/2nd/uwakweh_bo.pdf 2nd International
- Conference on Construction in Developing Countries: Challenges facing the construction industry in developing countries, (Botswana) 2000. November. p. .
- [Wells ()] 'Construction and Capital Formation in less Developed Economies: Unravelling the Informal Sector
 in an African City'. Jill Wells . Construction Management and Economics, (London, Taylor & Francis Ltd)
 2001. 19 p. .
- 443 [Lowe ()] Construction Economics, J L Lowe . www.callnetuk.come/home/johnlowe70/ 2003.
- 444 [Saboia ()] 'Contract Labour in the Brazilian Construction Industry'. João Saboia . Contract Labour: Looking at
 445 issues, (Geneva, ILO) 1997. 1997/1-2. p. 107.
- [Vaid ()] 'Contract labour in the construction industry in India'. K N Vaid . Contract labour in South Asia, D P
 A Naidu (ed.) (Geneva, ILO, Bureau) 1999. (for Workers' Activities)
- 448 [Anand ()] Critical HRD Issues Pertaining to Construction and other Workers in the Informal Sector. Draft
- report prepared for IFP/SEED under a project entitled "Urban Informal Sector Support Programme in India,
 Harjit S Anand . 2000. (funded by the ILO and the UNDP)
- [Allen ()] 'Developments in collective bargaining in construction in the 1980s and'. S G Allen . Contemporary
 collective bargaining in the private sector. Madison, Industrial Relations Research Association, Paula B Voos
 (ed.) 1994. 1990s. University of Wisconsin
- (cd.) 1004. 10008. Oniversity of Wildebildin
- 454 [Muteta ()] Etude sur L'emploi et les Conditions de Travail Dans le Secteur des Bâtiments, G K Muteta . 1998.
- [Assaad ()] 'Formal and Informal Institutions in the Labor Market, with Applications to the Construction Sector
 in Egypt'. R Assaad . World Development, (Oxford) 1993. Pergamon Press. 21 p. .
- [Mitullah and Wachira ()] Informal Labour in the Construction Industry in Kenya: A Case Study of Nairobi
 Sectorial Activities Programme Working Paper. International Labour Office, W V Mitullah , I N Wachira .
 2003. Geneva.
- 460 [Wikipedia ()] Informal Sector, Wikipedia . http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Informal_Sector. 461 Accessedon16/06/2009 2009.
- 462 [Aganga (2010)] Infrastructure: Construction sector contributes 3% to Nigeria's GDP, O Aganga . http: 463 //www.nigeriantribune.com.Accessed5/10/2010.Friday 2010. 13 Aug.
- [Wikipedia ()] Osun State, Wikipedia . http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Osun_State.Accessedon30/
 08/2012 2012.
- [Meagher and Yunusa (1996)] Passing the Buck: Structural Adjustment and the Nigerian Informal Sector. United
 Nations Research Institute for Social Development (UNRISD) Discussion Papers, K Meagher, M Yunusa.
- 467 Nations Research Institute for Social Development (ONRISD) Discussion Papers, K Meagner , M Tunusa .
 468 1996. May.
- 469 [Policies and Measures for Small Contractor Development in the Construction Industry] Policies and Measures
- for Small Contractor Development in the Construction Industry, Nairobi. (United Nations Centre for Human
 Settlement (UNCHS) (1996))
- [Ganesan ()] Privatisation, Fragmentation and Inflexible Flexibilisation: The UK Construction Industry from
 the 1970s. Paper presented to the International Conference on Structural Change in the Building Industry's
 Labour Market, Working Relations and Challenges in the Coming Years, S Ganesan . 2000. 2000. 19-20 Oct.
- 475 Ashgate, UK Harvey, Mark; Gelsenkirchen, Germany. Institut Arbeit und Technik (Employment, Technology
- 476 and Construction Development)

14 CONCLUSION

- 477 [Ngare ()] 'Problems facing the informal construction sector in Kenya'. J M Ngare . CIB Group 29 Meeting:
 478 Construction in Developing Countries, (Arusha, Tanzania) 1998.
- [Connolly ()] Recent Trends in the Mexican Construction Industry and Outlook for the 21st Century: Its Image,
 Employment Prospects and Skill Requirements, Priscilla Connolly . 2001. (Unpublished report for the ILO)
- [Rogerson ()] 'Recession and Informal Sector in South African'. C M Rogerson . Development Southern Africa
 1998. 5 (1) p. .

[Vivekananda Movement (2011)] 'Research on skill formation, enhancement and refinement of informal sector
 workers-A case study of masons and tile layers'. Consultancy assignment undertaken on behalf of CHF
 International, Swami Vivekananda, Youth Movement (ed.) 2011. January.

- [Mlinga (1998)] 'Significance and development of the informal construction sector in Tanzania. First meeting of
 CIB Group 29: Construction in Developing Countries'. R S Mlinga . AICC 1998. 21-23 September 1998.
- [Abdul-Aziz ()] Site Operatives in Malaysia: Examining the foreignlocal Asymmetry, Abdul-Rashid Abdul-Aziz
 2001. (Unpublished report for the ILO)
- ⁴⁹⁰ [Byrne ()] 'Spain: Boom continues but at what cost for labour'. Justin Byrne . *CLR News (Brussels*, 2000. 2000.
 ⁴⁹¹ European Institute for Construction Labour Research
- [Wahab (2010)] 'Stress Management among Artisans in Construction Industry in Nigeria'. A B Wahab . Global
 Journal of Researches in Engineering 2010. April 2010. 10 (1) p. .
- [Zylberstajn ()] The Construction Industry in Brazil: Surviving the Transition to a more Competitive Market.
 Sectoral Activities Programme, Working Paper No. 52, Hélio Zylberstajn . 1992. Geneva, ILO.
- ⁴⁹⁶ [Lu and Fox ()] 'The Construction Industry in China: Its Image, Employment Prospects and Skill Requirements'.
 ⁴⁹⁷ Youjie Lu, P W Fox. Sectoral Activities Program 2001. ILO. 180. (Working Paper)
- [Lu and Fox ()] The Construction Industry in the 21st Century: Its Image, Employment Prospects and Skill
 Requirements: Case Study from China, Youjie; Lu, Paul W Fox. 2001. (Unpublished report for the ILO)
- [Ilo ()] The Construction Industry in the Twenty-First Century: Its Image, Employment Prospects and Skill
 Requirements, Sectoral Activities Programme, Ilo . 2001. ILO Geneva.
- [Jewell et al. ()] The Effects of the Informal Sector on Construction. Proceeding Paper as part of Construction
 Research Congress, C Jewell , R Flanagan , K Catell . 2005. 2005. Broading Perspectives.
- [Crosthwaite ()] 'The Global Construction Market: a Cross Sectional Analysis'. D Crosthwaite . Construction
 Management and Economics 2000. 18 p. .
- [Yuson ()] 'The Philippine Construction Industry in the 21st Century: Is there a Globalization of the Local
 Construction Industry?' Albert S Yuson . Report for the ILO (Sectoral Activities Department) and for the
 IFBWW, 2001.
- [Nwaka ()] 'The Urban Informal Sector in Nigeria: Towards Economic Development'. Nwaka . Environmental
 Health and Social Harmony 1999. 5 (6) p. .
- [Brays ()] 'The Use of Intermediaries and Other 'Alternatives' to Bribery'. J Brays . The New Institutional
 Economics of Corruption, J Ambsdorff, M Taube, M Schramm (ed.) (London) 2005. Routledge.
- [Ogunsemi and Jagboro (2006)] 'Timecost model for building projects in Nigeria'. D R Ogunsemi , G O Jagboro
 . Construction Management and Economics 2006. March. 24 p. .
- [Sanni and Alabi (2008)] 'Traditional Apprenticeship System of Labour Supply for Housing Production in Saki,
 Southwestern'. L Sanni , F M Alabi . Nigeria. Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management
 2008. June. 1 (2) .
- [Mackenzie et al. ()] 'UK Construction Skills Shortage Response Strategies and an Analysis of Industry Perceptions'. S Mackenzie , A R Kilpatrick , A Akintoye . Construction Management and Economics, (London, Taylor & Francis Ltd) 2000. 18 p. .
- ⁵²¹ [United Nation Environment Programme Industry and Environment (1996)] 'United Nation Environment Pro-⁵²² gramme'. Industry and Environment 1996. April/June. 19 (2). (UNEP)
- [Vander Molen et al. ()] H F Vander Molen , P L T Hoonakker , J C Van Duivenboolen . Workstress in the
 Dutch Construction Industry, 1998.
- 526 1998. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.