



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS RESEARCH: C
FINANCE

Volume 21 Issue 3 Version 1.0 Year 2021

Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal

Publisher: Global Journals

Online ISSN: 2249-4588 & Print ISSN: 0975-5853

The Effect of Corporate Governance Practice on Firms' Profitability

By Dr. Hema Doreswamy, Dr. Madhavi Lokhande, Prof. Radhika Uttam
& Ms Debasmita Lenka

Welingkar Institute of Management

Abstract- Corporate Governance has been framed in organization which basically define relationship between board members, management team and shareholders, to carry out duties at utmost transparency, ethical and accountability. It is always expected that the corporate governance should meet the global standard for better corporate success. Therefore, it is very necessary to have good corporate governance in order to manage effectively in global market. Companies put in lot of effort to build and adopt a good corporate governance model in order to catch the eye of investors. A good corporate governance practice can help companies perform better than competitors and so does it impact on profitability. This research paper focuses on finding the impact on corporate governance practices on profitability of firms. Here statistical methods like descriptive statistics and Pearson correlation methodology are used to find the direct link. Independent variables are board committee, board size, CEO duality, audit committee, non-executive director and dependent variables are PAT, EPS, ROA.

Keywords: *ethical, corporate success, profitability.*

GJMBR-C Classification: *JEL Code: F65*



THE EFFECT OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRACTICE ON FIRMS PROFITABILITY

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



RESEARCH | DIVERSITY | ETHICS

© 2021. Dr. Hema Doreswamy, Dr. Madhavi Lokhande, Prof. Radhika Uttam & Ms Debasmita Lenka. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>), permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The Effect of Corporate Governance Practice on Firms' Profitability

Dr. Hema Doreswamy ^α, Dr. Madhavi Lokhande ^ο, Prof. Radhika Uttam ^ρ & Ms Debasmita Lenka ^ω

Abstract- Corporate Governance has been framed in organization which basically define relationship between board members, management team and shareholders, to carry out duties at utmost transparency, ethical and accountability. It is always expected that the corporate governance should meet the global standard for better corporate success. Therefore, it is very necessary to have good corporate governance in order to manage effectively in global market. Companies put in lot of effort to build and adopt a good corporate governance model in order to catch the eye of investors. A good corporate governance practice can help companies perform better than competitors and so does it impact on profitability. This research paper focuses on finding the impact on corporate governance practices on profitability of firms. Here statistical methods like descriptive statistics and Pearson correlation methodology are used to find the direct link. Independent variables are board committee, board size, CEO duality, audit committee, non-executive director and dependent variables are PAT, EPS, ROA.

Keywords: ethical, corporate success, profitability.

I. INTRODUCTION

Corporate Governance is a process that mandates a particular set of ethical governance and practice in corporate. It is a process that controls and governs an organization. Corporate governance has majorly impacted the growth of economies in countries where private sector is responsible for driving the growth of economy. Catastrophic failure of private sector seen in the past was because of the bad corporate governance. It has certainly impacted the profit and earning of shareholders and the company. Well framed corporate governance gives predictable growth rate for the firm. Therefore it is very necessary that in developing countries where startup are getting into unicorn club, should make a note keeping their practices and governance to avoid catastrophic failure in future. (Okoye 2016).

Global market particularly looks into the ethics and governance of the organization to rely for partnership and business in their countries. We can see that corporate governance is also considered when

Author α: Professor-Finance, Welingkar Institute of Management, Bengaluru, India. e-mail: hema.doreswamy@welingkar.org

Author ο: Dean- Bangalore campus, Welingkar Institute of Management, Bengaluru, India. e-mail: madhavi.lokhande@welingkar.org

Author ρ: Assistant Professor-Finance, Welingkar Institute of Management, Bengaluru, India. e-mail: radhika.uttam@welingkar.org

Author ω: Student- Finance specialization, Welingkar Institute of Management, Bengaluru, India.

e-mail: debasmita.lenka.we@gmail.com

there is partnership, takeovers, institutional investor activism, financial restructuring, so here the whole idea is that a strong corporate governance gives predictable rate of return on investments. If such ethics are not maintained, investing bond market, buying equity shares, becomes questionable. In such situation where corporate governance are not strong enough, companies have to rely on the internal cash stock, securities, and other financial resources for its ongoing operational work. Therefore, overall financial resources for firm get affected. On a larger scale, economies get affected as many good business opportunity are missed. (Okoye et al., 2016)

We have seen that an improved corporate governance has helped improve market liquidity, increase investor confidence, more capital resource, and there by a better financial disclosure. Major financial crime, frauds, can be avoided with better Corporate Governance and in return this can help in better inflow of foreign capital. There are evidences which has shown that, a strong corporate governance has increased chance of high fund allocation, better accessibility. Thereby increasing the valuation and goodwill of the company.

Here in this study, we would like to find out if there could be a direct link between corporate governance and profitability.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Many researchers, government regulators, global agencies have taken major interest in creating stringent corporate governance after major financial crises in 2008, some of institution in India that collapsed due to bad corporate governance are Kingfisher, Jet Airways, Sahara, DHFL. In US we have seen the same case in Enron and Arthur and in UK similar situation was in case of Marconi, now because of which corporate governance has become critically important in different parts of the world, but the regulation is different in different parts of the world. As the legal system are different in different parts of the world, therefore regulation of corporate governance tends to be different.

(Mohammad & Yousef, 2016) The author found a relationship between firm profitability and the corporate governance of the firm. Here the corporate governance mechanism includes board independence, characteristics, size, growth. Statistical analysis like

multiple regression methodology was used, and its result was 0.05 level which statistically significant.

The result of the study lead to confirmation that corporate governance has direct impact on the firms' profitability. The findings also the explained the model, one of its finding was the F statistic which was found to be 1.036.

(Ahmed Adesina Babatunde 2016) In this paper, the author obtained data from annual report of 37 companies, which is the sample size, out of top 50 listed companies. The data so obtained were analyzed using Spearman's correlation and analysis was done using variance. In this paper, author found that there was positive correlation between corporate governance and financial performance for example return on equity and board composition, board committees. When such corporate governance practices were implemented, it resulted in positive share price performance and higher profitability.

(Heenetigala 2012) Corporate governance play major role in minimizing the risk of misconduct in the firm; therefore, it has positive contribute in the company. In this paper the author found a positive relation between corporate governance and firm performance, here there was positive effect on return on asset, return on equity and return on stock. The result was analyzed using multiple hierarchical regression analysis, it was found to be significant and positive.

(W.A.D.K Jayendrika 2020) has analyzed the impact of corporate governance practices on firm's financial performance where Earnings per share was used as a dependent variable and CEO duality, number of shares held by the directors, ratio of non-executive directors to total directors in the firm were some of the independent variables. Correlation and regression analysis used by the researcher in the study proves that ownership concentration and CEO duality has positive relationship with the financial performance of the firm.

Martin Kyere (2019), did a study on impact of corporate governance on financial performance of non- financial listed companies in UK. Agency and stewardship theory of corporate governance is used as a basis for the study. The dependent variables were ROA and Tobin's Q and some of the independent variables were insider shareholding, board size, CEO duality etc. The author has used descriptive statistics, correlation and regression techniques to prove that strong independent board is one of the solutions to agency problem by reducing cost, thereby improving financial performance.

Afshan (2016), studied the impact of corporate governance of financial performance of firms belonging to textile industry in Pakistan. 60 companies were selected out of 156 listed companies of Pakistan stock exchange. The researcher has used similar statistical

techniques and variables and concluded the study that it depends on the capabilities of managers in utilizing the assets of the firm effectively.

Aswathy Mohan (2018), has used certain variables like ROE (Return on Equity), PB ratio (Price to book ratio), board composition, board size and CEO duality. The study has used OLS regression model for analyzing the impact of corporate governance on financial performance of BSE Sensex companies. The study indicates the need for firms to separate the post of CEO and Chair in order to ensure optimal performance.

Dr. Giriraj Kiradoo (2019), has studied the relationship between CEO duality, board size and ownership concentration with financial performance of the firm. It also considers the concept of internal and external controls which helps in building good corporate governance practice. The researcher concludes the study by stating that it is purely the responsibility of the board to improve the financial performance by being more accountable and attract investors.

III. OBJECTIVES

1. To understand the importance of corporate governance for successful functioning of a company.
2. To analyze the impact of corporate governance on firm's profitability.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

In this study, corporate governance link with profitability of the firm is measured, which is basically performed using statistical methodology like descriptive statistics and correlation. In order to perform this process, independent variable and dependent variable is taken under consideration. Here the independent variable are CEO Duality, Board Committee, Board Size, Non-Executive Director, Audit Committee are used, and dependent variable like ROA, EPS, PAT.

a) Sample Selection and Data

There are 30 manufacturing companies listed under NSE and here we have taken five companies (16.66% is the sample size). Those are UPL, Ultra Tech Cement, Tata Steel, Bajaj Auto, JSW Steel. Annual report of the respective companies was used from the year of 2016 to 2020.

i. Independent variables

CEO Duality: If the same person who hold the title of chairman is also CEO, then the value to be assigned is 1 else 0.

Board Committee: This is the number of committees in the board of the firm in a year.

Board Size: This is the numbers of directors in the board of the firm.

Non-Executive Director: These are non-executive directors in the board of directors. They are majorly involved policy making and planning.

Audit Committee: It is the number of members in the committee who look after the audit through financial reports.

ii. *Dependent Variables*

Profit after Tax (PAT): These are profit after deducting expenses and tax. This profit meant for shareholders.

Return on Asset (ROA): This is financial ratio, which is used to measure profitability of the firm in relation to its total asset. 5% of ROA is generally considered good and overall, 20% is considered excellent.

Earnings per Share (EPS): It is calculated by dividing the profit after tax by number of outstanding shares. Here relationship of corporate governance and EPS is measured and studied.

b) *Correlation Matrix*

Correlation matrix is a table which show relation between two set of variables and it is measured through

coefficients. If two variables are correlated then they would have positive coefficient and if two are negative correlated then they would negative coefficients. The diagonal of the table is set as 1, as correlation between same variable is always 1.

c) *Descriptive Statistics*

Here the descriptive statistic basically summarizes the data in simple form, which is in the form of mean, median, skewness, maximum, minimum, standard deviation, kurtosis. It is just representation of data and it is not based on any theory of probability.

d) *Regression Analysis*

It is a type of predictive analysis where we basically find the relation between the independent variable and dependent variable using coefficient value and P-value with significance at 5% (0.05).

V. RESULTS

Table No.4.1: Financial Data Set of firms

United Phosphorus Ltd	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Average
CEO Duality	0	0	0	0	0	0
Board Committee	6	6	6	6	6	6
Board Size	12	12	12	10	10	11.2
Non-Executive Directors	10	8	8	8	8	8.4
Audit Committee Members	3	3	4	4	3	3.4
PAT (in Lakhs)	134296	172700	202200	144700	177600	166299.2
EPS	31.98	34.06	39.79	28.42	23.24	31.498
ROA	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.02	0.03	0.076406

(Annual Reports)

Table No.4.2: Financial Data Set of firms

Ultra Tech Cement	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Average
CEO Duality	0	0	0	0	0	0
Board Committee	6	6	6	6	6	6
Board Size	12	12	12	12	9	11.4
Non-Executive Directors	5	5	3	2	3	3.6
Audit Committee Members	3	5	5	5	4	4.4

PAT	228,658	271492	222217	243472	581484	309464.6
EPS	83.33	98.92	80.94	88.72	201.61	110.704
ROA	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.05394

(Annual Reports)

Table No. 4.3: Financial Data Set of firms

JSW Steel Ltd	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Average
CEO Duality	0	0	0	0	0	0
Board Committee	13	13	13	13	14	13.2
Board Size	12	12	12	12	12	12
Non-Executive Directors	6	6	6	6	6	6
Audit Committee Members	4	4	4	4	4	4
PAT	-74195	357979	621400	763900	403000	414416.8
EPS	-32.08	14.66	25.85	31.77	16.78	11.396
ROA	-0.01	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.03	0.039245

(Annual Reports)

Table No. 4.4: Financial Data Set of firms

Bajaj Auto	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Average
CEO Duality	0	0	0	0	0	0
Board Committee	5	6	6	6	6	5.8
Board Size	15	15	16	16	15	15.4
Non-Executive Directors	12	11	13	12	11	11.8
Audit Committee Members	3	3	4	4	4	3.6
PAT	378398	407949	421895	492761	521191	444438.8
EPS	130.8	141	145.8	170.3	180.2	153.62
ROA	0.23	0.19	0.17	0.17	0.20	0.19084

(Annual Reports)

Table No. 4.5: Financial Data Set of firms

Tata Steel Ltd	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Average
CEO Duality	0	0	0	0	0	0
Board Committee	7	6	6	6	6	6.2
Board Size	11	11	10	10	10	10.4
Non-Executive Directors	3	3	3	4	3	3.2

Audit Committee Members	4	4	4	4	5	4.2
PAT	-304,932.00	-434258	1326090	1004520	271958	372675.6
EPS	-33.23	-44.77	128.12	87.75	22.02	31.978
ROA	-0.019	-0.025	0.063	0.043	0.011	0.014671

(Annual Reports)

Table No. 4.6: Financial Data Set of firms

	Years	CEO Duality	Board Committee	Board Size	Non-Executive Directors	Audit Committee Members	PAT (in Lakhs)	EPS	ROA
United Phosphorus	2016	0	6	12	10	3	134296	31.98	0.2
	2017	0	6	12	8	3	172700	34.06	0.1
	2018	0	6	12	8	4	202200	39.79	0.1
	2019	0	6	10	8	4	144700	28.42	0.02
	2020	0	6	10	8	3	177600	23.24	0.03
Ultra Tech Cement	2016	0	6	12	5	3	228,658	83.33	0.06
	2017	0	6	12	5	5	271492	98.92	0.06
	2018	0	6	12	3	5	222217	80.94	0.04
	2019	0	6	12	2	5	243472	88.72	0.04
	2020	0	6	9	3	4	581484	201.61	0.07

Table No.4.7: Financial Data Set of firms

	Years	CEO Duality	Board Committee	Board Size	Non-Executive Directors	Audit Committee Members	PAT (in Lakhs)	EPS	ROA
JSW Steel	2016	0	13	12	6	4	-74195	-32.08	-0.01
	2017	0	13	12	6	4	357979	14.66	0.04
	2018	0	13	12	6	4	621400	25.85	0.07
	2019	0	13	12	6	4	763900	31.77	0.07
	2020	0	14	12	6	4	403000	16.78	0.03
Bajaj Auto	2016	0	5	15	12	3	378398	130.8	0.23
	2017	0	6	15	11	3	407949	141	0.19
	2018	0	6	16	13	4	421895	145.8	0.17
	2019	0	6	16	12	4	492761	170.3	0.17
	2020	0	6	15	11	4	521191	180.2	0.20
Tata Steel Ltd	2016	0	7	11	3	4	-304,932	-33.23	-0.019
	2017	0	6	11	3	4	-434258	-44.77	-0.025

	2018	0	6	10	3	4	1326090	128.12	0.063
	2019	0	6	10	4	4	1004520	87.75	0.043
	2020	0	6	10	3	5	271958	22.02	0.011

a) *Descriptive Statistics Analysis*

Table below show descriptive statistics for listed Companies of India for a period of five years from 2016 to 2020.

Table No.4.8: Descriptive Statistics Analysis

	CEO Duality	Board Committee	Board Size	Non-Executive Directors	Audit Committee Members	PAT(in Lakhs)	EPS	ROA
Mean	0	7.5	12.1	6.5	4.0	350090.8	69.3	0.1
Standard Error	0	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.1	75485.6	14.2	0.0
Median	0	6.0	12.0	6.0	4.0	314968.5	60.4	0.1
Standard Deviation	0	3.0	2.0	3.3	0.6	369802.5	69.5	0.1
Kurtosis	0	0.4	-0.2	-0.8	-0.1	1.8	-0.8	0.1
Skewness	0	1.5	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.9
Range	0	9	7	11	2	1760348	246.38	0.26
Minimum	0	5	9	2	3	-434258	-44.77	-0.03
Maximum	0	14	16	13	5	1326090	201.61	0.23
Sum	0	180	290	155	95	8402179	1664	1.71
Count	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24
Confidence Level(95.0%)	0	1.27	0.83	1.41	0.26	156153.90	29.35	0.03

i. *CEO Duality*

Here, it can be seen that mean, median, maximum, minimum and standard deviation is zero.

ii. *Board Committee*

From the above table it can be seen that mean value is 7.5, median is 6. The maximum and minimum range for board committee is 14 and 5. The standard deviation is found to be 3.

iii. *Board Size*

From the above table it can be seen that mean value is 12.1, median is 12. The maximum and minimum range for board size is 16 and 9. The standard deviation is found to be 2.

iv. *Non-Executive Directors*

From the above table it can be seen that mean value is 6.5, median is 6. The maximum and minimum

range for non-executive directors is 13 and 2. The standard deviation is found to be 3.3.

v. *Audit Committee Members*

From the above table it can be seen that mean value is 4, median is 4. The maximum and minimum range for audit committee is 5 and 3. The standard deviation is found to be 0.6.

vi. *Profit after tax (PAT in Lakhs)*

From the above table it can be seen that mean value is 350090.8, median is 314968.5. The maximum and minimum range for PAT is 1326090 and -434258. The standard deviation is found to be 369802.5.

vii. *Earnings per share (EPS)*

From the above table it can be seen that mean value is 69.3, median is 60.4. The maximum and minimum range for EPS is 201.6 and -44.77. The standard deviation is found to be 69.5

viii. *Return on Asset (ROA)*

From the above table it can be seen that mean value is 0.1, median is 0.1. The maximum and minimum range for ROA is 0.23 and -0.03. The standard deviation is found to be 0.1.

b) *Correlation Analysis*

Correlation statistical tool helps to understand the relationship between dependent and independent

variables and on the basis of which analysis are made. After the data analysis, information that we get help us to see the relationship between variables, for example, some of them between corporate governance and firm financial performance (profitability measure).

Table No. 4.9: Correlation Analysis

	CEO Duality	Board Committee	Board Size	Non-Executive Directors	Audit Committee	PAT(in Lakhs)	EPS	ROA
CEO Duality	1							
Board Committee	0	1						
Board Size	0	-0.05	1					
Non-Executive Directors	0	-0.13	0.78	1				
Audit Committee Members	0	0.09	-0.20	-0.54	1			
PAT(in Lakhs)	0	0.07	0.00	0.04	0.03	1		
EPS	0	-0.45	0.43	0.37	-0.02	0.58	1	
ROA	0	-0.31	0.77	0.82	-0.41	0.32	0.71	1

From the above table, we can clearly see that, PAT is positively correlated with all the independent variable i.e. CEO Duality, Board Committee, Board Size, Non-Executive Director, Audit Committee.

In case of EPS, we see that it is negatively correlated with board committee and audit committee, where as it is positively correlated with CEO Duality, Board size, Non-Executive Director.

Same is the case with ROA, that we can clearly see, it is negatively correlated with board committee and audit committee, where as it is positively correlated with CEO Duality, Board size, Non-Executive Director.

Here, we can summarize that dependent variable like PAT, EPS, ROA have positive correlation with CEO Duality, Board size, Non-Executive Director.

But we can see that EPS, ROA have negative correlation with board committee and audit committee.

So few factors of corporate governance has positive correlation with profitability of the firm but not all of them.

c) *Regression Analysis*

Regression analysis is a statistical method, through which positive and negative relationship between dependent variable and independent variable are analyzed, and on basis of the P value, null hypothesis is either rejected or accepted. The significance of P value is 0.05.

Null Hypothesis

H1: There is no relationship between ROA and CEO Duality, Board Committee, Board Size, Non-Executive Director, Audit Committee.

H2: There is no relationship between EPS and CEO Duality, Board Committee, Board Size, Non-Executive Director, Audit Committee.

H3: There is no relationship between PAT and CEO Duality, Board Committee, Board Size, Non-Executive Director, Audit Committee.

Table No. 4.10: Regression Analysis of ROA and CEO Duality, Board Committee, Board Size, Non-Executive Director, Audit Committee.

SUMMARY OUTPUT

<i>Regression Statistics</i>	
Multiple R	0.879557
R Square	0.77362
Adjusted R Square	0.678344
Standard Error	0.036869
Observations	25

ANOVA

	<i>df</i>	<i>SS</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>gnificance F</i>
Regression	5	0.092904	0.0185807	17.08673546	1.885E-06
Residual	20	0.027186	0.0013593		
Total	25	0.12009			

	<i>Coefficients</i>	<i>Standard Error</i>	<i>t Stat</i>	<i>P-value</i>	<i>Lower 95%</i>	<i>Upper 95%</i>	<i>Lower 95.0%</i>	<i>Upper 95.0%</i>
Intercept	-0.09	0.08	-1.24	0.23	-0.25	0.06	-0.25	0.06
CEO Duality	0.00	0.00	65535.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Board Committee	-0.01	0.00	-2.09	0.05	-0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.00
Board Size	0.02	0.01	2.17	0.04	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.03
Non-Executive Directors	0.01	0.00	1.95	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02
Audit Committee Members	-0.01	0.02	-0.54	0.59	-0.04	0.02	-0.04	0.02

Here, there is negative correlation between ROA and Board Committee, Audit Committee, but there is positive correlation between ROA and Board size, Non-Executive Director and CEO duality. Here it can be seen that the P value is less than the significant value (0.05) for CEO duality, Board Committee, Board size, therefore in such situation the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternate hypothesis is accepted.

Table No. 4.11: Regression Analysis of PAT and CEO Duality, Board Committee, Board Size, Non-Executive Director, Audit Committee.

SUMMARY OUTPUT

<i>Regression Statistics</i>	
Multiple R	0.14
R Square	0.02
Adjusted R Square	-0.23
Standard Error	395342.24
Observations	25

ANOVA

	<i>df</i>	<i>SS</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>Significance F</i>
Regression	5	64134647837	1.283E+10	0.1025856	0.99038865
Residual	20	3.12591E+12	1.563E+11		
Total	25	3.19004E+12			

	<i>Coefficients</i>	<i>Standard Error</i>	<i>t Stat</i>	<i>P-value</i>	<i>Lower 95%</i>	<i>Upper 95%</i>	<i>Lower 95.0%</i>	<i>Upper 95.0%</i>
Intercept	164136.9	812357.0	0.2	0.8	-1530410.0	1858683.9	-1530410.0	1858683.9
CEO Duality	0.0	0.0	65535.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Board Committee	10728.8	27594.8	0.4	0.7	-46832.8	68290.5	-46832.8	68290.5
Board Size	-29829.6	74352.3	-0.4	0.7	-184925.7	125266.6	-184925.7	125266.6
Non-Executive								
Directors	26430.5	50153.5	0.5	0.6	-78188.0	131049.0	-78188.0	131049.0
Audit Committee								
Members	72295.8	165749.3	0.4	0.7	-273451.2	418042.9	-273451.2	418042.9

Here, there is negative correlation between PAT and Board size, and positive correlation between PAT and Board committee, Audit Committee, Non-Executive Director and CEO duality. From the above, it can be seen that P value is less than the significant value (0.05) for CEO duality, therefore null hypothesis is rejected and the alternate hypothesis is accepted.

Table No. 4.12: Regression Analysis of EPS and CEO Duality, Board Committee, Board Size, Non-Executive Director, Audit Committee.

SUMMARY OUTPUT

<i>Regression Statistics</i>	
Multiple R	0.621697
R Square	0.386507
Adjusted R Square	0.213808
Standard Error	58.74197
Observations	25

ANOVA

	<i>df</i>	<i>SS</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>Significance F</i>
Regression	5	43478.53	8695.707	3.150053	0.03083
Residual	20	69012.39	3450.619		
Total	25	112490.9			

	<i>Coefficients</i>	<i>Standard Error</i>	<i>t Stat</i>	<i>P-value</i>	<i>Lower 95%</i>	<i>Upper 95%</i>	<i>Lower 95.0%</i>	<i>Upper 95.0%</i>
Intercept	-87.08	120.70	-0.72	0.48	-338.87	164.70	-338.87	164.70
CEO Duality	0.00	0.00	65535.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Board Committee	-9.96	4.10	-2.43	0.02	-18.51	-1.41	-18.51	-1.41
Board Size	12.24	11.05	1.11	0.28	-10.80	35.29	-10.80	35.29
Non-Executive Directors	2.52	7.45	0.34	0.74	-13.03	18.06	-13.03	18.06
Audit Committee Members	16.46	24.63	0.67	0.51	-34.91	67.83	-34.91	67.83

There is negative correlation between EPS and Board Committee and positive correlation between EPS and Board Size, Audit Committee, Non-Executive Director and CEO duality. The P value is less than the significant value (0.05) for CEO duality, Board Committee, Board Size, the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternate hypothesis is accepted.

VI. FINDINGS

From the above study and statistical analysis few things can deduced from here that, these statistical tools have shown significant link between corporate governance and profitability.

Few factors of corporate governance were not significant linked with profitability.

- From the above table and study, we can clearly see that, PAT is positively correlated with all the independent variable i.e. CEO Duality, Board Committee, Board Size, Non-Executive Director, Audit Committee. In case of EPS, we see that it is negatively correlated with board committee and audit committee, where as it is positively correlated

with CEO Duality, Board size, Non-Executive Director.

- Same is the case with ROA, that we can clearly see, it is negatively correlated with board committee and audit committee, where as it is positively correlated with CEO Duality, Board size, Non-Executive Director.
- Here, one can summarize that dependent variable like PAT, EPS, ROA have positive correlation with CEO Duality, Board size, Non-Executive Director. But we can see that EPS, ROA have negative correlation with board committee and audit committee. So few factors of corporate governance has positive correlation with profitability of the firm but not all of them.
- In case of regression analysis, it was seen that there is negative correlation between ROA and Board committee, Audit Committee, but there is negative correlation between ROA and Board size, Non-Executive Director and CEO duality. Here it can be seen that the P value is less than the significant value (0.05) for CEO duality, Board Committee,

Board size, therefore in such situation the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternate hypothesis is accepted.

- It can be seen that there is negative correlation between PAT and Board size, and positive correlation between PAT and Board committee, Audit Committee, Non-Executive Director and CEO duality. From the above, it can be seen that P value is less than the significant value (0.05) for CEO duality, therefore the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternate hypothesis is accepted.
- It can be seen that there is negative correlation between EPS and Board Committee and positive correlation between EPS and Board Size, Audit Committee, Non-Executive Director and CEO duality. The P value is less than the significant value (0.05) for CEO duality, Board Committee, Board Size, the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternate hypothesis is accepted.

VII. CONCLUSION

Corporate governance has major significance in firm valuation. It has increased profitability by controlling risk in business. In this study we have found that there is positive correlation between corporate governance and profitability but not all factors of corporate governance were positively correlated with all the profitability. Most of the companies that was taken were from manufacturing sector where there is frequent change in policies in order keep ethics and transparency strong. Therefore, we can conclude that there is significant link between the independent and dependent variable, but still explore more with other factors of corporate governance like board meeting and director remuneration. There is also scope to study different industries and compare how corporate governance affect profitability.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Okoye, L.U., Evbuomwan, G. O., Achugamonu, U., & Araghan, I. (2016). Impact of Corporate Governance on the Profitability of the Nigerian Banking Sector. *Journal of Accountancy*, 7(1), 281–292.
2. Heenetigala, K., & Armstrong, A. F. (2012). The Impact of Corporate Governance on Firm Performance in an Unstable Economic and Political Environment: Evidence from Sri Lanka. SSRN Electronic Journal. <http://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1971927>
3. Mohammad, E., & Yousef, H. (2016). The Impact of Corporate Governance on the Profitability of the Listed Companies in Jordan over the period from 2010 to 2014. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 8(23), 143–163.

4. Ahmed Adeshina Babatunde & Joseph Babatunde Akeju (2016). The Impact of Corporate Governance on Firms' Profitability in Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Management Invention*. Volume 5 Issue 8, ISSN (Online): 2319 – 8028, ISSN (Print): 2319 – 801X.
5. Martin Kyere & Marcel Ausloos (2019). Corporate governance and firms financial performance in the United Kingdom. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd. 26:1871–1885. DOI: 10.1002/ijfe.1883.
6. Afshan , Farooq Ali Khan Sherwani, Ghulam Kabbir, Mula Nazar Khan, Fahad Islam (2016), Impact of Corporate Governance on Firm Financial Performance: An Empirical Evidence from Textile Sector of Pakistan. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, Volume 6, Issue 9, ISSN 2250-3153.
7. W.A.D.K Jayendrika, W.A.N Priyadarshanie, S.M.R.K Samarakoon (2020). The Impact of Corporate Governance on Firms' Financial Performance. *Journal of Accountancy and finance*. Volume 01, Issue 02.
8. Aswathy Mohan & S. Chandramohan (2018). Impact of Corporate Governance on Firm Performance: Empirical Evidence from India. *International Journal of Research in Humanities, Arts and Literature (IMPACT: IJRHAL)* ISSN (P): 2347-4564; ISSN (E): 2321-8878 Vol. 6, Issue 2, 209-218.
9. Dr. Giriraj Kiradoo (2019). Impact of Corporate Governance on The Profitability and The Financial Performance of The Organization. *Journal of Management (JOM)* Volume 6, Issue 3, pp. 192–196, Article ID: JOM_06_03_022.