

# The Importance Of Impact In Organizational Changes On Management Accounting Change (Case Study Of Iranian Manufacturing Firms)

Dr. Morteza Ramazni<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty Member of Payame Noor University, Abhar Center, Zanjan, Iran

*Received: 13 September 2011 Accepted: 8 October 2011 Published: 19 October 2011*

---

## Abstract

Organizations involve technical changes, human resources development, and competitive markets. The purpose of this research is studying the amount importance of impact of Manufacturing firms changes on management accounting change. Present research has 4 independent variables that include 1- new accountants 2- new packaging 3- new products 4- weak financial performance which the method of this research is descriptive- survey and in applied kind that researcher uses to reach the research objectives 4 independent variables by use of testing effect on each one of the research variable on management accounting change and Friedman test for studying equal effect of variables and for studying effect of the case study firms size has used ANOVA variance analysis test. Result of the research indirect the effect of variables of research and firms size on the new packaging and weak performance.

---

**Index terms**— Management Accounting Change, Organizational Changes, Manufacturing Firms, Iran

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Managers of firms product are under pressure to find the equilibrium ways for decreasing costs and improving quality, along with preserving profitability. In developed countries, firms couldn't beat these challenges, have stopped their operations or have been bought by other firms. Example of this situation occurred for one firm of 100 big Manufacturing firms in Australia between 1988 to 1993 (Adler and et, 2000).

Since 1985 discussion in academic and executive circles is about this object that in many Manufacturing firms, costing system isn't responsible for information requirements and analyzing for decisionmaking, and some times is argued that the costing systems are big obstacle in performance of the new technology and perception of firms competitive advantage.

Procedures and new management philosophies, especially total quality management and system production just in time in many developed countries and some developing countries, as well change in information technology, economic, business arena, has been significant impact in style of produce of products and style of function in costing systems. And the new techniques like activity based costing, objective costing, life cycle costing, quality costing report, and strategic management account has invented and used.

Therefore, despite the fact that firms for improvement their competitive advantage have performed new management methods that require change in the Manufacturing method and also in Manufacturing tool. But costing systems, thus face severe criticisms. In this article, along with brief review on management accounting literature evaluated studying the amount of importance of firms organizational changes that we evaluated 4 independent variables to reach research:

1. New accountants
2. New packaging
3. New products
4. Weak financial performance

## 2 MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTING CHANGE

Management accounting seeks to meet the decision needs of an entity's managers. In recent years, entities have been experiencing significant change in their organizational designs, their competitive environments and in their information technologies. In particular, developments in information technology have brought about innovation and change in the collection and analysis of information within and between organizations. Such change has implications for management accounting and management accounting change is potentially an integral part of organizational life in today's global and technology driven society. Almost twenty years ago, Hopwood (1987: 207) argued that 'very little is known of the processes of accounting change'. Subsequent studies have attempted to address this shortfall, for example Pettigrew et al., 1992; Cooper, 1990; Scapens and Roberts, 1993; Argyris and Kaplan, 1994; Anderson, 1995; Shields, 1995. Much has been written on the changing nature of management accounting practice (Bhimani, 1996; Shields, 1997; Burns and Vaivio, 2001). New management accounting techniques have emerged (Bjoornenak and Olson, 1999) in response to changing business environments, along with new organizational designs, new information technologies and new competitive environments. Management accounting changes are usually viewed as arising out of economic imperatives.

In addition, the consequences of accounting technologies are often reduced to a logic of economic incentives and rational economic behavior. This perspective, however, fails to recognize that the origins and application of accounting techniques are not shaped by economic logic alone, but involve far more wide-ranging influences such as changing social, economic and political conditions (Burchell et al., 1980; Eimark and Tinker, 1986; Hopwood, 1987; Preston, 1992; Miller and O'Leary, 1990).

Studies of the process of accounting change address a number of issues, including the management of the process of change (Pettigrew et al., 1992; Cooper, 1990; Scapens and Roberts, 1993; Argyris and Kaplan, 1994; Anderson, 1995; Shields, 1995; Anderson and Young, 1999); resistance to accounting change (Scapens and Roberts, 1993; Markus, 1983; Kent, 1991); and the role of technology in the implementation of new accounting systems (Markus and Pfeffer, 1983; Orlikowski and Robey, 1991; Orlikowski, 1992). Many of these empirical studies again illustrate the complex sociotechnical forces that influence the process of accounting change. More recently, offer a new framework for analyzing management accounting change which draws upon old institutional economics and structuration theory.

## 3 III.

## 4 ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE

Case studies have presented the reorganization of the organizational structure as a facilitator for management accounting change. These findings are confirmed by field research (Laitinen, 2001; Luther and Longden, 2001). In contrast to these findings Cobb et al. (1995) see that changed priorities hinder management accounting improvement. Because a changing organizational structure needs management capacities and is also followed by changed priorities, we see in these changes a barrier to management accounting change. The existing management accounting system must be adapted to the new organizational form and an improvement of methods or technology is hindered.

## IV.

## 5 LITERATURE REVIEW

The basic purpose of accounting information is to help users make decisions. Management accounting is the branch of accounting that produces information for managers and forms an important integral part of the strategic process within an organisation. It involves the process of identifying, measuring, accumulating, analysing, preparing, interpreting, and communicating information that helps managers fulfill organizational objectives (Horngren, Sundem, Stratton, Burgstahler, & Schatzberg, 2007). Chartered Institute of Management Accountants (UK) (2000) views management accounting as an integral part of management which requires the identification, generation, presentation, interpretation and use of information relevant to formulating business strategy, planning and controlling activities, decisionmaking, efficient resource usage, and performance improvement and value enhancement.

Since Hopwood (1987) asked for further research into accounting change there have been several studies which analyze aspects of management accounting change. Libby and Waterhouse (1996) detected that little is known about the forces which induce or act to impede management accounting systems change. Atkinson et al. (1997) saw one extremely fertile area for research in a systematic inquiry into facilitators and barriers.

Yakhou and Dorweiler (1995) recommended further research into why some companies are slower than others in adopting management accounting innovations. One of the first evidence based studies was published by , who identified in seven case studies, factors which cause management accounting change. In these findings Cobb et al. (1995) missed the consideration of barriers-factors which hinder or prevent change and therefore developed an accounting change model based on a case study of a bank. Overall the processes of change are forced by a combination of random, systematic and internal factors.

In our study we test drivers and barriers that influence management accounting change.

We focus on a single industry, due to the fact that a single industry analysis has substantially higher internal validity than a cross-sectional analysis (Ittner et al., 2003). We selected the banking industry because Ittner et al. (2003) argued that financial services firms are actively discussing their choice of performance measures and value drivers. Although banks have developed better measures for dealing with risks than other industries (Beasley et al., 2005). Williams and Seaman (2001) found that the determinants of management accounting change cannot be generalized from the manufacturing and industrial sectors to the service sector. Also Al-Omiri and Drury (2007) reported that the financial services sector differs from other V.

## 6 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

This research is followed these objectives 1. Studying effective amount of organizational changes in management accounting changes in Manufacturing firms.

VI.

## 7 RESEARCH HYPOTHESIS

1. New accountants impact on management accounting change 2. New packaging impact on management accounting change 3. New products impact on management accounting change 4. Weak financial performance impact on management accounting change VII.

## 8 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

In this article, research methodology is descriptive-survey and in applied. In the direction of entrance to research district has also used field method. In the direction of gathering required information in research has been also driven profit two primary and secondary data categories that in direction of secondary data, documents, evidences, books, articles, internet searching motors and connected sites are collective circle. Techniques of interview and questionnaire have also used for gathering primary. Research data at statistical society simultaneously.

Random classified sampling method has been applied in the direction of selection of sample volume that in this research firm on base of firm size, small (under 10 individual forces), medium (10 to 50 individuals), large (50 to 150 individuals), extensively large (more than 150 individuals) firm are selected.

Research scientific society, active Manufacturing firms that the number 150 questionnaire is sent, that the number 112 questionnaire and their answers has made criterion for resulting of researcher.

Statistical analyze has been performed in two parts: descriptive and inferential. In the descriptive part, first for general identification case study sample (gender, age, experience of work, study of field, educational degree and number of human forces) frequency table of forming and approximately case study samples have described.

In inferential analyze part by use of test has paid to comparison of observed average of hypothesis separately.

If observed average, obtained average of study sample for all hypotheses is significant is bigger than theoretical average (With regard to values of table No.2 value P-value= 0/00 hypothesis zero in the level of error 5 percent is rejected. Means observed average is more equal than 3 and as partial average is more than 3 means theoretical average, thus can result that observed average (3.8214) is significantly more than 3.

In the other words in level of error 5 percent, researcher's hypothesis is accepted. Then could said that new packaging impact on management accounting change. c) Third hypothesis test : Average is less than number 3 (new products hasn't impact on management accounting change!)

: Average is more equal number 3 (new products impact on management accounting change!)

With regard to values of table No.2 value P-value= 0/00 hypothesis zero in the level of error 5 percent is rejected. Means observed average is more equal than 3 and as partial average is more than 3 means theoretical average, thus can result that observed average (3.8571) is significantly more than 3.

In the other words, in the level of error 5 percent, researcher's hypothesis is accepted. Then could said that new products impact on management accounting change. d) Fourth hypothesis test : Average is less than number 3 (weak financial performance hasn't impact on management accounting change!)

: Average is more equal number 3 (weak financial performance has effect on management accounting change!)

With regard to values of table No.2 value P-value= 0/00 hypothesis zero in the level of error 5 percent is rejected. Means observed average is more equal than 3 and as partial average is more than 3 means theoretical average, thus can result that observed average (3.9286) is significantly more than 3.

In the other words in the level of error 5 percent, researcher's hypothesis is accepted. Then could said that weak financial performance has effect on management accounting change.

## 9 e) Testing equalization of research independent variables

For prioritizing and determining importance on each one of the research independent variables (factors involved in prevention of management accounting change) Friedman's test has been used. This test suggests that there is between restraining factors, a factor of other factors more important or all equal in importance. ??researcher

## 10 RESEARCH FINDS AND RESULTS

This article has followed presentation of surveying research results of active Manufacturing firms. Selected firms, also are small, medium, large and extensively large. Practiced results of testing research hypothesis indicate organizational changes have more important in management accounting change.

For studying equalization of impact on research independent variables that has been used Friedman test indicate that impact of research independent variables is equal.

In the direction of recognition impact of research main variables by firm size use ANOVA parametric that results of test indicate in the line with second and fourth variables (new packaging and weak financial performance).

In a general result could be said that in Iranian firms 4 independent variables are more important in management accounting change and so, firms must attention to the new methods of management accounting before their organizational changes. Because by entrance of the new products by packaging and new facilities else old costing methods for decisionmaking aren't useful, firms, therefore for increasing competitive power in market and industry impose cost of management accounting change for themselves to use its benefit in future.



53

Figure 1:  $1+2+3+4+5 \ 5 = 3$ 

November 2011 1 industries significantly. Because Helliar et al. (2002) found that development in banks' profitability reporting systems was different from that in other industries, we have to discuss which factors mentioned in previous research into other industries are relevant for the banking industry. There are some earlier studies about management accounting design which also focused on the banking industry (e.g. Cobb et al., 1995; Grimmer, 2003; Helliar et al., 2002; Hussain and Hoque, 2002; Ittner et al., 2003). Luther and Longden (2001) showed that motives for management accounting change can differ from country to country. To avoid national bias, we focus our study on a specific language area.

[Note: ©2011 Global Journals Inc. (US)]

Figure 2:

<sup>1</sup>© 2011 Global Journals Inc. (US)

<sup>2</sup>The Importance Of Impact In Organizational Changes On Management Accounting Change (Case Study Of Iranian Manufacturing Firms)

<sup>3</sup>The Importance Of Impact In Organizational Changes On Management Accounting Change (Case Study Of Iranian Manufacturing Firms) ©2011 Global Journals Inc. (US) Global Journal of Management and Business Research Volume XI Issue XI Version I November 2011 1

No

Table No.2, T Test Statistics						
Description	n	Std	t	d.f	TesP- Result	Value
Hypotheses						
First	1123.4286	0.4644	1.792	111	3	0.000
Hy-						
poth-						
esis						
Second	1123.8204	0.7618	5.410	111	3	0.000
Hy-	1123.8571	0.6417		111	3	0.000
poth-	1123.9286	0.8021		111	3	0.000
esis						
Third						
Hy-						
poth-						
esis						
Forth						
Hy-						
poth-						
esis						

1, Frequency of Firms Size Percent Frequency 3.6 4 50. 56 25 28 21.4 24 100 112 STAT

packaging hasn't impact on management accounting change!)

packaging impact on management accounting change!)

H 1 : Avarage is more equal

Figure 3: Table No .

f) ANOVA Variance Analyze

		Table No, 5 ANOVA Test			
Independent Variable	Source of Changing	Sum of Squares	df	Mean square	F
New Accountants	Between Groups	1.524	3	0.508	0.907
	Within Groups	97.905	108		0.560
	Total	99.429	111		
New Packaging	Between Groups	9.000	3	3.000	5.845
	Within Groups	55.905	108	0.513	
	Total	64.429	111		
New Products	Between Groups	0.857	3	0.286	.688
	Within Groups	44.429	108	0.415	
	Total	45.714	111		
Weak Financial Performance	Between Groups	10.952	3	3.651	0.560
	Within Groups	60.476	108		6.520
	Total	71.429	111		
IX.		has u			
		reject and claim hypotheses: : Independent factors of research (organizational changes factors in management accounting change) for impact have equal importance!			
		: Independent			
		(organizational changes factors in management accounting change) for impact haven't equal importance!			
		With regard to table N			
		0/00 and prepared value chi-square= 34.557 could resulted that acceptance at meaningful level 5% hypothesis			
		and hypotheses			
		research independent variables (factors involved in prevention of management accounting change) for effect have equal importance could shown ranking order of independent variables in table No.4.			
		Table No.3 Friedman Test Statistics			
		N			
		Chi-Square			
		df			
		P-value			
		112			
		34.55			
		3			
		.000			
		Table No.4, Mean Ranking of Variable			
Independent Variables Descriptive		Weak financial performance			
		New packaging			
		New			

.1 This page is intentionally left blank

The Importance Of Impact In Organizational Changes On Management Accounting Change (Case Study Of Iranian Manufacturing Firms)

[Accounting] , Accounting . 10.1016/S0361-3682(03)00033-3. *Organizations and Society* 28 (7-8) p. .

[Organizations and Society] , 10.1016/0361-3682(87)90038-9. *Organizations and Society* 12 (3) p. .

[Atkinson et al. ()] , A A Atkinson , R Balakrishnan , P Booth , J M Cote , T Groot , T Malmi , H Roberts , E Uliana , A Wu . *New Directions in Management Accounting Research. Journal of Management Accounting Research* 1997. 9 p. .

[Burns and Vaivio ()] , J Burns , J Vaivio . *Management Accounting Research* 2001. 12 p. . (Management Accounting Change)

[Helliard et al. ()] 'A longitudinal case study of profitability reporting in a bank'. C Helliard , I Cobb , J Innes . doi: 10.1006./bare.2001.0180. *British Accounting Review* 2002. 34 p. .

[Al-Omri and Drury ()] 'A survey of factors influencing the choice of product costing systems in UK organizations'. M Al-Omri , C Drury . 10.1016/j.mar.2007.02.002. *Management Accounting Research In Press, Corrected Proof* 2007.

[Scapens and Roberts ()] 'Accounting control: a case study of resistance to accounting change'. R W Scapens , J Roberts . *Management Accounting Research* 1993. 4 (1) p. .

[Yakhou and Dorweiler ()] 'Advanced Cost Management Systems: An Empirical Comparison of England, France, and the United States'. M Yakhou , V P Dorweiler . *Advances in International Accounting* 1995. 8 p. .

[Ittner and Larcker ()] 'Assessing empirical research in managerial ccounting: a value-based management perspective'. C D Ittner , D F Larcker . 10.1016/S0165-4101(01)00026-X). *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 2001. 32 (1-3) p. .

[Bhimani ()] A Bhimani . *Management Accounting: European Perspectives*, (Oxford) 1996. Oxford University Press.

[Burns and Scapens ()] 'Conceptualizing management accounting change: an institutional framework'. J Burns , R W Scapens . *Management Accounting Research* 2000. 11 p. .

[Burns and Scapens ()] 'Conceptualizing management accounting change: an institutional framework'. J Burns , R W Scapens . 10.1006/mare.1999.0119. *Management Accounting Research* 2000. 11 (1) p. .

[Beasley et al. ()] 'Enterprise risk management: an empirical analysis of factors associated with the extent of implementation'. M S Beasley , R Clune , D R Hermanson . 10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2005.10.001. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy* 2005. 24 (6) p. .

[Grimmer ()] *Gesamtbanksteuerungstheoretische und empirische Analyse des Status Quo in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland, Österreich und der Schweiz, Dissertation Wirtschaftswissenschaften*, J U Grimmer . urn:nbn:de:hbz:464-duett- 08162003-0100197. 2003. Duisburg, Essen. Universität Duisburg-Essen

[Horngren et al. ()] C Horngren , G Sundem , W Stratton , D Burgstahler , J Schatzberg . *Introduction to Management Accounting*, (New Jersey) 2007. Pearson Prentice Hall. (14th ed.)

[Cooper ()] 'Implementing an Activity-Based Cost System'. R Cooper . *Journal of Cost Management* 1990. p. .

[Argyris and Kaplan ()] *Implementing New Knowledge: The Case of Activity Based Costing*, Accounting Horizons, C Argyris , R S Kaplan . 1994. 8 p. .

[Orlikowski and Robey ()] 'Information technology and the structuring of organizations'. W J Orlikowski , D Robey . *Information Systems Research* 1991. 2 (2) p. .

[Pettigrew ()] 'Longitudinal Field Research on Change: Theory and Practice'. A M Pettigrew . *Organization Science* 1990. 1 (3) p. .

[Miller and Leary ()] 'Making Accountancy Practical, Accounting'. P Miller , T Leary . *Organizations and Society* 1990. 15 (3) p. .

[Cobb et al. ()] 'Management accounting change in a bank'. I Cobb , C Helliard , J Innes . 10.1006/mare.1995.1009. *Management Accounting Research* 1995. 6 (2) p. .

[Luther and Longden ()] 'Management accounting in companies adapting to structural change and volatility in transition economies: a South African study'. R G Luther , S Longden . 10.1006/mare.2001.0163. *Management Accounting Research* 2001. 12 (3) p. .

[Ittner et al. ()] *Performance implications of strategic performrance measurement in financial services firms*, C D Ittner , D F Larcker , T Randall . 2003.

[Markus and Pfeffer ()] 'Power and the design and implementation of accounting and control systems'. M L Markus , J Pfeffer . *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 1983. 8 (2/3) p. .

- 224 [Markus (1983)] 'Power, Politics and MIS Implementation'. M L Markus . *Communication of A.C.M* 1983. June.  
225 p. .
- 226 [Libby and Waterhouse ()] 'Predicting Change in Management Accounting Systems'. T Libby , J H Waterhouse  
227 . *Journal of Management Accounting Research* 1996. 8 p. .
- 228 [Williams and Seaman ()] 'Predicting change in management accounting systems: national culture and industry  
229 effects. Accounting'. J J Williams , A E Seaman . 10.1016/S0361-3682(01)00002-2). *Organizations and Society*  
230 2001. 26 (4-5) p. .
- 231 [Shields ()] 'Research in Management Accounting by North Americans in the 1990s'. M D Shields . *Journal of*  
232 *Management Accounting Research* 1997. 9 p. .
- 233 [Hopwood ()] 'The archaeology of accounting systems'. A G Hopwood . *Accounting, Organizations and Society*  
234 1987. 12 (3) p. .
- 235 [Preston ()] 'The Birth of Clinical Accounting: A Study of the Emergence and Transformations of Discourses on  
236 Costs and Practices of Accounting in U'. A M Preston . *S. Hospitals, Accounting, Organizations and Society*  
237 1992. (1) p. .
- 238 [Orlikowski ()] 'The duality of technology: rethinking the concept of technology in organizations'. W J Orlikowski  
239 . *Organization Science* 1992. 3 (3) p. .
- 240 [Anderson and Young ()] 'The Impact of Contextual and Process Factors on the Evaluation of Activity-Based  
241 Costing Systems, Accounting'. S W Anderson , S M Young . *Organizations and Society* 1999. 24 p. .
- 242 [Innes and Mitchell ()] 'The Process of Change in Management Accounting: Some Field Study Evidence'. J Innes  
243 , F Mitchell . *Management Accounting Research* 1990. 1 p. .
- 244 [Innes and Mitchell ()] 'The process of change in management accounting: some fields study evidence'. J Innes ,  
245 F Mitchell . *Management Accounting Research* 1990. 1 p. .
- 246 [Burchell et al. ()] 'The Roles of Accounting in Organizations and Society, Accounting'. S Burchell , C Clubb ,  
247 A Hopwood , J Hughes . *Organizations and Society* 1980. 5 (1) p. .
- 248 [Niemark and Tinker ()] *The Social Construction of Management Control Systems, Accounting, Organizations*  
249 *and Society*, M Niemark , T Tinker . 1986. p. .
- 250 [Bjoornenak and Olson ()] 'Unbundling Management Accounting Innovations'. T Bjoornenak , O Olson .  
251 *Management Accounting Research* 1999. 10 p. .
- 252 [Hopwood and Hoque ()] 'Understanding non-financial performance measurement practices in Japanese banks:  
253 A new institutional sociology perspective'. A G. ; M M Hopwood , Z Hoque . 10.1108/09513570210425583.  
254 *The archeology of accounting systems. Accounting, 18. Hussain, 1987. 2002. 15 p. .*
- 255 [Quattrone and Hopper ()] 'What does organizational change mean? Speculations on a taken for granted  
256 category'. P Quattrone , T Hopper . *Management Accounting Research* 2001. 12 p. .