

CrossRef DOI of original article:

Study of Consumers Satisfaction Regarding Fast-Food Restaurants in Cameroon

Bomi Juliet Sama

Received: 1 January 1970 Accepted: 1 January 1970 Published: 1 January 1970

Abstract

The main objective of this research is to investigate the consumer satisfaction in the context of booming fast-food industry of Cameroon. More precisely, we break the satisfaction concept into different constructs, atmosphere satisfaction, menu satisfaction and global satisfaction, based on the different drivers of satisfactions; we then evaluate the influence of atmosphere satisfaction and menu satisfaction on global satisfaction as well as the impact of the three dimensions of satisfaction on consumers loyalty.

Index terms— consumers satisfaction, fast-food, cameroon.

1 I. Introduction

here have been abundant researches investigating consumer satisfaction in services industry. For over forty years, an increasing interest aroused on consumers satisfaction with regard to services. Many authors have contributed to the advancement of the research; namely Howard and Sheth (1969), Ltifi, M. and Ghardi, J.E. (2012). Ekinci, and Sirakaya, (2004), Cadotte and Turgeon (1988) or more recently the work of Bartikowski, and Llosa, (2004) highlighted the different interactions affecting the degree of customer satisfaction, (Camelis, et al, 2017).

The attention directed to service industry such as fast-food is due to the improvement in the level of consumer satisfaction, driven by the increasing importance of that sector. It is worth mentioning that, in that industry, differentiation is required in order to gain market shares, as the industry products are highly homogeneous and the firms can only compete through service quality maximization. many studies have directed their attention on the importance of service quality on consumers satisfaction and loyalty. However, most of these studies were based on developed and emerging countries, but not on sub-Saharan African countries; furthermore, these works did not consider the different components of satisfaction. (Soriano 2012; Ladharai et al, 2017; Ajina et al, 2015).

Hence, this research investigates the consumer satisfaction in the context of booming fast-food industry of Cameroon.

More precisely, we break the satisfaction concept into different constructs, atmosphere satisfaction, menu satisfaction and global satisfaction, based on the different drivers of satisfaction; we then evaluate the influence of atmosphere satisfaction and menu satisfaction on global satisfaction as well as the impact of the three dimensions of satisfaction on consumers loyalty. The researcher also evaluated the moderator role of global satisfaction on the relationship linking atmosphere and menu satisfaction to loyalty.

The study result indicated that fast-food menu and the atmosphere satisfaction have a positive effect on global satisfaction. As well, out of the three dimensions of satisfaction, global and menu satisfaction proved to have a positive impact on consumer loyalty, but effect of the atmosphere satisfaction proves to be not determinant. In addition, the mediator role of global satisfaction proved to be relevant.

The remaining of this document is as follow. After presenting a brief literature review on the satisfaction construct, we developed the hypothesis and the conceptual model. The methodology is then presented, before moving to the results presentation. Finlay, a conclusion and recommendations are proposed.

2 II. Literature Review

Research on consumers satisfaction has made significant progress in recent years in terms of insightful results produced. The subject is even considered as been the cornerstone of marketing (Peterson and Wilson 1992, cited

45 in Bourgeon-Renault, Cova and Petr, 2006). If originally, the construct of satisfaction emanated from the labor
46 field and was defined as "a way of rewarding an individual for a job" (Homans 1961), it is above all the work
47 of Howard and Sheth (1969) or even Oliver (1980) who introduced this construct to the marketing field. From
48 now on, satisfaction can be glimpsed from different points of view.

49 Hence, some researches have defined satisfaction as a result of a consumption experience (Cardozo 1965; Oliver,
50 2014; Vrard, 2016). Other studies have related the concept of satisfaction more closely with consumer
51 behavior (Mihaela, O.O.E., 2015). Finally, Oliver (2010), meanwhile, suggests a four-step process leading to
52 satisfaction. According to that author, satisfaction is related to three constructs: performance, expectations and
53 disconfirmation. This last contribution gives the construct of satisfaction an emotional dimension and makes its
54 theoretical contribution one of the first explanatory models of this dimension in marketing.

55 3 a) The Transactional Approach of Satisfaction

56 Many studies have defined satisfaction from the transactional perspective. In that approach, satisfaction is
57 considered as "a subsequent state inherent to a specific transaction, limited in time" (Audrain-Pontevia 2016).
58 A similar definition was already proposed by, Lee and Kim (2017) by including perception and stating that
59 "customer satisfaction measures the perception of what he actually enjoys from goods or service consumption,
60 in comparison with his expected satisfaction when consuming a similar goods or service. In other words, the
61 proponents of this approach suggest that the consumer assesses goods or services from the experience gained
62 from their consumption; the latter measuring satisfaction by the difference between the experience and its initial
63 expectations Javed. and Cheema, (2017).

64 Faced with this static approach, which only considers the consumer experience toward the product or service
65 provider; another perspective is based on the relational approach. According Ngobo (2017), the measurement
66 of satisfaction in a relational perspective is defined as been a "continuous global evaluation of the ability of the
67 company or the brand to provide the benefits sought by the customer". Hence many viewpoints need to be
68 accounted when defining satisfaction. This is what Garbarino and Johnson (2008) highlighted when defining
69 satisfaction as "a cumulative construct, summing up satisfaction gained from specific products or services of the
70 company". This is a more recent approach to measure the consumer satisfaction construct.

71 4 b) Satisfaction in the Restaurant Industry

72 There have been abundant research investigating consumer satisfaction in the restaurant industry. Among them,
73 the study by Soriano (2019) based on a sample 3,872 consumers, concluded that the most important determinants
74 of the consumer choice of a restaurant were the food quality, namely freshness of the product, shape, variety of
75 menus and quantity of food served; as well as the service quality, price and the atmosphere of the restaurant.
76 These dimensions provide insight on the most relevant factors of consumer loyalty in relation to their degree of
77 satisfaction.

78 Suhartanto et al, 2019. also revealed the relationship between the traditional constructs on which satisfaction
79 is defined, namely; food quality, atmosphere, quality of facilities and the likelihood of visiting the restaurant by
80 consumers. The food quality was found to significantly influence the respondent behavior toward returning to
81 the restaurant in the same study.

82 In a cross-cultural analysis applied on a sample of 5136 consumers from 4 different countries (Scotland, Jamaica,
83 United States and Wales), Omar et al (2016) evaluated the satisfaction of fast-food restaurant chains (McDonald's,
84 Burger King, KFC, etc.). Their study finding revealed that, the consumer satisfaction was mainly driven by
85 the relationship with the restaurant staff as well as the quality of the facilities. Menvielle (2006), meanwhile,
86 evaluated the different variables of satisfaction and the consumer loyalty, using a sample of 262 consumers in
87 the Quebec region, the study finding revealed that, food quality explains the consumer's likelihood of returning
88 to the restaurant. The results are in line with the finding by Tripathi, G., (2017) that the food quality is an
89 important determinant explaining consumer satisfaction in restaurant.

90 Jalil et al, (2016) made a ranking of the key factors influencing the consumer satisfaction of in the hospitality
91 industry. Their study results indicate that the critical factors determining the consumer satisfaction was found
92 to be the courtesy of staff, the price and the food quality, however, their study did not provide evidence of impact
93 of the environmental factors.

94 From the above development, we can conclude that the consumer satisfactions are apprehended by many
95 variables that we will be including in our conceptual framework.

96 5 III. Hypothesis and Conceptual Model

97 Our conceptual model is based on the relational approach, which aim is to understand which dimensions of
98 satisfaction matters the most to consumers and motivate them to eat in a fast food. . To this end, we have
99 divided the relationship between a consumer and the service provider into three stages: ? A pre-transactional
100 stage based on consumer choice and decision-making criteria, ? A transactional stage during which there is an
101 exchange between the two parties and an assessment of tangible aspects by the consumer, ? A post-transaction
102 step, allowing the consumer to make an assessment of their experience and to estimate the degree of frequentation
103 of the restaurant in the future.

104 The pre-transaction stage refers to the initial approach that a consumer follows in the purchasing relationship
105 with a company. Before any relationship, the consumer assesses the value he can benefit from the relationship
106 that links him with the service provider. Thus, the consumer identifies some key elements that will allow him
107 to assess the quality of the product that will be offered to him later in the relationship. This is how the sound
108 environment or the decoration come into play at this level. According to some authors, they even constitute
109 a fairly significant element of differentiation from the competition (Belman 2004; Pratminingsih, et al 2018).
110 Finally, the waiting time before being able to sit down to eat, is as well, according to Soriano (2002), a critical
111 factor for choosing a restaurant or not.

112 Regarding the transactional stage, the consumer must make choices of the menus while considering the best
113 quality/price ratio in order to properly evaluate the service provided by the fast-food. The food quality and
114 the freshness are crucial elements determining the consumers return to the restaurant (Nadzirah, S, et al 2013).
115 Although many of the studies conducted in the hospitality industry have been emphasizing indoor restaurant
116 service quality, it is also worth mentioning that the delivery service quality is also a major factor determining the
117 consumer satisfaction in the fast-food restaurant. Hence, similar to Soriano (2002) we also consider that dimension
118 in this stage. Finally, the other aspects that we can consider in this transactional stage concern the price-quality
119 ratio of the menu. Offering a quality menu is not enough to achieve the consumer satisfaction, this needs to be in
120 line with the price, the restaurant atmosphere or the cleanliness (Soriano 2002). Consumers are looking for value
121 and constantly desire higher value for their desired menu (Klara 2012). We thus formulate the hypothesis that: H
122 1: consumer satisfaction toward the fast-food menu positively influences the global satisfaction As already said,
123 the consumer assesses the value of the benefit he will enjoy from eating in the fastfood restaurant. This allows him
124 to make an idea of the quality of the product that will be offered to him. This is how the restaurant atmosphere;
125 decoration plays a key role at this level. According to Liljander and Strandvik (2020) the emotional variables
126 associated to the restaurant atmosphere creates an emotion on the consumer that will affect its satisfaction
127 level. Some researches claim that, they even constitute a fairly significant element of differentiation from the
128 competition (Belman, 1996; Chen, Y., 2014). Hence, we posit that.

129 H 2: Satisfaction toward the restaurant atmosphere positively affect the global satisfaction Similar to the
130 relational approach to satisfaction, we measured the effects of satisfaction on loyalty. More precisely, we refer to
131 the work of Soriano (2002), Sulek and Hensley (2004), Gilbert et al. (2004), Menvielle (2006) and Andaleed and
132 Conway (206). These works provide evidence supporting that, the more consumers are satisfied with the service
133 provider, the more likely they will come back to him. This long-term relationship has been also proven in the
134 marketing empirical literature (Anderson and Sullivan, 1993; Tanveer, Zoyia, and Rab Nawaz Lodhi (2016); Yi,
135 1997). We also consider these elements in the post-transaction stage and two dimensions were thus proposed,
136 namely the probability of returning to the restaurant and the probability of recommending the restaurant. These
137 considerations allowed us to formulate the following set of hypotheses: H 3: Satisfaction toward the menu
138 positively influence loyalty H 4: Satisfaction toward the restaurant atmosphere has a positive influence on loyalty
139 H 5: Global satisfaction has a positive influence on loyalty Finally, we also consider the possible moderating
140 role plays by the overall satisfaction on the relationship between consumer satisfaction, and loyalty, Namely, we
141 posit that: H 6.a: Global satisfaction is a mediator in the relationship between satisfaction toward the menu and
142 royalty H 6.b: Global satisfaction is a mediator in the relationship between satisfaction regarding the company
143 atmosphere and loyalty

144 From the above development, we provide the representation of both the transactional approach representation
145 as well as the conceptual model. IV. Empirical Analysis

146 Our study objective was achieved using data collected from a survey. Precisely, we used a selfadministrated
147 questionnaire online and offline to collect data from some college students in Cameroon. The selection of students
148 as target population was driven by the fact that, they constitute one of the major segments in the fast-food in
149 Cameroon. From this data collection process, the researcher was able to test the conceptual model using a sample
150 or 621 students.

151 The measuring scales were designed following the procedure of Churchill (1979). For our exploratory phase,
152 we generated a set of items by referring to the existing literature, namely pre-existing scales taken from the
153 literature on consumer satisfaction and loyalty in the fast-food industry. The measurement scales used in this
154 research are similar to those adopted by Gilbert et al. (2004) who investigated the consumer satisfaction in
155 the fast-food industry.

156 Prior to testing the hypothesis, we first performed the internal consistency analysis using the Cronbach test, we
157 also applied the exploratory factor analysis. The objective of this analysis was to investigate how closely related
158 are the set of items found in each construct, and to reduce the data into a smaller set of summary variables, prior
159 to making the regression analysis. The Varimax rotation based on the maximization of the correlation coefficients
160 of the most correlated variables was suitable in our case since the regression assumes the independence of the
161 explanatory variables (Hair et al., 1998). We refer to the Kaiser's rule (1958) to determine the number of
162 factors to extract. Hence, only the factors whose eigenvalue was greater than 1 were retained. The percentage
163 of explained variance ensures that the factors explain a minimum of variance. In social sciences, the minimum
164 percentage variance should be 60% (Hair et al., 1998). As for the explanatory factor analysis, the minimum
165 variance threshold for interpreting the factors is 0.3. (Gorsuch 1974; Leary 1995).

166 In the tables presenting the loadings of the items on each factor, we only presented the loadings greater than

167 0.30 for the sake of reliability. We retained the items strongly correlated with a single factor and whose factorial
168 weight was greater or equal to 0.5. Items with commonality less than 0.5 were eliminated by successive iterations.

169 Regarding the different dimensions of satisfaction and overall satisfaction, the respondents were asked to give
170 the opinion on their satisfaction regarding the fast-food. based in the Likert scale of 1 to 5 (1-not satisfied at all,
171 5-very satisfied). As well, concerning the behavioral intentions, the respondents were asked to give their opinion
172 on the likelihood of returning in the fast-food or recommending the fast food to someone in the near future, we
173 also used the Likert scale of 1 to 5 (1-not at all likely, 5-very likely).

174 The The alpha value must be at least 0.7 for confirmatory factor analysis ??Hair et al., 1998). In the case
175 of exploratory factor analysis, it is considered acceptable if it is between 0.5 and 0.7 (Nunnally 1978). We have
176 therefore adopted a minimum threshold of 0.5.

177 The principal component analysis of the different dimension of satisfaction, performed using SPSS 13.0,
178 revealed a two-dimensional structure, accounting for more than 45% of the total variance at the global level.
179 Precisely, the analysis suggests that, the satisfaction coming from the restaurant menu account for (34.988%
180 of total explained variance), while the satisfaction due the restaurant atmosphere represents (10.671% of total
181 explained variance).

182 Similarly, the internal consistency of the constructs related to global satisfaction and reliability (loyalty) was
183 also performed through successive iterations scales. From this process, we retain onedimensional structure of
184 each of the scales considered. However, this approach has led to the removal of many items with poor loadings.
185 the constructs related to the overall satisfaction and loyalty have also been represented by two items.

186 We also examined the possible relationship between the different dimensions of satisfaction, global satisfaction
187 as well as loyalty, in this case, the internal consistency was evaluated through the computation of rho proposed
188 by Jöreskog (1971). As well the exploratory factor analysis also supports the reliability of our constructs with
189 indices ?j greater than 0.65 (Table 2).

190 6 a) Hypothesis Testing

191 From our conceptual model we were supposed to investigate two issues: the influences of the different dimensions
192 of satisfaction on the overall satisfaction and loyalty, as well as the possible moderating role of overall satisfaction
193 on the relationships between the dimensions of post-transaction satisfaction and loyalty. The results of the
194 regressions analysis indicates that, there is a positive and significant impact of the two dimensions of pre-
195 transactional satisfaction (satisfaction coming from the menu offered and the restaurant atmosphere) on overall
196 satisfaction. This is in line with the assumptions made that, overall satisfaction is mainly explained by pre-
197 transaction satisfaction with attributes related to the menu proposed and the fast-food atmosphere. Hypotheses
198 H1 and H2 are therefore validated.

199 The analysis results also show a significant positive influence of overall satisfaction on loyalty, which supports
200 the results of the existent literature. Finally, the research outcome revealed some influence of the components
201 of pre-transaction satisfaction on loyalty. Precisely, the results indicates that, the satisfaction resulting from the
202 restaurant atmosphere has no significant influence on loyalty.

203 ? while the satisfaction resulting from the menu offered positively and significantly affectsloyalty. In order
204 to expand our analysis, we sought to understand the possible moderating nature of overall satisfaction on the
205 relationships between the dimensions of satisfaction and loyalty.

206 The literature indicated that satisfaction has proven to be considered a good predictor of loyalty ??Mencarelli
207 et Therefore, the mediating role of global satisfaction was tested using the three-step procedure of Baron and
208 Kenny (1986), which uses three independent regression analyses. This approach proves the existence of mediation
209 by demonstrating that: ? The independent variables affect the mediator, ? The independent variables have
210 significant effect on the dependent variables, ? The mediator influences the dependent variable.

211 In other words, the path between the independent and dependent variables should be less significant than
212 the path between the mediator and the independent variables. Mediation is considered total when the influence
213 of the independent variable on the dependent variable disappears completely in the presence of the mediating
214 variable and that between the mediator and the dependent variables remains highly significant.

215 When the influence of the mediator on the independent variable is simply insignificant, we are then in the
216 case of partial mediation. In cases of partial mediation, only part of the effect of the independent variable on
217 the dependent variable is exerted through the mediating variable and the other part is exerted directly on the
218 independent variable, or possibly, through another variable not taken into account in the model.

219 From the previous analysis, we established that the two dimensions of satisfaction significantly and positively
220 influence overall satisfaction, our mediating variable. The latter has a positive and significant influence on loyalty,
221 that stands for the dependent variable.

222 Concerning the direct influences of the dimensions of satisfaction on the dependent variable, we previously
223 observed differentiated effects according to the explanatory variable considered; namely, According to Fornell,
224 Lorange and Roos (1990), the total effect of one variable on another is the sum of the direct effect and the
225 indirect effect. The analysis of the total effects revealed that overall satisfaction is the variable that exerts
226 strongest influence on loyalty. It is also worth mentioning that satisfaction coming from the menu has a strong
227 positive influence, both directly and indirectly, via overall satisfaction, on loyalty. atmosphere have not significant
228 influence on loyalty, hence we can conclude that, there is a total mediating role of global satisfaction on the

229 relationship linking satisfaction towards the atmosphere and loyalty: ? Satisfaction coming from the menu have
230 a significant and positive influence on loyalty, we therefore proceeded to test a possible partial mediation, via
231 overall satisfaction. The results of the test revealed that overall satisfaction is therefore a partial mediator in the
232 atmosphere satisfactionloyalty relationship.

233 7 b) Goodness of the Fit

234 The size of our overall sample (621 individuals) allows us to use the structural equation method to test our
235 hypotheses of direct links between variables. With this in mind, we used the Amos 4.0 software, with estimation
236 by the maximum likelihood method and bootstrap procedure on 200 replications, to ensure the robustness of the
237 results obtained.

238 Confirmatory factor analysis leads to confronting empirical data with hypotheses on the relationships between
239 observed variables and latent variables (Evrard, Pras and Roux 2009). With this in mind, we selected certain
240 indices that reflect the extent of the adjustment (adjustment indices) or the lack of adjustment (residuals) of the
241 model (N'Goala 2003).

242 Given the size of our sample (n= 621), and based on the recommendations of the literature, we used the
243 following indices to perform the goodness of fit analysis.

244 ? NFI, NNFI, ILLI, CFI and TLI. It is generally desirable that they be greater than 0.9 when the sample size
245 exceeds 250 (Bollen and Long, 1993;Hu and Bentler, 1995).

246 We also rely on Gamma 1, Gamma 2, RMR and RMSEA and Chi-square/ degree freedom indices to check
247 the adjustment of the models tested. We decided not to retain neither the Chi-square, which is too sensitive to
248 the size of the sample, nor the GFI and AGFI indices, considered less reliable than the Gamma1 and Gamma2
249 indices, because they are too sensitive to the number of parameters to be estimated (Roussel and 2002).

250 The Chi² value adjusted according to the number of degrees of freedom (Chi²/d of) must generally be less than
251 5. It is advisable to carefully observe the residuals and especially the RMSEA in order to have a more precise
252 indication of the degree of freedom. adjustment between the theoretical model and the data (Browne and Cudeck
253 1993, Hu and Bentler 1995). The RMSEA is considered suitable when it is close to 0.05, acceptable below 0.08
254 and unacceptable above 0.1 (Browne and Cudeck 1993). These elements are presented in Table ?? below.

255 8 Table

256 On the sight if these thresholds, the fitness the model is satisfactory. Indeed, the adjustment indicators
257 (NFI=0.988, NNFI=0.979, CFI = 0.966; IFI= 0.976; TLI = 0.948) all exceed the threshold of 0.9 and the
258 RMSEA value is also very suitable (0.043) as indicate the below table 5.

259 9 V. Conclusion

260 Through this research, we wanted to study the consumer satisfaction with regard to the fast-food industry in
261 Cameroon and precisely, the dimensions that can explain consumer satisfaction when visiting a fastfood type
262 restaurant. The study result indicated that fastfood menu and the atmosphere satisfaction have a positive
263 effect on global satisfaction. As well, out of the three dimensions of satisfaction, global and menu satisfaction
264 proved to have a positive impact on consumer loyalty, but effect of the atmosphere satisfaction appeared to be not
265 determinant. In addition, the mediator role of global satisfaction proved to be relevant. As for the factors affecting
266 satisfaction in general, food appeared to be the most crucial dimensions when consumers evaluate the satisfaction
267 attached to the meal, over the others factors. Our contribution is innovative insofar as it extrapolates the studies
268 on consumer satisfaction that we mentioned in our literature review. We brought to light new dimensions, in
269 particular the importance of the atmosphere of the fast-food, and validated almost all of the hypotheses evoked.
270 Therefore, we can affirm that our contribution should be useful for other studies which will, at least, corroborate
271 our assertions. These results deepen the conclusions of some previous studies (in restaurants with service), by
272 insisting more on the concepts attached to food (quality, quantity of food).

273 Finally, regarding loyalty, we confirmed that it was strongly linked to overall satisfaction. Moreover, loyalty is
274 explained more by attitudinal loyalty (recommendation of the restaurant) than by behavioral loyalty (return to
275 the restaurant).

276 Be that as it may, in light of these results, consumers seem to have become aware of an important and rapidly
277 expanding phenomenon in Africa, the search for quality food is now becoming a major dimension. Therefore, fast-
278 food companies in Cameroon need to sharpen their services quality in order to improve the consumers satisfaction
279 in all aspects and guarantee by the way their royalty. ^{1 2}

¹()E © 2022 Global JournalsStudy of Consumers Satisfaction Regarding Fast-Food Restaurants in Cameroon
²© 2022 Global JournalsStudy of Consumers Satisfaction Regarding Fast-Food Restaurants in Cameroon

Eigen Value	5,148 1,611
Variance	34,9180,681
Alpha De Cronbach	0,6920,693
Year 2022	
28	
Volume XXII	
Issue III Ver-	
sion I	
()	
Global	Table1: Internal Consistency Analysis Results F1 F2 ,693 ,610 -Variety Of Menu Items -W
Journal of	
Management	
and Business	
Research	
-Cleanliness	,505 ,413
-Cleanliness	
-Decoration	,773
Frequentation	,751
Global Atmosphere	,675

Figure 1:

2

	Alpha Cronbach de (nb d'items)	Rh� Joreskog de
Menu. Sat	0,662 (4 items)	0,673
Atmosphere. Sat	0,691 (3 items)	0,664
Global Sat	0,752 (2 items)°0 ,754	
Loyalty	0,809 (2 items)	0,727

Figure 2: Table 2 :

3

Independent Variables	Influence de	Coeff.	T	Sig.	Concl.
Global Satisfaction	Sat menu	0,386	6,909	0,019	S
Global Satisfaction	Sat Atmosphere	0,193	3,867	0,021	S
Loyalty	Global Satisfaction	0,717	14,418	0,022	S
Loyalty	Sat Atmosphere	-0,045 -1,0664	0,246		NS
Loyalty	Sat Menu	0,244	4,806	0,013	S

Figure 3: Table 3 :

4

Impact on	Direct	Indirect	Total
loyalty	Effect	Effect	Effect
Sat Menu	0,243	0,277	0,520
Sat Atmosphere	-0,044	0,13725	0,093
Global Satisfaction	0,716		0,716

Figure 4: Table 4 :

5

	Chi - Square	Gamma	2	RM	R	RMSE	A	NFI	NNF	I	Chi ² /ddl	IFI	TLI	CFI
Threshold	>0,9	>0,9						< 0,1	<0,08	>0,9	>0,9	< 5	>0,9	>0,9
Results	124,78	0,988	0,979					0,049	0,043	0,948	0,949	3,299	0,976	0,948

Figure 5: Table 5 :

- 280 [Morales et al.] , M Morales , Shm Lakhal , Service Quality , In , Setting .
- 281 [Ekinci et al. ()] ‘An examination of the antecedents and consequences of customer satisfaction’. Y Ekinci , E
282 Sirakaya , G I Crouch , R R Perdue . *Timmermans HJP, & Uysal M. Consumer Psychology of Tourism,*
283 *Hospitality and Leisure*, (Cambridge, MA) 2004. CABI Publishing. p. .
- 284 [Cardozo ()] ‘An experimental study of customer effort, expectation, and satisfaction’. R N Cardozo . *Journal of*
285 *marketing research* 1965. 2 (3) p. .
- 286 [Bollen and Long (ed.) ()] K A Bollen , Long . *Testing structural equation models*, J (ed.) (Sage) 1993. 154.
- 287 [Ajina et al. ()] ‘Corporate disclosures, information asymmetry and stock-market liquidity in France’. A Ajina ,
288 D Sougne , F Lakhal . *Journal of Applied Business Research (JABR)* 2015. 31 (4) p. .
- 289 [Anderson ()] *Cross-category variation in customer satisfaction and retention. Marketing letters*, E W Anderson
290 . 1994. 5 p. .
- 291 [Javed and Cheema ()] *Customer satisfaction and customer perceived value and its impact on customer loyalty:*
292 *the mediational role of customer relationship management. The Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce*,
293 F Javed , S Cheema . 2017. p. .
- 294 [Tripathi ()] ‘Customer satisfaction and word of mouth intentions: testing the mediating effect of customer
295 loyalty’. G Tripathi . *Journal of Services Research* 2017. 17 (2) .
- 296 [Bartikowski and Llosa ()] ‘Customer satisfaction measurement: comparing four methods of attribute categori-
297 sations’. B Bartikowski , S Llosa . *The Service Industries Journal* 2004. 24 (4) p. .
- 298 [Dimitriades ()] ‘Customer satisfaction, loyalty and commitment in service organizations: Some evidence from
299 Greece’. Z S Dimitriades . *Management Research News* 2006. 29 (12) p. .
- 300 [Johnson et al. ()] ‘Customer satisfaction, perceived risk and affective commitment: an investigation of directions
301 of influence’. M S Johnson , E Sivadas , E Garbarino . *Journal of Services Marketing* 2008.
- 302 [Oliver ()] *Customer satisfaction. Wiley international encyclopedia of marketing*, R L Oliver . 2010.
- 303 [Kempe et al. ()] ‘Disenrollment from a state child health insurance plan: are families jumping S (c) HIP?’. A
304 Kempe , B L Beaty , L A Crane , J Barrow , J Stokstad , S Belman , J F Steiner . *Ambulatory Pediatrics*
305 2004. 4 (2) p. .
- 306 [Bourgeon-Renault et al. ()] *Dynamique du processus de satisfaction du consommateur en situations extrêmes:*
307 *quand le lien remplace le bien*, D Bourgeon-Renault , B Cova , C Petr . 2006. Actes11èmes Journées de
308 Recherche en Marketing de Bourgogne
- 309 [Hu and Bentler ()] *Evaluating model fit*, L T Hu , P M Bentler . 1995.
- 310 [Cristobal-Fransi et al. ()] ‘Exploring service quality among online sharing economy platforms from an online
311 media perspective’. E Cristobal-Fransi , F Hernández -Soriano , B Ferrer-Rosell , N Daries . *Sustainability*
312 2019. 11 (13) p. 3690.
- 313 [Camelis et al. ()] ‘How other customers influence customer satisfaction during the service delivery’. C Camelis
314 , F Dano , V Hamon , S Llosa . *The Customer is NOT Always Right? Marketing Orientations in a Dynamic*
315 *Business World*, (Cham) 2017. Springer. p. .
- 316 [Liljander and Mattsson ()] ‘Impact of customer preconsumption mood on the evaluation of employee behavior
317 in service encounters’. V Liljander , J Mattsson . *Psychology & Marketing* 2002. 19 (10) p. .
- 318 [Pratminingsih et al. ()] ‘Increasing customer loyalty of ethnic restaurant through experiential marketing and
319 service quality’. S A Pratminingsih , E Astuty , K Widyatami . *Journal of Entrepreneurship Education* 2018.
320 21 (3) p. .
- 321 [Cadotte and Turgeon ()] ‘Key factors in guest satisfaction’. E R Cadotte , N Turgeon . *Cornell Hotel and*
322 *Restaurant Administration Quarterly* 1988. 28 (4) p. .
- 323 [Achour ()] ‘La relation entre la satisfaction et la fidélité à la marque’. L Achour . *La Revue des Sciences de*
324 *Gestion* 2006. (6) p. .
- 325 [Suhartanto et al. ()] ‘Loyalty toward online food delivery service: the role of e-service quality and food quality’.
326 D Suhartanto , M Helmi Ali , K H Tan , F Sjahroeddin , L Kusdiby . *Journal of foodservice business research*
327 2019. 22 (1) p. .
- 328 [Orji ()] ‘Major classic consumer buying behaviour models: Implications for marketing decision-making’. O Orji
329 . *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development* 2013. 4 (4) p. .
- 330 [Pras et al. ()] *Market: fondements et méthodes des recherches en marketing*, B Pras , Y Evrard , E Roux . 2009.
331 (Dunod)
- 332 [Newman and Werbel ()] ‘Multivariate analysis of brand loyalty for major household appliances’. J W Newman
333 , R A Werbel . *Journal of marketing research* 1973. 10 (4) p. .

9 V. CONCLUSION

- 334 [Ramseook-Munhurrun ()] ‘Perceived service quality in restaurant services: Evidence from Mauritius’. P
335 Ramseook-Munhurrun . *International journal of management and marketing research* 2012. 5 (3) p. .
- 336 [Chen ()] ‘Recreating the Chinese American home through cookbook writing’. Y Chen . *An International*
337 *Quarterly* 2014. 81 (2) p. .
- 338 [Ltifi and Ghardi ()] ‘Satisfaction and loyalty with the Tunisian postal services’. M Ltifi , J E Ghardi .
339 *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science* 2012. 2 (7) p. .
- 340 [Menvielle ()] ‘Satisfaction des consommateurs auprès des restau rants de type fastfood: Vive la qualité de la
341 nourriture!. Laboratoire de recherche et d’intervention en gestion hôtelière et restauration’. W Menvielle . *The*
342 *influence of the integrated marketing communication on the consumer buying behavior. Procedia Economics*
343 *and Finance*, 2006. 2015. 23 p. . Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières.Mihaela
- 344 [Oliver ()] *Satisfaction: A behavioral perspective on the consumer*, R L Oliver . 2014. (Routledge)
- 345 [Omar et al. ()] ‘Service quality, customers’ satisfaction and the moderating effects of gender: A study of Arabic
346 restaurants’. Muhamad Omar , Hashim Saufiyudin , Rozila Fadzil Ariffin , Ahmad . *Procedia-Social and*
347 *Behavioral Sciences* 2016. 224 p. .
- 348 [Jöreskog ()] ‘Simultaneous factor analysis in several popu lations’. K G Jöreskog . *Psychometrika* 1971. 36 (4)
349 p. .
- 350 [Stephens et al. ()] *Smart grid (R) evolution*, J C Stephens , E J Wilson , T R Peterson . 2015. Cambridge
351 University Press.
- 352 [Homans ()] ‘Social behavior as exchange’. G C Homans . *American journal of sociology* 1958. 63 (6) p. .
- 353 [Klara (2012)] ‘Something in the Air’. R Klara . [https://www.adweek.com/brand-marketing/](https://www.adweek.com/brand-marketing/something-air-138683)
354 [something-air-138683](https://www.adweek.com/brand-marketing/something-air-138683) *AdWeek* 2012. March 5.
- 355 [Echtay et al. ()] ‘Superoxide activates mitochondrial uncoupling proteins’. K S Echtay , D Roussel , J St-Pierre
356 , M B Jekabsons , S Cadenas , J A Stuart , J A Harper , S J Roebuck , A Morrison , S Pickering , J C
357 Clapham . *Nature* 2002.
- 358 [Liu et al. ()] *Taxonomy of consumer complaint behavior: Replication and extension. The Journal of Consumer*
359 *Satisfaction, Dissatisfaction and Complaining Behavior*, R Liu , H Watkins , Y Yi . 1997. p. 10.
- 360 [Engel et al. ()] ‘The cooperative venture formation process: A latent variable structural modeling approach’. B
361 Engel , R Blackwell , Rinehart , C Fornell , P Lorange , J Roos . *Management science* 1978. 1990. 36 (10) p.
362 . (Consumer Behavior)
- 363 [Abbott et al. ()] ‘The Dark Energy Survey: more than dark energy -an overview’. T Abbott , F B Abdalla ,
364 J Aleksis? , S Allam , A Amara , D Bacon , E Balbinot , M Banerji , K Bechtol , A Benoit-Lévy , G M
365 Bernstein . *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 2016. 460 (2) p. .
- 366 [Tanveer and Lodhi ()] ‘The effect of brand equity on customer satisfaction: An empirical study based on David
367 Aaker’s Brand Equity Model’. Zoyia Tanveer , Rab Nawaz Lodhi . *IUP Journal of Brand Management* 2016.
368 13 (3) p. 43.
- 369 [Lee and Kim ()] ‘The effects of Korean medical service quality and satisfaction on revisit intention of the United
370 Arab Emirates government sponsored patients’. S Lee , E K Kim . *Asian nursing research* 2017. 11 (2) p. .
- 371 [Mencarelli et al. (2010)] ‘The evaluation of the consumption experience: investigation around two alternative
372 models. Application in the cultural field’. R Mencarelli , S Marteaux , Notebaert , Jf , M Pulh , D Bourgeon-
373 Renault , L Graillot . *Colloquium on new trends in ESCP-EAP marketing*, 2010. January. p. .
- 374 [Jalil et al. ()] ‘The impact of store atmospherics, perceived value, and customer satisfaction on behavioural
375 intention’. N A A Jalil , A Fikry , A Zainuddin . *Procedia Economics and Finance* 2016. 37 p. .
- 376 [Jalil et al. ()] ‘The impact of store atmospherics, perceived value, and customer satisfaction on behavioural
377 intention’. N A A Jalil , A Fikry , A Zainuddin . *Procedia Economics and Finance* 2016. 37 p. .
- 378 [Baron and Kenny ()] ‘The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual,
379 strategic, and statistical considerations’. R M Baron , D A Kenny . *Journal of personality and social psychology*
380 1986. 51 (6) p. 1173.
- 381 [Huang ()] ‘The relationship between service quality and student satisfaction in higher education sector’. Q Huang
382 . *AU Journal of Management* 2010. 8 (1) p. .
- 383 [Howard and Sheth ()] *The theory of buyer behavior*, J A Howard , J N Sheth . 1969. New York. 63 p. 145.
- 384 [Ngobo ()] ‘The trajectory of customer loyalty: an empirical test of Dick and Basu’s loyalty framework’. P V
385 Ngobo . *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 2017. 45 (2) p. .
- 386 [Nadzirah et al. ()] ‘University foodservice: An overview of factors influencing the customers’ dining choice’. S
387 Nadzirah , S Karim , H Ghazali , M Othman . *International Food Research Journal* 2013. 20 (3) .
- 388 [Audrain-Pontevia and Vanhuele ()] ‘Where do customer loyalties really lie, and why? Gender differences in store
389 loyalty’. A F Audrain-Pontevia , M Vanhuele . *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*
390 2016.