



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS RESEARCH

ADMINISTRATION AND MANAGEMENT

Volume 13 Issue 4 Version 1.0 Year 2013

Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal

Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)

Online ISSN: 2249-4588 & Print ISSN: 0975-5853

# Afghanistan's Younger, Elite and Educated Population: A Cultural Assessment and Possible Implications for the Economic and Political Future of the Country

By Charles Rarick, Gregory Winter, Gideon Falk, Inge Nickerson & Casimir Barczyk

*University of Afghanistan, Kabul, Afghanistan*

**Abstract** - This paper is an exploration of the cultural values of the younger, elite segment of Afghani culture using the Hofstede-Bond typology. Afghanistan was not included in Hofstede's original or subsequent studies, and there is a dearth of empirically-based literature on the cultural classification of this country. The results of this study indicate that this segment of the Afghanistan population is low in power distance, highly masculine, slightly individualistic, somewhat accepting of uncertainty, and possesses a short term orientation towards time. The paper also compares these values with other cultures in the region and beyond, and explains how these cultural differences could hold some promise for this war-torn country. Implications for economic and political development are discussed.

*GJMBR-A Classification : JEL Code: I25*



AFGHANISTAN'S YOUNGER ELITE AND EDUCATED POPULATION A CULTURAL ASSESSMENT AND POSSIBLE IMPLICATIONS FOR THE ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL FUTURE OF THE COUNTRY

*Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:*



# Afghanistan's Younger, Elite and Educated Population: A Cultural Assessment and Possible Implications for the Economic and Political Future of the Country

Charles Rarick <sup>a</sup>, Gregory Winter <sup>a</sup>, Gideon Falk <sup>b</sup>, Inge Nickerson <sup>c</sup> & Casimir Barczyk <sup>¶</sup>

**Abstract** - This paper is an exploration of the cultural values of the younger, elite segment of Afghani culture using the Hofstede-Bond typology. Afghanistan was not included in Hofstede's original or subsequent studies, and there is a dearth of empirically-based literature on the cultural classification of this country. The results of this study indicate that this segment of the Afghanistan population is low in power distance, highly masculine, slightly individualistic, somewhat accepting of uncertainty, and possesses a short term orientation towards time. The paper also compares these values with other cultures in the region and beyond, and explains how these cultural differences could hold some promise for this war-torn country. Implications for economic and political development are discussed.

An Exploratory Study of the Culture of Afghanistan Using Hofstede's Value Dimensions.

## I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most popular and far-reaching cross-cultural research is that of Geert Hofstede. Hofstede was employed as an industrial psychologist and head of the Personnel Research Department for IBM-Europe during the late 1960s and early 1970s. His administration of a "values" survey to IBM employees in the 72 national subsidiaries of the company changed our view of managing across cultures. Based on the data analyzed, Hofstede concluded that management theories are culturally bound, and what may be appropriate management behavior in one culture may be inappropriate in another (Hofstede, 1980a; Hofstede, 1980b; Hofstede, 1983; Hofstede, 1993; Hofstede, 1994; Hofstede, 2001). Hofstede's research has been widely cited in numerous academic studies (Kirkman, Lowe & Gibson, 2006) and often forms the basis for cross-cultural training and analysis. Hofstede worked with data from his original countries surveyed and produced an analysis of forty

national cultures. Later research by Hofstede and others provided for the addition of ten more countries and three regions – East Africa, West Africa, and the Arab world ([www.geert-hofstede.com](http://www.geert-hofstede.com), 2012).

The original dimensions of culture identified by Hofstede originally were power distance, individualism, masculinity, and uncertainty avoidance. Power distance is the degree to which members of a society expect power to be equally or unequally shared in that society. Individualism is the extent to which people look after their own interests as compared with collectivism, in which people expect the group to look after and protect their interests. Masculinity is the extent to which people value assertiveness, competition, and the acquisition of money and goods. This is contrasted with femininity as a cultural dimension, which values nurturing, relationships, and a concern for others. Uncertainty avoidance is a society's reliance on social norms and structures to alleviate the unpredictability of future events (Robbins & Coulter, 2012). In essence, uncertainty avoidance is a measure of people's collective tolerance for ambiguity.

Later research (Hofstede & Bond, 1988) added a fifth dimension called long-term orientation. This dimension, originally called Confucian Dynamism, is the extent to which a society encourages and rewards future-oriented behavior such as planning, delaying gratification, and investing in the future. It is a cultural preference for thrift, perseverance, tradition, and a long term view of time (Robbins & Coulter, 2012).

Hofstede's popularity attracted a number of critics, some expressing concerns about the ability to generalize the results of his research and the level of analysis, as well as the use of political boundaries (countries) as culture. The validity of the instrument has also been challenged (Mc Sweeney, 2002; Smith, 2002). The homogeneity of culture, or in other words, the assumption that people are all the same in every culture has also been called into question (Sivakumar & Nakata, 2001). Relative to this study, there have been questions raised concerning the validity of grouping a number of Arab countries into one culture, because there are significant differences among Arab nations (Alkailani,

*Author a p ¶* : School of Management Purdue University Calumet  
E-mails : [crarick@purduecal.edu](mailto:crarick@purduecal.edu), [gideon@purduecal.edu](mailto:gideon@purduecal.edu), [barczyk@purduecal.edu](mailto:barczyk@purduecal.edu)

*Author a* : Business Department American University of Afghanistan, Kabul, Afghanistan. E-mail : [gwinter@auaf.edu.af](mailto:gwinter@auaf.edu.af)

*Author c* : Andreas School of Business Barry University, Miami Shores. E-mail : [inickerson@mail.barry.edu](mailto:inickerson@mail.barry.edu)

Azzam & Athamneh, 2012; Sabri, 2012). The additional dimension of long-term orientation (LTO) has been challenged on the grounds of conceptual validity (Fang, 2003). Grenness (2012) points out the inherent problem of the ecological fallacy in Hofstede's work in which the predominant traits of a group are generalized to the majority of individuals within that group. In 2010, the *Journal of International Business Studies* (JIBS) published a special edition issue devoted to the improvement of cross-cultural research. Of particular mention were the Hofstede and GLOBE studies. This paper does not address those issues, and instead recognizes that all attempts to classify culture may have limitations. Even in the opening article of the JIBS series, Tung & Verbeke (2010) point out the "undeniable" impact of Hofstede's research on the theories and practice of management and international business.

This paper provides a preliminary look into the cultural assessment of a country not included in the Hofstede's data set. Afghanistan is a complex country consisting of both tribal and nontribal groups which influence national culture (Barfield, 2010). It is a country divided by rural and urban cultural values and one that seems to be always at war internally or with outsiders. An accurate empirical assessment of the totality of Afghan culture is nearly impossible. However, one can obtain some insight into the subset of Afghani culture that is perhaps most relevant for business and closely resembles the cultural findings of the Hofstede studies.

## II. METHOD

### a) Respondents, Survey Instrument, and Procedure

The assessment of cultural dimensions was made using a sample of 46 Afghani students studying business and economics at a university in Afghanistan. The sample consisted of 37 male and 9 female respondents. It was primarily, though not exclusively, comprised of urban dwellers. The survey respondents ranged between 19 and 23 years of age and represented the more elite segment of Afghani society.

In this study we used Hofstede's Values Survey Module 1994 (VSM 94), a questionnaire containing items developed for comparing the culturally determined values of people in five areas. The items measured respondents' perceptions of Afghan culture on the value dimensions of power distance (PDI), masculinity (MAS), individualism (IDV), uncertainty avoidance (UAI), and long-term orientation (LTO). Twenty items on the questionnaire measured the five cultural dimensions and six items assessed demographics.

The questionnaire was administered during class periods by the course instructor who asked students to voluntarily participate in the survey. Students completed the survey questionnaire anonymously and returned them to the instructor for analysis. The surveys were analyzed using the index method developed by

Hofstede. This involved computing a separate score for each of the five value dimensions. The scores for the value dimensions obtained in this study were compared to the scores obtained by Hofstede in other countries of the world ([www.geert-hofstede.com](http://www.geert-hofstede.com), 2012). Comparisons were made to seven select countries: Brazil, Denmark, Germany, Indonesia, Israel, Turkey, and the USA. Scores for each value dimension from the current study were also compared to those from respondents living in countries within the region to better understand the relative values. There were five countries included in this regional analysis: Iran, Iraq, Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, and the United Arab Emirates.

## III. RESULTS

The results of the survey indicate that, in general, the culture of Afghanistan is low in terms of power distance, high in terms of masculinity, somewhat individualistic, moderately accepting of uncertainty, and short term in its orientation toward time. These results are surprising in that the value dimension scores for Afghanistan are different from other countries in the region. A typical values portrait of countries in the region would be high power distance, moderate in terms of masculinity, collectivist, and high in uncertainty avoidance. At this point in time people in Afghanistan appear to value the sharing of power, a higher degree of masculine behavior, a more individualistic perspective, and a greater acceptance of uncertainty. Long term orientation is difficult to compare since this dimension was studied in only 23 countries (Hofstede & Bond, 1988). The Afghani people have an even lower long term orientation than people from geographically-proximal Bangladesh and India, the only two countries in the region studied on this cultural dimension. The data indicates that Afghans have a short term orientation to time. Interestingly, people from the U.S. have an even shorter orientation to time. Figure 1 shows the cultural values of Afghanistan relative to the Hofstede-Bond model.





Figure 1 : Plot of the five cultural value dimensions in Afghanistan


*a) Power Distance*

The data indicate that Afghanistan's PDI is 21. This score suggests that Afghans have a low level of acceptance of inequality among societal members. Figure 2 shows the PDI scores for Afghanistan and select other countries. The data reveals that with respect to power distance, Afghanistan's culture is more similar to Denmark than it is to Turkey.



*Figure 2* : Scores for power distance in Afghanistan and select countries


Figure 3 shows that within the region, Afghanistan's PDI is most similar that of Pakistan, but markedly different from other countries. The average PDI score for the region is much higher than that found in Afghanistan.



*Figure 3* : Scores for power distance in Afghanistan and countries in the region


*b) Masculinity*

The data indicate that Afghanistan's MAS is 89. This score suggests that Afghans have a culture that values dominant, masculine behaviors. Figure 4 shows the MAS scores for Afghanistan and select countries. The data reveals that Afghanistan's culture is more masculine or dominant than Germany and most other countries in the world.



*Figure 4* : Scores for masculinity in Afghanistan and select countries

Figure 5 shows that Afghanistan's MAS score is also higher than most other countries in the region. Only Iraq's MAS score is similar to that of Afghanistan.



*Figure 5* : Scores for masculinity in Afghanistan and countries in the region

*c) Individualism*

The data indicate that Afghanistan's IDV is 55. This score suggests that Afghans are individualistic as a

culture, placing it at odds with countries in the region, whose cultures are mostly collectivistic. Figure 6 shows the IDV score for Afghanistan and select countries. The data reveals that with respect to individualism, Afghanistan's culture is more similar to Israel than to Indonesia or neighboring Turkey, whose cultures are less individualistic and more collectivistic.




Figure 6: Scores for individualism in Afghanistan and select countries

Figure 7 shows that within the region, Afghanistan's IDV score is highest, with slightly lower scores found in Iran and Saudi Arabia. It should be noted, however, that while Afghani culture is high on individualism in the region, it is considered only slightly individualistic globally. Individualism in the USA is markedly higher.




Figure 7: Scores for individualism in Afghanistan and countries in the region

#### d) *Uncertainty Avoidance*

The data indicate that Afghanistan's UAI is 50. This score suggests that Afghani culture has a relatively high tolerance for uncertainty. Figure 8 shows the UAI scores for Afghanistan and select other countries. With respect to uncertainty avoidance, Afghanistan's culture is similar to that of the USA.




Figure 8: Scores for uncertainty avoidance in Afghanistan and select countries

Figure 9 shows that within the region, Afghanistan's UAI score is most similar to that found in Iran. Other countries in the region have cultures with a greater uncertainty avoidance, except for Iraq, which has a lower score indicating a higher tolerance for uncertainty.




Figure 9: Scores for uncertainty avoidance in Afghanistan and countries in the region

### e) Long Term Orientation

The data indicate that Afghanistan's LTO is 36. This score suggests that Afghans have a culture that is short-term oriented. Since this dimension was added nearly a decade after Hofstede's original study, we have comparative LTO data for relatively few countries. As such, comparisons with the Afghani data are difficult. Figure 10 shows the LTO scores for Afghanistan and six other countries from which those data were collected. The current data reveals that Afghanistan's long term orientation is similar to that of Bangladesh, but much lower compared to countries in the East: China, Japan, and South Korea. According to Hofstede and Bond (1988), cultures with low LTOs respect tradition, yet desire quick results. There is also social pressure to keep and advance one's social standing.




Figure 10: Scores for long term orientation in Afghanistan and select countries

## IV. DISCUSSION

While this study was not unlike the original Hofstede work in terms of sample heterogeneity, it is reasonable to conclude that the unique characteristics of the sample population do not represent the total Afghani population and wider view of Afghan culture. It is believed that the results are an accurate assessment of the urban, wealthier, educated segment of the population. Beyond this exploratory study, additional surveys using the VSM94 with more heterogeneous segments of the population would provide a more accurate description of Afghan culture. While the usefulness of the data reported in this paper for international business and economic development may be limited because of sample size, the findings should not be wholly discounted. Hofstede's initial data sample from Pakistan was limited in size with only 37 respondents.

The most surprising aspect of this study on culture may be the low power distance score found for the Afghani respondents. In the region of central and southern Asia it would be expected to find a much higher PDI score. While the low PDI may be a reflection of the sample, it may also reflect the lasting effect of the country's tribal societal structure. A country once ruled by a king gave considerable power and autonomy to tribes. Those tribes settled disputes and made decisions through a consensual process called *jirga* (Khapalwak & Rohde 2010). This power-sharing tradition may explain the low power distance score, but further investigation would be necessary to determine its cause.

### a) Geopolitical and Economic Implications

Afghanistan, once a little known patch of mountains in a perceived unimportant part of the world, rose in visibility with the invasion of Soviet troops in 1979. With the subsequent withdrawal of Soviet troops and the rise of the Taliban, Afghanistan would once again gain international exposure with the terrorist attacks on America in 2001. Afghanistan remains important to American and allied foreign policy given the potential for additional terrorist activity and its proximity to troubled Pakistan. In addition to its geopolitical importance, the recent discovery of massive quantities of minerals, including the much coveted rare earth minerals in the country (Simpson 2011) make Afghanistan a potentially important economic entity. This potential source of wealth could help transform the country and its culture towards a more modern state.

The cultural values of the educated elite could be quite useful in moving Afghanistan forward. Of particular importance may be our findings related to the Afghani cultural dimensions of individualism and uncertainty avoidance. In order to build a modern economic system based on an entrepreneurial spirit it is helpful for cultural values to stress the importance of self-reliance and the acceptance of change. Individualism has been positively correlated with long run economic growth (Gorodnichenko & Roland, 2011). Afghanistan's moderately high cultural orientation towards individualism is especially promising in a country that is attempting to rebuild economically and politically. Having the ability to adapt to change, which is associated with a high uncertainty tolerance (low UAI score), is another factor working in favor of Afghanistan's desire to rebuild. While it is naïve to assume that massive change will occur overnight in this troubled country, there is some reason for hope that the educated leadership, along with economic resources, can begin to rebuild Afghanistan. In order to facilitate political change in Afghanistan's new democracy, it might also be useful to have leaders who possess a greater concern for power-sharing, especially given the power and influence of the country's tribal leaders.




Figure 11 : Plot of the five cultural value dimensions in Afghanistan and the USA

Invaded countries tend to adopt the cultural values of the invaders (Rothkopf, 1997; White, 2001). After more than a decade of occupation by NATO forces dominated by the U.S. military, there seems to be some degree of overlap between the cultural dimensions of Afghanistan and the United States, more so than would be expected. The overlapping is evident in Figure 11, which plots the scores on the five Hofstede dimensions for both Afghanistan and the U.S. While Afghanistan has a more masculine orientation and the United States has a more individualistic orientation, one would expect greater divergence in cultural values between these two countries. In that a discussion of the merits of the American and allied invasion as well as the occupation of Afghanistan is beyond the scope of this paper, it is worthy to note that the values of the Western powers may already have had some influence on the Afghani upper class development. History may teach us another lesson, especially the history of Afghanistan. In the end, there is reason to hope that the cultural values assessed in this survey may help bring about a more developed, tolerant, and peaceful country.

## REFERENCES REFERENCES REFERENCES

1. Alkailani, M., Azzam, A. & Athamneh, A. B. (2012). Replicating Hofstede in Jordan: Ungeneralized, reevaluating the Jordanian culture. *International Business Research*, 5(4), 71-80.
2. Barfield, T. (2010). *Afghanistan: A cultural and political history*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
3. Fang, T. (2003). A critique of Hofstede's fifth national culture dimension. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, 3(3), 347-368.
4. Gorodnichenko, Y. & Roland, G. (2011). Which dimensions of culture matter for long-run economic growth? *The American Economic Review*, 101(3), 499-503.
5. Grenness, T. (2012). Hofstede revisited: Is making the ecological fallacy when using Hofstede's instrument on individual behavior really unavoidable? *International Journal of Business & Management*, 7(7), 75-84.
6. Hofstede, G. (1980a). *Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values*. London: Sage Publications.
7. Hofstede, G. (1980b). Motivation, leadership, and organization: Do American theories apply abroad? *Organizational Dynamics*, 16(4), 42-63.
8. Hofstede, G. (1983). The cultural reliability of organizational practices and theories. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 14, 75-89.
9. Hofstede, G. (1993). Cultural constraints in management theories. *The Executive*, 7(1), 81-94.
10. Hofstede, G. (1994). Management scientists are human. *Management Science*, 40(1), 4-13.
11. Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
12. Hofstede, G. & Bond, M. (1988). The Confucian connection: From cultural roots to economic growth. *Organizational Dynamics*, 16(4), 5-21.
13. Khapalwak, R. & Rohde, D. (2010). A look at America's new hope: The Afghan tribes. *The New York Times*, January 30.
14. Kirkman, B., Lowe, K. & Gibson, C. (2006). A quarter century of "Culture's Consequences": a review of empirical research incorporates Hofstede's cultural values framework. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 37, 285-320.
15. McSweeney, B. (2002). Hofstede's model of national cultural differences and their conse-

quences: A triumph of faith – a failure of analysis. *Human Relations*, 55(1), 89-106.

16. Robbins, S. & Coulter, M. (2012). *Management, 11th edition*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, Inc.

17. Rothkopf, D. (1997). In praise of cultural imperialism. *Foreign Affairs*, 107 (Summer), 38-53.

18. Sabri, H. (2012). Re-examination of Hofstede's work value orientations on perceived leadership styles in Jordan. *International Journal of Commerce & Management*, 22(3), 202-218.

19. Simpson, S. (2011). *Afghanistan's buried riches*. *Scientific American*, 305 (4), 58-65.

20. Sivakumar, K. & Nakata, C. (2001). The stampede toward Hofstede's framework: Avoiding the sample design pit in cross-cultural research. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 32(3), 555-574.

21. Smith, P. (2002). Culture's consequences: Something old and something new. *Human Relations*, 55(1), 119-135.

22. Tung, R. & Verbeke, A. (2010). Beyond Hofstede and GLOBE: Improving the quality of cross-cultural research. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 41, 1259-1274.

23. White, L. (2001). Reconsidering cultural imperialism theory. *Transactional Broadcasting*, No. 6 (The Center for Electronic Journalism at the American University in Cairo and the Center for Middle East Studies, St. Anthony's College, Oxford).

24. [www.geert-hofstede.com](http://www.geert-hofstede.com). Accessed on October 22, 2012.

